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No single engineered protein has been shown previously to robustly downregulate epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a validated cancer target. A panel of fibronectin-based domains was engineered to bind with picomolar to nanomolar affinity to multiple epitopes of EGFR. Monovalent and homo- and hetero-bivalent dimers of these domains were tested for EGFR downregulation. Selected orientations of non-competitive heterodimers decrease EGFR levels by up to 80% in multiple cell types, without activating receptor signaling. These heterodimers inhibit autophosphorylation, proliferation and migration, and are synergistic with the monoclonal antibody cetuximab in these activities. These small (25 kDa) heterodimers represent a novel modality for modulating surface receptor levels.
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Introduction

Aberrant signaling from epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), a receptor tyrosine kinase in the ErbB family, is implicated in multiple cancers by dysregulation (Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001), overexpression (Nicholson et al., 2001), autocrine signaling (Tateishi et al., 1990) and mutation (Pedersen et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2006; Sharma et al., 2007). Ligand-competitive antibodies cetuximab and panitumumab have been approved for clinical use; however, modest efficacy is achieved (Cunningham et al., 2004; Bonner et al., 2006; Messersmith and Hidalgo, 2007), validating EGFR as a therapeutic target but motivating the development of potentially improved EGFR antagonism.

While predominantly present in a tethered conformation on the cell surface (Burgess et al., 2003), binding of growth factor ligands stabilizes an open conformation of EGFR. Resultant dimerization enables kinase activation and phosphorylation of the intracellular domain yielding a complex signaling network that impacts multiple cellular processes including differentiation, migration and growth (Yarden and Sliwkowski, 2001). Potential causes of limited monoclonal antibody efficacy include incomplete ligand competition in the presence of autocrine signaling, insufficient downregulation of receptor and mutational escape. Efficient receptor downregulation would clearly reduce EGFR promotion of tumor formation, proliferation and migration. A previously demonstrated means of receptor downregulation is administration of non-competitive pairs of antibodies (Spangler et al., 2010). Antibodies 528 and 806 downregulate EGFR and synergistically inhibit tumor xenografts (Perera et al., 2005). Non-competitive antibody pairs 111 + 565 and 143 + 565 downregulate EGFR whereas the competitors 111 + 143 do not (Friedman et al., 2005). Also, non-competitive anti-HER2 antibodies downregulate HER2 and inhibit tumor growth (Friedman et al., 2005; Ben-Kasus et al., 2009). These approaches require dosing two separate agents, compounding regulatory and manufacturing complexity. A single bispecific agent would ameliorate cocktail-related practical issues, as well as enabling construction of multifunctional nano-agents. The tenth type III domain of human fibronectin (Fn3), a 94 amino acid beta-sheet developed for molecular recognition (Koide and Koide, 2007), provides a robust scaffold for bispecific constructs because its single-domain architecture enables simple head-to-tail fusion, which is the natural state of Fn3 domains within the complete fibronectin protein.

In the current work, we use yeast display to engineer a panel of small, single-domain Fn3-based EGFR binders to multiple receptor epitopes. Homo- and hetero-bivalent combinations of these binders, expressed as protein fusions, are tested for the ability to downregulate receptor in a variety of cell lines. Selected non-competitive heterodimers yield up to an 80% reduction in EGFR levels dependent upon epitopes, receptor density and bivalent format. Distinct from ligand-based activation, EGFR downregulation is achieved without substantial receptor agonism. These heterodimers reduce epidermal growth factor (EGF)-induced phosphorylation of extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK) and inhibit proliferation and migration in cells with autocrine signaling.

Materials and methods

Binder engineering

EGFR binders were engineered from the NNB, YS and G4 pooled library as described (Hackel et al., 2010). EGFR mutant 404SG (Kim et al., 2006) was produced in Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeast, purified by metal affinity chromatography and anti-EGFR antibody affinity chromatography, and biotinylated on free amines using the sulfo-NHS
biotinylation kit. The Fn3 yeast surface display libraries were pooled, grown in SD-CAA medium at 30°C, 250 rpm and display of Fn3 was induced in SG-CAA medium at 30°C, 250 rpm. Binders to streptavidin-coated magnetic Dynabeads were removed. One million biotinylated EGFR ectodomains were loaded on each of 10 million magnetic beads and incubated with the remaining yeast. Beads were washed once with phosphate-buffered saline with bovine serum albumin (PBSA) at 4°C and beads with attached cells were grown for further selection. Remaining sorts were conducted with 5 million beads coated with 1–2 million ectodomains. After two sorts, full-length Fn3 clones were selected by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) using the C-terminal c-myc epitope. Plasmid DNA was zymoprepped from the cells and mutagenized by error-prone PCR of the entire Fn3 gene or the BC, DE and FG loops. Mutants were transformed into yeast by electroporation with homologous recombination and requisite shuffling of the loop mutants. The lead clones and their mutants were pooled for further cycles of selection and mutagenesis. Three rounds, each consisting of two binding sorts on beads, full-length clone isolation by FACS and mutagenesis, were performed. Selection stringency was increased by additional washing and elevated temperature. In the fourth round, a single binding sort on magnetic beads was followed by a binding sort by FACS. Cells were incubated in 10 nM biotinylated ectodomain and mouse anti-c-myc antibody followed by fluorescein-conjugated anti-biotin antibody and R-phycocerythrin-conjugated anti-mouse antibody. Cells with the highest fluorescein:R-phycoerythrin ratio were collected. Three additional rounds of sorting and mutagenesis were performed with decreasing ectodomain concentrations during selections. Plasmids from binding populations were zymoprepped and transformed into Escherichia coli; transformants were grown, miniprepped and sequenced.

