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**SUMMARY**

The spread of cancer during metastatic disease requires that tumor cells subvert normal regulatory networks governing cell motility to invade surrounding tissues and migrate toward blood and lymphatic vessels. Enabled (Ena)/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) proteins regulate cell motility by controlling the geometry of assembling actin networks. Mena, an Ena/VASP protein, is upregulated in the invasive subpopulation of breast cancer cells. In addition, Mena is alternately spliced to produce an invasion isoform, MenaINV. Here we show that Mena and MenaINV promote carcinoma cell motility and invasiveness in vivo and in vitro, and increase lung metastasis. Mena and MenaINV potentiate epidermal growth factor (EGF)-induced membrane protrusion and increase the matrix degradation activity of tumor cells. Interestingly, MenaINV is significantly more effective than Mena in driving metastases and sensitizing cells to EGF-dependent invasion and protrusion. Upregulation of MenaINV could therefore enable tumor cells to invade in response to otherwise benign EGF stimulus levels.

**INTRODUCTION**

Metastasis is the primary cause of death for cancer patients. Escape from the primary tumor and invasion into a new tissue depends on the ability of cancer cells to migrate. Breast cancer invasion and metastasis is driven by a paracrine loop between carcinoma cells that secrete colony-stimulating factor (CSF)-1 and tumor-associated macrophages which secrete epidermal growth factor (EGF) (Condeelis and Pollard, 2006). EGF elicits several responses that recruit carcinoma cells into blood vessels, including invadopodium formation, invasion into the surrounding tissue, chemotaxis, and intravasation (Wyckoff et al., 2004, 2007; Yamaguchi et al., 2005). Invasive mammary carcinoma cells acquire a rapid amoeboid migratory phenotype (Sidani et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2002) and exhibit a distinct gene expression profile (“invasion signature”) in which genes associated with proliferation and apoptosis are downregulated, while a set of motility-related genes are coordinately upregulated. This invasion signature includes a network of actin-regulatory proteins, including the Arp2/3 complex and cofilin, that drive formations of membrane protrusions important for invasion, motility, and chemotaxis (Wang et al., 2004, 2006, 2007).

The initial step in carcinoma cell invasion involves tumor cells crossing a basement membrane. Carcinoma cells cultured on top of dense extracellular matrix in vitro form invadopodia, specialized actin-rich structures that protrude into the matrix and secrete proteases focally that degrade the matrix (Condeelis and Segall, 2003; Buccione et al., 2004; Linder, 2007). Invadopodium formation is regulated by EGF signaling through the neural Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein (N-WASP)-Arp2/3 pathway, while cofilin is required for invadopodium stabilization and maturation (Yamaguchi et al., 2005). Structures analogous to invadopodia have been proposed to facilitate tumor cell invasion and intravasation in vivo (Yamaguchi et al., 2006).

Another component of the invasion signature of carcinoma cells is Mena, a member of the Enabled (Ena)/vasodilator-stimulated phosphoprotein (VASP) family (Wang et al., 2004, 2007) of actin regulatory proteins involved in the regulation of cell motility (Krause et al., 2003) Vertebrates have three Ena/VASP paralogs: Mena, VASP, and Ena/VASP-like (EVL) (Gertler et al., 1996). Ena/VASP proteins localize to focal adhesions, the leading edge of lamellipodia, and the tips of filopodia (Gertler et al., 1996). Ena/VASP proteins have conserved Ena/VASP homolog (Evh) 1 and Evh2 domains at their amino- and carboxytermini, respectively. The EVH1 domain binds proteins containing an FPR consensus motif that regulates localization of Ena/VASP proteins and interaction with signaling pathways (Krause et al., 2003). The EVH1 and EVH2 domains flank a low-complexity, proline-rich...
core that binds to the actin monomer binding protein Profilin (Gertler et al., 1996). The EVH2 domain contains G- and F-actin binding sites and a coiled-coil motif that mediates tetramerization (Bachmann et al., 1999; Huttemaier et al., 1999). Vertebrate Ena/VASP proteins share one conserved serine phosphorylation site, targeted by PKA and PKC (Butt et al., 1994; Chitaley et al., 2004), which plays a role in regulating Ena/VASP in cell motility and filopodia formation (Applewhite et al., 2007; Loureiro et al., 2002).

Ena/VASP activity regulates the geometry of assembling F-actin networks by capturing filament barbed ends and antagonizing capping of elongating filaments by capping proteins; the mechanism underlying Ena/VASP anti-capping activity involves direct binding to profilin-actin complexes and to G- and F-actin (Bear et al., 2000, 2002; Barzik et al., 2005; Ferron et al., 2007; Pasic et al., 2008). Ena/VASP proteins also bundle actin filaments (Bachmann et al., 1999; Barzik et al., 2005), and are thought to cluster filament barbed ends during filopodial formation and elongation (Applewhite et al., 2007; Svitkina et al., 2003).

Mena is upregulated in several human cancers, including breast cancer and melanoma (Di Modugno et al., 2004). Elevated Mena expression correlates with increased invasiveness of breast tumors (Di Modugno et al., 2006). Mena contains four alternatively included exons, named +, ++, ++++, and 11a (Di Modugno et al., 2007; Gertler et al., 1996). Mena++ is preferentially expressed in the nervous system; however, no tissue-specific expression has been identified for Mena++ (Di Modugno et al., 2006). Mena contains four alternatively included exons, named +, ++, ++++, and 11a (Di Modugno et al., 2007; Gertler et al., 1996). Mena++ is preferentially expressed in the nervous system; however, no tissue-specific expression has been identified for Mena++ (Di Modugno et al., 2006). Mena++ is preferentially expressed in the nervous system; however, no tissue-specific expression has been identified for Mena++ (Di Modugno et al., 2006). Mena++ is preferentially expressed in the nervous system; however, no tissue-specific expression has been identified for Mena++ (Di Modugno et al., 2006).

