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Blockade of Stimulus Convergence in Amygdala Neurons Disrupts Taste Associative Learning
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Humans and non-human animals learn associations of temporally contingent stimuli to better cope with the changing environment. In animal models of classical conditioning, a neutral conditioned stimulus (CS) predicts an aversive unconditioned stimulus (US). Several lines of indirect evidence indicate that this learning may rely on stimulus convergence in a subset of neurons, but this hypothesis has not been directly tested. In the current study, we tested this hypothesis using a pharmacogenetic approach, the cAMP response element-binding protein (CREB)/Allatostatin Receptor system, to target a subset of amygdala neurons receiving convergent stimuli in mice during conditioned taste aversion. Virally infected basolateral amygdala neurons with higher CREB levels were predominantly active during CS presentation. Blocking stimulus convergence in infected neurons by silencing them during US disrupted taste associative memory. Moreover, silencing infected neurons only during CS also disrupted associative memory formation. These results provide support for the notion that convergent inputs of CS and US in a subpopulation of neurons are critical for associative memory formation.

Introduction

Through associative learning, humans and non-human animals learn that a sensory stimulus predicts the occurrence of an event. It has been proposed that associative memory formation critically relies on convergent inputs of the conditioned stimulus (CS) and the unconditioned stimulus (US) to a subpopulation of neurons (Blair et al., 2001; Johansen et al., 2010). Despite substantial progress in identifying brain regions involved in several associative learning tasks (Rogan et al., 1997; Yasoshima et al., 2000) and visualizing subpopulations of neurons responding to convergent stimuli (Barot et al., 2008; Chung et al., 2011), the requirement of stimulus convergence for associative learning has not been directly examined, because of the lack of a method for selectively targeting stimulus convergence. Selectively blocking stimulus convergence requires the selection of a subpopulation of neurons that are activated by the CS, and silencing them during US exposure. Since neurons active during CS are going to be active (stimulus convergence) or inactive during US, by silencing them during US, stimulus convergence is blocked in the former neurons while the latter neurons keep inactivated during US.

We used a pharmacogenetic approach to achieve cell-specific manipulation of CREB (cAMP response element-binding protein) levels, and reversible inactivation of targeted cells to selectively block stimulus convergence (Zhou et al., 2009). Recent studies have suggested that amygdala neurons with relatively high CREB levels are preferentially recruited in associative memory traces, such as fear and taste aversive memories (Han et al., 2007, 2009; Zhou et al., 2009). Neurons with higher CREB levels are predominantly active during fear conditioning and fear memory retrieval (Han et al., 2007). Manipulation of CREB levels in a subset of neurons may also allow investigators to specifically target the neuronal population that is activated by CS.

Conditioned taste aversion (CTA) is a simple form of associative learning during which a novel taste (CS) is paired with visceral malaise (US) (Bermúdez-Rattoni, 2004). In associative learning tasks such as fear conditioning, the CS and US are typically separated for no more than several seconds, or at most a couple of minutes. In contrast, CTA can be acquired with delays of several minutes or even hours between CS and US presentation. This longer interval allows for the silencing of targeted neurons during CS or US using a pharmacogenetic approach. We focused on the basolateral nucleus of the amygdala (BLA), which is critically involved in CTA acquisition (Yasoshima et al., 2000; Josselyn et al., 2004), and where a subpopulation of neurons receiving convergent inputs of CS and US has previously been visualized (Barot et al., 2008).

Materials and Methods

Subjects. Adult male C57BL/6J mice were group-housed on a 12 h light/dark cycle. Food and water were available ad libitum before the behav-
HSV-LacZ were used (Zhou et al., 2009). The HSV amplicons were kindly provided by Dr. Alcino Silva (The University of California, Los Angeles). For behavioral experiments, allatostatin solution (100 mg/kg body weight, injected intraperitoneally) was dissolved in double-distilled water to make a 2.5 mM stock solution. Saline was added to dilute it to the final concentration that was used. Allatostatin resistance was monitored throughout the experiments. Only putative pyramidal neurons were considered for allatostatin administration. The peptide allatostatin (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals) was dissolved in double-distilled water to make a 2.5 mM stock solution. Saline was added to dilute it to the final concentration for each experiment. For behavioral studies, allatostatin solution (100 μM, 0.5 μl) or the vehicle saline (0.5 μl) was infused bilaterally to the BLA of freely moving mice at a flow rate of 0.25 μl min⁻¹ through inner injection cannulae. The cannulae were left in place for an additional 2 min.

