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SUMMARY

To ensure equal chromosome segregation during mitosis, the macromolecular kinetochore must remain attached to depolymerizing microtubules, which drive chromosome movements. How kinetochores associate with depolymerizing microtubules, which undergo dramatic structural changes forming curved protofilaments, has yet to be defined in vertebrates. Here, we demonstrate that the conserved kinetochore-localized Ska1 complex tracks with depolymerizing microtubule ends and associates with both the microtubule lattice and curved protofilaments. In contrast, the Ndc80 complex, a central player in the kinetochore-microtubule interface, binds only to the straight microtubule lattice and lacks tracking activity. We demonstrate that the Ska1 complex imparts its tracking capability to the Ndc80 complex. Finally, we present a structure of the Ska1 microtubule-binding domain that reveals its interaction with microtubules and its regulation by Aurora B. This work defines an integrated kinetochore-microtubule interface formed by the Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes that associates with depolymerizing microtubules, potentially by interacting with curved microtubule protofilaments.

INTRODUCTION

In eukaryotes, the physical distribution of chromosomes to the daughter cells during mitosis requires a force-generating connection between chromosomal DNA and spindle microtubules. The multiprotein kinetochore complex, comprised of more than 100 different proteins in human cells, assembles upon centromeric DNA to mediate microtubule interactions (Cheeseman and Desai, 2008). Although several molecular motors localize to kinetochores, work over the past two decades has suggested that the primary driver of chromosome movement is microtubule dynamics (McIntosh et al., 2010). During microtubule polymerization, guanine triphosphate (GTP)-bound tubulin dimers are incorporated into the microtubule lattice. Upon assembly, GTP is rapidly hydrolyzed to guanine diphosphate (GDP) (Desai and Mitchison, 1997). GDP-tubulin has a curved conformation relative to GTP-tubulin, but is forced into a straight conformation in the context of the microtubule lattice (Wang and Nogales, 2005). Thus, microtubules store potential energy that is released during microtubule depolymerization when GDP-tubulin subunits exposed at the microtubule end undergo a conformational change resulting in the peeling away of individual microtubule protofilaments. These bending protofilaments have been demonstrated to generate force with a theoretical maximum of 65 pN per microtubule (Grishchuk et al., 2005). However, to harness this force and to move processively, kinetochores must remain associated with these dramatically changing structures.

A key challenge has been to define the specific molecules that mediate kinetochore-microtubule interactions, and to determine the mechanisms by which these proteins remain associated with depolymerizing microtubules. The central player at the kinetochore-microtubule interface is the conserved Ndc80 complex, a component of the larger KNL1/Mis12 Complex/Ndc80 Complex (KMN) network (Cheeseman et al., 2006). Loss of Ndc80 function results in catastrophic defects in kinetochore-microtubule attachments (DeLuca et al., 2002). The Ndc80 complex has been shown to track with polymerizing and depolymerizing microtubules when artificially oligomerized on the surface of a microsphere (Grishchuk et al., 2005). However, monomeric Ndc80 complex lacks this activity. In addition to the Ndc80 complex, the fungal Dam1 complex plays a critical role in chromosome segregation in budding yeast and is capable of forming striking ring-like structures around microtubules (Miranda et al., 2005; Westermann et al., 2006), although the existence of this...
ring in cells has been debated. In budding yeast, the Ndc80 and Dam1 complexes work together to mediate connections to microtubules, with the Dam1 complex facilitating processive interactions of the Ndc80 complex with microtubules (Lampert et al., 2010; Tien et al., 2010). However, the Dam1 complex is absent from metazoan, including human, and the nature of an integrated kinetochore-microtubule interface capable of processively associating with depolymerizing microtubules has yet to be defined in vertebrates.

We and others have previously implicated the human Ska1 complex as an important kinetochore component involved in the formation of kinetochore-microtubule interactions (Daum et al., 2009; Gaitanos et al., 2009; Hanisch et al., 2006; Raaijmakers et al., 2009; Theis et al., 2009; Welburn et al., 2009). Depletion of the Ska1 complex from human cells results in a checkpoint-dependent mitotic arrest with misaligned chromosomes. However, the mechanisms by which the Ska1 complex acts to mediate kinetochore-microtubule interactions were unclear. Here, we took combined biochemical, biophysical, structural, and cell biological approaches to analyze the functions and activities of the Ska1 complex for its interactions with microtubules. Based on our data, we propose a model in which the distinct biochemical activities of the Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes form an integrated interface to couple chromosome movement to microtubule depolymerization.

RESULTS

The C Terminus of Ska1 Contains the Conserved Microtubule-Binding Domain of the Ska1 Complex

The Ska1 complex is an integral component of the kinetochore-microtubule interface in human cells, but the Ska1 complex has not been described outside of vertebrates. To define the conserved biochemical properties of the Ska1 complex, we sought to identify divergent homologs of Ska1. Homology-based searches identified Caenorhabditis elegans SKA-1 (Y106GGH.15; Figure S1A available online). Based on RNAi experiments, SKA-1 is not essential for embryonic viability in C. elegans (Arshad Desai, personal communication). Similar to human Ska1 (Welburn et al., 2009), GFP-SKA-1 localized to the mitotic spindle and kinetochores from prometaphase through anaphase (Figure 1A; Movie S1).

