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SUMMARY

ClpX, a AAA+ ring homohexamer, uses the energy of ATP binding and hydrolysis to power conformational changes that unfold and translocate target proteins into the ClpP peptidase for degradation. In multiple crystal structures, some ClpX subunits adopt nucleotide-loadable conformations, others adopt unloadable conformations, and each conformational class exhibits substantial variability. Using mutagenesis of individual subunits in covalently tethered hexamers together with fluorescence methods to assay the conformations and nucleotide-binding properties of these subunits, we demonstrate that dynamic interconversion between loadable and unloadable conformations is required to couple ATP hydrolysis by ClpX to mechanical work. ATP binding to different classes of subunits initially drives staged allosteric changes, which set the conformation of the ring to allow hydrolysis and linked mechanical steps. Subunit switching between loadable and unloadable conformations subsequently isomerizes or resets the configuration of the nucleotide-loaded ring and is required for mechanical function.

INTRODUCTION

In all branches of life, AAA+ molecular machines harness the energy of ATP binding and hydrolysis to degrade, disaggregate, and secrete proteins; to remodel macromolecular complexes; to transport nucleic acids; and to drive vectorial transport along microtubules (Hanson and Whiteheart, 2005). A central unsolved challenge in dissecting the mechanisms of these complicated multiprotein machines is to determine how ATP interacts with different subunits and coordinates the conformational changes that ultimately power machine function. Although many different models for this orchestration are possible, most proposals in the literature depend upon multiple untested assumptions, and the paucity of methods to test specific models has limited understanding of these machines.

ClpXP is an ATP-dependent protease that consists of a self-compartmentalized barrel-shaped peptidase (ClpP) and a hexameric-ring AAA+ unfoldase (ClpX), which recognizes, unfolds, and translocates protein substrates into an internal ClpP chamber for degradation (for review, see Baker and Sauer, 2012). Insight into ClpX function has come from biochemistry, protein engineering, and single-molecule biophysics. For example, translocation of a peptide degron through the axial pore of the ClpX ring drives substrate unfolding, and processive translocation can occur against substantial resisting forces (Martin et al., 2008a; 2008b; Aubin-Tam et al., 2011; Maillard et al., 2011). Although ClpX is a homohexamer, it is asymmetric, and nucleotides fail to bind some ClpX subunits and bind other subunits with different affinities (Hersch et al., 2005). A single active subunit in the hexameric ring is sufficient to power mechanical unfolding and translocation, yet subunit-subunit communication appears to be important for controlling and coupling ATP hydrolysis to function (Martin et al., 2005). Because processive ClpX proteolysis of a single polypeptide can require hundreds of ATP-binding and hydrolysis events (Kenniston et al., 2003), understanding how these nucleotide transactions are coupled to mechanical work is a critical aspect of ClpX mechanism.

Crystal structures of the hexameric ClpX ring reveal two basic classes of subunits (Glynn et al., 2009). In four loadable (L) subunits, the orientation of the large and small AAA+ domains creates a binding cleft in which nucleotide can contact each domain, the intervening hinge, and a neighboring subunit (Figure 1A). The exact structure and properties of these binding sites can differ depending upon the position in the hexamer and bound nucleotide. By contrast, these sites are destroyed in two unloadable (U) subunits by a hinge rotation that reorients the flanking domains. In the known hexamer structures, these subunits are arranged in an L/U/L/L/U/L pattern with approximate two-fold symmetry (Figure 1B). For all subunits, the large AAA+ domain packs against the small AAA+ domain of the counterclockwise subunit in a conserved rigid-body fashion, and crosslinks across these interfaces are compatible with full ClpX function (Glynn et al., 2012). Thus, the functional ring can be...
viewed as six rigid-body units connected by hinges (Figure 1B). Nucleotide-dependent changes in hinge geometry provide a potential way to couple ATP binding and hydrolysis in one subunit to conformational changes in neighboring subunits. However, direct evidence for such allosteric changes is lacking, and it is not known whether L and U subunits interconvert and/or whether a 4:2 ratio of L:U subunits is maintained during function.

To address these questions, we have developed and applied assays for subunit-specific nucleotide binding (nCoMET) and conformational changes (cCoMET), where CoMET signifies coordinated metal energy transfer, a method that relies on short-distance quenching of a fluorescent dye by a transition-metal ion (tmFRET; Taraska et al., 2009). Our results show that nucleotide binding to ClpX subunits with tight and weak affinities allosterically alters the conformations of neighboring subunits in a stepwise fashion; support a model in which L and U subunits in the ClpX ring dynamically interconvert during the functional cycle; and suggest that nucleotide binding stabilizes a ring with five L-like subunits, reminiscent of structures observed in the AAA+ rings of the E1 helicase and 26S proteasome (Enemark and Joshua-Tor, 2006; Lander et al., 2012). The operating principles and tools developed here should be broadly applicable to the study of other AAA+ machines and multimeric assemblies.

