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Abstract
Two new 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy) based ligands with ancillary BODIPY chromophores attached at 
the 4 and 4′-positions were prepared and characterized, which vary in the substitution pattern 
about the BODIPY periphery by either excluding (BB1) or including (BB2) a β-alkyl substituent. 
Both absorb strongly throughout the visible region and are strongly emissive. The basic 
photophysics and electrochemical properties of BB1 and BB2 are comparable to those of the 
BODIPY monomers on which they are based. The solid-state structures and electronic structure 
calculations both indicate that there is negligible electronic communication between the BODIPY 
moieties and the intervening bpy spacers. Electrogenerated chemiluminescence spectra of the two 
Bpy-BODIPY derivatives are similar to their recorded fluorescence profiles and are strongly 
influenced by substituents on the BODIPY chromophores. These 2,2′-bipyridine derivatives 
represent a new set of ligands that should find utility in applications including light-harvesting, 
photocatalysis, and molecular electronics.
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Introduction
Polypyridyl-based ligands are ubiquitous in coordination chemistry and polypyridine 
complexes of transition metals have a long1 and rich history.2 In particular, 2,2′-bipyridine 
derivatives can form complexes with many different metals, especially those having d3, d6, 
d8 or d10 electron configurations.3 The ability of bipyridine to act as a strong σ-donating 
chelate coupled with its π-acidic nature allows the polypyridyl unit to stabilize transition 
metals in a wide range of oxidation states and gives rise to complexes that exhibit a plethora 
of interesting photophysical and redox properties.3,4 Metal complexes supported by 
bipyridyl ligands have therefore been the subject of many studies dealing with catalysis, 
molecular electronics, and photochemistry.5 The chromophoric nature of these species has 
led to bipyridyl derivatives being used in optoelectronic devices aimed at light harvesting 
and energy storage.4,6,7 For example, the most efficient dye sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) 
described to date are generally based upon ruthenium polypyridyl complexes,8–10 and 
platinum bipyridyls are good photosensitizers for charge separation11 and hydrogen
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generation from water. In both these cases, the polypyridyl complex is responsible for light absorption via formation of MLCT excited states and either electron or energy transfer. Although the excited states of such complexes have appropriate redox properties to drive charge separation and energy storage, the extinction coefficients are relatively modest (\(\epsilon \approx 10^3 - 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\)) and are significantly lower than those displayed by more strongly absorbing organic chromophores such as porphyrinoids and laser dyes.

Strongly absorbing organic sensitizers have been used for energy harvesting applications but often suffer from accelerated degradation and photobleaching as compared to metal polypyridyls. One attractive solution to this issue is the development of hybrid systems, which take advantage of the excellent stability and redox properties of metal polypyridyl complexes and the large absorption cross sections exhibited by organic chromophores. 2,2′-Bipyridine derivatives containing conjugated thiophenes, triphenylamine, or carbazole antennae have previously been incorporated into DSSCs. Although these systems display greater spectral breadth, in many cases, extinction coefficients are still in the range of \(\epsilon = 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}\). In an attempt to improve the ability of such assemblies to harvest light, we developed a set of 2,2′-bipyridine derivatives containing intensely absorbing laser dyes at the 4- and 4′-positions. In designing these new ligands, we employed boron-dipyromethane (BODIPY) dyes, which offer strong absorption and emission properties in the visible region coupled with high photostability. Furthermore, similar systems have been shown to be able to serve as a light harvesting antennae for platinum bipyridine based photosensitizers.

In synthesizing a set of ligands in which the BODIPY chromophores are linked directly to the ligand, we are in a position to determine how the intervening 2,2′-bipyridine spacer influences the excited state and redox properties of this construct. Basic photophysical, electrochemical, and electrogenerated chemiluminescence (ECL) studies of the 2,2′-bipyridine–BODIPY (bpy-BODIPY) has illuminated the nature of the interaction between the BODIPY dyes and the influence of the conjugated linker on the stability of the radical ions produced upon reduction and oxidation. ECL studies along with cyclic voltammetric (CV) experiments indicate that the major photophysical properties associated with previously studied BODIPY monomers are maintained in the bpy-BODIPY systems and that the substitution pattern about the BODIPY periphery greatly impacts these properties.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials**

Silica gel 60 (70 – 230 and 230 – 400 mesh, Merck) and Merck 60 F254 silica gel (pre-coated sheets, 0.2 mm thick) were used for column and analytical thin-layer chromatography, respectively. Solvents for synthesis were of reagent grade or better and were dried by passage through activated alumina then stored over 4 Å molecular sieves prior to use.