The relative dominance of E4.2.1 and E4.2.2, as well as very similar mutants, initiated a campaign to identify additional unique clones. Binding populations from rounds two to five were sorted twice for binding to ectodomain in the presence of either ICR10, an antibody that competes with E4.2.1, or 528, an antibody that competes with E4.2.2. Unique clones were identified by sequence analysis.

**Fn3 production**

The Fn3 gene was digested with NheI and BamHI and transformed to a pET vector containing a HHHHHHKGS GK-encoding C-terminus. The six histidines enable metal affinity purification, and the pentapeptide provides two additional amines for chemical conjugation. The plasmid was transformed into Rosetta (DE3) E.coli, which was grown in lysogeny broth (LB) medium with 100 mg/l kanamycin and 34 mg/l chloramphenicol at 37°C. Two hundred microliters of overnight culture was added to 100 ml of LB medium, grown to an optical density of 0.2–1.5 units, and induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl beta-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside for 3–24 h. Cells were pelleted, resuspended in lysis buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 5% glycerol, 5 mM CHAPS, 25 mM imidazole and 1× complete ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)-free protease inhibitor cocktail), and exposed to four freeze-thaw cycles. The soluble fraction was clarified by centrifugation at 15 000 g for 10 min and Fn3 was purified by metal affinity chromatography on TALON resin. Purified Fn3 was buffer exchanged into phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and biotinylated with NHS-LC-biotin according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

An Fn3-linker-Fn3 construct was produced by standard molecular cloning techniques. The resultant vector encodes for Fn3-EIDKPSQ-GSGGGSGGGKGGGT-Fn3-EIDKPS Q-ELR5-HHHHHH in which the N-terminal Fn3 is bracketed by NheI and BamHI restriction sites and the C-terminal Fn3 is bracketed by KpnI and SacI sites. The reduced linker encodes a GSST linker. The extended linker is GSGGGSGGGK-GGGGSGGNGGGGSGGGGT. Protein production was as for Fn3.

**Affinity titration**

A431 or yeast cells were washed in PBSA and incubated with various concentrations of biotinylated Fn3 on ice. The number of cells and sample volumes were selected to ensure excess Fn3 relative to EGFR. For some clones, this criterion necessitates very low cell density, which makes cell collection by centrifugation procedurally difficult. To obviate this difficulty, ‘bare’ yeast cells are added to the sample to enable effective cell pelleting during centrifugation. Cells were incubated on ice for sufficient time to ensure that the approach to equilibrium was at least 98% complete. Cells were then pelleted, washed with 1 ml PBSA and incubated in PBSA with 10 mg/l streptavidin-R-phycocerythrin for 10–30 min. Cells were washed and resuspended with PBSA and analyzed by flow cytometry. The minimum and maximum fluorescence and the Kd value were determined by minimizing the sum of squared errors assuming a 1:1 binding interaction.

**Competition**

Yeast displaying EGFR ectodomain or A431 cells were washed and incubated with initial competitor Fn3 or antibody for 30 min. Alternative competitor Fn3, antibody, or AlexaFluor488-conjugated EGF was then added and incubated for 30 min. Cells were washed and secondary reagent was added to detect the alternative competitor: fluorescein-conjugated anti-His antibody, streptavidin-R-phycocerythrin, R-phycocerythrin-conjugated anti-mouse antibody, and fluorescein-conjugated anti-rat antibody for Fn3, biotinylated Fn3, mouse antibodies and rat ICR10, respectively. Cells were washed and analyzed by flow cytometry. Samples with and without initial competitor were compared to determine competition.

**EGFR fragment labeling**

EGFR ectodomain fragments comprising amino acids 1–176, 294–543 and 302–503 were displayed on the yeast surface (Cochran et al., 2004). Cells were washed and incubated with 30 nM biotinylated Fn3 and mouse anti-c-myc antibody followed by streptavidin-R-phycocerythrin and AlexaFluor488-conjugated anti-mouse antibody. Cells were washed and analyzed by flow cytometry.

