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The challenging problem of ultra-high-energy-density, high-efficiency, and small-scale portable power generation is addressed here using a distinctive thermophotovoltaic energy conversion mechanism and chip-based system design, which we name the microthermophotovoltaic (μTPV) generator. The approach is predicted to be capable of up to 32% efficient heat-to-electricity conversion within a millimeter-scale form factor. Although considerable technological barriers need to be overcome to reach full performance, we have performed a robust experimental demonstration that validates the theoretical framework and the key system components. Even with a much-simplified μTPV system design with theoretical efficiency prediction of 2.7%, we experimentally demonstrate 2.5% efficiency. The μTPV experimental system that was built and tested comprises a silicon propane microcombustor, an integrated high-temperature photonic crystal selective thermal emitter, four 0.55-eV GaInAsSb thermophotovoltaic diodes, and an ultra-high-efficiency maximum power-point tracking power electronics converter. The system was demonstrated to operate up to 800 °C (silicon microcombustor temperature) with an input thermal power of 13.7 W, generating 344 mW of electric power over a 1-cm\textsuperscript{2} area.
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With the recent proliferation of power-hungry mobile devices, significant research efforts have been focused on developing clean, quiet, and portable high-energy-density, compact power sources. Although batteries offer a well-known solution, limits on the chemistry developed to date constrain the energy density to ~0.2 kWh/kg, whereas many hydrocarbon fuels have energy densities closer to 12 kWh/kg. The fundamental question is: How efficiently and robustly can these widely available chemical fuels be converted into electricity in a millimeter-scale system? Indeed, it is difficult to tap the full potential of hydrocarbon fuels on a small scale. However, their high energy density allows even relatively inefficient generators to be competitive with batteries. To this end, researchers have explored different energy conversion routes, such as mechanical heat engines (1), fuel cells (2, 3), thermoelectrics (4, 5), and thermophotovoltaics (TPVs) (6, 7).

TPVs present an extremely appealing approach for small-scale power sources due to the combination of high power density limited ultimately by Planck blackbody emission, multifuel operation due to the ease of generating heat, and a fully static conversion process. Small-scale TPVs have yet to be demonstrated and are particularly challenging because of the need to develop strong synergistic interactions between chemical, thermal, optical, and electrical domains, which in turn give rise to requirements for extreme materials performance and subsystems synchronization.

In this work, we present a proof of concept microthermovoltaic (μTPV) system, shown in Fig. 1, that validates the theoretical foundation and paves the way toward a new breed of ultra-high-energy-density, high-efficiency, propane-fueled, chip-scale power sources. Specifically, our system comprises a catalytic microcombustor with a high-temperature photonic crystal for efficient conversion of heat into spectrally confined thermal radiation, optically coupled to low-bandgap photovoltaic (PV) diodes that are electrically interfaced with a unique ultra-low-power, on-chip power electronics converter, providing an optimal interface to external electrical loads.

Each of these four key components can be optimized for maximum performance with various degrees of complexity and difficulty. For simplicity, we shall begin our discussion with a simple and easily realizable μTPV materials system. A general theoretical formalism is then introduced that can accurately model these components, explore how they can work together, and provide optimized design within a constrained geometric and material space. This also helps provide experimental validation of this approach. Indeed, as we shall see, the predicted efficiency of this simple μTPV system is 2.7%, whereas our results give 2.5%. Finally, the theoretical framework will be used to present a detailed optimized design using advanced material systems, system geometry, and PV cells. This optimized theoretical design boasts efficiencies that exceed 30% heat-to-electricity conversion.

**Simple Silicon μTPV**

The starting point for any portable TPV system is a compact mechanism for generating heat. Our basic μTPV, shown in Fig. 1, catalytically combusts hydrocarbon fuel (i.e., propane) inside a catalyst-coated Si microchannel structure. The microreactor is designed to minimize nonradiative thermal losses by suspending it with glass capillary tubes that double as fluidic connections and vacuum packaging. In this design we use pure oxygen, instead of air, for the chemical reaction, to simplify the test and characterization. Thermal energy generated from chemical reaction inside the reactor heats up the Si and is consequently converted into spectrally confined radiative heat by a one-dimensional (1D) Si/ SiO\textsubscript{2} photonic crystal that serves as a narrow-band thermal emitter.