RESULTS

The N domain of ClpX is not required for machine function (Singh et al., 2001; Wojtyra et al., 2003) and was deleted in the variants
used here. ClpX variants were typically expressed from genes encoding two, three, or six subunits connected by polypeptide tethers, as linking subunits in this way allows ClpP-mediated degradation of ssrA-tagged substrates, does not affect pseudo-hexamer formation, and permits mutations or fluorescent probes to be introduced into specific subunits (Martin et al., 2005).

**Crystal Structures Show Variability within L and U Subunits**

For crystallography, ClpX variants were single-chain trimers or dimers. In addition, specific subunits were wild-type (W) or contained an E185Q (E) Walker-B mutation, an R370K (R) sensor-2 mutation to eliminate ATP hydrolysis, or both ATPase mutations (ER). In previous structures with and without nucleotide, a covalently tethered E-E-ER ClpX trimmer crystallized as a pseudo-hexamer with a L/U/L/U/L arrangement of subunits (Glynn et al., 2009). We obtained six additional pseudo-hexamer structures. Most had the L/U/L/L/U/L pattern, including an E-E-ER trimer with bound ATP; S, E-R dimers, W-W-R trimers, W-W-W trimers, and W-W-W trimers with bound ADP (Table S1, available online). Thus, the L/U/L/L/U/L arrangement is not a consequence of bound nucleotide, the number of covalent tethers, or the presence of specific mutations. However, one W-W-W structure revealed an L/L/L/L/L/L or 6L arrangement of subunits (Table S1 and Figure S1A).

We aligned the large domains of each subunit from the eight crystal structures and represented the small domains by vectors corresponding to one helix (Figure 1C). As expected, there were two major categories, corresponding to L and U conformations, but substantial variations were evident in each class. For example, compared to single reference vectors, the average angular variability was 16° ± 7° (maximum 27°) among L subunits and 18° ± 13° (maximum 45°) among U subunits, highlighting the variability in the conformations of individual subunits that comprise the ClpX ring. This variability allowed us to model a plausible 5L:1U ring structure using subunits taken from the observed 4L:2U and 6L structures (Figure S1A).

**Evidence Supporting 4L:2U and 5L:1U Subunit Arrangements**

Contact between two rhodamine-family dyes, such as TAMRA, results in quenching that displays an all-or-none character (Zhou et al., 2011). To address which arrangements of ClpX subunits result in quenching that displays an all-or-none character, we constructed a covalently tethered W-VI-W trimer in which TT designates TAMRA dyes attached to K330C in the small domain and D76C in the large domain of the third subunit (the TAMRA-labeled protein was active in ATP hydrolysis and supported ClpP-mediated degradation; Figure S1B). Modeling showed that the TAMRA dyes were close enough for contact quenching in L subunits but were more than 25 Å apart in U subunits. Compared to an unquenched control, we would therefore expect ~33% fluorescence for a population of 4L:2U hexamers, ~16% fluorescence for a population of 5L:1U hexamers, and no substantial fluorescence for a population of 6L hexamers. In the absence of nucleotide, the fluorescence of the W-W-W trimmer pseudohexamer was ~28% of a control sample of the same protein denatured in 3 M urea, as expected for a predominant population of 4L:2U structures (Figure 1D). Addition of saturating concentrations of different nucleotides resulted in a decrease to ~16% of the unquenched nucleotides, consistent with a 5L:1U arrangement. These results are also consistent with a roughly equal mixture of 4L:2U and 6L hexamers at saturating nucleotide, but we consider this possibility less likely, as similar final fluorescence values at saturating ATP were also obtained when ClpX was bound to ClpP or was translocating an unfolded substrate into ClpP for degradation (Figure 1D, inset). Thus, if 4L:2U and 6L ClpX species were equally populated at saturating nucleotide, this equilibrium would have to be independent of the identity of the bound nucleotide and independent of ClpP binding and ATP-fueled protein degradation.

**A Test of Subunit Switching**

In principle, L subunits and U subunits could either maintain their conformations during the chemomechanical cycle of ClpX or switch dynamically. Figure 1E shows several nonswitching and switching models, but many more are possible, including variations in which ATP hydrolysis occurs sequentially or probabilistically among the L subunits that bind nucleotide and/or models in which subunit switching is not coupled to ATP hydrolysis.

