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Abstract

A series of 2-phenoxypyridyl and 2-phenoxyimino ligands, $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{R,R'}$ (2,2'-(5,5'-(1,2-phenylenebis(ethyne-2,1-diyl))bis(pyridine-5,2-diyl))diphenol, where $R = H$, Me, or t-Bu, and $R' = H$, or Ph) and $\text{H}_2\text{BIPS}_{Me,Ph}$ ((3,3'-(1E,1'E)-(3,3'-sulfonylbis(3,1-phenylene)bis(azan-1-yl-1-ylidene))bis(methan-1-yl-1-ylidene)bis(5-methylbiphenyl-2-ol)) were synthesized as platforms for non-heme diiron(II) protein model complexes. UV-vis spectrophotometric studies and preparative-scale reactions of $\text{L}_{R,R'}$ or $\text{BIPS}_{Me,Ph}$, where $\text{L}_{R,R'}$ and $\text{BIPS}_{Me,Ph}$ are the deprotonated forms of $\text{H}_2\text{L}_{R,R'}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{BIPS}_{Me,Ph}$, respectively, with Fe(II) revealed that the presence of sterically protective ortho phenol substituents is necessary to obtain discrete dinuclear species. Reaction of $\text{L}_{Me,Ph}$ with Fe(II) in THF afforded the doubly-bridged compound [Fe$_2$(L$_{Me,Ph}$)$_2$(THF)$_3$] (1), which was characterized in the solid state by X-ray crystallography. A large internal cavity in this complex facilitates its rapid reaction with dioxygen, even at −50 °C, to produce the thermodynamically stable [Fe$_2$(μ-O)(L$_{Me,Ph}$)$_2$] (2) species. Reaction of $^{18}$O$_2$ instead of $^{16}$O$_2$ with 1 led to a shift in the Fe–O–Fe vibrational frequency from 833 cm$^{-1}$ to 798 cm$^{-1}$, confirming the presence of the μ-oxodiiron(III) core and molecular oxygen as the source of the bridging oxo group. The L$_{Me,Ph}$ ligand is robust toward oxidative decomposition and does not display any reversible redox activity.

Introduction

Our laboratory has a longstanding interest in developing synthetic analogs of iron-containing proteins that activate molecular oxygen.1-3 The goal of our investigations is two-fold, namely, to understand the structural and electronic features that control dioxygen activation in biological systems and to construct potent oxidation catalysts that utilize molecular oxygen as an environmentally friendly and economically viable chemical feedstock. Our efforts have been largely inspired by the bacterial multi-component monoxygenase (BMM) family of enzymes.4-6 These multicomponent systems, which include soluble methane monoxygenase (sMMO),4 phenol hydroxylase (PH),7 and toluene/o-xylene monoxygenase (ToMO),7,8 perform oxygen-atom transfer reactions in aerobic environments under mild conditions. Although substrate oxidation is believed to occur at the diiron centers of the hydroxylase component, the BMM machinery also requires both reductase and regulatory proteins to function efficiently. Attempts to mimic monoxygenase activity in synthetic systems have led to the preparation of a rich assortment of diiron compounds that display structural, spectroscopic, and/or functional features similar to those

Correspondence to: Stephen J. Lippard, lippard@mit.edu

Supporting information available: experimental details, synthetic schemes, spectroscopic data, electrochemical data, and crystallographic details. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
of the protein active sites. 9-12 To date, however, no diiron model complexes have been able to match the biological systems in terms of catalytic efficiency, chemoselectivity, and oxygenation ability. 13

To overcome the shortcomings of existing diiron model designs, our strategy has been to construct diiron complexes with organic ligands that more accurately resemble the primary coordination spheres of the BMM hydroxylase active sites. The structure of each diiron protein core comprises four carboxylate amino acid side chains, two histidine imidazole groups syn to the Fe–Fe vector, and an internal O₂-binding cavity. 14-16 A representative view of the reduced form of soluble methane monoxygenase hydroxylase (sMMOHᵥₑ₆) is provided in Chart 1 (left). To achieve such an architecture in a small-molecule host, we have synthesized dinucleating ligands derived from 1,2-diethynylbenzene moieties. 17,18 Our studies with this class of ligands have resulted in the preparation of several dinuclear compounds, most notably with 8-carboxy ester quinoline 17 and 2-carboxy ester pyridyl 19 metal-binding groups. These dimetallic complexes, however, are substitutionally labile and readily dissociate upon exposure to dioxygen. To obtain a more kinetically stable platform, we wished to introduce 2-phenoxypyridyl moieties into the 1,2-diethynylbenzene backbone. Although the diiron sites in BMM hydroxylases do not contain phenolate donors, iron-bound tyrosinate ligands occur in the O₂-activating enzymes intradiol dioxygenases 20,21 and the phosphate ester hydrolyzing proteins mammalian purple acid phosphatases. 22 Incorporating phenol rings into the ligand framework also facilitates synthetic modifications, such as appending bulky moieties for steric protection or introducing electron-donating/or - withdrawing groups for electronic tuning. Our initial synthetic target based on the 2-phenoxypyridyl dinucleating motif is shown in Chart 1 (right). In this design, the exogenous carboxylates are unrestrained so that the diiron complex could accommodate structural rearrangements upon reaction with dioxygen.

In the present paper we report the results of a systematic study of the coordination chemistry of a new family of 2-phenoxypyridyl and 2-phenoximino ligands with iron(II). The importance of incorporating sterically protecting groups to the ligand periphery and its implication for future ligand designs are discussed. This work led to the synthesis of a diiron(II) complex which, although it did not yield the target structure in Chart 1, was of considerable interest in its own right, reacting rapidly with dioxygen and demonstrating potential as a substrate oxidation catalyst.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods

Reagents were obtained from Strem, Aldrich Chemical Co., and Alfa Aesar and used as received. The synthesis and characterization of all organic ligand precursors are described in the Supporting Information. The iron(II) starting material [Fe₂(Mes)₄] (where Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl) was prepared according to a literature procedure. 23 Air-sensitive manipulations were performed using standard Schlenk techniques or under a nitrogen atmosphere inside a MBraun drybox. All solvents were saturated with argon and purified by passage over two columns of activated Al₂O₃. Labeled dioxygen (~ 98% ¹⁸O₂) was obtained from Isotech and used without further purification.

General Physical Methods

NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz Varian Mercury spectrometer and chemical shifts for ¹H and ¹³C spectra were referenced to residual solvent peaks. IR spectra were recorded on a Thermo Nicolet Avatar 360 spectrophotometer with OMNIC software. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Cary 50 spectrophotometer using 6Q Spectrosil quartz cuvettes (Starna) with 1 cm path lengths. Cyclic voltammetry and differential pulse...
voltammetry measurements were made using a VersaSTAT3 potentiostat from Princeton Applied Research using the V3 Studio software. Electrospray ionization mass spectra were acquired on an Agilent Technologies 1100 Series LC-MSD Trap. Gas-chromatographic mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent Technologies 6890 N GC system equipped with a 5973N MSD unit.

