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Calorie restriction and sirtuins revisited

Leonard Guarente1
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Calorie or dietary restriction (CR) has attracted attention because it is the oldest and most robust way to extend rodent life span. The idea that the nutrient sensors, termed sirtuins, might mediate effects of CR was proposed 13 years ago and has been challenged in the intervening years. This review addresses these challenges and draws from a great body of new data in the sirtuin field that shows a systematic redirection of mammalian physiology in response to diet by sirtuins. The prospects for drugs that can deliver at least a subset of the benefits of CR seems very real.

A review published previously in Genes & Development (Guarente 2000) stated the hypothesis that calorie restriction (CR) slowed aging and the accompanying decline in health by activating yeast Sir2p and its homologs in higher organisms, now collectively termed sirtuins. This active mechanism for CR required regulation by nutrient sensors and contrasted with previous passive models, for example, that less food slowed the accumulation of oxidative damage. The idea that CR was thus regulated arose from the discovery that sirtuins were NAD-dependent deacetylases (Imai et al. 2000), which also gave rise to replicative longevity in yeast (Kaeberlein et al. 1999). Three years later, small molecules that activated the mammalian sirtuin SIRT1 were described (Howitz et al. 2003), suggesting that health-promoting CR mimetic drugs might be possible. Since the 2000 review, thousands of studies on sirtuins and activating compounds like resveratrol have been published along with some challenging data, and this large body of information now prompts a re-evaluation of the robustness of the original hypothesis. The first part of this review discusses challenges to the sirtuin/CR hypothesis that have arisen over the years and how more recent data address many of these concerns. The latter parts describe links between the many cellular and molecular functions of mammalian sirtuins and the critical tissue-autonomous and systemic physiological adaptations triggered by CR. This does not attempt to be a comprehensive review of all findings in the area of CR, and I apologize to those whose work is not described.
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Calorie restriction and sirtuins revisited

Toward the resolution of discordances

Sirtuins and aging

Perhaps the greatest challenge to the idea that sirtuins mediate effects of CR was a study describing the failure to observe extension of life span in worms or flies transgenic for the corresponding SIR2 orthologs after controlling for genetic background (Burnett et al. 2011). These findings contradicted other, earlier studies that showed extension of life span in transgenic worms (Tissenbaum and Guarente 2001; Viswanathan et al. 2005; Berdichevsky et al. 2006) and flies (Rogina and Helfand 2004; Wood et al. 2004; Bauer et al. 2009). In fact, a subset of these earlier studies did control for genetic background (e.g., Bauer et al. 2009), creating conflicting claims about the role of sirtuins in aging.

Recently, a group of papers re-examined the effects of sirtuins on life spans in different organisms and reinforced the idea that they are of central importance [Fig. 1]. In budding yeast, one study underscored the established importance of SIR2 in replicative aging [Stumpfer et al. 2012]. Two highly divergent yeast strains (a laboratory strain and a clinical isolate) were crossed, and a genome-wide quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis pinpointed those genetic differences responsible for the difference in replicative life span. Indeed, the most important locus was SIR2, explaining more than half of the difference in the replicative life span between the strains [due to five codon differences between the SIR2 alleles].

In flies, the critical role of Drosophila Sir2 [dSir2] was revealed by tissue-specific manipulation of the gene under an inducible promoter [Banerjee et al. 2012], a more specific system compared with the constitutive whole-body overexpression at a single dose in the prior study [Burnett et al. 2011]. Overexpression of dSir2 in the fat body extended the life span of flies on the normal diet. This fits well with earlier findings that the fat body is a critical determinant of Drosophila life span [Giannakou et al. 2004; Hwangbo et al. 2004]. In a second experiment, Banerjee et al. [2012] found that deletion of dSir2 in the fat body abolished the extension of life span by a CR-like...
protocol. This latter experiment illustrates the role of dSir2 in mediating dietary effects on longevity, discussed in greater detail below. A second recent study confirms that dSir2 overexpression increases the life span in flies (Hoffmann et al. 2013).