Mena++ is expressed in poorly invasive breast cancer cell lines with epithelial morphology, but not in highly invasive lines with mesenchymal morphology (Di Modugno et al., 2007).

We have shown that Mena is upregulated in the invasive subpopulation of cells in mammary tumors of rats and mice (Wang et al., 2004, 2007). Interestingly, Mena is differentially spliced in vivo in invasive tumor cells as compared with nonmotile resident tumor cells. Invasive tumor cells express Mena mRNA containing the +++ exon, but lack the 11a exon, while noninvasive tumor cells lack ++, but express the Mena11a isoform (Goswami et al., 2008). We have therefore named the Mena isoform containing the +++ exon “INV” for invasive, and refer to Mena variants containing this exon as “MenaINV.” The isoform lacking both the 11a and INV exons is referred to simply as “Mena.”

Here, we address the role of Mena and its invasion-specific splice isoform MenaINV in EGFR-dependent carcinoma cell motility, invasion, and metastasis. Mena promotes in vivo and in vitro invasion and increases carcinoma cell motility in vivo. Furthermore, Mena enhances invadopodium matrix degradation activity. Mena promotes lamellipod extension in response to EGF by increasing the amount of barbed-end actin incorporation at the leading edge. Expression of the invasion-specific isoform, MenaINV, further potentiates invasion, motility, EGFR sensitivity, and formation of lung metastases. We conclude that increased Mena expression sensitizes carcinoma cells to EGF signals. Our results define roles for Mena in key steps of carcinoma cell invasion and EGF-driven motility.

RESULTS

Previously, we found upregulation of MenaINV in the invasive subpopulation of tumor cells in two different rodent models; in particular, total Mena and MenaINV levels were 3- to 4-fold higher in invasive compared to resident tumor cells (Goswami et al., 2008). The INV exon encodes 19 amino acids that are included in Mena just C terminal to the EVH1 domain. For the analyses described below, we generated stable MTLn3 cells expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP)-tagged versions of Mena and MenaINV and selected for cell populations expressing EGFP-Mena or EGFP-MenaINV approximately 4-fold over endogenous levels to mimic the observed changes in Mena expression and splicing in invasive cells. Cells expressing equivalent EGFP levels were used as controls.

MenaINV Localizes to the Leading Edge of Motile Tumor Cells and Promotes Motility In Vivo

We asked whether Mena and/or MenaINV influence carcinoma cell invasion and migration. An initial step in carcinoma cell invasion is dissociation of tumor cells from the primary tumor and migration along extracellular matrix fibers toward blood vessels (Condeelis and Segall, 2003). We used intravital imaging of tumors generated by orthotopic injection of tumor cells into the rat mammary gland to investigate the localization of Mena within tumors in vivo. Within primary tumors, EGFP-Mena localized to cell-cell junctions (Figure 1A), and to rapidly forming protrusions in tumor cells (Figure 1B; see Movie S1 available online). Images of EGFP alone in control cells exhibited no enrichment in protrusions, indicating that EGFP-Mena enrichment in such protrusions was not a result of space filling (data not shown).

We also investigated the subcellular distribution of Mena in motile cancer cells using intraval imaging of MTLn3 EGFP-Mena tumors. Interestingly, in contrast to cells within the primary tumor, EGFP-Mena occupied the cytoplasm in motile carcinoma cells with enrichment at the leading edge in 15%–20% of motile cells (Figure 1B, Movie S1). We observed a similar distribution of EGFP-Mena within newly forming cell protrusions in motile cells arising from tumors formed in nude mice (Movie S2). An identical pattern of localization was found for EGFP-MenaINV (data not shown). Our observation that Mena preferentially localizes to the leading edge of motile tumor cells in vivo is consistent with a potential role for Mena in cancer cell motility and invasion.

We calculated the fraction of motile carcinoma cells expressing EGFP-Mena or EGFP-MenaINV in vivo by analyzing movies taken by intravital imaging. Expression of EGFP-Mena increased the percentage of motile cells compared with control cells expressing EGFP-Zyxin, which has no effect on carcinoma cell motility in vivo (data not shown). Interestingly, expression of EGFP-MenaINV further increased the percentage of motile cells in vivo (Figure 1C).

Since motility is initiated by the formation of protrusions in the direction of movement, we analyzed the protrusive activity of MTLn3 cells with increased Mena/MenaINV levels. MTLn3 cells expressing EGFP-Mena or EGFP-MenaINV exhibited an increase in membrane protrusion compared with parental MTLn3 cells when plated on a collagen gel (Figure S1).

MenaINV Promotes Metastatic Spread to the Lungs

An important consequence of increased carcinoma cell motility can be increased metastases to secondary organs. We asked...
whether the enhanced motility observed in Mena and MenaINV cells increased metastasis to the lungs. To investigate metastatic spread, we used xenografts derived from injection of MTLn3-EGFP and MTLn3-EGFP-Mena- and MenaINV-expressing cells into the mammary gland of 5- to 7-wk-old severe combined immune-deficient (SCID) mice (Neri et al., 1982). Following 4 wks of tumor growth, significantly more micrometastases were found in lungs from xenografts derived from EGFP-Mena cells compared to MTLn3-EGFP control cells (Figure 1E) using high-resolution fluorescent microscopy. Lung metastases quantified using H&E stained lung sections and lower-resolution light microscopy did not show a significant difference due to the sensitivity of the scoring method (Figure 1F). Interestingly, tumors derived from EGFP-MenaINV cells showed an even more striking increase in lung metastases as judged both by fluorescent microscopy and H&E staining compared with MTLn3-EGFP and EGFP-Mena.
Figure 2. Mena and MenaINV Promote Carcinoma Cell Invasion into a 3D Matrix and Enhance Stabilization and Degradation at Invadopodia
(A) 3D Collagen invasion assay using MTLn3 cells expressing Mena or MenaINV in the absence (white bars) and presence (gray bars) of macrophages. Error bars indicate SEM. *p < 0.005 compared to parental MTLn3 cells; ***p < 0.005 compared to MTLn3 Mena cells.
(B) 3D Collagen invasion assay using MTLn3 cells expressing MenaINV in the presence of DMSO or 6 μM AG1478. Error bars indicate SEM.
(C) 3D reconstruction of MTLn3 EGFP-Mena cells transiently transfected with RFP-cortactin invading collagen I. Arrows indicate 3D protrusions (collagen I gel on bottom). Scale bar, 10 μm. Higher magnifications of the protrusion are shown on the bottom right; scale bar, 5 μm.
(D) Schematic diagram of the invadopodium assay. Images in (E) and (G) represent the plane of the matrix in this diagram.
(E) Immunofluorescence of MTLn3 cells using anti-Mena or anti-cortactin antibodies. Arrowheads indicate invadopodia. Scale bar, 10 μm.
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xenografts (Figure 1E and 1F), suggesting that expression of MenaINV renders cells more metastatic. Measurements of primary tumor growth revealed no significant differences between tumors derived from each cell type, thus the observed phenotype was not due to a growth advantage (Figure 1D).