X-ray Collection and Data Refinement

Single crystals were mounted in Paratone oil on a cryo-loop and frozen under a 110 K KRYO-FLEX nitrogen cold stream. Data were collected on a Bruker APEX CCD X-ray diffractometer with Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) controlled by the SMART software package.24 Empirical absorption corrections were applied using SADABS.25 The structure was solved by Patterson methods with refinement by full-matrix least squares based on F² using the SHELXTL-97 software package.26 All non-hydrogen atoms were located and refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were assigned idealized positions based on a riding model. Additional crystallographic details are provided in the Supporting Information.

Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy

Mössbauer spectra were recorded on a MSI spectrometer (WEB Research Company) with a 57Co source in a Rh matrix maintained at room temperature. Solid samples were prepared by suspending in Apiezon M grease and placed in a nylon sample holder. Data were acquired at 90 K, and the isomer shift (δ) values are reported with respect to metallic iron that was used for velocity calibration at room temperature. The spectra were fit to Lorentzian lines using the WMOSS plot and fit program (WEB Research, Minneapolis).

Stopped-flow UV-vis spectrophotometry

Ambient-pressure kinetic studies were performed using a Canterbury SF-41 stopped-flow instrument (Hi-Tech) and a fused-silica fiber optics spectrometer (Oriel Corp.). Data were acquired using a KinetAsyst v. 3.16 software (TgK Scientific Limited) at a sampling rate of 300 scans in 30 s. To avoid moisture and pre-oxidation, the stainless-steel lines were washed with dioxygen-free anhydrous THF before loading the sample syringes. The mixing cell was maintained at -50.0 ± 0.1 °C. Before mixing, the concentration of complex 1 was 26 μM in THF and that of the dioxygen solution was assumed to be 10 mM.

Synthesis

\[ \text{H}_2\text{LV}^{\text{H,H}}(2,2'-(5,5'-(4,5\text{-dimethoxy}-1,2\text{-phenylene})\text{bis(ethyne-2,1-diy})\text{bis(pyridine-5,2-diyl})\text{diphenol)---Solid} \text{ BENZ}_2\text{LV}^{\text{H,H}}(5,5'-(4,5\text{-dimethoxy}-1,2-phenylene)\text{bis(ethyne-2,1-diy})\text{bis(2-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)pyridine)})} \]

(46.0 mg, 65.3 μmol) and iodosiltrimethylsilane (0.02 mL, 163 μmol) were combined in 2 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ in a 10 mL Schlenk flask. The reaction mixture was stirred at RT for 22 h. A diluted solution of HCl(aq) was added and the organic phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was separated, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and evaporated to dryness. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂) to afford a yellow solid (10 mg, 29%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz): 6 8.70 (s, 2H), 7.95 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 4H), 7.80 (dd, J = 9.5, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.08-7.04 (m, 4H), 6.93 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.98 (s, 6H). ESI-MS = 525.3 [M+H]⁺. Mp = 166-167 °C.

\[ \text{H}_2\text{L}^{\text{H,H}}(2,2'-(5,5'-(1,2\text{-phenylenebis(ethyne-2,1-diy})\text{bis(pyridine-5,2-diyl))diphenol)---Solid} \text{ MEZ}_2\text{L}^{\text{H,H}}(1,2\text{-bis(6-(2-methoxyphenyl)pyridin-3-yl)ethynyl)benzene}) \]

(340 mg, 0.690 mmol) was dissolved in 15 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂ and cooled to -78°C. A 1.0 M CH₂Cl₂ solution of BBr₃ (3.4 mL, 3.45 mmol) was added slowly to the reaction mixture and stirred for 6 h at 0 °C. The reaction was quenched with
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trifluoroacetic acid (2 mL) and washed with $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ (aq). The organic layer was separated, dried over $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$, filtered, and evaporated to dryness. Purification of the crude material by silica gel column chromatography (20:80 EtOAc/Hexanes) gave a yellow solid (122 mg, 78%). $^1\text{H}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): $\delta$ 14.50 (bs, 2H), 8.68 (d, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 8.93 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.40 (d, 6H). $^1\text{C}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): $\delta$ 155.31, 148.30, 139.94, 138.65, 134.28, 132.28, 131.23, 129.64, 128.96, 128.21, 127.89, 127.15, 126.20, 125.19, 119.57, 119.44, 119.39, 118.72, 92.33, 90.93. ESI-MS = 617.4 [M+H]+. Mp = 104-106 °C.

$\text{H}_2\text{L}^{\text{Me,Ph}}(3,3'-(5,5'-(1,2-phenylenebis(ethyne-2,1-diyl)))bis(pyridine-5,2-diyl))bis(5-methyl-biphenyl-2-ol)}$—Method A: Solid Benz$_2$L$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$(1.65 g, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (75 mL) and cooled to -78°C. A 1.0 M BB$_3$ solution in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (4.40 mL, 4.40 mmol) was added dropwise into the reaction flask. After stirring for 2 h, the mixture was quenched with H$_2$O (100 mL). The organic product was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 50 mL), dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and evaporated to afford an oil. About 50 mL of CH$_3$OH was added to the residue and a large amount of yellow solid appeared after 1 h. The product was isolated by filtration and re-crystallized form hot CH$_2$Cl$_2$/CH$_3$OH to give the desired material (0.99 g, 78 %). $^1\text{H}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): $\delta$ 14.38 (bs, 2H), 8.64 (s, 2H), 7.95 (dd, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 4H), 7.68-7.61 (m, 8H), 7.48-7.35 (m, 8H), 7.35 (s, 2H), 2.40 (d, 6H). $^1\text{C}$ NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz): $\delta$ 155.31, 148.30, 139.94, 138.65, 134.28, 132.28, 131.23, 129.64, 128.96, 128.21, 127.89, 127.15, 126.20, 125.19, 119.24, 118.30, 117.89, 92.26, 90.90, 20.96. ESI-MS = 645.4 [M+H]+. Mp = 137-140 °C.
Method B. The dinucleating ligand H₂BIPS(Me, Ph)₂ (137 mg, 213 μmol) and NaHMDS (82 mg, 1.26 mmol) were dissolved in THF (3 mL) to give a bright orange solution. A solution of Et₂O (10 mL) was added and the resulting suspension was stirred for 10 min. The solid material was isolated by filtration and crystallized by layering pentane (2 mL) onto a solution of the compound in THF (10 mL). Upon drying, the dark red crystals became a red-brown powder (116 mg, 46 %). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of the compound in THF. 