In worms, two studies concurrent with Burnett et al. (2011) showed that increasing the dose of *Caenorhabditis elegans sir-2.1* did indeed extend the life span (Rizki et al. 2011; Viswanathan and Guarente 2011), although not to the extreme level previously reported (Tissenbaum and Guarente 2001). The 2001 study was complicated by an unrecognized, unlinked mutation that made the extension appear larger. In the past year, three additional studies implicate *sir-2.1* in worm longevity. First, the dauer-inducing natural products of the worm, ascarosides, were shown to extend worm life span in a pathway requiring SIR-2.1 (Ludewig et al. 2013). Second, a recent study confirmed that SIR-2.1 overexpression extends life span (Mouchiroud et al. 2013). In addition, boosting internal NAD levels could also extend the life span via *sir-2.1*. NAD/SIR-2.1 induced two distinct longevity pathways; one involved insulin-like signaling and the FOXO homolog DAF-16, and the other involved the mitochondrial unfolded protein response resulting from an imbalance between nuclear and mitochondrial gene expression. Importantly, this study also pinpoints a possible mechanism of normal aging—NAD depletion by chronic DNA damage-induced PARP activation. In a third study, life span extension in SIR-2.1-overexpressing strains was again confirmed by Ristow and colleagues (Schmeisser et al. 2013) using strains from Burnett et al. (2011) as well as Viswanathan and Guarente (2011).

In mammals, Kanfi et al. (2012) showed that two transgenic mouse lines overexpressing the mammalian SIRT6 had significantly extended male life spans. Mammals have seven sirtuins, SIRT1–7 (Fig. 2). SIRT1, SIRT6, and SIRT7 are nuclear; SIRT3, SIRT4, and SIRT5 are mitochondrial; and SIRT2 is cytoplasmic. In a very recent study, brain-specific overexpression of SIRT1 was also shown to extend the life span (Satoh et al. 2013). The failure of whole-body SIRT1 overexpression to extend the life span may be due to untoward effects on cancer, which counteract the observed slowing of aging in these mice (Herranz et al. 2010). Finally, in humans, a link between SNPs at the SIRT3 locus and longevity has also been described (Albani et al. 2013). All told, the above body of work strongly supports the proposal that sirtuins are conserved mediators of longevity.

**Sirtuins and dietary restriction in lower organisms**

CR in rodents was first shown to extend life span in the 1930s (McCay et al. 1935) and is a very specific regimen—a 30%–40% reduction in the ad libitum levels of chow intake. We originally attempted to apply the concept of CR to yeast by mutating nutrient-sensing pathways or providing 0.5% glucose instead of the usual 2% and found that the replicative life span was extended [Fig. 1; Lin et al. 2000, 2002]. Importantly, extension was...
abolished when SIR2 was knocked out. However, other studies, which generally [but not always] used a more severe limitation of the glucose, found life span extension that was not SIR2-dependent [Kaeberlein et al. 2004]. Consistent with a role of SIR2 in CR, the NAD salvage pathway enzyme Pnc1p was shown to be up-regulated by 0.5% CR and required for longevity [Anderson et al. 2003]. Furthermore, the SIR2 paralog HST2 was implicated in CR at the extreme concentrations of glucose [Lamming et al. 2005]. As discussed below, subtle differences in media or other laboratory conditions may account for observed experimental differences.

In C. elegans, both genetic and physiological regimens of CR were contrived, and life span extension by one required sir-2.1 [Narasimhan et al. 2009], but extension by others did not (e.g., Bishop and Guarente 2007). Similarly, in flies, a limitation of the yeast extract in the diet was shown to extend the life span. In two studies, dSir2 was essential [Rogina and Helfand 2004; Banerjee et al. 2012], but in another, it was not [Burnett et al. 2011]. How can we interpret these disparate findings? We now know that metazoans have multiple nutrient-sensing pathways that can affect the life span (e.g., insulin signaling) [Kenyon 2010], TOR [Johnson et al. 2013], AMP kinase [Kahn et al. 2005], and sirtuins [Guarente 2000]. Any variability in laboratory conditions might favor signaling through different subsets of these pathways, explaining the reported differences in the genetic requirements for CR in lower organisms [Speakman and Mitchell 2011].

SIRT1 activators

Finally, putative SIRT1-activating compounds [resveratrol and newer, synthetic STACs] were reported to activate the enzyme in vitro by lowering its Km for substrate [Howitz et al. 2003] and also elicit health benefits in mice, especially in animals exposed to the high-fat diet [Baur et al. 2006; Lagouge et al. 2006; Milne et al. 2007]. However, the proposed mechanism of both resveratrol and newer STACs was challenged because activation appeared to require the presence of a fluorescent tag on the substrate peptide used in vitro [Kaeberlein et al. 2005]. In addition, resveratrol was suggested to activate SIRT1 in vivo indirectly by binding to phosphodiesterases and triggering cAMP signaling to activate SIRT1 [Park et al. 2012]. Three subsequent studies provide strong evidence that these compounds really work by directly activating SIRT1. First, activation can, in fact, be demonstrated using peptide substrates without any fluorescent conjugate [Dai et al. 2010]. Importantly, the presence of aromatic amino acid side chains at residues positioned near the deacetylated lysine was required, suggesting a substrate specificity for activation foreshadowed by the earlier apparent requirement for the fluorescent tags. Second, acute deletion of SIRT1 in adult mice prevented many of the physiological effects of resveratrol and other STACs [Price et al. 2012]. Third, a single mutation in SIRT1 abolished the ability of resveratrol and all 117 other STACs tested to activate the enzyme in vitro or promote the canonical physiological changes in cells [Hubbard et al. 2013]. This mutation lies adjacent to but outside of the catalytic domain and is thought to define an allosteric site in the enzyme for activation by small molecules. At present, it is difficult to interpret all of these findings in any model other than direct activation of SIRT1 by resveratrol and other STACs. Of course, the dose of resveratrol or other STACs may affect whether additional targets are also engaged in vivo.