**MenaINV Promotes Macrophage Independent Three Dimensional Invasion of Carcinoma Cells**

Since MenaINV is upregulated in the invasive cell population and promoted in vivo motility and metastasis to the lungs, we wondered whether MenaINV affected invasion in vitro. During invasion and metastasis, carcinoma cells migrate along collagen fibers and are attracted by EGF secreted from tumor-associated macrophages (MΦ) (Condeelis and Segall, 2003; Wyckoff et al., 2004). To analyze the invasive potential of MTLn3 cells expressing Mena or MenaINV, we used an in vitro invasion assay that reconstitutes MΦ-dependent invasion of tumor cells in collagen (Goswami et al., 2005). When cultured alone, less than 5% of parental MTLn3 cells invade more than 20 μm into the collagen gel (Goswami et al., 2005). The presence of MΦ increased the number of invasive MTLn3 cells about 5-fold. Surprisingly, MTLn3 cells expressing Mena showed increased invasion in the absence of MΦ (Figure 2A), while MTLn3 cells expressing EGFP behaved like parental MTLn3 cells (data not shown). MTLn3 cells expressing MenaINV promoted even more invasion than Mena in the absence of MΦ (Figure 2A). In the presence of MΦ, both Mena- and MenaINV-expressing cells exhibited invasion levels similar to controls, potentially because the invasive potential of parental MTLn3 cells is at its maximum with MΦ present. The proinvasive effects of MenaINV likely involves regulation of actin dynamics, since mutation of the F-actin binding site or the proline-rich region (which binds profilin) of MenaINV ablated MΦ-independent carcinoma cell invasion (data not shown). In contrast, the conserved protein kinase (PK) A/PKC phosphorylation site was not required to promote invasion (data not shown).

The observation that MenaINV promoted three dimensional (3D) invasion in an MΦ-independent manner suggests that MenaINV can promote invasion without a strong growth factor signal. Since serum-containing medium was present on top of the collagen gel, we reasoned that expression of MenaINV may have rendered MTLn3 cells responsive to small amounts EGF receptor (EGFR) ligands diffusing down from the media in concentrations below the threshold required for invasion of parental MTLn3 cells. To test this hypothesis, we inhibited EGFR signaling by including AG1478, an EGFR inhibitor, in both the gel and the overlaying media. EGFR inhibition reduced the MΦ-independent invasion of MTLn3 cells expressing MenaINV to a level comparable to that of control cells (Figure 2B). Therefore MenaINV expression enables carcinoma cells to respond to lower EGF concentrations.

**MenaINV Leads to Enhanced Stabilization and Degradation at Invadopodia**

During carcinoma cell invasion, dissociation of tumor cells from the primary tumor and crossing of a basement membrane is facilitated by local activation of proteases. In vitro, protease activation by carcinoma cells occurs at invadopodia (Yamaguchi et al., 2005). We detected EGFP-Mena in protrusions formed by MTLn3 cells invading a collagen I gel (Figure 2C) and found that Mena colocalized with RFP-cortactin in these structures. Since cortactin, an actin binding protein, localizes in invadopodia formed by cultured mammary tumor cells, we hypothesized that the protrusions might represent 3D correlates of invadopodia. When cultured on fibronectin (FN)-gelatin-coated dishes, MTLn3 cells form invadopodia at the ventral cell membrane (Figure 2D) (Yamaguchi et al., 2005). In this assay, endogenous Mena concentrated in invadopodia in MTLn3 cells and colocalized with cortactin and F-actin (Figure 2E and data not shown). In addition, an EGFP-tagged version of Mena or MenaINV localized to nascent and/or mature invadopodia when stably expressed in MTLn3 cells (data not shown). The distribution of Mena, as measured by fluorescence intensity scans throughout invadopodia, showed a pattern comparable to that of cortactin (Figure S2).

Next, we determined whether Mena or MenaINV affected invadopodium formation and/or maturation. We measured the percentage of cells containing invadopodia in MTLn3 cells expressing Mena or MenaINV and found no difference in the number of cells containing invadopodia as compared to EGFP-expressing control cells (data not shown). Similarly, the numbers of invadopodia per cell were not altered compared to control.

We asked whether Mena or MenaINV affected matrix degradation by invadopodia. Interestingly, there was a significant increase in matrix degradation by MTLn3 cells expressing EGFP-Mena or EGFP-MenaINV compared with EGFP-control cells measured as holes in the fluorescently labeled FN matrix (Figures 2F and 2G).