**Physical measurements**

\(^1\text{H NMR spectra were recorded at } 25 ^\circ \text{C in the MIT Department of Chemistry Instrumentation Facility (DCIF) on a Varian 300 or 500 MHz spectrometer, referencing to the residual proton resonance of the deuterated solvent. All chemical shifts are reported using the standard } \delta \text{ notation in parts-per-million; positive chemical shifts are to higher frequency from the given reference. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained with an Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD mass spectrometer and high resolution mass spectral analyses were performed in the MIT DCIF. UV/vis absorption spectra were acquired on a Cary 50 spectrometer using screw cap quartz cuvettes (7q) from Starna. Acquisitions were made at J Phys Chem C Nanomater Interfaces. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2012 November 23.
25.0 ± 0.05 °C. Fluorescence spectra were obtained on a Photon Technology International (PTI) fluorimeter at 25.0 ± 0.5 °C following previously described procedures.35

**Electrochemistry and Electrogenerated Chemiluminescence**

Electro-chemistry experiments were carried out using a three-electrode setup with a 0.0314 cm² platinum disk working electrode, a platinum auxiliary electrode, and a silver wire quasireference electrode. A straight working electrode (disk oriented horizontally downward) was used for the CV measurements and a J-shaped electrode (disk oriented vertically) was used for the ECL experiments. Working electrodes were polished prior to every experiment with 0.3 μm alumina particles dispersed in water, followed by sonication in ethanol and water for several minutes. All glassware was oven dried for one hour at 120 °C prior to transferring into an Ar filled drybox. All solutions were prepared inside the drybox and sealed in a conventional electrochemical apparatus with e metal rods for electrode connections. Cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry experiments were carried out with a CHI instruments model 660 electrochemical workstation. The supporting electrolyte used for electrochemistry experiments was 0.1 M n-tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate (TBAPF₆) and ferrocene was used to calibrate the Ag wire quasireference electrode (QRE) taking the Fe/Fc⁺ potential as 0.342 V vs SCE.36 Chronoamperometry and scan rate CV experiments were used to determine the diffusion coefficients of the dyes. ECL spectra were generated by using benzoyl peroxide as a coreactant and spectra obtained by stepping to 80 mV from reduction peaks at a pulse frequency of 1 Hz or with a step time of 1 min. Spectra were recorded with a Princeton instruments Spec 10 CCD camera (Trenton, NJ) with an Acton SpectPro-150 monochromator cooled with liquid nitrogen to −100 °C. ECL-CV simultaneous experiments were done prior to spectral measurements to assure the presence of ECL emission. In this case a multichannel Eco Chemie Autolab PGSTAT100 (Utrecht, The Netherlands) was used for collection of the signal and a photomultiplier tube (Hamamatsu R4220, Tokyo, Japan) was used as a detector. Voltage for the PMT, −750 V, was provided by a Kepco power supply (New York, NY) and the signal from the PMT to the potentiostat was transferred through a multimeter (Keithley, Solon, OH). Digital simulations were done using Digisim computer software (Bioanalytical Systems, West Lafayette, IN).37–40

**X-ray Crystallography**

X-ray diffraction experiments were performed on single crystals grown by the slow evaporation of chloroform solutions of BB1 and chloroform/acetonitrile solutions of BB2, respectively. Crystals were removed from the supernatant liquid and transferred onto a microscope slide coated with Paratone N oil. Crystals were mounted in Paratone N oil at the end of a cryoloop and frozen at 110 K under a cold nitrogen stream controlled by a KRYOFLEX low-temperature apparatus. Data collection was performed on a Bruker APEX CCD X-ray diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) controlled by the SMART software package,41 and were refined using SAINT software.42 Empirical absorption correction was performed with SADABS.43 The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares on F² using the SHELXTL-97 software package.44 Possible higher symmetries were evaluated by PLATON.45 Non-hydrogen atoms were located and their positions refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were assigned idealized positions and given thermal parameters either 1.2 (non-methyl hydrogen atoms) or 1.5 (methyl hydrogen atoms) times the thermal parameters of the atoms to which they are attached.
Computations