H₂BIPS(Me, Ph)₂ (3,3′-(1E,1′E)-(3,3′-sulfonylbis(3,1-phenylene)bis(azan-1-yl-1-yldiene))bis(methan-1-yl-1-yldiene))bis(5-methylbibiphenyl-2-ol)—In a 100 mL round-bottom flask, 2-hydroxy-5-methyl-3-phenylbenzaldehyde (11) (2.60 g, 12.3 mmol) and 3,3′-diaminodiphenylsulfone (1.38 g, 5.56 mmol) were dissolved in 50 mL of MeOH. A solution of Et₂O (3 mL) was added and the resulting suspension was stirred for 10 min. The solid material was isolated by filtration and crystallized by layering pentane (2 mL) onto a solution of the compound in THF (10 mL). Upon drying, the dark red crystals became a red-brown powder (116 mg, 46 %). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of the compound in THF. 
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was extracted into toluene. Evaporation of the toluene solution gave the desired complex as a red solid (70 mg, 47%). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction studies were obtained by vapor diffusion of pentane into a solution of the complex in THF. $^1$H NMR (THF-d$_8$, 500 MHz): δ = 16.42 (bs), 13.90 (bs), 11.75 (bs), 8.56 (bs), 8.25 (bs), 7.59 (bs), 7.52 (bs), 6.96 (bs), 6.60 (bs). IR (KBr): ν = 3431, 3030, 2917, 2851, 1598, 1493, 1418, 1367, 1290, 1250, 1225, 758, 697 cm$^{-1}$. λ$_{max}$ = 390 (sh), ε = 37,500 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$. 31P (ε = 108,000 M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$) nm. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer (90K): δ = 0.43 mm/s, ΔE$_Q$ = 1.35 mm/s, Γ$_{L/R}$ = 0.42 mm/s. Mp = 335°C (decomposition). Anal. Calc. for Fe$_2$L$_2$·4THF, Fe$_2$·4NO$_2$C$_6$H$_4$: C, 76.96; H, 5.50; N, 3.33. Found: C, 76.23; H, 5.45; N, 3.29. This result is consistent with the four THF molecules found in a low-resolution X-ray crystal structure of 2.

**UV-Vis Spectrophotometric Studies**

**Reaction of L$_{R,R'}$ or BIPS$_{Me,Ph}$ (where L$_{R,R'}$ and BIPS$_{Me,Ph}$ are the deprotonated forms of H$_2$L$_{R,R'}$ and H$_2$BIPS$_{Me,Ph}$, respectively, with the charges omitted for simplicity) with Fe(II) in THF**—Inside a nitrogen drybox, stock solutions of either L$_{R,R'}$ or BIPS$_{Me,Ph}$ were prepared by dissolving the H$_2$L$_{R,R'}$ or H$_2$BIPS$_{Me,Ph}$ ligand in THF containing two equiv of NaHMDS, giving a concentration of ~20 μM. A 3.0 mL portion of either the L$_{R,R'}$ or BIPS$_{Me,Ph}$ stock solution was added via a septum to a sealed UV-vis quartz cuvette and brought outside of the drybox. Small aliquots (~5–20 μL) of the Fe(OSO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$ solution (~3 mM) was loaded into a 25 μL gas-tight syringe. Small aliquots (~5 μL, 0.25 equiv relative to L$_{R,R'}$ or BIPS$_{Me,Ph}$) of the Fe(OSO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$ solution were added to the sample in the cuvette and the electronic absorption spectrum was recorded.

**Reaction of 2 Fe(II) and L$^H$ with Ph$_3$CCO$_2$Na in THF**—Inside a nitrogen drybox, a THF solution containing Fe(OSO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$ (60 μM) and L$^H$ (30 μM) was prepared in a 25 mL volumetric flask. A 4.0 mL portion of the iron-ligand mixture was added to a septum-sealed UV-vis quartz cuvette and brought outside of the drybox. A 250 μL air-tight syringe was loaded with a degassed THF solution containing Ph$_3$CCO$_2$Na (13 mM). Aliquots of the carboxylate solution (~10 μL, 1.0 equiv relative to L$^H$) were added to the sample in the cuvette and the absorption spectra were recorded.

**Reaction of [Fe$_2$(L$^{Me,Ph}$)$_2$(THF)$_3$] (1) with [FeCp$_2$(BF$_4$)] (Cp = cyclopentadiene) in THF**—Inside a nitrogen drybox, a stock solution of [Fe$_2$(L$^{Me,Ph}$)$_2$(THF)$_3$] (13 μM) in THF was prepared using a 25 mL volumetric flask. A 3.0 mL portion of the [Fe$_2$(L$^{Me,Ph}$)$_2$(THF)$_3$] solution was added to a septum-sealed UV-vis quartz cuvette and brought outside of the drybox. Small aliquots of an anaerobic CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution of [FeCp$_2$] (BF$_4$) (~10 μL, which equals 0.5 equiv relative to [Fe$_2$(L$^{Me,Ph}$)$_2$(THF)$_3$]) were added into the UV-vis cell and the absorption spectra were recorded.

**Results and Discussion**

**Ligand Design and Synthesis**

Controlling the coordination chemistry of kinetically labile iron complexes is a formidable challenge.28,29 Reaction of iron salts with simple ligands, such as alkoxides or carboxylates, typically results in formation of oligo- or polymeric metal clusters.30-34 In contrast, when the ligands are too sterically hindered, mononuclear iron species are obtained.35,36 To construct functional protein models using carboxylate-bridged diiron assemblies, certain design elements must be considered. First, the diiron framework should be sufficiently stable towards changes of the metal oxidation state. Reaction of the reduced, diiron(II) form of sMMOH with dioxygen generates transient diiron(III) and diiron(IV) intermediates.2,4 In order to access such species outside of the protein environment, the
ligand must be able to accommodate iron in its +2, +3, and +4 oxidation states. Second, the diiron assembly should be structurally flexible to allow for geometric reorganization. In the biological systems, changes in ligation of a glutamate side chain to the diiron center occur concomitantly with changes in metal oxidation state.37-39 Such carboxylate shifts are important for O₂ activation and catalytic competency. Third, the ligand scaffold should provide an open site for dioxygen binding between the two metal ions. In order to access a quadrilateral core, such as the proposed di(μ-oxo)diiron(IV) structure of intermediate Q in sMMOH,40 the diiron unit should be coordinatively unsaturated or have bridging ligands that can be readily displaced. Finally, the ligand framework should be amenable to synthetic modifications. This feature is important because it allows control of the geometric and electronic properties of a given construct using the fewest synthetic steps.