Matrix degradation activity is maximal in mature, long-lived invadopodia (M.O., unpublished data). Therefore, we asked whether Mena promotes invadopodium maturation and/or stabilization using time-lapse fluorescence analysis of MTLn3 cells stably expressing GFP-actin and transiently transfected with mCherry, mCherry-Mena, or mCherry-MenaINV. The resulting movies showed colocalization of GFP-actin and mCherry-Mena to dynamic invadopodia (Movie S3). In addition, the average lifetime of invadopodia was increased by expression of Mena (90 min) or MenaINV (107 min) compared with control cells (60 min) (Figures 2H and 2I). Untransfected cells expressing GFP-actin on the same dish served as controls to confirm that expression of fluorescent proteins did not alter invadopodium lifetime. Invadopodia with a lifetime >70 min have the capacity to degrade matrix (M.O., unpublished data). The percentage of invadopodia with lifetimes >70 min was increased 2-fold in Mena- or MenaINV-expressing cells. The percentage of cells

(F) Matrix degradation by MTLn3 cells expressing EGFP, EGFP-Mena, or EGFP-MenaINV. Bars represent the mean of two independent experiments and over 40 cells analyzed. Error bars indicate SEM. *p < 0.00005.

(G) Images of Texas Red-fibronectin matrix indicating degradation by invadopodia visible as black holes (arrowheads). Scale bar, 10 μm.

(H) Analysis of invadopodium lifetime of MTLn3 GFP-Actin cells transiently transfected with mCherry-Mena or mCherry-MenaINV. Non-transfected cells on the same dish served as control. Error bars indicate SEM. *p < 0.005.

(i) Histogram showing the distribution of invadopodium lifetimes.
containing invadopodia with lifetimes >200 min was increased 10-fold in the presence of Mena or MenaINV, suggesting that these longer-lived invadopodia account for Mena-dependent increases in matrix degradation. Together, these results indicate that Mena and MenaINV promote invadopodium stabilization and/or maturation, thereby enhancing matrix degradation.

**MenaINV Promotes In Vitro Lamellipod Formation and In Vivo Invasion in Response to Low Concentrations of EGF**

Response to EGF is a key step during carcinoma cell invasion and has been directly correlated with metastasis (Wyckoff et al., 2004). MTLn3 cells respond to bath applications of EGF by increased actin polymerization that drives circumferential lamellipodial extension (Mouneimne et al., 2004). Analysis of lamellipodial extension of EGFP-Mena- or EGFP-MenaINV-expressing MTLn3 cells indicated no difference in protrusion from control cells following treatment with saturating EGF concentrations (5 nM; data not shown). Since these conditions are optimal for maximal membrane protrusion and cell area increase of parental cells, we speculated that these conditions would not permit additional stimulating effects.

The relationship between EGF concentration and protrusion in MTLn3 cells following stimulation is biphasic, with a maximal response elicited by treatment with 5 nM EGF. Since higher and lower EGF concentrations lead to diminished lamellipodial extension (Segal et al., 1996), we analyzed lamellipodium protrusion of MTLn3 cells expressing EGFP-MenaINV or EGFP as a function of EGF concentration and found that EGFP-MenaINV cells respond to EGF concentrations as low as 0.025 nM (Figure 3A). In contrast, a concentration of 1 nM was required to observe maximal lamellipodial extension in EGFP-control cells. These results indicate that expression of EGFP-MenaINV sensitizes MTLn3 cells to lower EGF concentrations by 40-fold.

An in vivo invasion assay (Wyckoff et al., 2000b) was used to investigate whether migrating tumor cells are sensitized to EGF in vivo. Tumors were derived from injection of MTLn3-EGFP or EGFP-MenaINV cells into SCID mice. Invasive tumor cells migrate in vivo. Tumors were derived from injection of MTLn3-EGFP or MTLn3 cells to lower EGF concentrations by 40-fold.

To analyze the relationship between Mena and the Arp2/3 complex in EGF-mediated lamellipodial protrusion, we stained EGFP-Mena MTLn3 cells for the Arp2/3 complex after EGF stimulation (Figure 3D). Therefore, MenaINV function is required for efficient lamellipodial extension after EGF stimulation in MTLn3 cells.

One possible explanation for the enhanced EGF responses of MenaINV, or Mena-expressing MTLn3 cells is increased expression of members of the EGFR family or their ligands. To test whether EGFP-Mena or EGFP-MenaINV induces MTLn3 cells to express EGF, other EGFR ligands, or EGFRs, we compared mRNA levels from different MTLn3 cell lines for the expression of EGF, transforming growth factor-β, heparin binding EGF-like growth factor, amphiregulin, and neuregulin, as well as expression of the known EGFRs, EGFR, ErbB2, -3, and 4. Neither EGFP-Mena nor EGFP-MenaINV expression in MTLn3 cells altered mRNA expression of any of these EGFRs or EGFR ligands (data not shown). Therefore, the increase in Mena-independent invasion and EGF responsiveness of Mena-expressing MTLn3 cells is not due to induction of an autocrine feedback loop or expression of higher EGF levels.

We next asked whether Ena/VASP proteins are required for lamellipod protrusion and actin polymerization after EGF stimulation in MTLn3 cells using a well-established strategy to deplete Ena/VASP proteins from their normal sites of function and sequester them on the mitochondria (Bear et al., 2000). The sequestration approach effectively phenocopies Ena/VASP deficiency in a variety of systems, including fibroblasts, neurons, and Drosophila (Dent et al., 2007; Gates et al., 2007). Stable expression of an EGFP-tagged mitochondrial targeting sequence fused to four Ena/VASP binding sites formed FP4 motifs in MTLn3 cells (cells referred to as “FP4Mito”) sequestered all Ena/VASP proteins on the mitochondria (Figure S3), thereby neutralizing their activity. In contrast, a control construct containing four mutated Ena/VASP binding sites (“AP4Mito”) did not alter Ena/VASP localization in MTLn3 cells. EGF-induced membrane protrusion was dramatically attenuated in cells expressing the FP4Mito sequestering construct compared with AP4Mito-expressing control cells (Figure 3D). Therefore, Ena/VASP function is required for efficient lamellipodial extension after EGF stimulation in MTLn3 cells.
Arp2/3 complex was still enriched there (Figure 4D). Furthermore, EGFP-Mena localized distal to the Arp2/3 signal, which colocalized with F-actin (Figure 4E), as verified by line scan analysis through the extending lamellipod (Figure 4F). EGFP-Mena leading-edge recruitment was distal to and preceded Arp2/3 and F-actin recruitment in time.
Recruitment of Mena to the Leading Edge Requires Free Barbed Ends