Geometry optimizations, frequency calculations, and molecular orbital calculations were performed in Gaussian 09 using the B3LYP/6-311G(d) basis set. Crystallographic coordinates were used as starting points for geometry optimizations, and only positive frequencies were found for the optimized structures. Molecular orbitals were visualized with the VMD software. All calculations were performed in the gas phase.

[2,2′-Bipyridine]-4,4′-dicarboxylic acid (1)

Selenium dioxide (4.0 g, 36 mmol) was added to a solution of 1.5 g (7.2 mmol) of 4,4-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine in 100 mL of dioxane. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux while stirring vigorously for 3.5 h. After cooling to room temperature the mixture was filtered to remove all solid materials and the solvent was removed from the filtrate to deliver a red solid. Recrystallization of this crude material from EtOH at −40 °C produced an off-white solid, which was subsequently dissolved in 25 mL of concentrated nitric acid. The acid solution was heated to reflux while stirring under air. This reaction was accompanied by the formation of red vapors within the reaction vessel. After 4 h the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and 175 mL of ice-cold water was added to precipitate a light yellow solid. The solid was isolated by filtration and dried under vacuum to afford 0.77 g of the title compound (44%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C), δ/ppm: 8.91 (d, 2H), 8.84 (s, 2H), 7.91 (d, 2H).

[2,2′-Bipyridine]-4,4′-dicarbonyl dichloride (2)\(^{48}\)

[2,2′-Bipyridine]-4,4′-dicarboxylic acid (0.62 g, 2.5 mmol) was suspended in 40 mL of thionyl chloride. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux under nitrogen for 36 h. Over the course of the reaction the starting dicarboxylic acid slowly dissolved in the thionyl chloride. Following removal of the thionyl chloride under reduced pressure, the resultant yellow residue was dried in vacuo for 3 h. The product was used immediately for the subsequent reaction without further purification. The yield was assumed to be quantitative.

Bpy-BODIPY1 (BB1)

The [2,2′-bipyridine]-4,4′-dicarbonyl dichloride, assumed to be 2.5 mmol, prepared in the previous step was dissolved in 100 mL of chloroform and the resulting solution was sparged with nitrogen for 40 min. Following the addition of 2,4-dimethylpyrrole (1.0 mL, 10.15 mmol) to the degassed solution, the reaction was heated at 70 °C under nitrogen for 75 min. During the course of this reaction the solution gradually developed a dark red color. After removal of the solvent by rotary evaporation, the resulting dark residue was redissolved in 100 mL of toluene and chloroform (9:1). To the solution was added 5.0 mL of TEA and the solution was stirred under air for 30 min, after which time 6.5 mL of BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ was added. The solution was stirred at 60 °C for 2 h and the solvent was once again removed by rotary evaporation. After redissolving the dark colored residue in DCM, the organic solution was washed with water three times and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. The crude product was purified on silica, eluting first with CHCl$_3$ and slowly increasing the polarity of the mobile phase to 5% MeOH in CHCl$_3$. The crude material thus obtained was purified further on a second column of basic alumina, eluting first with DCM and slowly moving to a mobile phase of 3% DCM in MeOH to deliver 340 mg of the desired product as a red powder (21%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C), δ/ppm: 8.79 (d, 2H), 8.45 (s, 2H), 7.27 (d, 2H), 2.58 (s, 12H), 1.43 (s, 12H). HR-ESIMS [M + H]$^+$, m/z: Calcd for C$_{36}$H$_{35}$B$_2$F$_4$N$_6$, 649.3045. Found, 649.3074.