Sirtuins and CR in mice

General effects

CR studies in mice have the advantage of being more standardized than dietary studies in lower organisms; e.g., many of these experiments use C57BL/6 mice under a 30% limitation of the ad libitum consumption of chow food. The lines of evidence that sirtuins mediate effects of CR in mammals are many. First, the substrates targeted by sirtuins SIRT1, SIRT3, SIRT4, SIRT5, and SIRT6 [detailed in the next section] closely define those pathways at the heart of the metabolic shift induced by CR. Second, CR induces the expression levels of at least a subset of the sirtuins—SIRT1 [Cohen et al. 2004], SIRT3 [Lombard et al. 2007], and SIRT5 [Nakagawa et al. 2009]. SIRT1 is also induced by CR in humans [Civitarese et al. 2007]. Reciprocally, a high-fat diet leads to the loss of SIRT1 in mice [Chalkiadaki and Guarente 2012], and obesity has the same effect in humans [Pedersen et al. 2008; Costa Cdos et al. 2010]. Third, loss-of-function mutation of specific sirtuins ablates specific outputs of CR. For example, knocking out SIRT1 abolishes changes in physical activity [Chen et al. 2005], and brain-specific ablation has a similar effect on the somatotrophic axis [growth hormone/IGF-1] [Cohen et al. 2009]. In addition, SIRT1 knockout mice do not live longer on a CR diet [Boily et al. 2008; Mercken et al. 2013]. Knocking out the mitochondrial SIRT3 prevents the protective effect of CR against hearing loss [Someya et al. 2010]. In this case, SIRT3 is required for CR to mitigate oxidative damage in crucial neurons of the inner ear. Deletion of SIRT5 prevents the up-regulation of the urea cycle, which is required to reduce blood ammonia when amino acids serve as energy sources [Nakagawa et al. 2009].

Fourth, transgenic overexpression of SIRT1 or STACs mitigates disease syndromes much like CR; these include diabetes, neurodegenerative diseases, liver steatosis, bone loss, and inflammation [Baur et al. 2006; Lagouge et al. 2006; Bordone et al. 2007; Pfluger et al. 2008; Herranz et al. 2010; Guarente 2011]. Tissues likely responsible for these effects are discussed in another section below, but one aspect may involve a positive effect of SIRT1 on insulin secretion by pancreatic β cells [Moynihan et al. 2005; Bordone et al. 2006]. Conversely, compromised sirtuin activity contributes to metabolic syndrome and diabetes in mice and humans [Hirschy et al. 2011; Chalkiadaki and Guarente 2012; Biais-Laubet et al. 2013]. Fifth, SIRT1 activators like resveratrol exert effects that overlap those of CR at the level of whole-animal...
Cellular effects

Perhaps the most direct indication that sirtuins play an important role in the physiological adaptation to CR comes from a more detailed analysis of their substrates and physiological effects. Two hallmarks of CR are metabolic reprogramming to oxidative metabolism (to gain the most possible energy from fuel sources) and resistance to stress, particularly oxidative stress. This section considers general cellular effects of sirtuins on these hallmarks, and the subsequent section examines tissue-specific effects.

SIRT1 plays a central role in inducing mitochondrial biogenesis, stress tolerance, and fat metabolism. This sirtuin deacetylates PGC-1α (Rodgers et al. 2005; Gerhart-Hines et al. 2007), FOXO1 (Brunet et al. 2004; Motta et al. 2004), and PPARα (Purushotham et al. 2009). In this regard, SIRT1 activity is tightly linked to AMP kinase (AMPK) (Feige et al. 2008; Fulco et al. 2008), since AMPK drives expression of the NAD synthetic enzyme NAMPT (Canto et al. 2009, 2010), and SIRT1 deacetylates and activates the AMPK activator kinase LKB1 (Hou et al. 2008; Lan et al. 2008). At the same time, SIRT1 turns down glycolytic metabolism by deacetylating glycolytic enzymes (Hallows et al. 2012) and one of their key transcriptional inducers, HIF-1α (Lim et al. 2010). One must assume that repressing HIF-1α is especially important, since SIRT3 and SIRT6 also target this pathway. SIRT3 reduces reactive oxygen species (ROS) production by mitochondria, thus blunting HIF-1α induction as well as generally reducing the ROS burden to cells (Bell et al. 2011; Finley et al. 2011). SIRT6 corepresses HIF-1α target genes by deacetylating histones at those loci (Zhong et al. 2010).