We next asked whether Mena/MenaINV could be recruited to the leading edge in response to cofilin activity. EGF-stimulated phospholipase Cγ (PLCγ) hydrolyses phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2), thereby releasing active cofilin from its complex with PIP2 in the plasma membrane. Activated cofilin then locally severs actin filaments to generate free barbed ends and start actin polymerization and cell protrusion (van Rheenen et al., 2007) (Figure 5C). We analyzed Mena localization after EGF stimulation in the presence of cytochalasin D (CD), a fungal metabolite that, when used at low concentrations, specifically binds to free barbed ends of actin filaments and reduces the rate of actin monomer addition; under these conditions, lamellipodia protrude, but with lower velocity (Bear et al., 2002). EGFP-Mena failed to localize to the leading edge of MTLn3 cells after EGF treatment in the presence of 50 nM CD, whereas the Arp2/3 complex was still recruited to the leading edge (Figures 5A and 5B). Therefore, as in fibroblasts (Bear et al., 2002), Arp2/3 complex was still recruited to the leading edge (Figures 5A and 5B). Therefore, as in fibroblasts (Bear et al., 2002), MenaINV localization to the leading edge of MTLn3 cells was related to the availability of free barbed ends. Similarly, MenaINV was not recruited to the leading edge after EGF stimulation in the presence of CD (data not shown). Therefore, cofilin-generated barbed ends could be the mechanism underlying Mena recruitment to the leading edge upon EGF stimulation.

MenaINV Promotes Actin Polymerization at the Leading Edge in Response to Low Concentrations of EGF

EGF-induced lamellipodial protrusion correlates directly with the generation of free actin filament barbed ends. EGF induces an increase in the number of free barbed ends in the most peripheral 0.26 μm zone of the leading edge of MTLn3 cells. This increase in free barbed ends shows two transients: a cofilin-dependent peak after 1 min, and a smaller peak 3 min after EGF treatment (Mouneimne et al., 2004) (Figure 5C). To determine whether the increased lamellipodial protrusion of Mena-expressing cells in response to nonsaturating EGF resulted from an increase in free barbed ends at the leading edge, we measured the relative number of barbed ends after EGF addition. Free barbed ends were increased at the leading edge in both EGFP-Mena- and EGFP-MenaINV-expressing cells compared with EGFP-control cells after stimulation with 0.5 nM EGF for both the 1 and 3 min barbed-end transients (Figures 6A and 6B). Increased lamellipodial protrusion in EGFP-MenaINV-expressing cells was observed as early as 20 s after EGF stimulation (Figure 6A); therefore, we analyzed barbed ends at earlier time points. Increase of free barbed ends was observed beginning at 20 s and persisting up to 1 min after EGF stimulation in EGFP-MenaINV cells compared with EGFP-Mena cells (Figure 6C). Taken together, these results suggest that Mena expression renders carcinoma cells more sensitive to low, nonsaturating EGF concentrations, and enables cells to respond with actin incorporation at free barbed ends and lamellipodial protrusion. MenaINV sensitizes carcinoma cells even further to promote more rapid and greater responses to lower EGF concentrations.

To determine whether inhibition of Ena/VASP function affects EGF-induced free barbed-end production, we examined the levels of free barbed ends in FPα and AP7Mito cells. Inhibition of Ena/VASP function dampened both the 1 and 3 min transients of free barbed-end production after 5 nM EGF stimulation (Figures 6D and 6E). In addition, unstimulated FPα,Mito-expressing cells showed a small but statistically significant reduction in free barbed ends at the leading edge compared with control cells (Figure 6E). These results indicate that Ena/VASP proteins are necessary to form or maintain free barbed ends at the leading edge after EGF stimulation.

Mena and MenaINV Promote Actin Polymerization in a Cofilin-Dependent Manner

To understand how expression of Mena or MenaINV promotes actin polymerization at the leading edge, we tested three possible mechanisms. First, we hypothesized that Mena expression changed the output of the EGFR signaling pathway directly. We observed no difference in the phosphorylation status of the canonical EGFR downstream targets Erk (pT202/pY204), Akt (pS473), and PKCδ (pT505); minor differences in phospho EGFR levels (pY1068) were observed between experiments; however, no consistent trend emerged (Figure S5 and data not shown). Second, we asked whether Mena was phosphorylated upon EGF treatment. No changes in Mena or MenaINV phosphorylation at the conserved serine residue (S236) were detected (Figure S4). Therefore, Mena and MenaINV potentiate EGF-induced motility responses by a mechanism that does not cause global changes in EGFR signaling or by being phosphorylated in response to EGF.

Lastly, given the potentiating effects of MenaINV on the cofilin-dependent early barbed-end transient, we used MTLn3 cells overexpressing Lin-11, Isl-1, Mec-3 kinase (LIMK) or LIMK kinase-dead mutant (F and KS cells, respectively) to investigate whether cofilin-generated free barbed ends are required for the potentiation of actin polymerization by Mena at the leading edge after EGF treatment. LIMK phosphorylates cofilin and thereby renders it inactive. Following EGF treatment, F cells inhibit generation of free barbed ends, whereas KS cells show an increase in barbed ends (Wang et al., 2006). We transiently transfected F and KS cells with mCherry, mCherry-Mena, or mCherry-MenaINV.
mCherry-MenaINV, and determined the number of free barbed ends after EGF stimulation. Expression of Mena or MenaINV had no effect on the number of barbed ends in F- or KS-overexpressing cells (Figure 6F). We conclude that cofilin activity is required for Mena- and MenaINV-promoted barbed-end generation (Figure 5C).