**Fine epitope mapping**

A low mutation library of EGFR ectodomain (Chao et al., 2004) was grown and induced. Yeast was labeled with biotinylated Fn3 and mouse anti-c-myc antibody followed by AlexaFluor647-conjugated streptavidin and AlexaFluor488-
conjugated anti-mouse antibody. Cells were washed and analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells displaying full-length ectodomain (AlexaFluor488\(^+\)) with reduced Fn3 binding (AlexaFluor647\(^{\text{weak}}\)) relative to unmutated ectodomain were collected, grown and induced. Cells were then sorted twice for mutants of reduced binding with maintenance of foldedness as determined by binding to antibodies 199.12 or 225, which are conformationally sensitive (Cochran et al., 2004). Cells were labeled with biotinylated Fn3 and mouse 199.12 (for clones A, E and E6.2.10) or mouse 225 (for clone D) anti-EGFR antibody followed by AlexaFluor647-conjugated streptavidin and R-phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-mouse antibody. Cells were washed and analyzed by flow cytometry. Cells displaying folded ectodomain (R-phycoerythrin\(^+\)) with reduced Fn3 binding (AlexaFluor647\(^{\text{weak}}\)) relative to unmutated ectodomain were collected, grown and induced. Initial selections for clone C mapping yielded multiple glycine mutants and clones with multiple mutations. To improve the efficiency of folded mutants, analogous sorting was performed using the non-competitive domain III binder clone D for foldedness verification. Biotinylated clones C and D were independently complexed to AlexaFluor488- or Alexa Fluor647-conjugated streptavidin and used to label the ectodomain library. Cells that exhibited binding to clone D but reduced clone C binding relative to wild-type ectodomain were collected. Selections for epitope mapping clone B yielded multiple mutants without a consistent location. The full-length ectodomains with reduced clone B binding were sorted for maintenance of clone D binding with a reduction in clone B binding to yield a consistent epitope.

**Cell culture**

All cells were grown at 37\(^\circ\), 5% CO\(_2\) in a humidified atmosphere. A431 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells transfected with a vector to express EGF-R-green fluorescent protein were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% non-essential amino acids and 0.2 g/l G418. HeLa cells were cultured in Eagle’s minimal essential medium with 10% FBS. Human mammary epithelial cells (hMEC) cells were cultured in supplemented HuMEC medium. HT29 cells were cultured in McCoy’s medium with 10% FBS. U87 cells were cultured in DMEM with 10% FBS, 1% sodium pyruvate and 1% non-essential amino acids. Cells were detached for subculture or assay use with 0.25% trypsin and 1 mM EDTA. For serum starvation, medium was removed by aspiration, cells were washed with warm PBS and fresh serum-free medium was added.

**Downregulation assays**

Cells were subcultured into 96-well plates, grown for 2 days and serum starved for 12–18 h. Cells were treated with 20 nM Fn3-Fn3 or EGF for the indicated time. Medium was removed by aspiration and cells were washed with PBS, detached with trypsin/EDTA and placed on ice for the remainder of the assay. Bound Fn3-Fn3 or ligand was removed by 5 min acid strip with 0.2 M acetic acid, 0.5 M NaCl. Cells were washed with PBSA and incubated in mouse 225 antibody followed by R-phycoerythrin-conjugated anti-mouse antibody. Cells were washed and analyzed by flow cytometry. Mean fluorescence was normalized to PBSA-treated control samples.

**Human embryonic kidney (HEK) transfectants**

An EGFR expression vector built on the pCDNA3 vector was used as wild-type or modified by site-directed mutagenesis to introduce T654A, T669A, K721R, Y845F, S1045A/S1046A, Y1068F, Y1148F or Y1173F mutations. Mutations were verified by sequence analysis. HEK cells were grown to 1–2.5 million cells per milliliter and diluted to 1 million per milliliter. Miniprepped DNA and polyethyleneimine were independently diluted to 0.05 and 0.1 mg/ml in OptiPro medium and incubated at 22\(^\circ\) for 15 min. Equal volumes of DNA and polyethyleneimine were mixed and incubated at 22\(^\circ\) for 15 min. 1.2 ml of cells and 48 \mu l of DNA/polyethyleneimine mixture were added to a 24-well plate and incubated at 37\(^\circ\), 5% CO\(_2\) with shaking for 24 h. One hundred microliter aliquots of each transfection were transferred to a 96-well plate and grown for 24 h. A downregulation assay was performed as described.