One way to determine whether L and U subunits maintain their conformations during ATP hydrolysis and protein unfolding by ClpX is to reduce ATP-binding affinity to one or a few subunits in the hexamer and test for effects on the ATP concentrations required for these activities. The logic is that nonswitching models would allow low-affinity subunits to adopt U conformations, and thus the concentration of ATP required for activity should not be significantly altered. By contrast, if nucleotide must bind to each subunit in the ring at some point in a cycle, as required by most switching models, then substantially higher concentrations of ATP would be required for equivalent levels of ClpX activity. To weaken nucleotide affinity, we engineered V78A/I79A substitutions (hereafter called VI) to truncate the wild-type side chains and reduce packing with the adenine base of ATP (Figure 2A). As anticipated, substantially higher concentrations of ATP were required to support ATP hydrolysis and ClpP-mediated protein degradation by the VI homohexamer compared to the parental enzyme (Figure 2B and Table S2).

To test the predictions of a 4L:2U nonswitching model, we constructed a covalently tethered W-VI-W trimer and found that protein unfolding, ATP hydrolysis, and ATP5S hydrolysis all required ~10-fold higher ATP/ATP5S concentrations to achieve activities comparable to the W-W-W parent (Figures 2C–2E and Table S2). Like its wild-type counterparts, the W-VI-W enzyme ran as a pseudohexamer in gel filtration and bound three to four ADPs in isothermal titration calorimetry (Figures S2A–S2C). We also introduced the E185Q mutation into the VI subunit to generate W-VIE-W, as this mutation should only affect activity if nucleotide binds the Vie subunit, and we found that W-VIE-W had much lower ATP-hydrolysis activity than W-VI-W (Figure 2D). These results are inconsistent with a nonswitching 4L:2U model and suggest that robust activity requires ATP occupancy and hydrolytic activity by at least one VI or Vie subunit in these pseudohexamers.

To test a 5L:1U nonswitching model, we constructed W-W-W-W-VIE and W-W-VIE-W-W-W enzymes, which had properties similar to each other (Table S2 and Figure S2D). In both cases,
higher concentrations of ATP were required for function compared to the parental W-W-W-W-W-W enzyme and maximal activity was also reduced (Figures 2F and S2D). These results suggest that ATP binds to the single VIE subunit of these pseudohexamers, a result inconsistent with nonswitching models.

Additional pseudohexamers with five wild-type subunits and one subunit with multiple mutations affecting ATP binding and/or hydrolysis also required increased concentrations of ATP for function (Figure S2D).

An Assay for Subunit-Specific Nucleotide Binding

We developed nCoMET to measure nucleotide binding to specific sites in the ClpX ring. Mg$^{2+}$ and nucleotide normally bind ClpX together, but Co$^{2+}$ substitutes for Mg$^{2+}$ and can quench the fluorescence of a nearby Oregon Green dye with a calculated Förster radius ($R_0$) of ~13 Å (Figure 3A). We attached this dye to ClpX residue M363C in just one subunit of the W-VI-W trimer, which should position the dye ~10–15 Å from the metal in the nucleotide-binding site of the same subunit. By contrast, the closest neighboring nucleotide-binding site is ~35 Å away, a distance at which nucleotide-Co$^{2+}$ would cause less than 1% quenching. Although Mg$^{2+}$ is normally required for ClpX function, Co$^{2+}$ supported ATP/ATP$\gamma$S hydrolysis and protein unfolding by W-VI-W and W-VI-W ClpX variants (1 μM pseudohexamer), although it inhibited the ClpP peptidase (Figure S3D). Indeed, the assays shown in Figures 2C, 2D, and 2E contained Co$^{2+}$ but no Mg$^{2+}$ to allow comparisons of ClpX function and nucleotide binding under the same conditions. Modification of M363C with the Oregon Green dye was also compatible with ClpX activity (Figure S3E).

To allow nCoMET-binding assays to different subunits, we generated and purified W*-VI-W, W-VI*-W, and W-VI-W* pseudohexamers, where the asterisk indicates the subunit containing the nCoMET probe. Titrations experiments were performed using ATP in the presence of protein substrate (Figure 3B), ATP$\gamma$S without substrate (Figure 3C), or ADP without substrate.
In each case, binding to the rightmost W* subunit was tight ($K_{\text{app}} \approx 2$–14 mM), binding to the leftmost W* subunit was weaker ($K_{\text{app}} \approx 60$–90 mM), and binding to the VI* subunit was even weaker ($K_{\text{app}} \approx 430$ mM) or undetectable (Figures 3D and Table S2). For ATP and ATPγS, $K_{\text{app}}$ values are a function of the rate constants for nucleotide association and dissociation, the rate constant for hydrolysis, and the rate constant for ADP dissociation and thus are greater than true $K_{D}$. Nonetheless, ADP and ATP/ATPγS bound ClpX subunits over similar concentration ranges (Figure 3D), a finding we return to in the Discussion.