The metabolic shift away from glycolysis and toward mitochondria along with the accompanying stress resistance are reinforced by the deacetylation and desuccinylation of mitochondrial proteins by SIRT3 (Lombard et al. 2007) and SIRT5 (Du et al. 2011; Peng et al. 2011), respectively. Mitochondrial targets thus activated by these sirtuins include superoxide dismutase 2 (Qiu et al. 2010; Tao et al. 2010) and metabolic enzymes for fatty acid oxidation (Hirschey et al. 2010), the urea cycle (Nakagawa et al. 2009; Hallows et al. 2011), and acetate metabolism (Hallows et al. 2006; Schwer et al. 2006). Interestingly, the third mitochondrial sirtuin, SIRT4, seems to be wired oppositely to SIRT3 and SIRT5; i.e., its expression goes down in CR. This also makes sense because SIRT4 ADP-ribosylates and represses glutamate dehydrogenase (Haigis et al. 2006), the gateway for glutamine and glutamate to enter the TCA cycle and central metabolism to provide energy during CR.

These actions of SIRT1, SIRT3, SIRT4, and SIRT6 are relevant to the Warburg effect, in which cancer cells show a massive up-regulation of glycolysis and glutaminolysis, thereby suggesting tumor suppressor functions. Indeed, loss of SIRT3 (Finley et al. 2011) or SIRT6 (Sebastian et al. 2012), which would induce glycolysis, or loss of SIRT4, which would induce glutaminolysis, has been found in many tumors (Jeong et al. 2013). Interestingly, SIRT4 is the sirtuin most highly induced by DNA damage and impedes glutamine entry into metabolism, raising the possibility that a “glutamine checkpoint” may play a tumor suppressor role by limiting growth of precancerous cells to allow repair of damage (Jeong et al. 2013). A second study also implicates SIRT4 in cancer suppression and shows that levels of this sirtuin are regulated by mTORC1 (Csibi et al. 2013). One should note for SIRT1, however, that evidence for both a tumor prevention function (e.g., Firestein et al. 2008) and tumor enhancement function in established tumors (e.g., Li et al. 2013) has been reported.

Besides HIF-1α, the only known target of three or more sirtuins is NF-κB, involved in a proinflammatory arm of the immune response. p65 of this transcription factor is deacetylated and repressed by SIRT1 (Yeung et al. 2004) and SIRT2 (Rothgiesser et al. 2010), and histones at NF-κB-regulated genes are deacetylated by SIRT6 (Kawahara et al. 2009) to reinforce repression. These sirtuin functions may help explain the global anti-inflammatory effect of CR, which may be an important mechanism by which this diet slows aging. Indeed, NF-κB activation has been linked to aging (Adler et al. 2007), a topic explored further below.

Effects in specific tissues

It is clear that the effects of CR must be a coordinated, systemic response, prompting the question of what tissues are most important and how they interact. The next section reviews roles of SIRT1 in various tissues, as outlined in Figure 3, which may help explain the organismal protection conferred by this diet.

Figure 3. Sirtuin targets in different tissues relevant to CR. The figure shows validated sirtuin targets in seven tissues. The color coding of sirtuins is shown in the key.
Hypothalamus