**In-cell western blot**

A431 cells were cultured in 96-well plates, serum starved for 12–24 h and treated with 20 nM Fn3-Fn3 or EGF. Cells were fixed for 10 min by addition of an equal volume of 4% formaldehyde. Cells were washed and permeabilized with four washes of PBS with 0.1% Triton X100 and blocked in Odyssey blocking buffer for 2 h at 22\(^\circ\) or overnight at 4\(^\circ\). Cells were incubated in 10 nM rabbit anti-phospho(S/T/Y) for 2 h at 22\(^\circ\) or overnight at 4\(^\circ\). Four washes in PBS with 0.1% Tween20 followed by 33 nM 800CW-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody and 180 nM ToPro3 and four additional washes. Plates were imaged at 700 and 800 nm. Antibody signal (800 nm) was normalized to DNA (700 nm) for each well.

**Western blot**

A431 cells were cultured in 24-well plates and serum starved for 16 h. For agonism assay, cells were treated with 20 nM Fn3-Fn3, antibody or EGF for 15 min. For antagonism assay, cells were treated with Fn3, Fn3-Fn3 or antibody for 6 h followed by 1 nM EGF for 15 min. Medium was removed by aspiration and cells were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed for 5 min in 50 \mu l of RIPA buffer with protease and phosphatase inhibitors and EDTA (Pierce). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 14 000 \(g\) for 15 min. Medium was removed by aspiration and cells were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed for 15 min in 60 \mu l of OptiPro medium and incubated at 22\(^\circ\) for 16 h and treated with 20 nM Fn3 or EGF. Cells were treated with Fn3, Fn3-Fn3 or antibody for 16 h. For agonism assay, cells were treated with 20 nM Fn3-Fn3, antibody or EGF for 15 min. For antagonism assay, cells were treated with Fn3, Fn3-Fn3 or antibody for 6 h followed by 1 nM EGF for 15 min. Medium was removed by aspiration and cells were washed twice with cold PBS and lysed for 5 min in 50 \mu l of RIPA buffer with protease and phosphatase inhibitors and EDTA (Pierce). Lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 14 000 \(g\) for 15 min, separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS–PAGE) on a 12% BisTris gel, and blotted to nitrocellulose. Blots were blocked in 5% non-fat dry milk and labeled with 1:1000 anti-phosphoERK1/2 Y202/Y204 antibody (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA) followed by peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody. Blots were incubated in SuperSignal West Dura substrate and imaged. Blots were then washed extensively, labeled with rabbit anti-GAPDH antibody followed by peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit antibody, incubated with substrate and imaged. PhosphoERK1/2 Y202/Y204 labeling was normalized by GAPDH signal.

**Quantitative phosphoproteomics**

A431 cells were cultured in 12-well plates, serum starved for 16 h and treated with 20 nM Fn3-Fn3, Fn3 + Fn3 or EGF for 15 or 60 min. Medium was removed by aspiration and cells were washed with PBS and lysed in 8 M urea with 1 mM Na\(_3\)VO\(_4\). Lysates were digested to form peptides and labeled with iTRAQ reagents. Phosphotyrosine-containing peptides were identified by mass spectrometry and quantified using iTRAQ reporter ion intensities.
are isolated by immunoprecipitation with a pool of polyclonal anti-phosphotyrosine antibodies and phosphopeptides are enriched by immobilized metal affinity chromatography. Peptides are separated and analyzed by liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS)/MS. Peptides are identified using MASCOT and relative abundance is determined by comparison of peak intensities.

**Proliferation**
hMEC cells transfected with a vector for membrane-bound EGF ligand with a transforming growth factor α (TGFα) cytoplasmic tail (hMEC + TCT (Joslin et al., 2007)) were obtained from Doug Lauffenburger (MIT). Eight thousand cells were plated into each well of a 96-well plate and incubated in 100 μl of medium with 20 nM agent for 48 or 96 h. For 96 h samples, medium was supplemented with fresh agent at 48 h. Cell viability was quantified using the AlamarBlue assay (Invitrogen) according the manufacturer’s instructions and normalized to PBSA-treated control.

**Migration**
hMEC, hMEC cells transfected with a vector for membrane-bound EGF ligand (hMEC + ECT) or hMEC + TCT cells were cultured in 96-well plates to confluent monolayers. Wounds were scratched into the monolayer using a pipette tip, and cells were washed with fresh medium and imaged on a Nikon confocal microscope with robotic stage. Cells were treated with 20 nM agent in 100 μl of medium, incubated for 24 or 48 h, and imaged at identical fields of view. Migration was quantified as the average reduction in separation across the wound and normalized to PBSA-treated control.