To convert thermal radiation into electricity, we use low-bandgap PV cells made from Ga\textsubscript{1−x}In\textsubscript{x}As\textsubscript{1−y}Sb\textsubscript{y} \((x = 0.15, y = 0.12)\), grown by metalorganic vapor phase epitaxy (MOVPE), with a bandgap of 0.547 eV. They consist of a 1-μm n-GaInAsSb base, a 4-μm p-GaInAsSb emitter, an AlGaAsSb window layer, and a GaSb contact layer on an n-GaSb substrate. Further details of the design and performance parameters are given in refs. 8–10.

In the final conversion step, the raw output of the TPV cell is dynamically converted into useful current and voltage levels via a low-power power electronics converter known as the maximum power-point tracker (MPPT). This step is important because the generator operating conditions (i.e., incident irradiation and the cell junction temperature) can vary over time and must therefore be continuously tracked to ensure that maximum power is extracted from the cells at all times. The extraordinarily small...
size of the overall system in this work makes it particularly dif-

ficult to ensure perfect TPV cell-current matching, owing to
cavity reflections and uneven irradiation across the different
cells. Unfortunately, any irradiation mismatch between cells will
limit the current through a series-connected string of cells to that
of the weakest cell, causing a lower output power than what is
theoretically possible. Ref. 11 describes a method that employs
distributed intelligent power electronics to mitigate the effects of
cell mismatch. By using multiple low-power, low-voltage MPPTs,
we individually control each section of the cell array to operate
at its most efficient point. The distributed MPPT architecture
optimizes the electrical output of the TPV cells in real time to
continuously track the maximum power point, irrespective of
uneven irradiation and any changes in the heat-source operation.

Theoretical Framework
Converging the four coupled energy conversion technologies
(microreactor, selective thermal emitter, low-bandgap PV diode,
and low-power power electronics converter) and achieving high
overall system performance requires accurate system-level mod-
eling (including ab initio calculations for each component), fol-

lowed by multidimensional constrained global optimization of
the design parameters. We modeled the microreactor with custom
heat transfer code incorporating conduction, convection, and ra-
diative heat transfer between surfaces and into the ambient. We
account for radiation from the two sides facing the cells and the
edges, conduction through the Pyrex tubes, and heat carried out by
the hot exhaust gases. We use the transfer matrix method (12, 13)
to calculate the angular-dependent emissivity for a given structure,
as discussed in ref. 14. Then we use ray optics to accurately in-
corporate multiple scattering effects, as discussed in ref. 15. Our
heat transfer and diode modeling codes discussed elsewhere were
used to calculate electrical power. The operating temperature is
calculated using a self-consistent nonlinear Newton–Raphson
method solver.

One of the most significant loss mechanisms for TPV systems is
thermal radiation of photons below the electronic bandgap of the
TPV diode. Recent literature points to the potential for spectral
shaping and photon recycling to dramatically reduce the losses
associated with below-bandgap photons (14, 16–25), enabled by
photonic crystals (26, 27). To explore some design limits on the
performance of our μTPV systems, we model two simple emitters,
assuming maximally efficient recuperators and a view factor of
100%. They consist of a baseline design with a homogeneous
greybody Si emitter and an optimized 1D metallodielectric photonic
crystal design. The photonic crystal emitter shapes the radiated
spectrum, maximizing the efficiency by suppressing unconvertible
radiation. In addition, the photonic crystal (PhC) emitter enables
the use of a lower-temperature (700–1,100 °C) emitter, resulting in
reduced thermal stresses, a larger spectrum of available materials,
and better material stability, thus extending the possible design
space. The photonic crystal design parameters were chosen via
constrained global optimization of the figure of merit, as described
in ref. 14. The results that show output power as a function of input
chemical power are given in Fig. 2A, and the efficiency as a function
of microreactor surface temperature is given in Fig. 2B. It can be
observed that for a constant input power, the projected efficiency
of the 1D metallic photonic crystal exceeds that of the uniform grey-
body Si emitter by approximately a factor of 3, as shown in Fig. 2.
This alone demonstrates the importance of photonic crystals as an
enabling technology for μTPV and TPV systems in general.

As we shall see below, high-temperature material stability and
reactivity of the Si reactor prompt the use of a Si-based photonic
crystal thermal emitter.