Subunit-Specific Conformational Changes

We developed cCoMET to assay how the conformations of specific subunits in the ClpX ring were altered by nucleotide binding. In this variation of tmFRET (Taraska et al., 2009), quenching is determined by the distance between a TAMRA dye attached to K330C in the small AAA+ domain and a Ni2+ ion bound to an a-helical His-X3-His motif in the large domain of the same subunit (Figure 4A). The His-X3–His site was engineered by introducing N72H and D76H mutations in combination with H68Q to remove an alternative Ni2+-binding site, and nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) was included in assays to minimize Ni2+ binding to nucleotides. The calculated $R_{0}$ for the Ni2+-TAMRA pair is 14 Å, and thus strong quenching should occur in L subunits (modeled distance 8–15 Å) and weak or no quenching should occur in U subunits (19–31 Å). The mutations and modifications required for this assay did not affect ATP hydrolysis or protein degradation (Figures S4A and S4B).

We introduced the cCoMET modifications (c) to generate W-VI-W, W-VI*-W, and W-VI-W* pseudohexamers and assayed the nucleotide dependence of fluorescence quenching using conditions differing from nCoMET only in the divalent metals. Changes...
in cCoMET quenching were determined as a function of ATP with protein substrate present (Figure 4B), as a function of ATPγS without substrate (Figure 4C), and as a function of ADP without substrate (Figure S4C). As nucleotide increased, quenching generally increased from an initial value to a plateau that depended upon the variant and nucleotide. Several conclusions follow from these assay results. (1) At saturating nucleotide, the average distance between the dye and Ni²⁺ decreased substantially at higher ATP concentrations (Figure 4C). The low-ATP transition corresponded to binding to tight W sites in nCoMET assays, whereas the high-ATP transition occurred at concentrations at which ATP binding to both weak W subunits as well as VI subunits was observed. Thus, nucleotide binding to weak W and VI subunits stabilizes a different conformation (possibly a 5L:1U ring) than binding to tight subunits. (4) Although there were small differences depending on the nucleotide, the magnitude of maximal quenching in VI and W subunits was generally similar, suggesting that these subunits spend roughly comparable amounts of time in L and U conformations because of switching.

We also performed cCoMET and nCoMET assays using W-W*-VI-W and W-W*-W* constructs (Figures S3G and S4D). In these cases, signal amplitudes were similar to those observed with the W-VI-W proteins, but averaging over all types of subunits precluded rigorous determination of interaction constants for individual classes.

**Locking Subunits in the L Conformation Prevents Unfolding and Degradation**

To prevent L→U switching, we engineered disulfide bonds to lock a single subunit or two opposed subunits of a pseudohexamer in the L conformation. A T147C cysteine (TC) in the large domain of one subunit can form a disulfide with an E205C cysteine (EC) in the large domain of a ClpX subunit by a Ni²⁺ ion bound to an α-helical His-X-His motif in the large domain. Based on distances estimated from crystal structures and a calculated Rg, L subunits should display moderate quenching and U subunits should display little or no quenching.

(B) ATPγS-dependent changes in the conformations of subunits containing cCoMET probes (i) were assayed for pseudohexamers (0.3 μM). Lines are fits to a Hill equation with K_{app} and n values of 22 ± 1 μM and 1.4 ± 0.1 (W-VI-W), 70 ± 2 μM and 1.5 ± 0.1 (W-VI-W*), and 25 ± 1 μM and 1.3 ± 0.1 (W-VI-W). The solid gray vertical line represents K_{app} for tight binding to the rightmost subunit of W-VI-W* in nCoMET experiments, whereas the dashed vertical line represents K_{app} for weak binding to the leftmost subunit in W*-VI-W.

(C) ATP-dependent cCoMET conformational changes using 0.3 μM pseudohexamers and 10 μM cp7-CFP-ssrA. Lines are either fits to a Hill equation (K_{app} and n values of 45 ± 4 μM and 0.8 ± 0.1 for W-VI-W, and 21 ± 1 μM and 1.2 ± 0.1 for W-VI-W*) or a hyperbolic plus Hill equation for W-VI-W (hyperbolic phase, K_{app} = 12 ± 18 μM, amplitude = 0.03; Hill phase, K_{app} = 230 ± 13 μM, n = 2.5 ± 0.3, amplitude = 0.15). The solid gray and dashed vertical lines represent K_{app} values for binding to tight and weak subunits as defined in (B).