One-step immunoprecipitations of GFP-SKA-1 from C. elegans adults identified a complex of SKA-1 and F54E7.8 (Figure S1B), which displays limited sequence similarity to Ska3 (data not shown). We did not identify a counterpart to Ska2. Sedimentation velocity analytical ultracentrifugation (SVAUC) of the reconstituted C. elegans Ska1 complex demonstrated that it is composed of two copies of SKA-1 and a single copy of SKA-3 (Figures 1B, S1D, and S1E). In contrast, our previous biochemical analysis (Welburn et al., 2009) and recent structural data (Jeyaprakash et al., 2012) suggest that the human Ska1 complex is composed of two copies each of Ska1, Ska2, and Ska3 (Figure 1B).

The identification of the divergent C. elegans Ska1 complex revealed that the C-terminal half of Ska1 is the most highly conserved (Figure S1A), suggesting that this may represent the microtubule-binding domain, whereas the N terminus contains a conserved coiled-coil (Figure 1C). In microtubule cosedimentation assays, the reconstituted C. elegans and human Ska1 complexes both bound to taxol-stabilized microtubules with apparent dissociation constants of 11.3 µM and 2.4 µM, respectively (Figures 1D and S1E). In addition, the C terminus of both human Ska1 (residues 132–255, KD = 2.5 µM) and C. elegans SKA-1 (residues 118–243, KD = 6.3 µM) bound to microtubules...
directly (Figures 1D and S1E), whereas complexes lacking the Ska1 C terminus failed to bind to microtubules (Figure 1D), but did not affect complex formation (Figures S2A and S2B). Importantly, all antibodies used in this study have similar standard curves in western blots, allowing us to reliably determine relative affinities (Figure S1F). These results demonstrate that the C-terminal half of Ska1 is the conserved microtubule-binding domain of the Ska1 complex.

Ska1 Microtubule Binding Is Essential for Mitotic Progression and the Formation of Robust Kinetochore-Microtubule Attachments

We next sought to determine the contribution of the Ska1 microtubule-binding activity to chromosome segregation. Full-length GFP-Ska1 localizes to kinetochores and the mitotic spindle (Figure 2A). In contrast, the hSka1 N terminus lacking the microtubule-binding domain (Ska1 ΔMTBD; residues 1–131) localized to kinetochores but not to spindle microtubules (Figure 2A). GFP-Ska1 containing only the microtubule-binding domain (residues 132–255) localized exclusively to the mitotic spindle. Scale bar, 5 μm.

Figure 2. The Microtubule-Binding Activity of the Ska1 Complex Is Required for Mitotic Progression and Kinetochore-Microtubule Attachments

(A) Fluorescent images showing the localization of GFP-tagged hSka1 constructs into HeLa cells. Full-length GFP-Ska1 localizes to kinetochores and the mitotic spindle. GFP-tagged hSka1 lacking the MTBD (residues 1–131) localizes only to kinetochores, whereas the MTBD (residues 132–255) localizes exclusively to the mitotic spindle.

(B) HeLa cell lines stably expressing GFP-tagged and RNAi resistant full-length Ska1 or Ska1 ΔMTBD were depleted for endogenous Ska1 by RNAi. Cells were imaged by time-lapse microscopy 36 hr after siRNA transfection and the time from nuclear envelope breakdown (NEBD) to anaphase was quantified. (Box plot showing range, median, lower and upper quartile, n = 42–58 cells per condition.)

(C) Immunofluorescence of HeLa cells stably expressing LAP-Ska1 or LAP-Ska1 ΔMTDB depleted for endogenous Ska1 following cold treatment for 10 min. Cells were immunostained against centromeres (ACA, magenta), microtubules (DM1α, green), and DNA.

(D) Quantification of kinetochore-microtubule intensity shown in (C) (mean ± SEM, 18–22 cells per condition, 10 kinetochores per cell, p < 0.0001, Student’s t test). Kinetochores were chosen at random and adjacent microtubule intensity was quantified and normalized using the ACA signal.

(E) Live cell fluorescence images and kymograph showing the oscillation of sister kinetochores in HeLa cells stably expressing LAP-Ska1 or LAP-Ska1 ΔMTDB and mCherry CENP-A depleted for endogenous Ska1.

(F) Quantification of sister chromatid oscillations from (E) measuring the distance that a kinetochore pair moved prior to reversing direction (mean ± SD, LAP-Ska1 control n = 7 cells, 129 oscillations, Ska1 RNAi n = 6 cells, 119 oscillations; LAP-Ska1 ΔMTDB control n = 11 cells, 178 oscillations, Ska1 RNAi n = 7 cells, 199 oscillations; p < 0.0001, Student’s t test).

See also Movie S2.
cold stable kinetochore fibers (K-fibers; Figures 2C and 2D), which monitor the stability of kinetochore-microtubule interactions. In addition, based on time-lapse analysis, we found that sister chromatid oscillations were significantly impaired in cells lacking the Ska1 microtubule-binding domain (Figures 2E and 2F; Movie S2). We also observed modest defects in mitotic timing, cold stable kinetochore fibers, and sister chromatid oscillations for GFP-Ska1 DTBD in the presence of the endogenous proteins (Figure 2) consistent with dominant negative effects due to the dimerization of the Ska1 complex. These results demonstrate that the microtubule-binding activity of Ska1 is necessary for the formation of robust kinetochore-microtubule interactions and proper kinetochore movement, which in turn are required for timely mitotic progression.