Based on the above prerequisites, we designed a series of dinucleating ligands that share a common “V-shaped” architecture (Chart 2). These compounds can bind two metal ions, forming stable six-membered ring chelates, and were designed to enforce a planar arrangement of O₂N₂ donor atoms. We envisioned that the planar nature of the ligand would allow for axial coordination of external carboxylates, which would match the aspartate and glutamate side chains that are bound to the diiron protein active sites (Chart 1). The unique “V-shaped” ligand motif also provides an internal pocket for binding of a small molecule, such as dioxygen. Five of these compounds are derived from 2-phenoxypyridyl binding units tethered to a 1,2-diethynylbenzene linker. The protonated forms of these ligands are designated as H₂L²⁻⁻¹,R,R' (2,2'-(5,5'-(1,2-phenylenebis(ethyne-2,1-diyl))bis(pyridine-5,2-diyi))diphenol, where R = H, Me, or t-Bu and R' = H, or Ph). The steric constraints of H₂L²⁻⁻¹,R,R' are adjusted by appending alkyl or phenyl moieties to either the ortho or para positions of the phenol ring. A sixth ligand, H₂BIPMe,Ph ((3,3'-(1E,1'E)-(3,3'-sulfonylbis(3,1-phenylene)bis(azan-1-yl-1-ylidene))bis(methan-1-yl-1-ylidene)bis(5-methylbiphenyl-2-ol)), was also synthesized using a different covalent bridge. Replacement of the diethynylbenzene unit in H₂L²⁻⁻¹,R,R' with a bis(iminophenyl) sulfone linker alters the rotational freedom of the ligand framework. The parent bis-(3-(2-hydroxybenzylideneamino)phenyl) sulfone compound was first prepared as a ligand for dinuclear copper complexes.41

Several routes were explored to obtain H₂L²⁻⁻¹,R,R'. The synthetic schemes (Schemes S1-S4) and experimental details for H₂LVHH, H₂LHH, H₂LHp, and H₂LHb are provided in the Supporting Information. The most efficient synthetic strategy is illustrated for the preparation of H₂L₅MePh in Scheme 1. As described previously,42 protection of commercially available 2-bromo-4-methylphenol with tetrahydro-2H-pyran, followed by a Suzuki cross-coupling reaction with phenylboronic acid, gave 4 as a colorless oil. Deprotection with aqueous HCl followed by bromination with Br₂ afforded 5 in 96% yield. The 3-bromo-5-methylphenyl-2-ol was subjected to a second protection procedure with benzyl bromide and isolated, after purification, as a white solid in 72% yield. Negishi coupling of 6 with 2,5-dibromopyridine gave 7 as a white solid in 64% yield. A Sonogashira procedure was employed to couple 7 and 1,2-diethynylbenzene. 1,2-Diethynylbenzene is susceptible to decomposition upon storage, so it was generated in situ from 1,2-bis((trimethylsilyl)ethynyl)benzene43 and tetrabutylammonium fluoride before use in the cross-coupling reaction. This procedure afforded the benzyl-protected ligand BenzL₅MePh in a moderate (56%) yield after purification. Finally, the desired H₂L₅MePh compound was obtained by treatment of BenzL₅MePh with boron tribromide in CH₂Cl₂ and crystallization from CH₂Cl₂/MeOH. The H₂L₅MePh ligand was isolated as a yellow solid in 78% yield.

The sulfone bridged compound H₂BIPS₅MePh was synthesized according to Scheme 2. Benzylation of 2,6-dibromo-4-methylphenol with benzyl bromide provided 8 as a colorless crystalline solid. To introduce an aldehyde functionality, 8 was treated with n-butyllithium...
and quenched with dimethylformamide to give 9 in 65% yield. This precursor was coupled to phenylboronic acid to provide 10 in 76% yield. Cleavage of the benzyl protecting group by boron tribromide in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ cleanly gave 11 as a yellow oil in 76% yield. The final product was obtained by an acid-catalyzed condensation reaction between 11 and the commercially available 3,3’-diaminodiphenyl sulfone in MeOH. The desired bright orange solid, H$_2$BIP$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$, readily precipitated from the reaction mixture and was isolated in a moderate 48% yield.

For the synthesis of both H$_2$L$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$ and H$_2$BIP$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$, gram quantities of the final ligand were obtained. It is important to note that these multi-step routes facilitate modification of ligand substituents in a systematic manner without significant changes to the overall synthetic strategy.

**UV-Vis Spectrophotometric Studies**

With the compounds H$_2$L$^{\text{R,R'}}$ and H$_2$BIPS$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$ in hand, we were interested to explore their iron(II) coordination chemistry. Since our goal was to construct discrete dinuclear complexes with two external carboxylates (Chart 1), we added iron(II) in a 2:1 metal-to-ligand stoichiometry. For systems that display strong optical features, a convenient way to examine metal-ligand interactions is to conduct UV-vis spectrophotometric titrations. By following spectral changes of the $L^{\text{R,R'}}$ or BIPS$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$ (where $L^{\text{R,R'}}$ and BIPS$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$ are the deprotonated forms of H$_2$L$^{\text{R,R'}}$ and H$_2$BIPS$^{\text{Me,Ph}}$, respectively) absorbance bands, it should be possible to assess whether they can serve as discrete dinucleating hosts.

Such titration experiments were first performed with the parent H$_2$L$^{\text{H,H}}$ compound (Figure 1A). Upon deprotonation of H$_2$L$^{\text{H,H}}$ with 2.0 equiv of sodium hexamethyldisilazide (NaHMDS) in THF, L$^{\text{H,H}}$ displayed an intense absorption at 415 nm (Figure 1A, dotted trace). When the L$^{\text{H,H}}$ solution was treated with various aliquots of Fe(OSO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$, two successive isosbestic points were observed, at 392 and 365 nm. These data suggest an A $\rightarrow$ B $\rightarrow$ C sequence of reactions, which would be consistent with the binding of an iron atom at one site followed by coordination of a second iron at the second metal-binding site.

A plot of the absorbance change at 350 nm versus equiv of Fe(II) added (relative to L$^{\text{H,H}}$) is given in Figure 2A. A gradual increase in the optical feature at 350 nm upon successive additions of iron indicates that L$^{\text{H,H}}$ is capable of coordinating at least two iron atoms.