See also Figure S4.
nonreducing SDS-PAGE (Figure 5B), although 10%–15% of the single L-lock protein remained reduced. The disulfide-bonded L-lock enzymes hydrolyzed ATP (Figure 5C) at maximum rates comparable to the reduced enzymes but faster than a W-W-W control. In the presence of ClpP, which binds both variants (Figure S5), the disulfide-bonded enzymes showed poor or undetectable degradation of a folded protein substrate (cp7-GFP-ssrA) compared to the reduced proteins or W-W-W (Figure 5D). Similarly, the disulfide-bonded double L-lock enzyme failed to degrade an unfolded substrate (titinI27-ssrA with core cysteines modified by fluorescein) at an appreciable rate in the presence of ClpP, but degraded this substrate well after reduction (Figure 5E). After oxidation, the single L-lock enzyme displayed a low level of degradation of the unfolded substrate (Figure 5E), although some of this activity may result from the reduced protein still present (Figure 5B). ClpX rings topologically closed by formation of different disulfide bonds are fully active (Glynn et al., 2012). Thus, disulfide crosslinks that block L→U switching in one or two subunits of the ClpX ring uncouple ATP hydrolysis from efficient substrate unfolding and translocation.

Figure 5. Effects of L-Lock Disulfides on ClpX Function
(A) Cartoon depiction of L-lock disulfide bonds between cysteines in the adjacent large AAA+ domains of subunits in the L conformation.
(B) Nonreducing SDS-PAGE of the single and double L-lock proteins before and after treatment with 20 mM copper phenanthroline. For the single L-lock enzyme, ~15% of the sample was not disulfide bonded after oxidation.
(C) Maximal rates of ATP hydrolysis were determined by Michaelis-Menten experiments using the indicated ClpX variants (0.3 μM pseudohexamers). K_M values were 100 ± 19 μM (W-W-W), 2700 ± 350 μM (disulfide-bonded double L-lock), 1250 ± 190 μM (reduced double L-lock), 1440 ± 45 μM (disulfide-bonded single L-lock), and 490 ± 120 μM (reduced single L-lock).
(D) Rates of degradation of cp7-GFP-ssrA (10 μM) by the indicated ClpX variants (0.3 μM pseudohexamers) and ClpP_14 (0.5 μM) in the presence of ATP (4 mM) and an ATP-regeneration system.
(E) Rates of degradation of titinI27-ssrA (20 μM) denatured by reaction with fluorescein-iodoacetamide by the indicated ClpX variants (0.3 μM pseudohexamers) and ClpP_14 (0.9 μM) in the presence of ATP (10 mM) and an ATP-regeneration system. In (C–E), data are shown as mean ± SD. See also Figure S5.
the $K_d$ for ATP was similar for mini-ClpX\textsuperscript{ΔN} (210 μM) and W-W-W (230 μM; Table S2), and hydrolysis activity was abolished by an E185Q mutation in the single nucleotide-binding site in mini-ClpX\textsuperscript{ΔN} (Figure 6C). Thus, hexamer formation and communication between different nucleotide-binding sites are not required for ATP binding and hydrolysis. However, mini-ClpX\textsuperscript{ΔN} did not bind CtpP (Figure 6D) and exhibited no evidence of interacting with or unfolding protein substrates (Figures 6C and 6E), suggesting that hexamer formation is required for these activities.

DISCUSSION

Setting and Resetting the Configuration of the ClpX Ring

Our results support a model in which (1) the conformation of the hexameric ClpX ring is initially “set” in a staged nucleotide-binding reaction to allow ATP hydrolysis, and (2) the configuration of the nucleotide-loaded ring is subsequently “reset” via isomerization of subunits and reciprocal U→L and L→U subunit-switching events that occur synchronously. Figure 7 shows a model for ring-setting and ring-resetting reactions in which ATP binding causes one ClpX subunit in a 4L:2U ring to switch from a U→L conformation, resulting in a 5L:1U ring. We expect the 5L:1U structure to persist as long as ATP is plentiful but cannot exclude models in which the active enzyme is a 6L ring that switches through a 4L:2U intermediate or that involve mixtures of 4L:2U, 5L:1U, and 6L rings are also possible. We favor the 5L:1U model shown because structures with five L-like subunits and one U-like subunit are observed in the AAA+ rings of the E1 helicase and 26S proteasome (Enemark and Joshua-Tor, 2006; Lander et al., 2012) and because a 5L:1U ClpX ring is structurally plausible. Because a maximum of approximately four nucleotides bind to the ClpX hexamer (Hersch et al., 2005; Figure S2B), we also posit that a 5L:1U ring contains one L subunit that is nucleotide free or binds nucleotide only transiently.