The Ska1 Complex Diffuses on Microtubules as a Complex Containing Multiple Microtubule-Binding Sites

To analyze the microtubule-binding activity of individual molecules of the human and C. elegans Ska1 complexes, we next visualized the Ska1 complex containing GFP-Ska1 using total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIR-FM; Figure 3A). The human GFP-Ska1 complex readily diffused on microtubules (Figure 3B; Movie S3A), similar to the human Ndc80-GFP complex (Figure 3B; Movie S3B). We note that for the biochemical experiments in this paper, we used well-behaved truncated versions of Ndc80 and Nuf2 that include all microtubule-binding and adjacent regions and behave identically to full-length Ndc80 complex (termed Ndc80 “Broccoli”; see Figures S2D and S2E). Based on the intensity distributions and the lack of bleaching events in our TIRF analysis, hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP is mostly monomeric on microtubules (Figure 3C; Powers et al., 2009). In contrast, the GFP-hSka1 complex intensity distribution displayed two distinct peaks: particles with a mean brightness similar to the Ndc80-GFP complex, and particles that have a 2-fold increased intensity (Figure 3C), suggesting that GFP-hSka1 complex is present on microtubules as monomers and dimers. Indeed, time-lapse analysis of GFP-hSka1 complex binding events revealed bleaching steps with the intensity value of a single GFP fluorophore (Figure 3D), confirming the presence of two GFP-Ska1 molecules. This is consistent with our previous biochemical analysis (Welburn et al., 2009) and recent structural work (Jeyaprakash et al., 2012) indicating that the hSka1 complex dimerizes to generate a complex with two microtubule-binding sites. In contrast, in the absence of Ska3, which is required to dimerize the Ska1 complex (Welburn et al., 2009), the GFP-hSka1/2 complex behaved as a monomer (Figures S3A and S3B, Movie S3C). GFP-hSka1 complex, GFP-hSka1/2, and Ndc80 “Broccoli”-GFP diffused on microtubule with diffusion coefficients of 0.09 μm²/s, 0.18 μm²/s, and 0.03 μm²/s, respectively (Figures 3E and S3C), consistent with previous observations made for the Ndc80 complex (Powers et al., 2009).
Under the conditions tested, the residence time distribution of GFP-hSka1 complex displays biphasic dissociation kinetics (Figure 3E): a rapid phase with a rate constant of $k_{\text{fast}} = 3.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and a slow phase with a rate constant of $k_{\text{slow}} = 0.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The dissociation rate of the rapid phase is similar to the behavior of monomeric GFP-hSka1/2 ($k_{\text{off}} = 4.9 \pm 0.4 \text{ s}^{-1}$) (Figures 3D and 3E), suggesting that the rapid phase represents hSka1 complex monomers. Indeed, analysis of short-lived ($< 0.6 \text{ s}$) hSka1 complex binding events indicated that these were primarily monomeric based on their intensity distribution (Figure S3F). Ndc80 “Broccoli”-GFP has a dissociation constant of $k_{\text{off}} = 0.53 \pm 0.03 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 3F). All measured dissociation rate constants were faster than the bleeding rate constant determined for immobilized Ndc80-GFP under identical imaging conditions ($k_{\text{bleach}} = 0.11 \pm 0.01 \text{ s}^{-1}$; data not shown).

Similar to the hSka1 complex, the C. elegans GFP-ceSKA1 complex also associates with microtubules as a mixture of dimers and monomers. However, in contrast to hSka1 complex, the sfGFP-ceSKA1 complex was primarily monomeric under the tested conditions (Figures S3G–S3J; Movie S3D). Manual inspection of the less abundant long-lived binding events (Figure S3G, white arrow) revealed that their intensity was approximately 2-fold higher compared to monomeric ceNdc80-sfGFP (Figures S3I and S3J; Movie S3E), consistent with the presence of two ceSKA1 subunits. We note that sfGFP-ceSKA1 was used in these assays at 40-fold higher concentrations (4 nM) relative to GFP-hSka1 complex (100 pM). We conclude that even at concentrations of 4 nM, the ceSKA1 complex primarily contains one ceSKA1 subunit, indicating that it dissociates under these conditions.

The human (D = 0.09 μm²/s) and C. elegans (D = 0.11 μm²/s) Ska1 complex both diffused on microtubules with similar diffusion coefficients (Figures 3E and S3K), whereas the C. elegans Ndc80 complex (D = 0.08 μm²/s) diffuses more rapidly than its human counterpart (D = 0.03 μm²/s) (Figures 3E and S3K). Furthermore, dimeric sfGFP-ceSKA1 binding events dissociate more rapidly ($k_{\text{off}} = 0.42 \text{ s}^{-1}$) from microtubules than GFP-hSka1 complex dimers ($k_{\text{off}} = 0.15 \text{ s}^{-1}$) (Figures 3F and S3L), consistent with the lower affinity of the ceSKA1 complex in microtubule pelleting assays. In contrast the ceNdc80 “Broccoli” has a lower dissociating constant ($k_{\text{off}} = 0.34 \text{ s}^{-1}$) than hNdc80 “Broccoli” ($k_{\text{off}} = 0.53 \text{ s}^{-1}$).

Thus, even at low concentrations (100 pM) the human Ska1 complex can bind to microtubules as a dimer containing two Ska1 subunits. These observations do not exclude the formation of higher order oligomers at higher protein concentrations as we have previously reported (Welburn et al., 2009). In total, these results demonstrate that diffusion on the microtubule lattice is a conserved property of the Ska1 complex.