**Results**

**Fn3-based binder engineering**
Multiple high-affinity binders to distinct epitopes of EGFR ectodomain were isolated by yeast display of a fibronectin-based scaffold library. As previously described, a yeast surface display library (Hackel et al., 2010) of Fn3 clones was sorted for binding to biotinylated soluble EGFR ectodomain (Kim et al., 2006) using magnetic bead selections (Ackerman et al., 2009) and flow cytometry. Directed evolution was performed on a population of lead clones using recursive dual mutagenesis with loop shuffling as described (Hackel et al., 2008). Two clones dominated the sequenced population after five rounds of evolution. Competition against existing anti-EGFR antibodies revealed that clone E4.2.1 is competitive with 528, a domain III binder (Cochran et al., 2004), and clone E4.2.2 is competitive with ICR10, a domain I binder (Cochran et al., 2004). To identify additional binders, intermediate populations were sorted for binding to EGFR ectodomain in the presence of 528 or ICR10. Five unique clones that bound ICR10-blocked EGFR were identified: EI4.4.2, EI3.4.3, EI3.4.2, EI2.4.6 and EI1.4.1. Also, two additional rounds of sorting with unblocked EGFR yielded an improved mutant of E4.2.2 named E6.2.6 and one additional clone, E6.2.10. Clones were renamed A–H for simplicity (Table I). The affinity of each clone was determined by titration of biotinylated Fn3 binding to A431 cells (on ice to prevent internalization); affinities ranged from 250 pM to 30 nM (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>Kd (nM)</th>
<th>Ab. Comp.</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Epitope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E6.2.6</td>
<td>EFDYAVTY GWIST DNSHWPFRST</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.13</td>
<td>+0.13 0.14</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.07</td>
<td>ICR10 None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E4.2.1</td>
<td>YGFSLASS RSPWF SNDFSNSRYSG</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3 0.7</td>
<td>2.5 0.07</td>
<td>Neither None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI4.4.2</td>
<td>YFDPYRVDY WYLPD YGDYRESTPL</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4 ± 1.0</td>
<td>Neither None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI3.4.3</td>
<td>LHHRSDYRS GSRSL WGSYCCSN</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.04</td>
<td>4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Neither None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI2.4.6</td>
<td>YHPFYYVAHS RSPWF DSNGSH</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>nb 4</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Neither None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E6.2.10</td>
<td>YLRDPRYVDY WYLPD YDGYRESTPL</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.11</td>
<td>+0.11 0.12</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>Neither None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI3.4.2</td>
<td>YGFVYASY RSPWF PSGISA</td>
<td>9.5 ± 3.5</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Neither None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI1.4.1</td>
<td>YGFVYYVAHS RSPWF SKCYDGSV</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.50</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>Neither None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kd indicates equilibrium dissociation constant for binding to A431 cells on ice or yeast at 22 °C, + indicates detectable binding. Ab. Comp. indicates whether ICR10 or 225 are competitive with the indicated clone. Fn. Comp. indicates whether clones A, B, C, D, or E are competitive with the indicated clone.
Clones B, C and E.

Competitors and epitope mapping

Fine epitope mapping was performed for clones A–F by high throughput identification of EGFR mutations that maintain other conformational epitopes but have reduced affinity for the clone of interest (Chao et al., 2004). Clones G and H were excluded because of sequence similarity and binding competition with clones B and E, respectively. Clones B, C, E and F all bind the N-terminal half of domain III (Fig. 1), which is consistent with complementary Fn3 competition as well as EGF competition (data not shown). Clones B, C and E also compete with antibody 225 for binding, which is reasonable given their proximal to the cetuximab (a 225 chimera) interface (Fig. 1a). The absence of competition between clone F and 225 is consistent with their distinct, though adjacent, epitopes. The clone A epitope resides in domain I, as expected given its observed competition with EGF and ICR10 antibody, and the ability of clone A to label EGFR ectodomain fragment comprising amino acids 1–176 as well as the lack of competition with the other Fn3 domains (data not shown). Clone D binds a novel epitope near the interface of domains III and IV, which is consistent with its ability to label fragments 294–543 and 302–503 as well as its lack of competition with antibodies 225 and ICR10 and the other Fn3 domains (data not shown). Clone D competes with EGF, which cannot readily be attributed to direct steric inhibition given their distal binding epitopes. However, a reasonable hypothesis is that clone D binding interferes with receptor un tethering that supports high-affinity ligand binding. Although domains III and IV do not grossly change during un tethering (Burgess et al., 2003), subtle rearrangements at the domain III/domain IV interface exist; for example, amino acids 430 and 506, which are the sites identified in clone D epitope mapping, move from 19.7 Å apart in the tethered structure to 16.7 Å in the dimer. Thus, at least three classes of binders were engineered and mapped: clone A binds to domain I; clones B, C and E bind domain III and are competitive with each other and antibodies 225 and 528; and clone D binds to the C-terminal portion of domain III and the N-terminal portion of domain IV and does not compete with antibodies 225 and 528 nor clones B, C and E.