Stepwise conformational changes, driven initially by ATP binding to high-affinity subunits and subsequently by binding to lower-affinity subunits, are key features of the ring-setting reaction. Our results indicate that only the fully loaded ring can perform mechanical work and show that little or no ATP hydrolysis occurs in the partially loaded intermediate with just the high-affinity ATP sites occupied. Thus, the ring-setting reaction minimizes ATP hydrolysis before it can be coupled to functional work. However, the mini-ClpX\textsuperscript{ΔN} pseudodimer, which has just one nucleotide-binding site, hydrolyzes ATP efficiently with a $K_m$ similar to wild-type hexamers. Thus, structural constraints imposed by formation of the hexameric ring appear to keep the high-affinity subunits in the partially loaded intermediate in a conformation poorly suited for ATP hydrolysis.

In our model, ring resetting involves synchronous and paired U→L and L→U subunit switching in the nucleotide-loaded ClpX hexamer, resulting in new isomers or ring configurations with individual subunits having redefined nucleotide-binding properties determined by their position with respect to the U and/or empty L subunits (Figure 7). As we discuss below, resetting the ring appears to be required for the mechanical functions of the ClpX machine. Ring resetting could be linked to ClpX activity in two ways. First, coupled L→U and U→L switching could be a normal consequence of ATP hydrolysis, occurring with each power stroke or some fixed number of power strokes. Alternatively, ring resetting could be independent of a fixed number of hydrolysis events. Such probabilistic resetting could provide a
way to escape stalling in situations in which machine function might otherwise be compromised. These situations could include failed protein-unfolding attempts, as for very stable proteins fewer than 1% of ClpX ATP-hydrolysis events result in unfolding (Kenniston et al., 2003), or binding of an inappropriate nucleotide. For example, ADP binds ClpX subunits over concentration ranges similar to or lower than ATP, yet ClpX functions well in the presence of equimolar ATP and ADP (B.M.S., unpublished data). Ring isomerization might allow ClpX to eject improperly bound ADP and avoid prolonged stalling and could also help explain how ClpX hexamers with only one or two hydrolytically active subunits escape stalling as they unfold and translocate protein substrates (Martin et al., 2005).

Evidence for Subunit Switching
Our results support L ↔ U switching during ClpX function. For example, we find that weakening the nucleotide affinity of one or two subunits in a hexamer increases the concentration of ATP/ATPγS required for ClpX activity, a result expected for a switching model in which nucleotide must bind to every subunit in the hexameric ring at some time during the multiple enzyme cycles required for protein unfolding and translocation. In a non-switching model, by contrast, a low-affinity subunit could simply assume a U or empty L conformation and would only alter the ATP dependence of enzyme function by restricting the number of active ring configurations, which would produce much smaller activity effects than those we observe. Moreover, when we crosslink one or two ClpX subunits in the L conformation using disulfide bonds, these enzymes hydrolyze ATP and bind ClpP but do not efficiently unfold protein substrates and/or translocate unfolded substrates into ClpP, a result that suggests that ATP hydrolysis is not tightly coupled to mechanical work.

L ↔ U switching is also supported by cCoMET results. Each type of subunit in the W-VI-W pseudohexamer displayed roughly similar quenching at saturating concentrations of nucleotide. Thus, in a nucleotide-loaded ring, the average Ni²⁺-TAMRA distance must be similar in high-affinity and low-affinity W subunits as well as in VI subunits. Based on crystal structures, moderate quenching is expected for loadable subunits and very low quenching for unloadable subunits. Thus, our cCoMET results suggest that no single type of subunit in W-VI-W adopts just an L conformation or just a U conformation, but rather that all subunits sample both conformations. This result is inconsistent with nonswitching models, in which the VI subunits would preferentially adopt the U conformation. For ATP and ATPγS, hydrolysis-powered L ↔ U switching could explain these results. For ADP, however, subunit switching would need to be thermally driven. Indeed, ongoing single-molecule studies using the assays described here show subunit switching in the presence of saturating ADP (A.R.N., T.A.B., R.T.S., Y. Shin, H. Manning, and M. Lang, unpublished data).