**DISCUSSION**

We have identified Mena, in particular the invasion-specific isoform MenaINV, as regulators of carcinoma cell invasion. MenaINV promotes responses to EGF signaling, such as lamellipodial protrusion and actin incorporation at barbed ends. Both these responses play critical roles during breast cancer invasion and metastasis (DesMarais et al., 2004; Mouneimne et al., 2004). MenaINV stabilizes invadopodia and thereby increases their matrix degradation activity. Finally, MenaINV promotes carcinoma cell invasion in a 3D environment in vitro and in vivo, and increases in vivo carcinoma cell motility and the formation of lung metastases. We conclude that MenaINV influences cancer cell invasion by stabilizing invadopodia, regulating the response to EGF signaling, and increasing overall motility.

**MenaINV Promotes Carcinoma Cell Invasion and Metastasis**

The effects of increased Mena levels differ depending on cellular context. In two-dimensional (2D) cell culture systems, Ena/VASP proteins negatively regulate spontaneous fibroblast motility (Bear et al., 2000); this negative regulation is due to a shift in lamellipodial dynamics (Bear et al., 2002). Increased Mena levels promote the formation of longer, less branched actin networks; in lamellipodia within fibroblasts, such networks likely lack the structural integrity to push effectively against the plasma membrane and instead buckle and are converted into ruffles that do not contribute to locomotion. In neurons, increased Mena levels result in robust filopod formation, likely due to the high levels of fascin that bundle the long filaments, forming structures stiff enough to protrude (Lebrand et al., 2004). Thus, the output of Mena activity is affected by the other components of the protrusion machinery.

In the present study, we find that carcinoma cell motility in 3D environments is increased by Mena expression. Expression of Mena resulted in a higher percentage of motile carcinoma cells in vivo and increased invasive potential both in vitro and in vivo. Furthermore, expression of MenaINV promoted the formation of metastasis to the lung significantly more than Mena- or MTLn3-EGFP-control cells. These results are consistent with the documented upregulation of Mena in invasive tumor cells in mammary tumors of rat and mouse. It is likely that Ena/VASP proteins influence cell motility differently in fibroblasts and carcinoma cells; neither overexpression of Mena nor inhibition of all Ena/VASP proteins alters random 2D motility of MTLn3 carcinoma cells (data not shown), whereas overexpression decreased and inhibition increased random 2D motility in fibroblasts, respectively (Bear et al., 2000). Furthermore, carcinoma cell invasion is the result of directed cell migration toward a chemoattractant, such as EGF (Chan et al., 1998). EGF stimulation affects motility in part by stabilizing lamellipodial protrusion (Hinz et al., 1999); in this context, Mena enhancement of protrusion may be more readily converted to productive locomotion.

**MenaINV in Invadopodium Formation and Maturation**

Mena localizes to invadopodia, structures assembled at the cell periphery of highly metastatic cancer cells (Linder, 2007). Invadopodium formation is initiated by EGF signaling, where EGFR activation leads to a signal cascade that activates N-WASP and results in actin polymerization initiated by the Arp2/3 complex (Yamaguchi et al., 2005). Cofilin-dependent actin polymerization is required for invadopodium maturation and stabilization. Similar to cofilin, expression of Mena did not influence the initiation of invadopodium formation, but did influence the stability and maturation of invadopodia. Mena or MenaINV expression increased matrix degradation activity and invadopodium lifetime. Therefore, Mena likely plays a role in the actin dynamics required for invadopodium stabilization. Mena may bind to the free barbed ends in immature invadopodia, generated through the severing activity of cofilin, and promote actin polymerization. Alternatively, the actin filament-bundling function of Mena may be required to stabilize invadopodia.

**Mena Splice Isoforms in Metastasis**

A key finding of our work is the identification of the MenaINV isoform as an invasion-promoting isoform. Expression of MenaINV promotes carcinoma cell invasion, EGF-mediated lamellipodial protrusion, and barbed-end actin incorporation to a greater extent than expression of Mena. Importantly, expression of MenaINV potentiates invasion in vivo and leads to enhanced formation of lung metastases independent of tumor growth. It will be interesting to determine the function of the INV exon and how it can promote responses of the actin cytoskeleton. We hypothesize that the inclusion of the 19 amino acid INV sequence changes the 3D structure of Mena and either facilitates binding to interacting proteins or locks it into a more active state.

In addition to the MenaINV invasion-specific isoform, a recent study identified a second Mena isoform, called Mena 11a, which is exclusively expressed in cells with an epithelial morphology (Di Modugno et al., 2007). The Mena 11a exon consists of 20 amino acids, and its expression is lost during epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. We have observed that the expression of the Mena 11a exon is lost during cancer cell metastasis, whereas the expression of MenaINV is induced (Goswami et al., 2008). Therefore it appears that Mena activity is regulated through splicing during metastasis. Exon-specific antibodies to INV and 11a could be used to detect highly invasive tumors and may...
Figure 6. Expression of MenaINV Promotes Formation of Barbed Ends at Early Time Points in Response to Low Concentrations of EGF in a Cofilin-Dependent Manner

(A) Barbed end incorporation after 0.5 nM EGF stimulation in MTLn3 EGFP, EGFP-Mena, or EGFP-MenaINV cells using Biotin-labeled actin. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(B) Relative number of barbed-end incorporation at the leading edge 1 and 3 min after 0.5 nM EGF stimulation. Results represent duplicates; over 30 cells analyzed. Error bars indicate SEM.

(C) Relative number of barbed end incorporation at the leading edge 20, 40, and 60 s after 0.5 nM EGF stimulation. Results represent triplicates; over 40 cells analyzed. Error bars indicate SEM.