Bpy-BODIPY2 (BB2)

This compound was prepared in a manner identical to that described for BB1 above by using 2,4-dimethyl-3-ethylpyrrole in place of 2,4-dimethylpyrrole. The title compound was
isolated in 23% yield. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$, 25 °C), δ/ppm: 8.76 (d, 2H), 8.50 (s, 2H), 7.33 (d, 2H), 2.53 (s, 12H), 2.28 (q, 8H), 1.36 (s, 12H), 0.97 (t, 12H). HR-ESIMS [M + H]$^+$, m/z: Calcd for C$_{44}$H$_{51}$B$_2$F$_4$N$_6$, 761.4297. Found, 761.4341.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterization

The synthetic strategy used to prepare the homologous bipyridine-BODIPY architectures bpy-BODIPY-1 (BB1) and bpy-BODIPY-2 (BB2) is presented in Scheme 1. The synthesis of the two homologues is similar, with the derivatives BB1 and BB2 differing only in the substitution of the 2,6-positions on the indacene framework. The synthesis of BB1 and BB2 starts with conversion of 4,4′-dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine to the corresponding dicarboxylic acid (2) upon reaction with SeO$_2$ and nitric acid. Following reaction with thionyl chloride to generate the acid chloride derivative (3), condensation with either 2,4-dimethylpyrrole or 2,4-dimethyl-3-ethylpyrrole delivers the bis-dipyrromethanes that form the backbones of BB1 and BB2, respectively. Reaction of the bis-dipyrromethanes, generated in situ, with BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ and NEt$_3$ afforded the final bpy-BODIPY constructs. BB1 and BB2 were isolated in overall yields of 21% and 23%, respectively.

The structures of BB1 and BB2 were determined by X-ray crystallography. Single crystals of the bpy-BODIPY derivatives grew by slow evaporation of saturated chloroform or chloroform/acetonitrile solutions of the compounds. The structures (Figure 1) reveal an anti-arrangement of the BODIPY groups relative to one another. There is an inversion center in the bipyridine unit that results in a parallel arrangement of BODIPY planes within each molecule. The distances between the BODIPY moieties in each molecule are 3.4 Å for BB1 and 3.5 Å for BB2, respectively. The planes of the BODIPY fragments are canted by 75° relative to the central bipyridine spacer in BB1 and by 78° for BB2.

Photophysics and Electronic Structure

The basic photophysical properties of BB1 and BB2 were assessed in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ by a combination of UV-Vis absorption and fluorescence spectroscopy (Table 1). Both BB1 and BB2 display optical properties typical of BODIPY chromophores with absorption bands in the visible region centered at 504 nm and 528 nm, respectively. Extinction coefficients measured for BB1 and BB2 are 54300 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$ and 84100 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$, respectively. These values are consistent with there being two BODIPY chromophores for each of the systems under consideration. Excitation into the absorption bands induces green emission for BB1 centered at 518 nm and lower energy yellow emission for BB2, centered at 547 nm. The respective quantum yields for fluorescence were measured to be $\phi_{Fl}$= 0.33 and 0.39. Absorption and emission profiles for BB1 and BB2 are shown in Figure 2.

Electrochemistry

Electrochemical results obtained for BB1 and BB2 are summarized in Table 1. Cyclic voltammetry for both BODIPY compounds in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ with 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$ reveals the accessible redox transitions. Upon reduction, BB2 displays a single wave that is composed of two closely-spaced reduction events with voltammetric half wave potentials of −1.15 and −1.22 V vs. SCE. These potentials are slightly more positive than that of the simple alkyl substituted monomer 1,3,5,7,8-pentamethyl-2,6-ethyl-4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (−1.37 V) (Figure 3a). The oxidative wave contains two individual features at 1.11 V and 1.15 V, indicating that BB2 is more difficult to oxidize than the corresponding BODIPY monomer (0.97 V) (Figure 3b). The high chemical reversibility of both the oxidation and reduction is consistent with the complete blocking by the 2,6-substituted ethyl groups, which prevents the subsequent decomposition of the electrochemically generated...
radical cation or anion, as evidenced by the scan-rate dependences shown in Figure 3c,d. The separation of about 50 to 70 mV between two reduction and two oxidation peaks was confirmed by digital simulation (Figures 3e–l). If one assumes a simultaneous two-electron process, rather than the split wave, a significant deviation in the peak shape from the experimental results is seen (Figure 3m).