Saturation behavior was not observed when $\geq$ 2.0 equiv of Fe(II) were added. For comparison to L$^{\text{H,H}}$, the optical response of the ortho substituted derivative L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$ to Fe(II) was examined. Reaction of H$_2$L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$ with NaHMDS in THF gave L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$, as indicated by the formation of a prominent absorption band at 424 nm (Figure 1B). When aliquots of Fe(II) were added to L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$, a hypsochromic shift of the feature at 424 nm to 382 nm was observed. The optical spectra were unchanged after $\geq$ 1.0 equiv of Fe(II) were introduced (relative to L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$) (Figure 2B). This result suggests that, in the presence of Fe(II), L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$ forms a complex having a 1:1 metal-to-ligand stoichiometry. To test the stability of this new species, various amounts of sodium triphenylacetate were added to the reaction mixture and the reaction was followed by UV-vis spectroscopy (Figure S1A). Surprisingly, the absorbance spectrum from 300-600 nm did not change after the addition of 20.0 equiv of the carboxylate (relative to L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$). If coordination of triphenylacetate to iron resulted in significant geometric rearrangement, such a change should be reflected in the optical spectrum. Since no such changes were observed, we conclude that the 1:1 Fe(II)-to-L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$ species is too stable to be disrupted by triphenylacetate. When the reaction order was reversed, adding Fe(II) to a THF solution containing 1.0 equiv of L$^{\text{H,Ph}}$ and 20.0 equiv of triphenylacetate, a band at 382 nm also grew in (Figure S1B). Once again, formation of the
1:1 Fe(II) to $L_{H,Ph}$ species is preferred. We assign this optical spectrum to the bis-ligand diiron complex, [Fe$_2$(L$_{H,Ph}$)$_2$] (vide infra).

To investigate the effect of bulkier ligand substituents on the iron binding of $L_{R,R'}$, titration studies were also carried out with $L_{tBu,Ph}$. We postulated that, by appending tert-butyl groups to the para position of the phenol ring, the increased steric demand at the ligand periphery would prevent any possible ligand-ligand interactions in the presence of Fe(II). When treated with NaHMDS in THF, $H_2L_{tBu,Ph}$ was converted to $L_{tBu,Ph}$. This free ligand displays a characteristic absorption at 437 nm (Figure S2). Upon addition of Fe(OSO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$ to a solution of $L_{tBu,Ph}$, the band at 437 nm decreases, concomitant with an increased absorption at 393 nm. Just as for $L_{H,Ph}$, the absorbance profile is unchanged after addition of 1.0 equiv of Fe(II) (Figure 2C). A 1:1 metal-to-ligand stoichiometry would also be consistent with the formulation [Fe$_2$(L$_{tBu,Ph}$)$_2$].

The similar results obtained for $L_{H,Ph}$ and $L_{tBu,Ph}$ led us to hypothesize that, although $L_{tBu,Ph}$ is more sterically encumbering, free rotation about the ethynyl arms allows the $L_{R,R'}$ ligands to “open up” and adopt a structure containing a second, interdigitated ligand. To explore whether we could maintain the “V-shaped” architecture of the $L_{R,R'}$ ligand designs but restrict the rotational freedom, $H_2BIPS_{Me,Ph}$ (Chart 2) was synthesized. Although the bis(iminophenyl)sulfone unit is not completely rigid, it is a promising alternative linker to 1,2-diethynylbenzene because it provides an ideal N⋯N distance for a dinucleating framework. Deprotonation of $H_2BIPS_{Me,Ph}$ with NaHMDS in THF gave BIPS$^{Me,Ph}$, which has a strong absorbance at 428 nm (Figure S3). When various equiv of Fe(II) were added to BIPS$^{Me,Ph}$, the band at 428 nm decreased, suggesting an interaction of the ligand and Fe(II). By evaluating the absorbance change at 275 nm, it is clear that a saturation point is reached after addition of 1.0 equiv of Fe(II) (Figure 2D). Thus, this study also indicates that with BIPS$^{Me,Ph}$ a 1:1 metal-to-ligand complex is formed preferentially in solution.

**Isolation and Characterization of Iron Complexes**

Since the parent $L_{H,H}$ compound appeared to accommodate a 2:1 metal-to-ligand ratio, we wondered whether it could be used to construct a discrete diiron complex in the presence of Fe(II) and carboxylates (Chart 1). To test this proposal, several preparative-scale reactions were performed. When $H_2L_{H,H}$ (1.0 equiv) and triphenylacetic acid (2.0 equiv) were combined with Fe(Mes)$_4$ (where Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl, 1.0 equiv) in THF, a dark red solid precipitated from the reaction mixture (Scheme 3A). This material was insoluble in both polar and non-polar organic solvents. When the reaction was repeated using either benzoate or acetate, instead of triphenylacetate, similar results were obtained. Since phenolate groups are well known to bridge multiple metal ions,47-49 it is possible that reaction of the underivatized $L_{H,H}$ ligand with Fe(II) led to the formation of polymetallic structures.

The titration experiments with $L_{R,R'}$ and BIPS$^{Me,Ph}$ demonstrated that when the ortho positions of the phenol rings were substituted a 1:1 metal-to-ligand complex was formed. To identify this species, we prepared the iron complex of $H_2L_{Me,Ph}$. Reaction of $H_2L_{Me,Ph}$ (2.0 equiv) with Fe$_2$(Mes)$_4$ (1.0 equiv) in THF led to formation of a homogeneous dark red solution (Scheme 3B). Crystallization of the crude material from THF and pentane gave a dark red material in moderate yields (~50%). Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the complex to have a diiron(II) structure with two bridging $L_{Me,Ph}$ ligands (Figure 3). Crystallographic data and refinement details are given in Table 1. Coordination of the iron centers by additional THF molecules gave the molecular formula [Fe$_2$(L$_{Me,Ph}$)$_2$(THF)$_3$] (I) in the solid state. The five-coordinate iron atom, Fe(1), adopts a distorted square
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pyramidal geometry, with two phenoxy oxygen (Fe-O = ~1.94 Å) and two pyridyl nitrogen (Fe-N = ~2.12 Å) atoms at the basal sites and a THF oxygen atom (Fe-O = 2.19 Å) at the apical position. The pseudo-octahedral iron center is coordinated by two phenoxy oxygen (Fe-O = ~1.97 Å), two pyridyl nitrogen (Fe-N = ~2.18 Å), and two THF oxygen (Fe-O = ~2.28 Å) atoms, with each set of donors trans to one another. A distance of 7.20 Å separates the two iron centers. The 1:1 Fe(II) to \( L^{R,R'} \) stoichiometry observed from the titration studies is reflected in the \([Fe_2(L^{Me,Ph})_2(THF)_3]\) formulation. Thus, it appears that in both the solid and solution states, 1 maintains the same molecular structure. A survey of the literature revealed that only a few Fe(II) complexes with terminal phenolate ligands have been isolated and characterized.50,51 Most iron-containing phenolate compounds are found in the Fe(III) state and/or have multiple metals bridged by the phenoxy moiety.52-54