The Ska1 Complex Tracks with Depolymerizing Microtubule Ends

Previous work demonstrated that the Ska1 complex can couple movement of 0.5 μm microspheres to microtubule depolymerization (Welburn et al., 2009), but the mechanism by which this occurs was unknown. Previous work has shown that some microtubule associated proteins facilitate bead “rolling” when conjugated to the bead surface (Grischuk et al., 2005), an activity that is unlikely to be physiologically relevant at kinetochore microtubules. To test whether the Ska1 complex itself can remain associated with depolymerizing microtubule ends, we visualized GFP-hSka1 complex bound to microtubules that were induced to depolymerize by ablation of a stabilizing GMPCPP-cap (Figure 4A). We found that GFP-hSka1 complex accumulated at microtubule ends during the majority of depolymerization events observed (72% n = 79). In most cases, the hSka1 complex tracked processively with the depolymerizing end until it reached the microtubule seed (Figure 4B; Movie S4). The hSka1 complex present at the microtubule end consistently increased intensity throughout the time course of microtubule depolymerization (Figure 4C; Movie S4) suggesting that an accumulation of GFP-Ska1 complexes from the microtubule lattice is occurring at the depolymerizing end. In contrast, hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP diffusely decorated microtubules and failed to track with depolymerizing microtubule ends (Figure 4B; Movie S5). We also did not observe binding of the ceSKA1 complex to microtubules under these experimental conditions, most likely due to dissociation of the ceSKA1 complex at the low (nanomolar) protein concentrations required for this experiment (see above).

In these depolymerization assays, we found that addition of ≥ 9 nM Ndc80 “Broccoli”-GFP significantly reduced the depolymerization rate of microtubules from 33.8 to 23.7 μm/min (Figure 4D), whereas addition of the Ska1 complex did not retard microtubule depolymerization. Together with the lack of tracking activity for the Ndc80 complex, this suggests that the interactions of the Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes with depolymerizing microtubules are fundamentally different. In total, these results demonstrate that the hSka1 complex can processively track with depolymerizing microtubules.

The Ska1 Complex Induces the Formation of Curved Microtubule Structures

The experiments described above demonstrate that the Ska1 complex can robustly track with depolymerizing microtubules (Figure 4B). To structurally define the interaction between the Ska1 complex and depolymerizing microtubules, we incubated dynamic microtubules in the presence of Ska1 complex. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis demonstrated that addition of equimolar amounts of either the human or C. elegans Ska1 complex to microtubules resulted in the formation of numerous protofilament rings or spiral structures, often in direct proximity to microtubule ends (Figure 4E). Spiral-like structures can be induced by addition of 25 mM MgCl₂, although in this case the spirals are typically directly connected to the microtubule end (Figure 4F; also see Mandelkow et al., 1991). In contrast, such structures were rarely observed for microtubules alone or microtubules incubated in the presence of the Ndc80 complex (Figure 4E). These results demonstrate that the Ska1 complex has the conserved ability to induce and stabilize the formation of protofilament rings or spiral-like tubulin structures.

The Ska1 Complex Directly Associates with Curved Microtubule Structures

The Ska1 complex induces the formation of ring-like protofilament structures when incubated with dynamic microtubules (Figure 4E). Previous work on microtubule tracking proteins has focused on their association with the straight microtubule
lattice proximal to the depolymerizing microtubule end. However, the depolymerizing end, which consists of bent microtubule protofilaments (Mandelkow et al., 1991), also represents a potential site of interaction to remain associated with depolymerizing microtubules. To assess the relative affinities of the Ska1 complex binding to straight and curved microtubule structures, we compared the binding of the human and C. elegans Ska1 complexes to straight taxol-stabilized microtubules and dolastatin-10-induced tubulin rings, which mimic curved protofilament structures (Bai et al., 1999; Boukari et al., 2007). Under equivalent conditions, the hSka1 complex bound with similar affinities to both taxol-stabilized microtubules and dolastatin rings (Figure 5A), whereas the cESka1 complex bound significantly better to dolastatin-10 induced microtubule rings (Figure 5B). In contrast, both the human and C. elegans Ndc80 complexes bound preferentially to straight microtubules (Figures 5C and 5D; Alushin et al., 2010).

We next imaged the Ska1 complex bound to dolastatin-induced rings by TEM. In the presence of the Ska1 complex, we observed binding to the inner face of the dolastatin rings (Figure 5E), which corresponds to the outer surface of a microtubule protofilament. Similarly, in the presence of GFP-hSka1 MTBD, the outer diameter of the dolastatin-10 induced rings is unchanged, but thickness the rings increased by 5 nm (Figure 5F), consistent with GFP-hSka1 MTBD binding to the inner surface. In contrast, the dolastatin rings remained largely undecorated in the presence of the Ndc80 complex (Figure 5E). In total, these results demonstrate that the Ska1 complex, but not the Ndc80 complex, directly associates with curved microtubule structures.

The Ska1 Complex and Ndc80 Complex Bind to Microtubules Synergistically

Previous work demonstrated that the Ndc80 complex is required for Ska1 complex localization to kinetochores (Chan et al., 2012; Raaijmakers et al., 2009; Welburn et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012). Because the Ndc80 and Ska1 complexes are both implicated in the formation of stable kinetochore-microtubule interactions, we next sought to define how these activities are coordinated. To test the relationship between the Ndc80 and Ska1 complexes for microtubule binding, we conducted microtubule cosedimentation assays using both the hSka1 complex and hNdc80 “Broccoli.” These experiments demonstrated that the hNdc80 complex increases the affinity of the hSka1 complex
for microtubules by up to 8-fold in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 6A and S4A), suggesting that there is a direct interaction between the hNdc80 and hSka1 complexes in the context of microtubules. Similarly, the C. elegans Ndc80 complex increased the affinity of the C. elegans Ska1 complex for microtubules and vice versa (Figures 6B and S4B–S4C). In contrast, we were unable to detect a direct interaction between the Ndc80 and Ska1 complexes in solution (data not shown), suggesting that the synergy requires the microtubule lattice. The affinity of the human Ska1 MTBD for taxol-stabilized microtubules was unaffected by the presence of Ndc80 complex, indicating that the interaction is mediated by the nonmicrotubule binding portion of the Ska1 complex (Figure S4D).