Digital simulation can be used to distinguish small separations between two oxidation and two reduction peaks. If the two BODIPY units separated by the 2,2′-bipyridine fragment interacted strongly, a considerably larger splitting would be expected. If there were no interaction, a splitting of about 36 mV (due to entropic factors) would result. The observed value suggests a repulsive interaction through the bipyridine spacer, but not complete delocalization. This conclusion is consistent with the observed orthogonal orientation of the BODIPY subunits with respect to the bipyridine linker in the solid-state structures of BB1 and BB2 (vide supra). Moreover, DFT calculations carried out for the Bpy–BODIPY compounds indicate that both BODIPY moieties are effectively insulated from one another, with the HOMO and the LUMO for BB1 and BB2 residing on the individual chromophores and not delocalized onto the bipyridine spacer (Figure 4).

The reductive window of CH₂Cl₂ precludes scanning to more negative potentials beyond the first wave; however, when the solvent is changed to THF, additional reduction waves appear. These features are most likely due to irreversible reduction of the bipyridine spacer and the second reduction waves of BODIPY (Figure 3n–p). The two reduction waves of monomeric BODIPY species are split by an unusually large amount (~ 1 V), as discussed elsewhere.

For BB1 that is unsubstituted at the β-positions of the BODIPY framework, Nernstian behavior occurs upon reduction, with half wave potentials at −1.12 V and −1.17 V versus SCE (Figure 5a,b). Oxidation by CV (0.1 V/s), however, reveals two chemically irreversible half waves with potentials of 1.22 V and 1.27 V. (Figure 5a,c). These results are consistent with the electrochemistry of simple β-unsubstituted BODIPY derivatives. The reduction of BB1 to generate the radical anion (BB1•−) is a reversible process (Figure 5d–g), and digital simulations indicate some separation between the first and second reduction steps to generate BB1²− (Figure 5h). By contrast, the radical cation formed upon oxidation of BB1 is unstable and susceptible to electrophilic attack. This electrophilic addition shifts the observed peak potentials to less positive values, such that the thermodynamic half-wave potential for BB1 is slightly more positive than the values reported here.

The irreversible oxidation of BB1 is a characteristic feature of BODIPY dyes lacking alkyl or aryl substituents at the β-positions and arises from the instability of the electrogenerated radical cation toward electrophiles. While recording the oxidative scans with BB1 we observed a dark film forming on the electrode, possibly indicating deposition of a polymeric species. This surface film increased the height of the anodic wave and to a lesser extent the corresponding cathodic wave on repeated cycling (Figure 5k). Accordingly, the electrode surface had to be repolished following oxidative scans in order to monitor consecutive studies of the solution processes. Scanning to more positive potentials showed the appearance of an additional electrochemical wave (Figure 5i,j).

In order to approximate the rate of the reaction following formation of BB1•+, we monitored the anodic cyclic voltammogram as a function of scan rate using MeCN as solvent. MeCN was chosen because it less resistive and amenable to faster scan rates (Figure 6). Simulations support an EEC mechanism with a pseudo first-order homogeneous rate constant of ~30 s⁻¹. There are some deviations at slower scan rates that probably originate from difficulty in
accounting for the second oxidative wave. This behavior is also evident from the slight deviation from the linear behavior observed for the scan rate dependence (Figure 6g).