The hypothalamus contains different groups of neurons that control much of mammalian physiology, including feeding behavior, energy expenditure, physical activity, body temperature, and central circadian control (Coppari 2012). It was originally inferred that this brain region may play a key signaling role in CR from studies in worms, in which ablation of two neurons prevented the increased energy expenditure and longevity conferred by a CR-like protocol [Bishop and Guarente 2007]. Many hypothalamic functions of SIRT1 have been reported [Fig. 4]. A plausible role of hypothalamic SIRT1 in mammals was first suggested by the observation that levels of this sirtuin in this brain region change with the diet [Ramadori et al. 2010]. Further evidence is the finding that brain depletion of SIRT1 prevents CR regulation of the somatotropic axis [Cohen et al. 2009]. Indeed, genetic manipulation of SIRT1 protein levels in the hypothalamus affects feeding behavior, although the direction of the changes and the effects of the diet on these changes are not fully consistent from study to study [Cakir et al. 2009; Sasaki et al. 2010]. Nonetheless, the weight of evidence indicates that SIRT1 in the agouti-related peptide-producing neurons [AgRPs] controls the response to the gut hormone ghrelin and feeding behavior [Dietrich et al. 2010, 2012; Sasaki et al. 2010]. In addition, SIRT1 in the ventromedial hypothalamic [VMH] neurons determines physiological outputs to ghrelin signaling [Velasquez et al. 2011; Porteiro et al. 2013]. SIRT1 in the dorsomedial hypothalamus [DMH] and lateral hypothalamus [LH] is induced by CR and mediates outputs such as physical activity and body temperature by determining the levels of the orexin receptor 2 [Satoh et al. 2010]. Correspondingly, SIRT1 in the pro-opiomelanocortin [POMC] neurons [Ramadori et al. 2010] protects against metabolic decline induced by high-fat diets. As a final proof of principle, administering resveratrol directly to the CNS of rodents mediates insulin sensitivity, and this effect is abolished by knocking down SIRT1 expression in the hypothalamus [Knight et al. 2011].

Another hypothalamic region of importance is the suprachiasmatic nucleus [SCN], which determines central circadian control of metabolism and other aspects of physiology in addition to the sleep–wake cycle. The importance of circadian control in health maintenance is indicated by the negative consequences of circadian disruptions in humans or mutations in components of the clock, first suggested by Pittendrigh and Minis (1972). Intriguingly, mice with intrinsic circadian periods [the period revealed in all-dark conditions] very close to 24 h turn out to be the longest lived when housed in a 12 h light/12 h dark cycle [Wyse et al. 2010; Libert et al. 2012]. These findings suggest that re-entrainment of the central clock by light every 24 h in mice whose intrinsic clocks deviate significantly from 24 h imposes a daily demand for a functional central circadian clock. Any loss in this function—for example, during aging [see below]—would trigger mistiming of metabolic pathways with negative affects on health and youthfulness.

SIRT1 was first linked to circadian control via the peripheral and autonomous clock in the liver. In this tissue, SIRT1 deacetylates circadian clock proteins BMAL1 and PER2 [Asher et al. 2008; Nakahata et al. 2008] to influence their function. In the liver, the clock also regulates NAMPT, rendering sirtuin activity circadian and providing a link between the clock and metabolism [Nakahata et al. 2009; Ramsey et al. 2009]. Interestingly, SIRT1 has been shown recently to also control central circadian function in the brain by amplifying expression of BMAL1 [Chang and Guarente 2013]. Importantly, SIRT1 levels decline in the SCN of aged mice compared with young controls. This decline is concomitant with the reduction of many of the components of the circadian clock, presumably triggering the degradation of central circadian function with aging [Valentiniuzzi et al. 1997]. Remarkably, overexpressing SIRT1 in the brain blunts the effects of aging on circadian function, and deletion of SIRT1 compromises function in young animals. SIRT1 deacetylates PGC-1α in neurons to increase activation of BMAL1 transcription. Thus, a loop of SIRT1, PGC-1α, and NAMPT amplifies the expression of circadian clock proteins and assures proper central circadian function in the SCN [Chang and Guarente 2013]. The fact that SIRT1 appears to be the Achilles heel in aging suggests that brain targeted sirtuin drugs may help maintain central circadian function and mitigate health decline.

Finally, a recent study directly illustrates the importance of the hypothalamus [and NF-κB] in mammalian aging. Tissue-specific deletion of the inhibitor of the NF-κB inhibitor I-κB results in the expected reduction in NF-κB activity in hypothalamic neurons and significantly extends the life span of mice [Zhang et al. 2013]. Satoh et al. (2013) also conclude that SIRT1 in the hypothalamus is key to the observed extension of life span in transgenic mice by virtue of its activation of the orexin type 2 receptor in the LH and DMH. In summary, it is now emerging from numerous lines of evidence that the hypothalamus may play a dominant role in mammalian aging, and SIRT1 is an important regulator in this compartment.

Many other studies reviewed elsewhere [Herskovits and Guarente 2013] show that SIRT1 functions in other brain regions. For example, SIRT1 protects against...
neurodegenerative diseases in the cortex and striatum (Kim et al. 2007; Donmez et al. 2010; Min et al. 2010; Jeong et al. 2011; Jiang et al. 2011) and enhances learning and memory in the hippocampus (Gao et al. 2010; Michan et al. 2010). Since healthy physiological function driven by all of these regions deteriorates with aging, sustaining SIRT1 activity may be generally important for brain maintenance.