Structural and Functional Classes of ClpX Subunits
In our crystal structures, substantial conformational variations occur within the general L and U classes of ClpX subunits. Indeed, this variation could allow each L subunit in a 4L:2U or 5L:1U ring to have different nucleotide-binding properties. In previous studies of nucleotide binding to ClpX hexamers, evidence was presented for tight, weak, and empty sites with an approximate ratio of four binding to two nonbinding subunits (Hersch et al., 2005). Subsequent studies with the hexameric HsIU and PAN AAA+ unfoldases revealed similar nucleotide-binding categories and ratios (Yakamavich et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2011). Our current studies support multiple classes of nucleotide-binding sites and suggest a basic ring pattern of [weak-empty-tight-weak-empty-tight] sites, with the proviso that empty sites may bind nucleotide transiently and very weakly. Based on our nCoMET and cCoMET studies, ATP binding to...
tight subunits alters the conformations of weak subunits, whereas ATP binding to weak subunits alters the conformations of empty subunits. These allosteric effects can be viewed as being propagated to the subunit clockwise from the bound subunit, probably through the shared rigid-body unit. Because the conformational changes observed in different classes of subunits occur over different ranges of nucleotide concentration, allosteric models in which there are just two conformations of the ClpX ring can be rejected.

Sequential versus Probabilistic Models
Subunits of hexameric AAA+ and related enzymes may be coordinated by either a sequential model, in which ATP hydrolysis and conformational changes occur in an ordered progression around the ring, or by a probabilistic model, in which sequential events are possible but not obligatory. In the tightly coupled chemical and mechanical cycles of the F1 ATPase, for example, the hydrolytic β subunits switch in a strictly sequential reaction between conformations with different nucleotide-binding properties (Kinosita et al., 2000; Leslie and Walker, 2000). The structural changes in different classes of F1 subunits are less dramatic than L ↔ U switching in ClpX subunits and can be considered analogous to the modest structural changes between different classes of L subunits. Our results suggest that ATP must bind to every subunit in the ClpX ring at some point during the multiple cycles required to unfold and translocate protein substrates and that these activities require U ↔ L subunit switching. Whether these binding, hydrolysis, and switching reactions occur sequentially or probabilistically is unresolved, but several factors suggest that ClpX may operate by a mechanism that is at least partially probabilistic. First, as noted above, ClpX is rather insensitive to ADP inhibition, whereas inappropriate ADP binding stabilizes the F1 motor for very long periods (Hirono-Hara et al., 2001). Second, unlike F1, the ATP-hydrolysis and mechanical functions of ClpX are not tightly coupled. ClpX does not stall when unfolding fails, and our L-lock variants hydrolyze ATP rapidly without doing mechanical work. Third, previous studies showed that R-W-E-R-W-E rings, containing two nonadajacent W subunits that are hydrolytically active and four R or E subunits that are ATPase defective, have ~30% of wild-type ClpX activity in ClpP-mediated degradation (Martin et al., 2005). Given our current results, ATP binding to nonhydrolytic subunits in R-W-E-R-W-E is likely to be important for setting the active conformation of the ring, and probabilistic subunit switching could then permit the two hydrolytic subunits to power substrate unfolding and translocation in a nonsequential reaction. We anticipate that assays at the single-molecule level, potentially using multicolor CoMET pairs in different subunits to establish how nucleotide binding and conformational switching are coordinated, will establish whether ClpX and other AAA+ machines operate as strictly sequential motors, as probabilistic motors, or sequentially some of the time and probabilistically when necessary.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Materials**

PD buffer contained 25 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 100 mM KCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 0.5 mM EDTA. IEXA buffer contained 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.8), 150 mM KCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, and 1 mM EDTA. GF buffer contained 50 mM Tris (pH 7.0), 300 mM KCl, and 10% (v/v) glycerol. ATP (Sigma), ADP (Sigma), ATP·S (Roche), and GTP (Sigma) were dissolved in PD buffer and adjusted to pH 7.0 by addition of NaOH.

**Proteins**

Unless noted, all ClpX variants were derived from *E. coli* ClpX<sub>301</sub> (residues 61–423), contained the C169S mutation to remove an accessible cysteine, and were constructed by PCR and purified generally as described (Martin et al., 2005, 2007). During purification of variants with reactive cysteines, buffers were degassed, argon sparged, and contained 0.5 mM EDTA to minimize oxidation. Buffer exchange and desalting steps were performed using a PD10 column (GE Healthcare).

ClpX variants used for nCoMET experiments initially contained an N-terminal His<sub>8</sub>–SUMO domain. After Ni<sup>2+</</sup>–NTA affinity chromatography (QIAGEN), these variants were exchanged into IEXA buffer, and the H<sub>8</sub>–SUMO domain was cleaved by incubation with equimolar Ulp1 protease for 2 hr at room temperature. Cleavage was confirmed by SDS-PAGE, and the mixture was chromatographed on a MonoQ column (GE Healthcare) using a gradient from 150 to 500 mM KCl. Fractions containing the nCoMET variant were incubated with Oregon Green 488 Maleimide (Invitrogen; three equivalents for each cysteine) for 30 min at room temperature and separated from unreacted dye on a Superdex S-200 column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated in GF buffer. The extent of labeling was more than 90% as determined by absorbance of the purified protein at the maximum of the dye and at 280 nm for this reaction and those described below.