The electronic absorption spectrum of 1 shows several intense features, at 235 (\( e = 137,000 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \)), 306 (\( e = 94,100 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \)), 332 (\( e = 63,300 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) and 395 (\( e = 5,130 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \)) nm (Figure S4). These optical signatures match the spectra observed for the Fe(II) to \( L^{RR'} \) titration studies (vide supra), which suggests that the \([Fe_2(L^{RR'})]\) complex was formed in solution. Due to the limited examples of Fe(II)-phenolate compounds that have been reported, it is difficult to determine whether any of these features correspond to a phenolate-to-Fe(II) charge transfer band. As a reference, phenolate-to-Fe(III) charge transfer bands are typically observed at 300-600 nm.52,55 Since the energy gap between metal d(\( \pi^* \)) and ligand p(\( \pi \)) orbitals for Fe(II) should be smaller than for Fe(III), it is reasonable to expect a phenolate-to-iron charge transition at lower energy for Fe(II) than Fe(III).

The zero-field \(^{57}\text{Fe} \) Mössbauer spectrum of a polycrystalline solid sample of 1 was measured at 90 K (Figure 5A). A single quadrupole doublet appeared, with \( \delta = 1.13 \text{ mm/s} \) and \( \Delta E_Q = 1.88 \text{ mm/s} \). These parameters indicate high-spin iron(II) sites in pseudo-octahedral environments.12,56 Although there are two distinct iron atoms in the solid-state structure of complex 1, the similarities in their electronic and structural environments make the sites difficult to distinguish in the absence of an applied magnetic field. This effect most likely accounts for the slightly larger observed linewidth (\( \Gamma_{R,L} = 0.46 \text{ mm/s} \)).

The 1H NMR spectrum of 1 in THF-\( d_8 \) reveals the complex to be paramagnetic in solution (Figure 4A). The downfield signals at 44.45, 42.20, 38.08, and 36.30 ppm are most likely due to protons located either on the phenoxy or the pyridyl rings of \( L^{Me,Ph} \) because they lie closest to the paramagnetic iron centers. The peak at 34.14 ppm is attributed to the methyl protons of \( L^{Me,Ph} \) because of the relative integration value and by comparison to the spectrum of \([Fe_2(L^{H,Ph})_2]\) in which such a feature is absent (data not shown).

The electrochemical properties of 1 were studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV). When the CV was performed in THF, two sequential quasi-reversible redox processes were observed, at \( E_{1/2} = -31 \text{ and } -17 \text{ mV vs. ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc}^+ \) (Figure 6A). A differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) measurement of 1 in THF revealed two oxidation peaks with maximum heights at −31 and −17 mV (Figure S8). These events are attributed to oxidation of 1 from Fe(II)Fe(II) \( \rightarrow \) Fe(II)Fe(III) \( \rightarrow \) Fe(III)Fe(III). When the CV of 1 was measured in DMF rather than THF, the complex exhibited one quasi-reversible redox couple at \( E_{1/2} = -64 \text{ mV vs. Fc/Fc}^+ \) (Figure 6B). DPV measurement of 1 in DMF also confirmed that only a single redox event occurs at −64 mV (Figure S9). Presumably, this process corresponds to a two-electron oxidation of 1 from Fe(II)Fe(II) \( \rightarrow \) Fe(III)Fe(III). These results suggest that electronic delocalization between the two iron centers in complex 1 is solvent dependent. From the electrochemical data, a comproportionation constant \( (K_{com}) \) of 1 was calculated to be \( \sim 100 \) in THF and \( \sim 10^5 \) in DMF. According to the Robin-Day classification,58 1 behaves as a slightly charge-delocalized Class II species in THF, but becomes a completely charged-localized Class I complex in DMF. Since the UV-vis spectra of 1 in THF and DMF...
are identical, it is unlikely that the differences in electrochemical properties are due to different speciation in solution. It is more likely that coordination of solvent molecules to the iron centers mediate the degree of electronic communication between the two metal sites.

Given the quasi-reversible redox behavior of 1, synthesis of the doubly oxidized [Fe₂(Me₅C₅)₂]⁺ complex was attempted. The E(1/2) values of 1 in THF (-31 and -17 mV vs. Fe/Fc⁺), indicated that [Fe₂Cp₂]BF₄ (Cp = cyclopentadienyl) would be a suitable oxidant. When aliquots of [FeCp₂]BF₄ in CH₂Cl₂ were added to a THF solution containing 1, clean conversion to a new species occurred, as revealed by the UV-vis spectra (Figure S5). This process could be followed by absorbance decreases at 242 and 395 nm, as well as increases at 314 and 626 nm. Since ferrocene does not absorb above 600 nm, the band at 626 nm must originate from a diiron product. Given the low intensity of this feature at 626 nm, it is possible that it arises from an Fe(III) d-d transition. Efforts to isolate and characterize the product were not successful.

Reaction of 1 with Dioxygen

The > 7 Å separation between the Fe(II) sites in complex 1 raises the question, how will it react with dioxygen? If the metal centers were rigidly restrained at a fixed distance, exposure of 1 to O₂ might lead to formation of two isolated iron-oxo or -peroxo sites within the same molecule. Alternatively, if the LMe₅C₅ framework were rotationally flexible, it is conceivable that O₂ might bridge the two iron centers. To determine the final oxygenation product, complex 1 was dissolved in a THF solution and stirred for 5 min in the presence of O₂ (Scheme 3B). The reaction product was dried in vacuo and crystallized from pentane and toluene to afford a red solid in ~80% yield. Single crystals for X-ray diffraction studies were desired product in moderate yield (~50 %).

The presence of an oxo bridge was also confirmed by several spectroscopic methods (vide infra). From the structure it is clear that rotation of the LMe₅C₅ ethynyl arms can lead to contraction of the iron-iron distance. Complex 2 was also prepared from a pre-assembled μ-oxodiiron(III) source. Upon deprotonation of H₃LMe₅C₅ with NaHMDS in THF and addition of (NEt₄)₂[Fe₂OCl₄]·59,60 a dark red solution was formed (Scheme 3B). Evaporation of the solvent and extraction of the residue into toluene gave the desired product in moderate yield (~50 %).