Experimental Results for Two Silicon Reactor Designs
The theoretical formalism developed can accurately predict the
losses for a simple μTPV system comprising a greybody Si emitter
operating at ∼700 °C with a view factor of 70% and a GaInAsSb
cell mentioned above, as in ref. 10. Approximately 12% losses
come from heat exhaust in the absence of a recuperator (when
oxygen is used as oxidizer instead of air; with air as oxidizer these
losses would be higher); 24% losses from radiation losses off to the
side; 60% from low-energy photons that cannot be converted into
electricity; and 3% from the operation of the thermophotovoltaic
cell, including shadowing, open-circuit voltage degradation, hot-
carrier thermalization, and radiative recombination at the maxi-
mum power point. Thus, this straightforward design will only
operate at an efficiency of ∼1%, corresponding to the experimental
results further discussed below, and also shown in Fig. 3. It should
be emphasized that this result is obtained without any need for
curve fitting (i.e., purely from first principles). From this analysis,
it is clear that the most important area for improvement is in re-
ducing the energy emitted as low-energy photons via photonic
crystals. Other important changes include adding a recuperator to
recover thermal power from the exhaust and increasing the view
factor to eliminate losses off to the sides.

The theoretical formalism can also be used to further optimize
the parameters of the simple Si μTPV system with the constraint
that only Si and SiO₂ are used as materials for 1D selective
diode emitter design on the surface of the reactor. Indeed, a 1D pho-
tonic crystal consisting of five alternating layers of Si and SiO₂ is
chosen for its ease of fabrication and high-temperature compat-
ability with the Si microreactors. In Fig. 4 we show the thermal
emission results of 1D photonic crystal consisting of five alter-
nating layers of Si and SiO₂, with a total thickness of ∼2 μm.
The materials were chosen for ease of fabrication and compatibility
with the Si microreactor. We measured the thermal emission of
the photonic crystal by electrically heating it and measuring the
emitted spectrum by FTIR spectroscopy. The three spectra shown
in Fig. 4 exhibit good agreement between theory and experiment.
Theoretical and experimental performance of our TPV system with two emitters: bare Si and 1D Si/SiO$_2$ photonic crystal. Calculated microreactor temperatures are labeled.

In Fig. 3 we show our results for the complete system. Our numerical model predictions are shown as solid lines, and the corresponding experimental data points are shown as symbols with the same color as the predictive curve. The excellent point-by-point match between the two curves obtained without curve fitting validates our model and provides confidence in our theoretical formalism. For the experimental results we tested the complete system by first igniting the microreactor by hydrogen-assisted combustion of propane in oxygen until a temperature of 400 °C was reached. Above that temperature, the propane kinetics over the catalyst were sufficient for autothermal operation, and the hydrogen flow was shut off. The propane and oxygen flows were gradually increased in small increments, with 1.5 times the stoichiometric amount of oxygen required for complete combustion. We plot the steady-state electrical power at the maximum power point versus propane flow in Fig. 3. The propane flow was converted to watts using the lower heating value. We tested the system both with a simple Si reactor and a Si reactor with a photonic crystal. The simple Si-based system (without selective emitter) generated a maximum 218 mW of electricity with 13.7 W input power. The 1D Si/SiO$_2$ photonic crystal generated 344 mW of electricity at 700 °C, which is 60% more than the non-photonic system. At 800 °C, the photonic system generated 424 mW, which is 78% more than the non-photonic system. At 900 °C, the photonic system generated 537 mW, which is 92% more than the non-photonic system. At 1,000 °C, the photonic system generated 623 mW, which is 120% more than the non-photonic system.

In Fig. 5 we show the results for an idealized μTPV system with perfectly reflective microburner sides, a 2D tungsten PhC slab consisting of a square lattice of air holes, and multilayer dielectric filter (14) in front of the PV cell, and a perfect recuperator. In Fig. 5, we plot the efficiency as a function of operating temperature. The results are very striking: 20% efficiency is possible around 700 °C and over 30% efficiency above 1,000 °C.