**Surgery and virus infusion.** Anesthesia was induced with Nembutal (5 mg/kg body weight, injected intraperitoneally). The scalp was opened, and holes were drilled in the skull bilaterally above the BLA (AP, −1.4; LM, ±3.5, DV, −4.9 mm from bregma) according to a mouse brain atlas (Paxinos and Franklin, 2001). For behavioral experiments, guide cannulae (Plastics One, 26 gauge) were implanted just dorsal to the BLA and fixed on the skull with dental cement. Virus infusion was delayed until 10 d after surgery to ensure physical recovery. A virus solution (1.5 μl, bilateral) was delivered to the BLA at a flow rate of 0.075 μl min⁻¹ through inner injection cannulae (Plastics One, 33 gauge) using an infusion pump (Muromachi). The injection cannula was left in place for an additional 10 min to ensure diffusion of the virus. For electrophysiological or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) studies, virus solution (1.5 μl, bilateral) was delivered to the BLA at a flow rate of 0.075 μl min⁻¹ through glass micropipettes (World Precision Instruments) immediately after the holes were drilled. The micropipette was left in place for an additional 10 min. Electrophysiological, FISH, and behavioral experiments were performed 3 d following virus infusion.

**Allatostatin administration.** The peptide allatostatin (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals) was applied by adding it to the superfusate. Physiological measures before, after 5 min of perfusion with allatostatin, and 15–20 min after washout were compared.
Data analysis. All values were reported as means ± SEM. ANOVA was used for data analysis with post hoc comparisons performed using Student’s t test to determine the significance of differences between two groups. Comparisons within an individual mouse were performed using paired t test.

The probability of Arc+ neurons in GFP+ or GFP− cells was calculated as follows: Arc+ (% in GFP+) = (the number of cells with Arc and GFP signals/total number of GFP+ cells) × 100. Arc+ (% in GFP−) = (the number of cells with Arc and no GFP signals/total number of GFP− cells) × 100.

Results

To selectively target and silence cells that are active during CS presentation, the BLA was injected with CREB-AllstR HSV (HSV-CREB), which coexpresses CREB tagged with green fluorescent protein (GFP) and the Drosophila allatostatin G-protein-coupled receptor (AllstR). For the control virus (HSV-LacZ), CREB was replaced with β-galactosidase (LacZ) (Zhou et al., 2009). Allatostatin administration selectively and reversibly silences neurons expressing AllstR through the activation of endogenous mammalian G-protein-coupled inwardly rectifying K+ channels (Tan et al., 2006). Indeed, we confirmed that administration of allatostatin selectively silenced BLA neurons infected with the viruses by performing whole-cell patch-clamp recording (Fig. 1C). Detection of GFP mRNA by FISH revealed that ~20% of neurons in the injected region in the BLA were GFP+ with both HSVs, and these cells were preferentially localized in the BLA. No significant difference was found between the infection rates for the HSV-CREB and HSV-LacZ viruses (19 ± 2% versus 17 ± 1%, n = 4 mice per group, Student’s t test, t61 = 0.915, p = 0.395; Fig. 1A, B).

We investigated whether virally infected cells overexpressing CREB are preferentially active during CS. To visualize cellular activation, we used the activity-dependent gene Arc (activity-regulated cytoskeleton-associated protein; also termed Arg3.1) (Guzowski et al., 1999; Barot et al., 2008). Arc mRNA signal first presents in the nucleus (5–10 min) and then translocates to the cytoplasm over time (~30 min). We injected HSV-CREB or HSV-LacZ into the BLA 3 d before saccharin presentation. Five minutes after saccharin presentation, brains were harvested for double FISH to detect intranuclear Arc and GFP mRNA in the BLA. Overall, the percentage of Arc+ cells was higher following saccharin presentation compared with home cage controls in mice injected with HSV-CREB (Student’s t test, t60 = 5.533, p = 0.0015; Fig. 2B). Furthermore, the probability of detecting Arc+ nuclei in the saccharin group was higher in neurons with HSV-CREB than in their noninfected neighbors (paired t test, t48 = 16.684, p = 0.00047; Fig. 2C). In contrast, neurons with HSV-LacZ were no more likely to be Arc+ than were their noninfected neighbors (paired t test, t31 = −0.158, p = 0.88; Fig. 2C). These results indicate that amygdala neurons with increased CREB function were preferentially activated by saccharin presentation.