White adipose tissue (WAT)

SIRT1 and NAD+ levels are induced in WAT of CR mice (Chen et al. 2008). Conversely, SIRT1 levels are reduced in white fat in obese mice (Chalkiadaki and Guarente 2012) and obese humans (Pedersen et al. 2008; Costa Cdos et al. 2010). One possible mechanism for loss of SIRT1 in obese animals is suggested by the finding that a high-fat diet in mice triggers cleavage of SIRT1 in WAT by caspase 1 of the inflammasome (Chalkiadaki and Guarente 2012). Mice genetically knocked out for SIRT1 in WAT are predisposed to diabetes, likely because the first “hit” toward diabetes [i.e., SIRT1 loss in WAT] has already taken place. Interestingly, SIRT2 also plays an important functional role in adipocyte biology by regulating differentiation of preadipocytes [Jing et al. 2007].

Other functional data are consistent with the hypothesis that SIRT1 in WAT promotes metabolic health by causing fat reduction in these depots. SIRT1 promotes fat mobilization from WAT to blood, for example, during fasting [Picard et al. 2004], to facilitate its oxidation in the liver and muscle. SIRT1 also drives the browning of white fat cells [Qiang et al. 2012], which would trigger fat oxidation in situ. Consistent with these functions, inhibition of SIRT1 in WAT is associated with macrophage recruitment and inflammation [Gillum et al. 2011]. One target for deacetylation by SIRT1 in WAT is the nuclear receptor PPARγ. SIRT1 alters gene expression of a subset of PPARγ target genes [Wang et al. 2008] and restrains differentiation of preadipocyte precursor cells [Picard et al. 2004]. Last, SIRT1 transgenic mice show higher levels of the insulin-sensitizing adipokine adiponectin [Banks et al. 2008]. The expanded role of sirtuins in adipokine production and endocrine cross-talk between WAT and the hypothalamus remains a fascinating area to explore.

Liver

In the liver, SIRT1 has numerous deacetylation targets that affect gluconeogenesis and fat homeostasis. Many of these deacetylation events have opposing effects, revealing the complexity of SIRT1 function in this tissue. For example, SIRT1 deacetylates two coactivators that drive gluconeogenesis: PGC-1α to activate it [Rodgers et al. 2005] and CRTC2 to trigger its ubiquitination and degradation [Liu et al. 2008]. It is thought that SIRT1 thus stages a temporal shift during fasting by switching gluconeogenesis from an early CRTC2-driven mechanism to a later mechanism driven by the other SIRT1 target, PGC-1α. SIRT1 expression declines at the time of the shift due to the fact that the SIRT1 promoter itself is regulated by CRTC2 (via the transcription factor CREB) [Noriega et al. 2011]. The decline in CRTC2 [and correspondingly SIRT1] may set a proper, lower activity level of the SIRT1 substrate PGC-1α during the later stage of fasting.

With regard to fat homeostasis, SIRT1 deacetylates the nuclear receptor LXR [Li et al. 2007] to activate the SREBP1 gene for synthesis. However, SIRT1 also deacetylates SREBP1 itself to repress its activity [Ponugoti et al. 2010; Walker et al. 2010]. Other substrates of SIRT1 include the nuclear receptor FXR for bile synthesis [Kemper et al. 2009] and LKB1 linking SIRT1 and AMPK [Hou et al. 2008; Lan et al. 2008], as discussed above. What is the net effect of summing the various SIRT1 hepatic activities? Numerous studies have examined the role of hepatic SIRT1 under different dietary conditions. Most studies show that liver-specific deletion of SIRT1 triggers physiological hypersensitivity to a high-fat diet [You et al. 2008; Erion et al. 2009; Purushotham et al. 2009, Wang et al. 2010, 2011; Xu et al. 2010; but an exception is Chen et al. 2008], while overexpression gives protection against steatosis [Li et al. 2011b]. Consistent with this idea, high-fat diet-induced inflammation triggers phosphorylation of SIRT1 by JNK1 kinase, leading to its degradation [Gao et al. 2011], reminiscent of what is observed in WAT.

Liver SIRT4 again appears to oppose SIRT1, since its deletion protects against high-fat diet-induced steatosis [Nasrin et al. 2010]. This may be because SIRT4 deacetylates and inhibits malonyl CoA decarboxylase 1 [MCD1], which converts malonyl CoA to acetyl CoA [Laurent et al. 2013]. Thus, SIRT4 deletion would prevent the accumulation of malonyl CoA, the key precursor for fat synthesis, as would the reduction in SIRT4 levels in CR. Finally, hepatic SIRT6, like SIRT1, appears to be protective, since two studies show that its deletion sensitizes animals to steatosis [Kim et al. 2010; Dominy et al. 2012].