To realize a microreactor with metal-coated edges, a high-temperature, highly reflective metallic coating, compatible with a Si platform, would need to be developed. This coating would also need to possess a robust high-temperature diffusion barrier. An alternative path would be to design and fabricate the microreactor completely out of metal, thus inherently leading to low-emissivity side walls. Use of metallic photonic crystals as selective thermal emitters could possibly be accomplished via either thin-film deposition
The heat source in our TPV system was a microreactor developed by 1020 °C (experiment) and 1020 °C (theory). Simulation results of three graybody emitters with 0.7 emittance (approximation of Si emittance), at 820, 900, and 1020 °C are shown with dotted lines. (Lower) Measured external quantum efficiency (EQE) for the GaInAsSb PV cell with antireflective coating and etching in a Si platform or by building the reactor out of high-temperature metal. Use of higher-dimensional photonic crystals (2D and 3D) could be accomplished via layer-by-layer microfabrication techniques onto a Si or metallic reactor (26).

To incorporate selective filters into a μTPV generator, precise and robust thin-film deposition and packaging processes will be needed that will allow for direct deposition of filters onto the front surface of the PV cell. A smaller distance gap between the microreactor and the PV cell (close to unity view factor) could be achieved with a new mechanical design and assembly approach.

Materials and Methods

Microreactor. The heat source in our TPV system was a microreactor developed by Brandon Blackwell (28) and based on previous work by the same group (29, 30). The microreactor was a 10-× 10-× 1.3-mm Si slab with a 0.40-mm square serpentine channel defined by potassium hydroxide etching and Si fusion bonding using standard microfabrication techniques. The channel was coated with a platinum catalyst because at this length scale radical and thermal quenching at the walls prevents stable homogeneous combustion (31). Catalytic combustion offers another benefit: The combustion happens at the channel walls, where heat is directly conducted through the Si to the selective emitter.

The catalyst was applied as a washcoat after the devices were diced but before the capillary tubes were attached. We used a 20 wt% suspension of 5 wt% platinum on porous alumina (311324; Sigma-Aldrich) in a 2 wt% solution of a nitrocellulose in amyl acetate. The suspension was sucked through the channel. After the solvent dried, a thin coating of catalyst was glued to the walls of the device, which was subsequently burned out. Nitrocellulose can decompose to gaseous byproducts without air, which is unavailable deep in the serpentine structure. The catalyst solution and loading method were tweaked to constantly deposit about 1 mg of catalyst. An SEM micrograph of the catalyst is shown in Fig. 1 Inset.

The microreactor was supported by two Pyrex capillary tubes that also served as fluidic connections to the channel to minimize conductive heat loss. The two 0.55-mm o.d. × 0.40-mm i.d. × 12-mm-long capillaries were hermetically sealed to the microreactor with a glass solder (SEM-COM SCC-7). The powdered solder glass was mixed with a solution of 1 wt% nitrocellulose in isophorone and carefully applied to the joint with a fine wire while the microreactor and tubes were held in a jig. The ratio of powder to solvent was determined empirically. The firing cycle was based on the manufacturer’s recommendations, the literature (32, 33), a differential scanning calorimetry analysis of the glass solder, and trial and error. The finished microreactor and tubes were sealed to the vacuum package shown in Fig. 1 with a polyimide adhesive (imitac).

The microreactor was initially in a setup similar to that shown in Fig. 1 without cells and with an IR window replacing the top cells. We measured the temperature of a microreactor without a photonic crystal with an IR thermometer (GSL: Optris) sensitive to 5-μm thermal radiation that was calibrated with temperature-indicating lacquer (OmegaLaq). The average surface temperature reached about 800 °C when burning 10 standard cubic centimeters per minute (scm) of propane and 75 scm of oxygen. The microreactor with the photonic crystal can reach the same temperature with less fuel consumption owing to lower heat loss, although IR thermometry was difficult because of the wavelength-dependent emissivity.

The cutoff in the experimental performance for the measured μTPV generators in Fig. 3 at 1.1 g/h (8 scm) propane flow was most likely caused by softening of the joint between the microreactor and the capillary as it approaches the transition temperature of the glass solder. In future work, a joint capable of operating at higher temperatures would be highly desirable. Additionally, a combustor that burns in air rather than pure oxygen is necessary, because carrying both the fuel and oxidizer goes against the goal of high energy density. It should be possible to design a new microreactor that can reach the necessary temperatures with propane–air combustion. Although burning with air has the disadvantage of increasing the exhaust enthalpy loss five times at a given microreactor.