Downregulation by heterobivalent constructs

Given the previously reported success of particular pairs of non-competitive homobivalent antibodies to downregulate EGFR, we were interested to determine whether downregulation could be accomplished with a single heterobivalent agent. Fn3 clones were linked as head-to-tail protein fusions with the native peptide linker EIDKSPQ as well as a flexible GSGGGSGGGKGGGTT linker (Fig. 2a). Thirty constructs comprising all possible bivalent combinations, in both orientations, as well as monomer for clones A–E (heterodimers are named N–C where N and C represent the N-terminal and C-terminal Fn3 clones) were tested. Three different EGFR-expressing human cell lines were tested: A431 epidermoid carcinoma, HeLa cervical carcinoma and HT29 colorectal carcinoma. Cells were cultured, serum starved and incubated with 20 nM Fn3 or Fn3-Fn3 for 6–8 h. Cells were detached, bound agent was acid stripped and surface EGFR was quantified by flow cytometry. Although many constructs did not modify surface EGFR levels relative to control, heterodimers D-B, D-C, D-D, D-E, A-D, B-D, C-D and C-D downregulate, yielding up to 80% reduction in surface EGFR; D-B, D-C and D-E have the greatest effect (Fig. 2b and c). Particular combinations of non-competitive clones in a heterobivalent construct are needed to downregulate although the D-D homobivalent does moderately reduce receptor levels. The particular success of heterodimers incorporating the D domain is noteworthy. Curiously, particular orientations of combinations are needed; for example A-D downregulates whereas D-A does not.

To examine the impact of EGFR expression level, three heterodimers were tested on additional cell lines: U87 glioblastoma, hMEC and CHO cells transfected with EGFR-green fluorescent protein fusion. Downregulation was observed in all six cell lines for D-B, D-C and D-E (Fig. 3a). Interestingly, downregulation was lesser for D-C and D-E in the low-expressing cells HT29 and U87. Conversely, EGFR downregulates receptor most robustly in these low-expressing lines while exhibiting muted receptor reduction in the high-expressing CHO and A431 cells. Downregulation kinetics were analyzed for the most robust heterodimers. D-B and D-C downregulate EGFR in A431 cells with half-times of 1.1 and 19.7 Å apart in the tethered structure to 16.7 Å in the dimer.
1.4 h, respectively (Fig. 3b). Downregulation in HeLa cells is slightly faster at 0.44, 0.59 and 1.3 h for D-B, D-C and D-E (Fig. 3c).

Heterobivalent D-C and D-E constructs were created with three different lengths of the linker between the Fn3 domains; in addition to the native EIDKPSQ glycine-rich linkers of 4, 15 or 27 amino acids were included. These constructs were tested for downregulation of EGFR in HT29, U87, HeLa, hMEC, CHO and A431 cells (Fig. 3d). There is a general trend towards less effective downregulation with longer linker length (Fig. 3e), although the effect varies among the cell lines (Pearson correlation coefficients of 0.64 and 0.36 for cells with less than or greater than, respectively, 1 million EGFR).

An alternative format of bispecific oligomers was tested in which monovalent Fn3 domains were biotinylated and
combinations of clones were immobilized on AlexaFluor488-conjugated streptavidin. In all bispecific and trispecific combinations of A, C, D, E, G and H, no downregulation is observed in HT29 or U87 cells transfected to overexpress EGFR (data not shown). Yet most combinations yield a substantial accumulation of internalized AlexaFluor488 signal, consistent with internalization without downregulation. Thus, the particular oligomeric topology appears critical for efficacy. Of note, internalized AlexaFluor488 signal at 37°C correlates with surface labeling at 4°C (which restricts internalization) suggestive of passive internalization for all combinations of streptavidin-based oligomers. The ineffectiveness of this oligomeric topology further highlights the existence of stereospecific requirements for the presumptive crosslinking-mediated downregulation.

Absence of significant agonism by fibronectin heterodimers
To investigate the mechanisms of downregulation, an EGFR expression vector was transfected into HEK cells, which themselves constitutively express low levels of native EGFR. Although EGF robustly downregulates native HEK EGFR, transfected cells with ~50-fold more EGFR are not
effectively downregulated. Conversely, D-B and D-C heterodimers are able to downregulate transfected EGFR (Fig. 4a). These results indicate a divergence between the mechanisms of downregulation by EGF and Fn3-Fn3 heterodimers. To further explore the mechanism, eight EGFR mutants with point mutations in their intracellular domains were tested for their ability to be downregulated. All eight mutants (T654A, T669A, K721R, Y845F, S1046A/S1047A, Y1068F, Y1148F and Y1173F) exhibit downregulation on par with wild-type EGFR in the presence of D-B and D-C (Fig. 4b). Note in particular that EGFR kinase activity is not required for downregulation, as the K721R mutant was downregulated by D-B and D-C.