In addition, infected neurons with HSV-CREB were preferentially activated by convergent stimuli (Fig. 2D–F). HSV-CREB was injected in the BLA 3 d before CS and US presentation. Double FISH for detecting Arc and GFP mRNA in the BLA revealed that the percentage of double-labeled Arc-positive neurons with intranuclear and cytoplasmic signals was significantly higher in GFP+ neurons than in GFP− neurons after CS and US presentation (paired t test, t21 = 5.336, p = 0.033; Fig. 2F).
To test whether selective silencing of neurons activated by CS during US presentation disrupts associative learning, we examined whether inactivation of amygdala neurons infected with HSV-CREB during US presentation attenuates taste associative learning. We bilaterally injected HSV-CREB into the BLA 3 d before CTA. On the conditioning day, mice were presented with the CS for 5 min. To inactivate infected neurons during US presentation, mice received an allatostatin infusion in the BLA (100 μM, 0.5 μl) immediately after the termination of CS presentation. Thirty minutes after the allatostatin infusion, mice were injected with lithium chloride (LiCl, US). Mice treated with allatostatin exhibited lower aversion index compared with mice treated with vehicle saline (n = 13 mice per group, Student’s t test, t24 = 4.420, p = 0.00069; Fig. 3C).

There is a possibility that random inactivation of ~20% of BLA neurons during US presentation might also disrupt CTA. We examined whether selective silencing of amygdala neurons infected with HSV-LacZ during US presentation could disrupt taste associative learning. We found that aversion index in allatostatin-treated mice were comparable to those in vehicle mice (n = 10–11 mice per group, Student’s t test, t19 = 0.268, p = 0.79; Fig. 3C). These results are consistent with the hypothesis that selective silencing of BLA neurons activated by CS during US presentation disrupts taste associative learning.

Next, we examined how long allatostatin administration affected CTA memory retrieval. We injected HSV-CREB in the BLA 3 d before CTA training. One day after the conditioning, we administered allatostatin in the BLA 30 min or 3 h before the test. Consistent with previous reports (Zhou et al., 2009), allatostatin infusion 30 min before the test impaired CTA memory retrieval (n = 8–10 mice per group, Student’s t test, t16 = 2.162, p = 0.046; Fig. 4B), while allatostatin infusion 3 h before the test had no effect on it (n = 8–9 mice per group, Student’s t test, t15 = −0.450, p = 0.66; Fig. 4C), indicating that the effect of allatostatin on CTA memory lasted for at least 30 min, but no longer than 3 h.

If stimulus convergence in a subset of neurons is critical for associative learning, inactivation of those neurons only during CS should also disrupt memory formation. To silence virally infected cells during CS without having any effect during US, we performed long-interval CTA in which CS and US were separated for 2.5 h (Fig. 4). Because allatostatin was administered 3 h before US presentation, allatostatin should not have had an effect during US (Fig. 4C). We injected HSV-CREB in the BLA 3 d before CTA training. On the conditioning day, mice were injected with allatostatin into BLA 30 min before the CS. Mice treated with allatostatin exhibited lower aversion index scores than mice injected with the vehicle (n = 10–11 mice per group, t18 = 3.181, p = 0.0049; Fig. 4D). Moreover, consistent with the results of short-interval CTA in which CS and US were separated for 30 min, CTA memory formation was disrupted when allatostatin was administered 30 min before US presentation to inactivate infected cells during US (n = 10 mice per group, t18 = 4.564, p = 0.00024; Fig. 4E).

Discussion

The current results suggest that activation of a subset of amygdala neurons by coincident inputs of CS and US is crucial for taste associative memory. We found that virally infected BLA neurons with higher CREB levels were predominantly activated by CS. Silencing of these infected neurons during US exposure disrupted CTA. Importantly, silencing a comparable number of basolateral amygdala neurons infected with control LacZ as replacement of CREB during US had no effect on associative memory formation, indicating that random silencing of ~20% of neurons in BLA during US does not attenuate CTA. Moreover, silencing of infected cells with high CREB levels during US also disrupted CTA. The results revealed that blocking stimulus con-
vergence in infected neurons by silencing them during either CS or US exposure attenuated taste associative memory formation.