Heart and skeletal muscle

Many studies suggest that CR, exercise, and resveratrol induce mitochondrial biogenesis and increased stress tolerance in the skeletal muscle of mice and humans to improve physiology systemically [Baur et al. 2006; Lagouge et al. 2006; Feige et al. 2008; Koltai et al. 2010]. At least three pathways have been implicated in mediating these effects. First, the activity of the nitric oxide synthase expressed in skeletal muscle [eNOS] is induced by CR in mice and humans [Nisoli et al. 2005; Civitarese et al. 2007]. Since SIRT1 deacetylase and activate eNOS [Mattagajasingh et al. 2007], the induction of this sirtuin in CR muscle can explain this chain of events. Importantly, eNOS [−/−] mice do not show the induction of mitochondria by CR [Nisoli et al. 2005].

Second, the deacetylation of PGC-1α is also induced by CR or resveratrol and also drives mitochondrial biogenesis [Rodgers et al. 2005; Gerhart-Hines et al. 2007]. Third, the CR-induced adipokine adiponectin binds to its receptor in muscle and stimulates the SIRT1/AMPK axis by causing Ca++ release and activation of the AMPK.
kinase calmodulin-dependent protein kinase [Iwabu et al. 2010]. This pathway may also be triggered by exercise [Iwabu et al. 2010]. The effects of glucose on cultured skeletal muscle cells depend on the interaction of SIRT1 with AMPK [Fulco et al. 2008; Canto et al. 2010] and FOXO1 [Harihara et al. 2010]. Not surprisingly, tissue-specific knockout of SIRT1 in skeletal muscle blunts physiological changes induced by CR [Schenk et al. 2011].

With respect to the heart, studies with gain-of-function or loss-of-function mice indicate a protective role of SIRT1 against oxidative stress, for example, in ischemia/reperfusion challenges [Hsu et al. 2010; Tanno et al. 2010]. SIRT1 can also protect against hypertrophy at moderate levels of cardiac-specific overexpression but appears to be deleterious at higher levels [Alcendor et al. 2007]. Protection against hypertrophy may involve a pathway including PPARα and fat oxidation [Planavila et al. 2011], although, surprisingly, one study showed that haploinsufficiency of SIRT1 or PPARα protected against hypertrophy induced by pressure overload [Oka et al. 2011]. Most interestingly, CR was shown to induce nuclear localization of SIRT1 in a mechanism requiring eNOS, and this was associated with increased ischemic tolerance [Shimura et al. 2008]. SIRT1 and eNOS may comprise a mutually reinforcing activity loop, as for SIRT1 and AMPK.

With regard to other sirtuins, SIRT6 has been found to attenuate Akt signaling in the heart to protect against hypertrophy [Sundaresan et al. 2012]. In this case, SIRT6 serves as a corepressor of IGF-activated genes by binding to the transcription factor c-Jun. Finally, a new study shows that mice knocked out for one subunit of complex I in the heart show a buildup of NADH, inactivation of SIRT3, and the hyperacetylation of cardiac mitochondrial proteins [Karamanlidis et al. 2013]. These mice are much more susceptible to heart failure, reinforcing the established importance of SIRT3 in cardiac function [Pillai et al. 2010].

Kidney
Numerous studies have indicated protection of renal function by SIRT1, initiating with the report that SIRT2 mitigates oxidative stress in HK-2 cells [Hasegawa et al. 2008]. In mice, SIRT1 genetic activation in transgenic mice or the application of STACs protects against various models of kidney injury [Funk et al. 2010; Hasegawa et al. 2010; He et al. 2010; Fan et al. 2013; Kim et al. 2013]. SIRT1 also mitigates fibrosis following acute kidney injury by deacetylating Smad 4 and suppressing TGF-β signaling [Simic et al. 2013]. In addition, SIRT1 is required for CR-induced renal protection against hypoxia, in this case by deacetylating of FOXO3 and activating autophagy [Kume et al. 2010]. Finally, CR is also renal-protective in a diabetes model in rats, and this is associated with activation of SIRT1 and deacetylation of NF-κB [Kitada et al. 2011].

A recent study points to a novel kind of communication between two different kidney compartments mediated by SIRT1. In one compartment, consisting of proximal tubule cells, SIRT1 determines the levels of the NAD precursor nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMN) that are secreted. The secreted NMN then activates SIRT1 in a different kidney compartment, consisting of podocytes, to trigger stress resistance. The net effect of this circuit is the protection of the kidney and suppression of diabetic albuminuria [Hasegawa et al. 2013].