ClpX variants used for cCoMET initially contained a TEV-cleavable C-terminal His<sub>10</sub> tag. After Ni<sup>2+</</sup>–NTA affinity chromatography, these variants were exchanged into PD buffer and incubated with TAMRA-5-maleimide (1.5 equivalents for each cysteine) for 30 min at room temperature. Dithiothreitol (1 mM) was added to quench the reaction and excess dye was removed by desalting. Proteins were incubated with equimolar TEV protease for ~1 hr at room temperature to remove the His<sub>10</sub> tag, and a final purification step was performed using a Superdex S-200 column equilibrated in PD buffer. Dithiothreitol bond formation in L-lock variants was performed as described (Glynn et al., 2012).

The cp7–CFP–ssrA substrate was generated from cp7–GFP–ssrA (Nager et al., 2011) by PCR incorporation of the Y66W, A206K, and N146I mutations described below.

**Crystallization and Structure Determination**

Detailed methods are presented in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

**Fluorescence Assays**

Unless noted, assays were performed at room temperature in PD buffer, with nucleotides, metals, and substrate added as required. All nCoMET assays contained 10 mM CoCl<sub>2</sub>, and nucleotide-dependent changes in fluorescence were measured using a PTI QM-20000–4SE spectrofluorimeter (excitation 500 nm; emission: 520 nm). Signal contamination from fluorescent CFP substrates, when present, was less than 2%. Addition of 1 mM GTP did not result in nCoMET quenching (Figure S6A), confirming specificity. N<sup>1 ϕ</sup> can also be used for nCoMET and supports ClpX function, but Co<sup>2+</</sup> results in a larger R<sub>0</sub> when paired with the Oregon Green dye. nCoMET assays were limited to nucleotide concentrations below 2 mM, as higher concentrations appeared to alter fluorescence indirectly by binding Co<sup>2+</sup>.

All cCoMET assays contained 500 µM N<sup>2 ϕ</sup>–500 µM NTA, and 10 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>. NTA was included because it binds N<sup>2 ϕ</sup> (K<sub>D</sub> ~1 mM) and reduces its affinity for free and ClpX-bound nucleotides but does not prevent binding to the His<sub>15</sub>–His motif. Titration of ATP against W–W–W in the absence of N<sup>2 ϕ</sup>–NTA resulted in no quenching (Figure S6B). Titration of N<sup>2 ϕ</sup>–NTA against W–W–W resulted in ~30% quenching with an affinity of 25 ± 2 µM (Figure S6C). cCoMET can detect small conformational changes. For example, when a cCoMET pair was placed within a single rigid-body unit, ATP binding to high-affinity subunits...
resulted in quenching (Figure S6D). Conformational changes of 3 to 4 Å caused by a minor change in the rigid body or side-chain movements that alter the dye-quencher distance could account for these results.

The degree of TAMRA-TAMRA contact quenching for W-W-W-TT was determined relative to a control experiment with W-W-W-TT in 3 M urea (a W-W-W-TT sample degraded with elastase showed the same fluorescence as the sample in urea).

The apparent nucleotide affinities of individual subunits observed using nCoMET represent a time-weighted average over multiple conformations that occur as a consequence of subunit switching and other conformational changes. Thus, nCoMET may detect ATP·S but not ATP binding to the VI subunits of W-VI*-W because these subunits spend very little time in an ATP-bound state as a consequence of rapid hydrolysis and subsequent ADP dissociation. Alternatively, nCoMET would fail to detect a nucleotide bound without a companion Co2+ ion. This situation is unlikely to occur for ATP, because Co2+ binding is also required for hydrolysis, but it could explain why no ADP binding to VI subunits was detected.

Biochemical Assays

ATP hydrolysis was measured by a coupled assay (Norby, 1988). ATP·S hydrolysis was analyzed by ion-pair chromatography on a Shimadzu Class-VP HPLC. Time points were taken by quenching the reaction with excess dithiothreitol (when Co2+ was present) or by the addition of one-quarter volume of AMMC dipeptide or a decapeptide containing an N-terminal 2-aminobenzoic acid fluorophore and nitro-tyrosine quencher at residue nine as described (Lee et al., 2010).

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The Protein Data Bank accession codes for the new ClpX hexamer structures are 4I81, 4I4L, 4I34, 4ISO, 4I83, and 4IXK (Table S1).

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes six figures, three tables, and Extended Experimental procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2013.03.029.
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