An increasing body of evidence suggests that the basolateral amygdala is a critical site of association for CTA. Activation of NMDA receptors in BLA is crucial for habituation to novel tastes (Figueroa-Guzmán and Reilly, 2008). Elevated extracellular glutamate release has been found in the BLA after US presentation (Miranda et al., 2002). Stimulus convergence in a subpopulation of neurons has previously been visualized in mice while acquiring an association between CS and US (Barot et al., 2008; Chung et al., 2011). The requirements of molecular signaling pathways for synaptic plasticity and its consolidation, such as CAMK, PKA and de novo protein synthesis, have been reported in the amygdala (Koh et al., 2002; Josselyn et al., 2004). Moreover, a subset of BLA neurons has been found to show plastic changes in responsiveness to US after CTA training (Grossman et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010). Although these studies provide indirect evidence that CTA is dependent on stimulus convergence in the BLA, several studies have reported conflicting findings regarding its role in CTA (Bermúdez-Rattoni, 2004; Reilly and Bornovalova, 2005). To test the hypothesis more directly, it is necessary to selectively silence a subpopulation of neurons activated by the CS during US exposure only. The present study indicated that blockade of stimulus convergence in the BLA disrupted CTA memory. These findings support the notion that the formation of associations in the BLA is crucial for CTA memory.

We genetically manipulated CREB to select BLA neurons activated by the CS. We found that BLA neurons with higher CREB levels were more likely to be activated by CS exposure than noninfected neighboring cells. Although the mechanisms underlying this preferential recruitment remain unclear, recent studies have implicated two possible mechanisms. First, neurons with higher CREB levels may exhibit greater excitability, since the overall excitability of neurons can be modulated by CREB (Benito and Barco, 2010). Genetic overexpression or inhibition of CREB caused increased or decreased excitability in amygdala neurons, but also in the locus ceruleus, nucleus accumbens, and hippocampal neurons. Second, an intrinsic inhibitory network in the BLA may explain the current findings. Previous studies have suggested that a strong inhibitory network exists in the dorsoventral, lateral medial, and rostral caudal directions, operating through local interneurons (Samson and Paré, 2006). More excitatable neurons with higher CREB levels are predominantly activated by CS, but may simultaneously inhibit noninfected neighboring neurons through local interneurons. Interestingly, this preferential recruitment of neurons with higher CREB is not unique to CTA, but may be a general phenomenon in amygdala-dependent associative learning. The amygdala has long been proposed as the site of CS-US association in fear conditioning (Blair et al., 2001). A genetically manipulated amygdala neurons with higher CREB levels has been reported to be preferentially recruited in fear memory trace (Han et al., 2009).

We found that silencing of cells infected with HSV-CREB only during CS disrupted CTA (Fig. 4D). This result, however, may not be simply accounted by the blockade of stimulus convergence in the amygdala. While neurons infected with HSV-CREB were silenced during CS by allatostatin application, the neuronal activities of noninfected neighboring neurons were unaffected. Thus, the noninfected neurons could have been recruited to CTA memory. A possible explanation could be that interference between infected neurons and noninfected neurons during US might have impaired CTA. Since allatostatin was no longer effective during US (Fig. 4C), infected neurons might be competitively activated and interfere with the activation of noninfected cells by US presentation. In addition, a shift in amygdala network might have been induced during CS-US interval by the recovery of the infected cells after their silence. This shift in amygdala network may have further effects on neural activation during US. Further studies are required to clarify this issue.

In the present study, we took advantage of the relatively long interval between CS and US in the CTA paradigm (from tens of minutes to hours), applying CREB/Allatostatin system. We found that the effect of allatostatin lasted for at least 30 min and no longer than 3 h. Previous reports have also demonstrated that allatostatin selectively and reversibly silenced neurons expressing AlstR for between several minutes and a few hours in vivo (Tan et al., 2006; Zhou et al., 2009). The quickness and reversibility of the effect of allatostatin allowed us to silence a specific population of neurons during CS or US periods. Although we used these two complementary approaches to block stimulus convergence, the exact timing or duration of CS and US convergence remains unclear, because extracellular glutamate is upregulated for 1–2 h in the BLA after intraperitoneal injection of LiCl (Miranda et al., 2002). It will be important for future studies to specifically manipulate cellular activation during CS or US using approaches with better temporal resolution, such as optogenetic manipulation (Sakaguchi and Hayashi, 2012).
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