The presence of a μ-oxodiiron(III) center in 2 was further confirmed by both vibrational and ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. When 2 was prepared by reaction of 1 with ¹⁸O₂, instead of ¹⁶O₂, its infrared spectrum revealed a single peak shifted from 833 cm⁻¹ to 798 cm⁻¹ (Figure 8). A survey of known μ-oxodiiron(III) complexes revealed that the asymmetric Fe–O–Fe stretch occurs between ~700-850 cm⁻¹ and shifts to lower energy by ~30-45 cm⁻¹ when ¹⁸O₂ is substituted for ¹⁶O₂.¹⁶,6¹ Since 2 exhibits an isotopically shifted peak within these ranges, it is clear that its diiron center also contains an oxo bridge. To probe further the oxidation state and coordination environment of 2, its ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum was measured. A Lorentzian least-squares fit of the Mössbauer data gave a single quadrupole doublet with δ = 0.43 mm/s and ΔE₀ = 1.35 mm/s (Figure 5B). These parameters are common for high-spin iron centers with oxygen and nitrogen atom donors. In addition to overall charge considerations, the IR and Mössbauer data unequivocally show that formulation of 2 as a neutral μ-oxodiiron(III) [Fe₂(μ-O)(LMe₅C₅)₂] complex is correct.

The electronic absorption spectrum of complex 2 was recorded in THF. An intense band at 312 nm (ε = 108,000 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and a shoulder at ~390 nm (ε = 37,500 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) dominate
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the spectrum (Figure S6). Since the band at ~310 nm also occurs in the spectrum of LMe,Ph, it is assigned as a p(π) → p(π*) ligand transition. For Fe(III)-phenolate complexes, the p(π) → d(σ*) and p(π) → d(π) absorbance maxima typically fall in the range ~300-600 nm. Since the spectrum of 2 shows an increased absorption between 350-390 nm, compared to the spectrum of 1, it is possible that this overlapping feature represents one of the phenolate-to-Fe(III) charge-transfer bands.

A bathochromic shift of the phenolate-to-iron LMCT band is reflected in smaller NMR contact shifts of the phenolate protons due to less mixing between the metal d and ligand orbitals. The 1H NMR spectrum of 2 (Figure 4B) contains only three signals outside the diamagnetic region, at 16.42, 13.90, and 11.75 ppm. Compared to the 1H NMR spectrum of 1, these peaks are less paramagnetically shifted. This result indicates that there is less unpaired spin density on the LMe,Ph ligand in complex 2 than in complex 1. Consequently, the phenolate-to-iron charge transfer bands should occur at a higher energy for 2 than for 1.

The electrochemical properties of 2 were investigated by cyclic voltammetry (Figure S10). When recorded in THF, an irreversible reduction wave was measured at −780 mV (vs. Fc/Fc+) and an irreversible oxidation wave appeared at +720 mV (vs. Fc/Fc+). The absence of reversible electrochemical behavior was unexpected because phenolate complexes are typically redox active due to involvement of phenolate radical species. A related μ-oxodiiron(III) complex containing salen ligands exhibits two reversible redox couples due to generation of ligand-centered monoradical and diradical complexes. The unique electronic properties of LMe,Ph preclude its classification as a typical redox active phenolate compound. Redox innocent ligands are desirable for constructing diiron hydroxylase protein models because they allow access to high-valent metal oxidation states rather than ligand-oxidized species.

The rapid conversion of 1 to 2 in the presence of O2 was studied by stopped-flow UV-vis spectrophotometry. A single mixing experiment was carried out at -50 °C, in which a 26 μM solution of 1 in THF was combined with a solution saturated with O2 (~ 10 mM). Spectral scanning between 300-750 nm revealed that the oxygenation reaction was complete in less than 10 s (Figure S7). The reaction kinetics were well fit to a single exponential function with a pseudo-first order rate constant of ~ 0.7 s⁻¹. Even on the stopped-flow timescale, no intermediates were observed for conversion of 1 to 2. Given that O2 activation and O–O bond scission must occur to give the final μ-oxodiiron(III) product, intermediate species must form along the reaction pathway. Our inability to detect and characterize any transient species prevents us from speculating about the mechanism by which 1 converts to 2. Recent work with the ToMO enzyme system has identified a diiron(III) oxygenated intermediate lacking any obvious UV-vis absorption band that is catalytically competent to hydroxylate arenes. When the present oxygenation procedure was performed in the presence of triphenylphosphine, gas chromatographic mass spectral analysis of the reaction product indicated the formation of triphenyolphosphate oxide. The nature of the active oxidizing species and the range of substrates that can be oxidized have not yet been evaluated. It is important to note, however, that during the course of these studies there does not appear to be any LMe,Ph ligand oxidation.