**Electrogenerated chemiluminescence (ECL)**

ECL studies for both BB1 and BB2 were carried out by pulsing (1 – 30 min) to generate the radical ions and diions, but their subsequent annihilation produced only traces of light. This result can be rationalized for BB1 in terms of the instability of the BB1•+ species. The lack of an ECL response for BB2 is less readily explained, however. Nonetheless, a strong ECL signal was obtained upon reduction of BB2 in the presence of the co-reactant benzoyl peroxide (BPO) (Figure 7). The ECL spectra, corresponding to yellow ECL emission for BB2 and green ECL emission for BB1, are very similar to the normal fluorescence spectra when corrected for a small difference in inner filter effect.

\[
\text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}+e}^{-} \rightarrow \text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}^{2-}} \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}^{2-}+BPO} \rightarrow \text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}+BPO^{2-}} \quad (2)
\]

\[
\text{BPO^{2-}} \rightarrow C_6H_5CO_2^-+C_6H_5CO_2^+ \quad (3)
\]

\[
\text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}^{2-}+C_6H_5CO_2^+} \rightarrow \text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}^{+}+C_6H_5CO_2^-} \quad (4)
\]

\[
\text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}^{+}} \rightarrow \text{Bpy-\text{BODIPY}+h\nu} \quad (5)
\]

The complete mechanism is probably more complicated than that outlined by the series of reactions above, since pulsing the potential to −1.31 V versus SCE produces the dianions. These species should also react sequentially with the BPO reactant and C6H5CO2•. Moreover, comproportionation of the diradical dianions with the parent bpy-BODIPY molecule will also produce the radical anion. Another possible route involves reaction of C6H5CO2• with the parent to produce radical cation.

**Summary**

Two new 2,2′-bipyridine ligands containing ancillary BODIPY dyes at the 4- and 4′-positions were prepared. The basic photophysics, electrochemistry, and electrochemiluminescence of these systems have been investigated. Both BB1 and BB2 are strongly emissive compounds with large absorption cross sections in the visible region. Cyclic voltammetry show that the new bpy-BODIPY ligands maintain redox properties similar to their corresponding BODIPY monomers, consistent with their solid-state structures and calculated frontier orbitals. These observations indicate that the π-system of the BODIPY chromophores is decoupled from the intervening bipyridine spacer for both systems studied. Moreover, whereas both BB1 and BB2 exhibit reversible reduction waves, oxidation of BB1, which is unsubstituted at the BODIPY β-positions, is largely irreversible. ECL studies for the Bpy-BODIPY derivatives correlate with the observed electrochemistry and both exhibit ECL spectra that are very similar to the corresponding fluorescence spectra. BB1 displays lower intensity ECL compared to BB2 due to competing decomposition of the reduced and oxidized intermediates through attack at the unsubstituted β-positions.

Both of the Bpy-BODIPY derivatives exhibit intense absorptions through the UV and visible regions. The ability to use these ligands with metal complexes that demonstrate
efficient charge transfer in light harvesting devices is therefore an intriguing proposal. Given that the excited state dynamics of polypyridyl complexes is intimately controlled by ligand structure and that many paths can exist for electronic delocalization and charge transfer for these systems, many possibilities exist for the use of Bpy-BODIPY ligands in light-harvesting, energy storing and sensing applications. Accordingly, the preparation and physical interrogation of metal complexes supported by Bpy-BODIPY architectures is currently being pursued.

**Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.
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Figure 1.
Thermal ellipsoid plots for (a) BB1 and (b) BB2 with thermal ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level (F Pink, B Green, C black, N blue). Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
Figure 2.
Normalized absorption (---) and emission spectra (---) for (a) BB1 and (b) BB2.
Figure 3.
Cyclic voltamograms of 0.2 mM of BB2 (a) full scan first in the negative and (b) in the positive direction; scan rate CV dependence in (c) negative and (d) positive directions: 0.1 V/s (black line); 0.25 V/s (red line); 0.5 V/s (blue line) and 1 V/s (green line). (e)–(h) comparison of experimental results (solid line) and digital simulations (dotted line) while scanning in the negative direction and (i–l) comparison scanning in the positive direction; scan rates: (e) and (i) 0.1 V/s; (f) and (j) 0.25 V/s; (g) and (k) 0.5 V/s and (h) and (l) 1 V/s; (m) experimental data (solid line) and simulation (dotted line) at scan rate 0.1 V/s as in (e) with the simulation carried out assuming a simultaneous 2 electron reduction; (n–p) CV when scanning to more negative potentials using THF as a solvent (n,o) 0.1 V/s and (p) 1 V/s; platinum electrode area: 0.0314 cm², 0.1 M TBAPF₆ was used as a supportive electrolyte; solvent: methylene chloride except (n–p); an uncompensated resistance of 5000 Ω, capacitance of 1 x 10⁻⁷ F, α=0.5 and k°=10⁴ cm/s (chosen to show diffusion control process) was used in the digital simulations.
Figure 4.
Calculated frontier molecular orbitals for BB1 and BB2 by DFT (B3LYP/6-311G(d)).
Figure 5.
Cyclic voltammograms of 0.36 mM of BB1: (a) full scan in the positive direction; scan rate CV dependence in (b) negative and (c) positive directions: 0.1 V/s (black line); 0.25 V/s (red line); 0.5 V/s (blue line) and 1.0 V/s (green line); comparison of experimental results (straight line) and digital simulations (dotted line) while scanning in negative direction (d–g); scan rates: (d) 0.1 V/s; (e) 0.25 V/s; (f) 0.5 V/s and (g) 1 V/s; (h) experimental data (straight line) and simulation (dotted line) at scan rate 0.1 V/s as in (d) with the simulation carried out assuming simultaneous 2 electron reduction; platinum electrode area: 0.0314 cm$^2$, 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$; solvent: methylene chloride; resistance: 3000 Ω, capacitance of $3 \times 10^{-7}$ F, $\alpha=0.5$ and $k^*=10^4$ cm/s (chosen to show diffusion control process) was used for digital simulations(i) and (j) CVs at 0.1 V/s for 0.23 mM BB1 while scanning in positive direction to 1.4 V and 1.6 V; (k) CVs at 1 V/s while scanning in positive direction during first scan (black line) and after consecutive oxidation cycles (red line) for the same concentration as (i) and (j); platinum electrode area: 0.0314 cm$^2$, 0.1 M TBAPF$_6$; solvent: methylene chloride; resistance: 3000 Ω, capacitance of $3 \times 10^{-7}$ F, $\alpha=0.5$ and $k^*=10^4$ cm/s (chosen to show diffusion controlled process) was used for digital simulations.
Figure 6.
Cyclic voltammetry studies of 0.23 mM BB1 in MeCN; scan rate CV dependence in positive direction; comparison of experimental results (straight solid line) and digital simulations (dotted line) while scanning in positive direction (b–f); scan rates: (b) 50 V/s; (c) 20 V/s; (d) 10 V/s and (e) 1.0 V/s; (f) 0.5 V/s; (g) dependence of peak potential on the square root of the scan rate; platinum electrode: 0.0314 cm²; 0.1 M TBAPF₆; resistance: 600 Ω, capacitance of $3 \times 10^{-7}$ F, $\alpha=0.5$, $k^\circ=10^4$ cm/s (chosen to show diffusion controlled process) and $k = 30$ s⁻¹ was used for digital simulations.
Figure 7. (a) and (b) ECL (red line)-CV (black line) simultaneous measurements for (a) BB2 and (b) BB1 in the presence of 3.5 mM benzoyl peroxide for (a) and 4 mM for (b); (c) comparison of ECL signals for the case of BB2 (black line) and BB1 (red line); (d) and (e) ECL (red line) and fluorescence (black line) spectra for 0.2 mM of BB2 and 0.36 mM of BB1 in the presence of 3.5 mM of benzoyl peroxide for BB2 and 4.0 mM for BB1; spectra were generated by pulsing potential from 0 V to −1.31 V versus SCE for 1 min for BB2 and from 0 V to −1.27 V for BB1; platinum electrode area: 0.0314 cm² in CH₂Cl₂/0.1 M TBAPF₆.
Scheme I.
Synthesis of BB1 and BB2.
Table 1

Photophysical and electrochemical data for **BB1** and **BB2**. All potentials reported vs SCE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Photophysics</th>
<th>Electrochemistry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compound</td>
<td>Abs ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$)</td>
<td>Em ($\lambda_{\text{max}}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB1</td>
<td>504 nm</td>
<td>518 nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB2</td>
<td>528 nm</td>
<td>547 nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>