The impact of heterodimers on EGFR phosphorylation was analyzed at eight sites: T654, T669, Y845, S1046, Y1068, Y1086, Y1148 and Y1173. Heterobivalent D-C, PBSA or EGF was added to A431 cells for 5, 15, 60 or 240 min and receptor phosphorylation was quantified by in-cell western blot. Receptor agonism by D-C is consistently lower than that by EGF, at near background levels, with the lone exception of T669 at early times (Fig. 4c).

Likewise, standard western blot analysis of cell lysates reveals that heterodimers do not yield significant phosphorylation of ERK1/2 at Y202/Y204 upon 15-min incubation whereas EGF is activating (data not shown). This result is corroborated by global phosphorylation analysis of A431 cells upon addition of heterodimers for 15 or 60 min. Cells were treated with 20 nM agent and phosphorylated tyrosine peptides were analyzed by iTRAQ LC–MS/MS. EGF yields substantially more phosphorylation than heterodimers or a
pair of monovalents (Fig. 4d). Collectively, these data demonstrate that select Fn3-Fn3 heterodimers substantially downregulate EGFR in a manner distinct from EGF and, importantly, without significant receptor activation.

**EGFR trafficking material balance**

EGFR trafficking can be examined with a model accounting for the four rate processes of synthesis, endocytosis, degradation and recycling (see Supplementary data for further detail). The steady-state surface receptor concentration, $S_{ss}$, can be solved analytically:

$$S_{ss} = \frac{k_{synthesis}}{k_{endocytosis}} \left(1 + \frac{k_{recycling}}{k_{degradation}}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $k$ terms represent the rate constants of the subscripted processes. Thus, surface receptor can be downregulated via three kinetic mechanisms: decreased synthesis, increased endocytosis or decreased recycling fraction ($k_{recycle}/k_{degradation}$). Reduced synthesis would yield proportional receptor downregulation, but in the absence of measurable EGFR signaling caused by heterodimer treatment, it is difficult to conceive of a feedback mechanism that might reduce EGFR expression. The observed time scale for net internalization (Fig. 3b,c) is significantly slower than reported for first-pass endocytosis of EGFR (Herbst et al., 1994; Hoffman and Carlin, 1994), and so accelerated endocytosis is not consistent with the observed downregulation. A five-fold increase in the rate of endocytosis would be required to yield 80% downregulation.

In the limit of fully inhibited recycling, downregulation is bounded by the following limit:

$$\frac{S_{ss, no\text{-}recycle}}{S_{ss, recycle}} = \frac{1}{1 + k_{recycle}/k_{degradation}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and the kinetics of downregulation is solely driven by endocytosis kinetics, i.e. the half-time for downregulation is equal to the constitutive endocytic half-time. The experimental results are consistent with a reduced recycling fraction (either through enhanced degradation or inhibition of recycling) as 80% downregulation can be achieved with elimination of an original 4:1 recycling:degradation ratio, which is reasonable (French and Lauffenburger, 1996). Various heterodimers may still allow some recycling, resulting in reduced downregulation. From a kinetic standpoint, the 0.4–1.4 h half-time for downregulation is consistent with the constitutive internalization rates for EGFR (Herbst et al., 1994; Hoffman and Carlin, 1994). A decreased recycling fraction is the most consistent mechanism of downregulation via heterobivalent Fn3 domain constructs.

**Inhibition of signaling, growth, and migration**

The ability of monovalent, homobivalent and heterobivalent constructs to inhibit downstream signaling was examined. The downregulating heterodimers A-D, D-B, D-C and D-E inhibit EGF-induced ERK phosphorylation at tyrosines 202 and/or 204 whereas non-downregulating B-B homobivalent has no effect. The monovalent EGF competitor clone D is also antagonistic (Fig. 5a).

The effect of these heterodimers on cellular behavior was examined in terms of proliferation and migration. An autocrine model system was used in which hMEC cells are transfected with a vector for a membrane-bound EGF ligand with an EGF or TGFα cytoplasmic tail (hMEC + ECT or hMEC + TCT; Joslin et al., 2007). Treatment with downregulating heterodimers significantly reduced the number of viable cells at 48 and 96 h (Fig. 5b). In addition, combination treatment of 225 antibody and heterodimer further reduces cell viability. The A-D heterodimer in particular downregulates EGFR in the presence of 225 (Fig. 5c). Likewise, treatment with downregulating heterodimer strongly reduces cell migration in the autocrine cells as well as parental hMEC cells, and combination treatment further augments this inhibition (Fig. 5d and e).