Conclusions

In our continuing search for novel frameworks to model the active sites of O2-activating diiron proteins, we prepared a new family of dinucleating ligands and provided a streamlined method for easy derivatization. By taking advantage of the chromophoric properties of LR,R' and BIPSMe,Ph, the coordination chemistry of these ligands with Fe(II) was examined by UV-vis spectrophotometry. Incorporation of sterically demanding groups...
in the ligand scaffold prevented undesired polymer formation. During the course of these studies, a diiron(II) [Fe₂(LMe,Ph)₂(THF)₃] (1) complex was synthesized having a large separation (7.2 Å) between the two metal centers. Rotation about the ethynyl arms led to a substantial contraction of the diiron distance, the structural flexibility being manifest in the oxygenation product (2) obtained from reaction of 1 with O₂. [¹⁸O]-Isotopic infrared labeling studies and ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy clearly reveal that solutions of 2 retain the μ-oxodiiron(III) core found in the solid state by X-ray crystallography. Formation of [Fe₂(μ-O)(LMe,Ph)₂] most likely involves binding of O₂ and concerted reorientation of the LMe,Ph ligands. Preliminary studies indicate that triphenylphosphine is converted to triphenylphosphine oxide in the presence of 1 and dioxygen, but a comprehensive study of this chemistry was not undertaken. The LMe,Ph ligand is chemically stable under these conditions and does not participate in ligand-centered redox reactions, despite the presence of the phenolate group. Although the desired diiron complex containing a single dinucleating LMe,Ph ligand was not achieved, the structure provides guidance for future modification of the Lᴿᴿ⁻ framework to preclude formation of Fe₂L₂ units.
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Figure 1.
Electronic absorption spectra from the addition of Fe(OSO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$ to a THF solution containing $L^{H,H}$ (A, left) or $L^{H,Ph}$ (B, right). The dotted traces represent the spectra of the deprotonated apo-ligands, whereas the solid lines are spectra acquired after addition of various equiv of Fe(II).
Figure 2.
Plots of the absorbance change at a single wavelength (nm) from reaction of Fe(OSO$_2$CF$_3$)$_2$ with a THF solution containing $L^{\text{H, H}}$ (A), $L^{\text{H, Ph}}$ (B), $L^{\text{Bu, Ph}}$ (C), and BIPS$^{\text{Me, Ph}}$ (D). The wavelengths were chosen to show the maximum change between successive Fe(II) titrations.
Figure 3.
Ball and stick representation of the X-ray crystal structure of \([\text{Fe}_2(\text{L}^{\text{Me,Ph}})_2(\text{THF})_3]\) (1) with a partial numbering scheme. Hydrogen atoms and solvent molecules have been omitted for clarity. The atoms are color coded according to the following: gray = carbon, red = oxygen, blue = nitrogen, and orange = iron. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): \(\text{Fe}(1)-\text{O}(1), 1.945(3); \text{Fe}(1)-\text{O}(2), 1.936(3); \text{Fe}(1)-\text{N}(3), 2.183(4); \text{Fe}(1)-\text{N}(4), 2.193(4); \text{Fe}(1)-\text{O}(100), 2.123(3); \text{Fe}(2)-\text{O}(3), 1.967(3); \text{Fe}(2)-\text{O}(4), 1.977(3); \text{Fe}(2)-\text{N}(1), 2.180(4); \text{Fe}(2)-\text{N}(2), 2.191(4); \text{Fe}(2)-\text{O}(200), 2.203(4); \text{Fe}(2)-\text{O}(300), 2.321(3); \text{O}(1)-\text{Fe}(1)-\text{N}(4), 84.37(14); \text{O}(2)-\text{Fe}(1)-\text{N}(3), 85.98(14); \text{O}(3)-\text{Fe}(2)-\text{N}(2), 85.68(14); \text{O}(4)-\text{Fe}(2)-\text{N}(1), 85.25(14).\)
Figure 4. The 500 MHz $^1$H NMR spectra of complex 1 (A, top) and 2 (B, bottom) recorded in THF-$d_8$. Only the paramagnetically shifted resonances (10-50 ppm) are shown.
Figure 5.
The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of 1 (A, left) and 2 (B, right) recorded on polycrystalline samples at 90 K. Both spectra exhibit a single quadrupole doublet, with $\delta = 1.13$ mm/s, $\Delta E_Q = 1.88$ mm/s, and $\Gamma_{R,L} = 0.46$ mm/s for 1 and $\delta = 0.43$ mm/s, $\Delta E_Q = 1.35$ mm/s, and $\Gamma_{R,L} = 0.42$ mm/s for 2. Least-squares fits (solid lines), assuming Lorenztian lineshapes, are overlaid on the experimental points.
Figure 6.
Cyclic voltammograms of a 0.2 mM solution of complex 1 containing 0.1 M tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate in A) THF (left) and B) DMF (right) at a scan rate of 50 mV/s. The measurements were carried out with a Pt electrode and referenced to the Fc/Fc\(^+\) redox couple. Differential pulse voltammograms of 1 and 2 are shown in the Supporting Information (Figure S8 and S9, respectively).
Figure 7.
A ball and stick representation of the low-resolution X-ray crystal structure of \([\text{Fe}_2(\text{μ-O})(L^{\text{Me,Ph}})_2]\) (2). The coordination environment of the \(\text{μ-oxodiiron(III)}\) core is shown on the right. Due to the poor quality of the X-ray data, only the atom connectivity of the structure could be obtained. The atoms are color coded according to the following: gray = carbon, red = oxygen, blue = nitrogen, and orange = iron.
Figure 8.
Infrared spectra (KBr) of complex 2 obtained from reaction of $^{16}$O$_2$ (solid line) and $^{18}$O$_2$ (dotted line) with complex 1. The labeled peaks correspond to the Fe-O-Fe asymmetric stretching mode.
Scheme 1.
a) Phenylboronic acid, [Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}], Na\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}, THF/H\textsubscript{2}O; b) i. HCl (aq); ii. Br\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}; c) benzyl bromide, K\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{3}CN; d) i. nBuLi, THF, -78°C; ii. ZnCl\textsubscript{2}; iii. 2,5-dibromopyridine, [Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}]; e) i. 1,2-bis(trimethylsilylacetylene)benzene, NBu\textsubscript{4}F, THF; ii) [Pd(PPh\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{4}], NEt\textsubscript{3}; f) BBr\textsubscript{3}, CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}.
Scheme 2.
a) Benzyl bromide, K$_2$CO$_3$, CH$_3$CN; b) i. nBuLi, toluene, -78°C, ii. DMF; c) phenylboronic acid, [Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$], Na$_2$CO$_3$ THF/H$_2$O; d) BBr$_3$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$; e) 3,3'-bis(aminophenyl)sulfone, TFA, MeOH.
Scheme 3.
Reaction of $\text{H}_2\text{L}^{\text{H},\text{H}}$ with Fe(II) (A, top) and $\text{H}_2\text{L}^{\text{Me},\text{Ph}}$ with Fe(II) and $\text{O}_2$ (B, bottom). Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylbenzene, NaHMDS = sodium hexamethyldisilazide.
Chart 1.
Active site structure of reduced soluble methane monooxygenase hydroxylase (sMMOH<sub>red</sub>, left) and a proposed synthetic analog with a dinucleating ligand, L<sup>H,Ph</sup> (right), containing a 2-phenoxyypyridyl group. Sol = solvent molecule.
Chart 2.
The series of 2-phenylpyridyl (left) and 2-phenoxyimino (right) dinucleating ligands synthesized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R'</th>
<th>R''</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H_2LV^{H,H}$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>OCH$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2L^{H,H}$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2L^{H,Ph}$</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2L^{Me,Ph}$</td>
<td>Me</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2L^{t-Bu,Ph}$</td>
<td>t-Bu</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$H_2BI$PS$_{Me,Ph}$
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Table 1

X-ray crystallographic data and refinement information for [Fe₂(L̃Me,Ph)₂(THF)₃] (I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1-(THF)₃,θ(pentane)₁₈,₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>Fe₂C₁₂₀.₅H₁₁₈N₄O₁₀.₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula weight</td>
<td>1901.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>110 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>0.71073 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system, space group</td>
<td>Triclinic, P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell dimensions</td>
<td>a = 15.624(3) Å, α = 90.39(3)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b = 17.712(4) Å, β = 98.51(3)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c = 18.838(4) Å, γ = 106.33(3)°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>4941.4(17) Å³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z, Calculated density</td>
<td>2, 1.278 g/m³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta range for data collection</td>
<td>2.07 – 26.37°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting indices</td>
<td>-19 ≤ h ≤ 19, -21 ≤ k ≤ 22, -23 ≤</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collection/ unique</td>
<td>75842/ 20123 [Rint = 0.1207]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness to theta</td>
<td>99.5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/ restraints/ parameters</td>
<td>20213/ 1390/ 1268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness of fit on F²</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R Indices</td>
<td>R₁ = 0.0854, wR₂ = 0.1860</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>