**The Ska1 Complex Promotes the Association of the Ndc80 Complex with Depolymerizing Microtubule Ends**

To test the synergy between the Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes in the context of dynamic microtubules, we visualized hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP on depolymerizing microtubules in the presence and absence of the hSka1 complex. The presence of the hSka1 complex increased the overall signal intensity of the hNdc80 complex on microtubules and vice versa, confirming that the Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes bind microtubules synergistically (Figure 6C). Although hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP does not track with depolymerizing microtubule ends on its own (Figure 4B; Movie S5), in 40% of the depolymerization events observed in the presence of unlabeled hSka1 complex, hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP formed bright complexes that tracked the depolymerizing microtubule (Figure 6D; Movie S6). In the remaining 60% of events, no tracking was observed (Figure 6D). The
Tracking assemblies of Ndc80 complex in the presence of the Ska1 complex are substantially brighter than those observed for the Ska1 complex alone. These bright assemblies most likely represent remnants of the stabilizing GMPCPP-cap, decorated with hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP, that are transported along the depolymerizing microtubule in a Ska1-dependent manner (Movie S6). In contrast, if only the Ska1 or Ndc80 complex was present, the GMPCPP-caps dissociated from the depolymerizing microtubule (Movies S4 and S5). GFP-hSka1 complex also formed bright tracking assemblies in the presence of unlabeled hNdc80 “Broccoli” (Figure S4G), indicating that the identity of the GFP-labeled protein does not influence the formation of these assemblies, but that the presence of both complexes is necessary. The presence of both hSka1 complex and hNdc80 “Broccoli” significantly reduced the rate of microtubule depolymerization regardless of which complex is labeled with GFP, demonstrating that the integrated assembly resists microtubule depolymerization (Figure S4G). These results demonstrate that the Ndc80 and Ska1 complexes display a synergistic interaction at the depolymerizing microtubule end and their combined action can sustain movement of cargo along the depolymerizing microtubule.

**The Ska1 Microtubule-Binding Domain Contains Conserved Basic Surfaces that Are Regulated by Aurora B Phosphorylation**

To define the mechanism by which Ska1 binds to microtubules, we next determined the high-resolution structure of the C. elegans Ska1 microtubule-binding domain using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (Figures S5A and S5B; Protein Data Bank ID code: 2LYC). Our structural analysis demonstrates that the Ska1 microtubule-binding domain is a variation of the winged-helix domain (Figures 7A, 7B, and S5C). The winged-helix domain is a ~100 amino acid domain that has previously been implicated primarily as a DNA-binding motif, but which can also function as a protein-protein interaction domain (Gajiwala and Burley, 2000; Haering et al., 2004). In addition to the three helix bundle and antiparallel beta-sheets found in canonical winged-helix domains, the Ska1 microtubule-binding domain contains an extended helix (αB) as part of three helix bundle and an additional helix (αC) inserted between alphaB and βA. Furthermore, αB is preceded by a loop (loopA) and a short helix (αA) (Figure 7B). E130 in αA and R229 form a highly conserved salt bridge positioning αA and loopA relative to the three-helix bundle (Figures S5D and S1A, green stars).

Further analysis of the structure revealed that three highly conserved arginine residues (R141, R225, R234; Figure S1A, blue stars) located in loopA, αE, and βB are part of a conserved basic patch on the surface of the Ska1 microtubule-binding domain (Figures 7C–7E). Because binding of the Ska1 complex to microtubules requires the acidic C-terminal tails of tubulin (Welburn et al., 2009), we reasoned that this basic patch might represent the microtubule-binding surface. Consistent with this, mutation of the homologous arginine residues in human Ska1 (R155, R236, R245) to alanine eliminated microtubule-binding activity in vitro (Figure 7F), and resulted in a mitotic delay with unlabeled hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP, that are transported along the depolymerizing microtubule in a Ska1-dependent manner (Movie S6). In contrast, if only the Ska1 or Ndc80 complex was present, the GMPCPP-caps dissociated from the depolymerizing microtubule (Movies S4 and S5). GFP-hSka1 complex also formed bright tracking assemblies in the presence of unlabeled hNdc80 “Broccoli” (Figure S4G), indicating that the identity of the GFP-labeled protein does not influence the formation of these assemblies, but that the presence of both complexes is necessary. The presence of both hSka1 complex and hNdc80 “Broccoli” significantly reduced the rate of microtubule depolymerization regardless of which complex is labeled with GFP, demonstrating that the integrated assembly resists microtubule depolymerization (Figure S4G). These results demonstrate that the Ndc80 and Ska1 complexes display a synergistic interaction at the depolymerizing microtubule end and their combined action can sustain movement of cargo along the depolymerizing microtubule.