**Discussion**

We report here the engineering of heterodimeric proteins that efficiently downregulate EGFR surface levels without activating the receptor. The wide variation in effects of the combinations of monovalent and homo- and hetero-bivalent constructs strongly suggests the existence of stereospecific constraints on binding-induced downregulation, as opposed to simple gross clustering. As expected, monovalent binding alone does not reduce EGFR levels. Homodimers, aside from weak downregulation by D-D, also are ineffective. In fact, strong reduction in EGFR levels is only observed for selected heterodimers of non-competitive clones. Constructs D-B, D-C, and D-E yield the strongest downregulation whereas A-D, B-D, C-D, and E-D exhibit modest efficacy. Non-competitive heterodimers including clone D, which binds to a novel epitope at the junction of domains III and IV, are generally effective except for D-A. Non-competitive heterodimers including clone A are less consistent. C-A and A-B are weakly effective against all three cell types; A-C and A-E are weakly effective against only two cell types; and B-A and E-A are ineffective. Thus, a combination of non-competitive clones is necessary but not sufficient for strong downregulation. Non-competitive heterobivalent constructs are theoretically topologically able to form receptor clusters because of the ability to bind two heterodimers to a single receptor thereby propagating receptor linkages whereas homobivals or competitive heterodimers can only form two-receptor complexes. Meanwhile, the reduced efficacy of some non-competitive heterodimers may arise from the inability to simultaneously bind two receptors given the distance and steric constraints of the epitopes targeted and the length of the bivalent linker. pH-dependent binding effects may also play a role.

The heterodimers elicit a response that is qualitatively distinct from that resulting from EGF binding. This is perhaps most clearly demonstrated by the ability of heterodimers to downregulate EGFR overexpressed in HEK cells, which EGF fails to downregulate, perhaps due to a saturation of the endocytic machinery. Also, multiple receptor mutants, including kinase inactive K721R, are downregulated to the same extent as wild-type receptor. Mutation of neither T669 nor S1046, whose phosphorylations are implicated in receptor internalization (Countaway et al., 1992; Winograd-Katz and Levitzki, 2006), nor T654, whose phosphorylation either inhibits ubiquitination or accelerates recycling (Bao et al., 2000), impacts downregulation. In addition, mutation of Y845, Y1068, Y1148 or Y1173, which are important in the
ERK signaling pathway (Downward et al., 1985; Yamauchi et al., 1997; Biscardi et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2002; Amos et al., 2005; Morandell et al., 2008), has no effect on Fn3-heterodimer-induced downregulation. These results are corroborated by phosphorylation analyses. Seven of the eight key sites studied on EGFR, with the exception of T669, demonstrated significantly lower phosphorylation with heterobivalent D-C compared to EGF stimulation. Conversely, no phosphorylation is observed at T654, S1046 and Y1068. Y845, Y1086, Y1148 and Y1173 exhibit no agonism at multiple time points and weak phosphorylation at 1 h. Moreover, western blot analysis demonstrates ERK phosphorylation upon treatment with EGF but not upon treatment with any of the heterodimers tested. Global phosphoproteomic analysis also exhibits substantially more phosphorylation from EGFR than D-B, D-C, or a combination of B and D monomers. Thus, unlike EGF, Fn3-Fn3 constructs achieve receptor downregulation without significant receptor agonism.

A simple mathematical analysis of receptor trafficking indicates that steady-state downregulation is likely to arise from enhanced degradation/recycling ratio. Experimental data suggest that receptor internalization is not increased, as monovalent clone B and downregulating D-B constructs exhibit equivalent intracellular accumulation. Moreover, the kinetics of downregulation ($t_{1/2} = 0.4–1.4 \text{ h}$) are comparable to constitutive receptor internalization kinetics. Thus, although receptor internalization may be increased slightly, it does not appear to be a significant contributor to downregulation. Enhanced degradation could conceivably result from the presence of receptor clusters that either inhibit recycling or drive degradation. In fact, AlexaFluor488-conjugated 225 antibody exhibits reduced recycling in the presence of downregulating heterobivalent A-D as compared to co-treatment with monomer A or non-downregulating C-B (Supplementary data).

This panel of engineered fibronectin domains should provide useful reagents for a variety of applications. The small size of these agents should provide rapid clearance for in vivo imaging applications and close proximity of binding site and fluorophore for Förster resonance energy transfer studies. The engineered domains are cysteine-free with primary amines located distal to the presumed binding site with two exceptions: clone H contains a cysteine and lysine in the FG loop and clone D contains adjacent cysteines in the FG loop. Thus, the domains are amenable to thiol and migration. The heterodimers reported here inhibit proliferation and migration of a cell line with autocrine signaling, and this inhibitory activity can be augmented by combination treatment with antibody 225, which can provide additional crosslinking and ligand competition. The ability to significantly downregulate EGFR with minimal agonism, while decreasing cell proliferation and cell migration, highlight the promise of these engineered heterodimers as components of novel potential cancer therapeutics.

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary data are available at PEDS online.
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