(D) Barbed end incorporation after 5 nM EGF stimulation in MTLn3 EGFP-FP4-Mito or EGFP-AP4-Mito cells using Biotin-labeled actin. Scale bar, 10 μm.

(E) Relative number of barbed-end incorporation at the leading edge after 5 nM EGF stimulation in MTLn3-EGFP-AP4 or EGFP-FP4-Mito cells. Results represent triplicates; over 40 cells analyzed. Error bars indicate SEM.

(F) Relative number of barbed-end incorporation at the leading edge after 5 nM EGF stimulation. Results represent duplicates; over 35 cells analyzed. Error bars indicate SEM.
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Figure 7. Model of the Function of MenaINV Downstream of EGF Signaling
In unstimulated cells, actin filaments are capped. EGF stimulation leads to PLCγ-mediated release and activation of cofilin from PIP2. Cofilin severs capped actin filaments and MenaINV binds newly generated free barbed ends. Actin filament elongation and the resulting membrane protrusion are supported by the recruitment of polymerization-competent monomers through profilin binding and the anti-capping activity of Mena. Subsequently, the Arp2/3 nucleates new filaments that branch off the growing filaments.

provide a strategy for diagnosis and prognosis of cancer patients. A growing body of evidence implicates alternate splicing events in cancer progression (Venables, 2006); whether additional genes within the invasion signature are regulated by alternate splicing remains to be determined.

Ena/VASP Proteins Downstream of EGF Signaling
Carcinoma cell invasion requires a signal in the form of a growth factor to initiate chemotaxis. Breast carcinoma cells are chemotactic to EGF, and during breast cancer metastasis EGF is secreted by tumor-associated Mφ and attracts carcinoma cells to blood vessels (Mouneimne et al., 2006; Wyckoff et al., 2007). EGF signaling results in actin polymerization at the leading edge of carcinoma cells, a process regulated through cofilin severing and Arp2/3-mediated dendritic nucleation (DesMarais et al., 2004; Mouneimne et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006). Mena localizes to the protruding edge of carcinoma cells treated with EGF and promotes EGF-stimulated lamellipodial protrusion and actin polymerization. Furthermore, Ena/VASP activity is required for efficient lamellipodial protrusion.

Our results suggest that Mena plays a key role in the response to EGF signaling. MenaINV expression sensitizes the cell to EGF signaling and enables cellular responses, such as lamellipodial extension and invasion in vitro and in vivo, in response to much lower EGF concentrations than parental MTLn3 cells. This observation is consistent with the ability of Mena and MenaINV to promote carcinoma cell invasion in the absence of Mφ.

How does MenaINV sensitize cells to low EGF concentrations? Analysis of canonical EGFR signaling pathways revealed no obvious differences in cells expressing Mena or MenaINV. The timing of Mena recruitment to the leading edge resembles the activation profile of cofilin and precedes Arp2/3 recruitment. Since cofilin activity is required for Mena-promoted actin polymerization, we suggest the following mechanism for EGF-stimulated lamellipodial protrusion. In resting, unstimulated cells, most actin filaments are capped and unavailable for polymerization. EGF stimulation leads to cofilin recruitment and severing of capped actin filaments at the leading edge. The newly generated free barbed ends are bound by Mena, and actin filament elongation is supported by the recruitment of polymerization-competent monomers through profilin binding and the anti-capping activity of Mena (Figure 7) (Bear et al., 2002). Our model suggests that upregulation of MenaINV is a mechanism for amplifying the cofilin pathway. The canonical EGFR signaling pathways Erk, Akt, and PKCα are not affected, because MenaINV acts as an amplifier downstream of cofilin (Figure 5C).

Mena function may be regulated by the tumor suppressor, Tes, which binds the EVH1 domain of Mena through an unconventional Lim-domain mediated interaction, thereby blocking its FP2 binding site (Boeda et al., 2007). It is intriguing that Tes binds only Mena and not VASP or EVL, similar to the observation that Mena, but not VASP or EVL, is upregulated in invasive carcinoma cells. The absence of Tes in tumors and its ability to regulate Mena function could contribute to Mena-promoted invasion.

The increased EGF sensitivity of cells expressing Mena or MenaINV is interesting in light of the common resistance of metastatic cancer cells to EGFR inhibitory drugs, such as Iressa and/or Tarceva (Baselga and Arteaga, 2005). Upregulation of Mena or MenaINV could enable tumor cells to invade in the absence of a strong EGF signal and escape the action of these cancer treatments.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Molecular Cloning
EGFP-Ena/VASP family members and Mena splice isoforms were subcloned into the retroviral vector packaging Murine stem cell virus-EGFP using standard techniques. EGFP-MenaINV mutants were subcloned or generated using mutagenic polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers (Stratagene) and confirmed by sequencing. mCherry-Mena and mCherry-MenaINV were expressed in a pCAX vector driven by the chicken ß-actin promoter.

Cell Culture, Retroviral Packaging, Infection, and Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting
MTLn3 cells were cultured, starved, and stimulated with EGF (Invitrogen) as previously described (DesMarais et al., 2004). Retroviral packaging, infection,
and fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) were performed as previously described (Bear et al., 2000). EGFP-Mena MTLn3 cells were FACS sorted to a level of 4-fold overexpression of EGFP-Mena on the protein level. The MTLn3 Mena recovery line was sorted for EGFP signal intensity levels similar to those of EGFP-Mena cells.

Immunoblotting
NP40 protein lysates were prepared, separated by SDS-PAGE, and probed with antibodies (anti-phospho Mena antiserum 3754 [1:250], anti-Erk, anti-phospho Erk, anti-phospho Akt and anti-phospho PLC-γ [1:1000]; Cell Signaling) as previously described (Loureiro et al., 2002).