**Figure 6. The Ska1 Complex Confers Microtubule Tracking Activity to the Ndc80 Complex**

(A) The Ska1 complex and Ndc80 complex bind to microtubules synergistically. Quantification of microtubule cosedimentation assays for hSka1 complex binding to taxol-stabilized microtubules alone and in the presence of a range of concentration of hNdc80 “Broccoli” (mean ± SD, n = 3). (B) Quantification of microtubule pelleting assays of C. elegans Ndc80 complex binding to taxol-stabilized microtubules alone and in the presence of a range of concentration of hNdc80 “Broccoli” (mean ± SD, n = 3). (C) Quantification of the fluorescence intensity (mean ± SEM) on microtubules of hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP (blue) in the absence (n = 95) and presence of hSka1 (n = 136; p < 0.0001, t test), and GFP-hSka1 (red) in the absence (n = 73) and presence of hNdc80 “Broccoli” (n = 81; p < 0.001, t test). (D) Representative kymographs (microtubule position along horizontal axis, time along vertical axis) of time-lapse movies showing hNdc80 “Broccoli”-GFP (9 nM) binding to depolymerizing microtubules in the presence of unlabeled hSka1 complex (9 nM, n = 74). Tracking events were identified as kymographs with substantial periods of an increased fluorescence signal at the microtubule end relative to the remaining lattice. When both Ndc80 and Ska1 are present, the GMPCPP-caps are often converted into cargo and become transported by the shortening microtubules. See also Figure S4 and Movie S6.
Figure 7. The Ska1 Microtubule-Binding Domain Is a Winged-Helix Domain, Regulated by Aurora B Kinase

(A) Cartoon representation of an ensemble of NMR-structures of the C. elegans Ska1 MTBD.

(B) Topology diagram of the C. elegans Ska1 MTBD, showing the elements of the canonical winged-helix domain (gray).

(C) Surface representation of the C. elegans Ska1 MTBD, showing conservation scores calculated using the Consurf Server (Ashkenazy et al., 2010).

(D) Surface representation of the C. elegans Ska1 MTBD, showing electrostatic surface potential calculated using the Adaptive Poisson-Boltzman Solver software (Baker et al., 2001).

(E) Surface representation of the C. elegans Ska1 MTBD, indicating conserved arginine residues (blue) and residues corresponding to Aurora B consensus sites in hSka1 (red).

(F) Quantification of microtubule cosedimentation assays (mean ± SD, n = 3, 100 nM Ska1 complex, 75 mM KCl) (top) and representative western blots of microtubule cosedimentation assays (bottom) of hSka1 complex (black), hSka1 complex R155A, R236A, R245A (blue), and hSka1 complex S185D, S242D (red). Mutation of conserved arginine residues to alanine or Aurora B consensus sites abolishes microtubule binding of the Ska1 complex.
These sites were proposed to regulate the recruitment of the Ska1 complex to kinetochores, but not its microtubule-binding activity. Interestingly, all four Aurora B phosphorylation sites identified in human Ska1 (Chan et al., 2012) are located in the region that we have defined here as the microtubule-binding domain. Mutation of two of these Aurora B phosphorylation sites (S185, S242 in hSka1 MTBD) to aspartate to mimic constitutive phosphorylation dramatically reduced the microtubule-binding activity of the Ska1 complex in vitro (Figure 7F) and resulted in a mitotic delay and reduced kinetochore fibers in vivo (Figures S5F–S5I). However, these defects were slightly less severe than the arginine mutant or the deletion of the MTBD. Interestingly, S185 and S242 are located in distinct regions within the microtubule-binding domain. The residue corresponding to S242 (R231 in C. elegans MTBD) resides in the direct vicinity of the basic patch identified above, suggesting that the introduction of negative charge by S242 phosphorylation might disrupt the interaction of the positively charged patch with microtubules (Figure 7E). In contrast, the residue corresponding to S185 (Q171 in C. elegans MTBD) is located in a loop between αB and αC on the opposite face of the microtubule-binding domain (Figure 7E). This suggests that two distinct surfaces play a role in microtubule binding, potentially binding to adjacent tubulin monomers. Individual mutation of S185 or S242 also reduced microtubule-binding activity, although to a lesser extent than the double mutant (data not shown). Importantly, mutation of the conserved arginine residues or Aurora B phosphorylation sites did not affect the folding of the microtubule-binding domain (Figure S5E). In total, these results demonstrate that the Ska1 complex uses a winged-helix domain to bind to microtubules and that point mutations that disrupt microtubule-binding phenocopy deletion of the Ska1 MTBD. Thus, microtubule binding is a crucial mitotic function of the Ska1 complex.

**DISCUSSION**

Chromosome movement driven by microtubule dynamics is essential for proper cell division. Here, we defined conserved biochemical activities of the Ska1 complex, a key player in coupling chromosome movement to microtubule dynamics. Our work provides mechanistic and structural insights into the interaction of the Ska1 complex with depolymerizing microtubules and demonstrates that its activity is integrated with the Ndc80 complex to generate an assembly that can remain processively associated with depolymerizing microtubules.

**The Ska1 Complex Tracks Depolymerizing Microtubules using a Unique Mechanism**

Since it was first demonstrated that microtubule depolymerization is sufficient to move chromosomes (Coue et al., 1991), a key goal has been to identify the molecular players involved in this process. The Dam1 complex was the first kinetochore component that was shown to track with depolymerizing microtubules (Westermann et al., 2006), but the Dam1 complex is only present in fungi and is not essential for chromosome segregation in fission yeast (Sanchez-Perez et al., 2005). The striking formation of ring-like Dam1 structures around microtubules has led to forced walk or ring-coupler models to explain how the kinetochore maintains attachment to depolymerizing microtubules (Efremov et al., 2007; Miranda et al., 2005; Westermann et al., 2006). The Ndc80 complex is conserved throughout eukaryotes and is essential for chromosome segregation in all organisms where it has been studied (Cheeseman and Desai, 2008). Recently, Powers and colleagues proposed that the Ndc80 complex remains attached to depolymerizing microtubules by biased diffusion (Powers et al., 2009). However, the Ndc80 complex can only track with depolymerizing microtubules when it is artificially induced to oligomerize (Powers et al., 2009). The Dam1 and Ndc80 complexes from budding yeast have also been shown to synergize in forming load-bearing attachments to depolymerizing microtubules (Lampert et al., 2010; Tien et al., 2010).