Opposite to these protective effects, SIRT1 may contribute to the pathophysiology of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease [ADPKD]. This heritable disease, due to genetic defects in PKD1 or PKD2, affects up to one in 400 individuals and leads to kidney failure in the fourth to sixth decade of life. One recent study shows that up-regulation of SIRT1 by c-MYC occurs in a murine model of ADPKD [Zhou et al. 2013]. Moreover, genetic or pharmacological inhibition of SIRT1 suppresses the growth of cysts in this model. SIRT1 may abet cyst formation by promoting cell survival mechanisms such as deacetylation and inhibition of p53. It is interesting and unusual that the activation of SIRT1 may be renal-protective in a normal setting, but the inhibition of SIRT1 may be efficacious in a disease setting.

Endothelium and smooth muscle
Many studies have revealed a deep connection between SIRT1 and the vasculature. Among the important substrates deacetylated by SIRT1 in endothelial cells (ECs) are eNOS [Mattagajasingh et al. 2007], LKB1 [Zu et al. 2010], Notch [Guarani et al. 2011], and p66shc [Zhou et al. 2011]. The net effect of SIRT1 in ECs appears to be control of vessel growth [Potente et al. 2007] and protection against EC senescence (Ota et al. 2007) and, more generally, atherosclerosis. For example, sheer stress induces SIRT1, AMPK, eNOS, and mitochondrial biogenesis [Chen et al. 2010], and this is associated with phosphorylation and stabilization of SIRT1 by calmodulin-dependent protein kinase kinase [Wen et al. 2013]. Inhibition of this SIRT1 induction sensitizes ECs to damage inflicted by oxidized LDL [Guo et al. 2013] and sensitizes apoE−/− mice to atherosclerosis [Zhang et al. 2008]. The SIRT1/AMPK axis in ECs has been shown to be inducible by exercise or resveratrol in mice [Cacicedo et al. 2011; Takizawa et al. 2013].

In a second critical cell type of the vasculature, smooth muscle cells (SMCs), SIRT1 is also athero-protective, since selective depletion of this sirtuin from SMCs predisposes mice to atherosclerosis [Gorenne et al. 2013]. In addition, SIRT1 restrains the induction of hypertrophy in SMCs by angiotensin II by reducing levels of its receptor [Li et al. 2011a]. The effects of SIRT1 in ECs and SMCs may help explain the protective role of CR against atherosclerosis [Fontana et al. 2004] and [along with effects in other tissues] protection by this diet against cardiovascular disease in general.

Discussion
The interaction of diet and nutrient-sensing pathways plays an important role in regulating mammalian physiology and health. This review focused on the sirtuins and...
CR to revisit the original hypothesis that nutrient-sensing regulators mediate the effects of this diet on aging and diseases (Guarente 2000). The original proposal was based on the findings that sirtuins were NAD+-dependent protein deacetylases and known to counter aging in yeast. Now, years later, a large volume of data, particularly from mammals, begins to illustrate an elaborate set of physiological adaptations to caloric intake mediated by sirtuins. Studies that connect sirtuin activation with prevention of aging and diseases of aging in mouse models are many. It is also clear that other nutrient sensors, such as AMPK (Kahn et al. 2005), mTOR (Johnson et al. 2013), and FOXO (Kenyon, 2010), are very important in linking diet, metabolism, and aging. Indeed, numerous connections among all of these pathways are evident in the literature.

As far as medical intervention points, SIRT1 and mTORC1 appear to be candidate targets because small molecules have been described that can alter their activities [STACs and rapamycin, respectively]. The idea that SIRT1 could be activated by small molecules was initially resisted but now seems very likely based on an allosteric site in the protein (Hubbard et al. 2013). The challenge will be to tailor drugs to specific tissues; for example, creating brain-permeable compounds to treat Alzheimer’s disease. In addition, it will be critical to learn whether activating compounds affect all SIRT1 substrates or only a subset, as suggested by recent biochemical studies. One possibility is that small molecules that bind to the SIRT1 allosteric site mimic natural endogenous compounds that regulate the enzyme under certain physiological conditions, e.g., CR. If so, then the spectrum of effects elicited by the drugs might mimic the effects triggered by these physiological conditions and elicit a coordinated, protective response. Finally, supplementation with the NAD precursors NMN or nicotinamide riboside has been shown to counteract aging [Ramsey et al. 2008; Mouchiroud et al. 2013] and may offer another strategy of keying sirtuin activation to forestall aging and diseases.
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