Fig. 4. (Upper) Measured and simulated thermal emission, normal to the surface, of the photonic crystal at 820, 900, and 1,020 °C plotted with solid and dashed lines, respectively. Simulation results of three graybody emitters with 0.7 emittance (approximation of Si emittance), at 820, 900, and 1,020 °C are shown with dotted lines. (Lower) Measured external quantum efficiency (EQE) for the GaInAsSb PV cell with antireflective coating.

Fig. 5. Theoretical limits on performance of μTPV system designs with optimized 2D tungsten PhC.
temperature, using a recuperator to transfer heat from the exhaust to the incoming air would bring the losses down to a reasonable level.

**Photonic Crystal.** The polycrystalline Si and SiO$_2$ structure arrived at by the optimization process was deposited by low-pressure and plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition, respectively, directly on the microreactors. The wafer was annealed after each deposition. The deposition was done after wafer bonding but before die sawing. As a result, the edges of the microreactors are uncoated. A SEM micrograph of the structure is in the upper-left inset of Fig. 1.

We measured the thermal emission of the photonic crystal by electrically heating it and measuring the emitted spectrum by FTIR spectroscopy. The FTIR and the optics used to convey the light were calibrated with a standard blackbody source. The measurement was performed at three different power levels. The temperature of the sample was estimated from a model because it proved impractical to measure the temperature directly. The three spectra are shown in Fig. 4. The photonic crystal is able to improve efficiency by suppressing radiation between 2.5 and 5.5 μm. Suppressing this radiation approximately doubles the efficiency of the system as a whole.

**TPV Cells.** We modeled our cells with an equivalent circuit model with temperature- and illumination-dependent circuit elements. We fit the equivalent circuit to the cell’s current voltage data. Then we verified the model by illuminating the cell with a calibrated blackbody source and comparing the measured and calculated performance. The modeling is described in ref. 10.

The cells were mounted to a copper core printed circuit board (Bergquist) used in the power electronics industry by fluxless indium reflow soldering. The solder joint was inspected with scanning acoustic microscopy and found to be free of large voids. The solder joint serves as both a thermal connection and the optics used to convey the light were calibrated with a standard blackbody source. The measurement was performed at three different power levels. The temperature of the sample was estimated from a model because it proved impractical to measure the temperature directly. The three spectra are shown in Fig. 4. The photonic crystal is able to improve efficiency by suppressing radiation between 2.5 and 5.5 μm. Suppressing this radiation approximately doubles the efficiency of the system as a whole.

**Maximum Power-Point Tracker.** The prototype MPPT pictured in Fig. 1 was used to successfully demonstrate the system operation in ref. 11. More recent work (34) has focused on reducing the overall size of the power electronics to fit in a small form factor, as well as to increase the electrical conversion efficiency. Shown in the bottom left corner of Fig. 1 is a die photo of the fully integrated MPPT developed in a 0.35-μm CMOS process to interface the TPV system with the load. Table 1 lists the electrical specifications of the MPPT. In the table, the tracking efficiency is a measure of how close the power converter can operate to the ideal maximum power point. It should be noted that the low-voltage distributed MPPT architecture developed for this work is not limited to only this application. Other applications that might benefit from system-level efficiency improvements through the use of this architecture include concentrated solar photovoltaics, thermoelectrics, and fuel cells.

**System Testing.** The microreactor was ignited by hydrogen-assisted combustion of propane until a temperature of 400 °C was reached. Above that temperature, the propane kinetics over the catalyst were sufficient for autothermal operation, and the hydrogen flow was shut off. The propane and oxygen flows were gradually increased in small increments with our mass flow controllers, with 1.5 times the stoichiometric amount of oxygen required for complete combustion. The system was allowed to reach steady state after each flow increase. At each point, we recorded the input flow and output powers, as shown in Fig. 3. Output power was found by performing a current-voltage sweep on a Keithley source meter and calculating the maximum power. Efficiency is defined as the ratio of the input and output powers, where input power is given by the propane flow rate times the lower heating value.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input voltage range</td>
<td>0.8–1.3 V (1 V nominal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output voltage range</td>
<td>3.6–4.2 V (4 V nominal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal output power</td>
<td>300 mW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>500 kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Converter peak efficiency</td>
<td>95.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking efficiency</td>
<td>&gt;98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>