The models previously described for the Ndc80 and Dam1 complexes were under the implicit assumption that each complex interacts with the straight microtubule lattice (Efremov et al., 2007; Hill, 1985). Here, we demonstrated that the human Ska1 complex autonomously tracks with depolymerizing microtubules. We propose that the Ska1 complex remains associated with depolymerizing microtubules by not only associating with and diffusing along the straight microtubule lattice, but also by interacting with the curved microtubule structures at depolymerizing microtubule ends. Consistent with this, both the human and C. elegans Ska1 complexes associate with dolastatin-10-induced rings, which mimic the curved structures formed at depolymerizing microtubule ends, indicating that binding of curved microtubule structures is a key conserved property of the Ska1 complex. We note that the Dam1 complex has been shown to form rings around microtubules, but this behavior is fundamentally distinct from the Ska1 complex in which dolastatin induces the formation of a protofilament ring to which the Ska1 complex binds. Interestingly, the Ndc80 complex neither associates with dolastatin-10-induced rings nor tracks with depolymerizing microtubules under the conditions tested, indicating that its association with microtubules is inherently different from the Ska1 complex. This is further supported by the observation that the presence of the Ska1 complex induces the formation of protofilament rings when added to dynamic microtubule polymers, whereas the Ndc80 complex does not.

We also demonstrated that the Ska1 complex associates with microtubules using a variation of a winged-helix domain, while the Ndc80 complex utilizes a calponin homology domain (Ciferri et al., 2008). Previous work has demonstrated that the “toe-print” of the Ndc80 calponin homology domain contains residues from two consecutive tubulin monomers (Alushin et al., 2010). Thus, protofilament bending could distort this binding site and thereby reduce the affinity of the Ndc80
complex for curved microtubules. In contrast, the Ska1 complex maintains, or in case of the C. elegans homolog even increases, its binding affinity when associating with curved protofilaments. The long axis of the Ska1 MTBD is approximately the same length as the longest axis of the tubulin monomer (~5 nm). Thus, the Ska1 MTBD domain could interact with consecutive tubulin monomers and the binding surfaces, such as the C-terminal charged tail, could be ideally positioned when the protofilaments assume a curved conformation. Alternatively, the Ska1 MTBD could have a binding site on the tubulin monomer that is unaffected by the conformation of the protofilament. In case of the C. elegans homolog, additional interactions may be formed with dolastatin-10-induced protofilament rings.

In total, we propose that the Ska1 complex can associate with the bending protofilaments of depolymerizing microtubules, thereby assuring that the kinetochore remains attached to the microtubule when it encounters a depolymerizing microtubule end. The Ndc80 complex preferentially binds to the straight microtubule lattice, thus stabilizing it relative to the bent conformation and counteracting microtubule depolymerization (Figure 7G). To mediate kinetochore-microtubule attachments, these distinct microtubule-binding activities must be coordinated to facilitate robust kinetochore-microtubule attachments.

**The Ska1 and Ndc80 Complexes Form an Integrated Microtubule-Binding Assembly**

We demonstrated that the Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes bind to microtubules synergistically, a property that is conserved from humans to nematodes, indicating that the Ska1 complex and Ndc80 complexes interact directly in the context of microtubules. Our analysis suggests that this is a specific effect because we were unable to detect a synergy between the Ska1 microtubule-binding domain and the Ndc80 complex (Figure S4D), or between the human Ska1 complex and the C. elegans Ndc80 complex (data not shown). Furthermore, under the conditions tested, the Ndc80 complex alone does not have the capacity to remain associated with depolymerizing microtubules. In contrast, in the presence of the Ska1 complex, large Ndc80 assemblies are processively transported along depolymerizing microtubule. The rate of microtubule depolymerization in the presence of these assemblies is strongly reduced relative to free microtubules, indicating that these assemblies resist microtubule depolymerization. Therefore, we propose that Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes interact to form a unique coupler that can remain associated with depolymerizing microtubules and harness their energy to drive chromosome movement (Figure 7G). The Ndc80 complex can sense microtubule orientation and integrates the Ska1 complex into the macromolecular kinetochore, whereas the activity of the Ska1 complex is necessary to remain attached to depolymerizing microtubules by associating with both curved protofilaments and the straight microtubule wall. Although the Ndc80 and Ska1 complexes can coordinate to track microtubules, future studies will be required to study this behavior in the presence of a load, such as would be present at kinetochores in cells.

Our cell biological analysis suggests that the Ska1 and Ndc80 complexes make distinct contributions to kinetochore-microtubule attachments in vivo. In the absence of Ska1 complex microtubule-binding activity, the Ska1 complex localizes to kinetochores and together with the Ndc80 complex is sufficient to direct chromosome alignment and end-on kinetochore-microtubule attachments (Figure 2). Indeed, when Ska1 complex function is eliminated entirely, cells are unable to maintain kinetochore-microtubule attachments (Gaitanos et al., 2009; Raaijmakers et al., 2009; Welburn et al., 2009), although others have instead suggested that the Ska1 complex is involved in spindle assembly checkpoint silencing (Daum et al., 2009). Importantly, in the absence of Ska1 microtubule-binding activity kinetochore fibers and kinetochore oscillations are both strongly reduced, suggesting that the Ndc80 complex microtubule-binding activity is insufficient to carry out these functions. Instead, the unique microtubule-binding activity of the Ska1 complex is required to maintain kinetochore fibers and to power kinetochore oscillations, indicating that is required to allow the kinetochore to remain associated with depolymerizing microtubules.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Cell Culture and RNAi**

Stable cell lines derived from HeLa cells were generated as previously described (Shah et al., 2004). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, penicillin/streptomycin, and L-glutamine at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂. RNA experiments were conducted using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Invitrogen) using the manufacturers instructions. siRNAs against Ska1 were described previously (Welburn et al., 2009).