Transient Transfection
MTLn3 cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) as previously described (Yamaguchi et al., 2005).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy
Cells were plated on glass coverslips, fixed and stained as previously described (Gertler et al., 1996). Anti-Mena (2197) was used at 1:400, anti-N-WASP antibody was used as previously described (Yamaguchi et al., 2005), anti-p34-Arc antibody (Upstate) was used at 10 μg/ml, and Alexa-Fluor350 or 568 phallodin (Molecular Probes) were used at 1:250 or 1:500. Cells were imaged using a DeltaVision microscope (Applied Precision, Olympus IX71, 60×/1.4NA Plan Apo objective) and processed using Softwox software (SGI, Mountain View, CA).

Live-Cell Imaging
Cells were plated on glass-bottom dishes (MatTek) treated with 1 M HCl for 5 min, followed by 70% ethanol and PBS wash. Cells were imaged with an ORCA-ER camera (Hamamatsu) attached to a Nikon TE2000 microscope with dual Sutter filter wheels, a spinning disk confocal head (Yokagawa), a mercury light source, and a Coherent 70C 2 W multiline laser using 20×/0.75NA Plan Apo or 60×/1.4NA Plan Apo Nikon objectives. All images were collected, measured, and compiled with the aid of Metamorph imaging software (Molecular Devices) and Adobe Photoshop. For time-lapse imaging, cells were kept at 37°C with the aid of a Solent incubation chamber (Solent Inc.).

Membrane Protrusion Assay
MTLn3 cells were starved for 3–4 hr in L15 medium (GIBCO BRL) supplemented with 0.35% BSA. For stimulation, cells were treated with a bath application of 5 or 0.5 nM EGFR (Invitrogen) at 37°C. Time-lapse movies were recorded for 10 min with a 10 s interval after the addition of EGFR. For CD experiments, 50 nM CD was added 30 min before EGF stimulation; DMSO addition served as control. Time-lapse movies were analyzed for frequency of motility using ImageJ as previously described (Sahai et al., 2005); a cell was scored as motile if the translocation of the cell body was visible in the movies.

Barbed-End Assay
The barbed-end assay was used as previously described (Chan et al., 1998). Quantitation of fluorescence intensity versus distance from the cell periphery was performed using ImageJ and macro analysis as previously described (Mouneimne et al., 2004). The average intensities corresponded to the zone between 0 and 0.26 μm inside the cell were plotted versus time after EGF stimulation.

Invadopodium Assay
Invadopodium assays were performed as described previously (Yamaguchi et al., 2005). Alexa568 protein labeling kit (Molecular Probes) was used to label fibronectin (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were cultured on fibronectin-gelatin-coated dishes for 12–16 hr. To quantify invadopodium number, cells were stained with anti-cortactin antibody (1:100; Upstate Labs, Inc.) and scored as invadopodium positive if dot-like structures contained cortactin and colocalized with F-actin. Matrix degradation area was calculated using ImageJ. For invadopodium lifetime analysis, MTLn3 cells stably expressing GFP-actin (Lorenz et al., 2004) were transiently transfected with mCherry-Mena or mCherry-Mena adopt constructs. Time-lapse series were taken at 37°C 24 hr after transfection using an Olympus IX70 microscope equipped with a CCD camera, humidified CO2 chamber, and autofocus system. Fluorescence images were taken every 2 min for up to 16 hr and analyzed using ImageJ.

In Vitro Invasion Assay
In vitro invasion assays were performed as previously described (Goswami et al., 2005). Briefly, MTLn3 cells were plated on MatTek dishes in the presence or absence of BAC1.2FS cells, overlaid with 6 mg/ml collagen I after 16 hr, and fixed 24 hr later. For the AG1478 experiments, 6 μM AG1478 was added to the collagen gel and the overlaying media. DMSO addition served as control. Cells were analyzed using a confocal microscope by taking optical z sections every 5 μm starting at the bottom of the dish. To quantify invasion, GFP fluorescence was summed from z sections 20 μm and above and divided by the sum of GFP fluorescence in all z sections. Three-dimensional reconstructions were generated using Imaris Software (Bitplane AG).

Animal Models
Xenograft tumors were derived from subcutaneous injection of 1 × 108 MTLn3-EGFP, MTLn3-EGFP-Mena, or Mena recovery cells into the mammary gland of 5–7 wk-old female SCID mice (Wyckoff et al., 2004). All experiments were performed following 3–4 wk of tumor growth. Xenografts in Fisher 344 rats and nude mice were also derived in a similar manner for use in intravital imaging.

In Vivo Invasion Assay
The in vivo invasion assay was performed as previously described (Wyckoff et al., 2000b). In brief, six catheterized microneedles containing Matrigel and EGF (concentrations varying from 0.0 to 50 nM) were inserted into the primary tumor and held in place by micromanipulators. Following 4 hr of cell collection, the total number of tumor cells that migrated into each needle was quantified as previously described (Wyckoff et al., 2004). At least five mice were used per condition.

Lung Metastases
Spontaneous lung metastases were evaluated 4 wks after mammary gland injection. Following 16–24 days of tumor growth, animals were anesthetized and a skin flap was cut to expose the tumor. Anesthesia was maintained while the tumor was imaged within a 30°C heated chamber using an LSM510 laser scanning microscope connected to a Chameleon Coherent Ti-Sapphire laser or a Biorad Radiation 2000 multiphoton microscope with an inverted Olympus IX70 connected to a Spectra Physics Tsunami Ti-Sapphire laser. The objectives used were 20×, 40×, and 63× 1.2W immersion correction lenses. Time-lapse movies were analyzed for frequency of motility using ImageJ as previously described (Sahai et al., 2005); a cell was scored as motile if the translocation of the cell body was visible in the movies.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical significances were determined using unpaired, two-tailed Student’s t tests assuming equal variances and an alpha level of 0.05. Differences were considered significant if the p value was <0.05.

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA
Supplemental Data include five figures and four movies and can be found with this article online at http://www.developmentalcell.com/supplemental/S1534-5807(08)00376-6.
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