**Immunofluorescence and Microscopy**

Immunofluorescence in human cells was conducted as described previously (Welburn et al., 2009). Microtubules were visualized using the DM1s (Sigma) at 1:2000. To detect kinetochores, anti-centromere antibodies (Antibodies, Inc., Davis, CA) were used at 1:100. To visualize GFP-tagged Ska1, the intrinsic GFP fluorescence was used. Cy2-, Cy3-, and Cy5-conjugated secondary antibodies (Jackson Laboratories) were used at 1:100. DNA was visualized using 10 μg/ml Hoechst. For additional information, see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Total Internal Reflection Fluorescence Microscopy**

TIR-FM movies were acquired with a Nikon Ti-E inverted microscope with perfect focus, equipped with an Andor Revolution 500 XD laser system (488 nm 50 mW, 640 nm 100 mW), a TI-TIRF-E motorized illumination unit (Nikon), an Apo 100x/1.49 TIRF objective (Nikon), 1.5x auxiliary magnification, and an Andor iXon3 897 EMCCD-camera using the Andor I/Q2 software. 600 images were acquired under continuous illumination with 45 ms exposure times (20 fps). A single image of the microtubules was taken before and after each movie. All imaging was carried out at 30 °C. The movies were analyzed with a custom algorithm and manually screened for false positives. For a detailed protocol see Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Microtubule Cosedimentation Assays**

Microtubule pelleting assays were conducted as described previously (Cheeseman et al., 2006) using taxol-stabilized microtubules or dolastatin-10-induced rings. Dolastatin-10-induced rings were generated by incubating 17.3 μM tubulin-dimer in BRB80 with 6% DMSO and 40 μM dolastatin-10 (provided by the Drug Synthesis and Chemistry Branch, Developmental Therapeutics Program, Division of Cancer Treatment and Diagnosis, National Cancer Institute) for 1 hr at room temperature. Rings were purified by pelleting through a cushion of BRB80 with 40% glycerol for 10 min at 30000 × g at room temperature. Rings were resuspended in BRB80 with 6% DMSO and 40 μM dolastatin-10. The amount of rings in pellet fractions was quantified by Coomassie staining relative to a standard curve of tubulin on the same
gel. Antibodies to detect the Ndc80 complex and the ceSKA1 complex were raised against Ndc80 “Bonsai” and full ceSKA1 complex and affinity purified, as described previously (Desai et al., 2003). The hSka1 antibody was described previously (Welburn et al., 2009).

Dynamic Microtubule Tracking Assays

Tracking assays were conducted as described previously (Grishchuk and Atayullakanov, 2010; Grishchuk et al., 2005). Briefly, microtubules were grown from coverslip-attached Chlamydomonas axonemes in the presence of bovine tubulin and GTP. Stabilizing caps were then assembled by elongating microtubules in the presence GMPCPP, and with Rhodamine-labeled tubulin. After washing chambers with these coverslip-anchored segmented microtubules, GFP-labeled proteins were perfused in motility buffer (BRB80, 4 mM MgCl2, 5 mg/ml BSA, 0.4 mg/ml casein, 10 mM DTT). Microtubule depolymerization was induced by a brief exposure to a bright green light. Images were acquired and processed using Metamorph, using a Zeiss Axiophot 2, with a 100 x 1.4NA objective, and an Andor Kxon3 camera, under continuous illumination at 32°C. Tip intensity was determined with line scans along kymographs, which were background corrected and normalized by the lattice intensity.

Electron Microscopy

Dynamic microtubules were assembled by incubating 45 μM tubulin (Cytoskeleton, Inc.) in 50 mM PIPES pH 6.8, 4 mM MgCl2, 12% (V/V) DMSO, and 2 mM GTP at 34°C for 1 hr. Microtubules were diluted in BRB80 to 4.5 μM in the presence of 2 μM hSka1 complex, ceSKA1 complex, or hNdc80 “Broccoli,” immediately added to glow discharged electron microscopy grids and stained with 1% uranyl acetate. Dolastatin-10-induced rings were assembled as described above and incubated at 1 μM with a 15-fold excess of hSka1 complex, ceSKA1 complex, or hNdc80 “Broccoli.” Images were acquired using FEI Tecnai and FEI Spirit transmission electron microscopes equipped with Tietz (2k × 2k) and Gatan (4k × 4k) CCD cameras.

NMR Spectroscopy

All NMR samples were prepared in 20 mM potassium phosphate pH 6.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM DTT. Spectra were recorded on Varian/Agilent Inova 600 MHz and 500 MHz and Bruker 700 MHz and 900 MHz spectrometers at 298 K. Backbone and side chain resonances were picked and assigned manually in CARA (Keller, 2004), NOE were picked and assigned manually in CcpNmr (Vranken et al., 2005). Torsion angle constraints were derived manually in CARA (Vranken et al., 2005). Hydrogen bond restraints for beta-sheets and helices were assigned when supported by NOEs and secondary structure prediction. 50/20 structures were created in a simulated annealing protocol using CYANA (Güntert et al., 1997). Structures were visualized with PyMOL and MOLMOL (Koradi et al., 1996). PROCHECK-NMR was used to assess the stereochemical quality of the structure (Laskowski et al., 1996). For a detailed description of the structure determination see the Supplemental Experimental Procedures.
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