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Quantum spin liquids are exotic phases of quantum spin systems which break no global symmetries even when thermal fluctuations are completely suppressed at zero temperature [1,2]. In the last decade, candidates of gapless spin liquid phases have been discovered in various experimental systems, including $\kappa$-(BEDT-TTF)$_2$Cu$_2$(CN)$_3$ [3–5], EtMe$_3$Sb[Pd(dmit)$_2$]$_2$ [6–8], Ba$_3$CuSb$_2$O$_9$ [9], Ba$_3$NiSb$_2$O$_9$ [10], and ZnCu$_3$(OH)$_6$Cl$_2$ [11–13]. In all these materials, no evidence of magnetic order was found where the sums $\sum$ over all elementary four-site rhombi; $P_{ijkl}$ rotates the spin configurations around a given rhombus. In what follows, we set $J_1 = 1$ as the unit of energy.

The two different organic spin liquids $\kappa$-BEDT and DMIT share two universal properties. (1) At low temperatures, despite the fact that the system is still a Mott insulator for charge transport, the specific heat scales linearly with temperature: $C_v = \gamma T$. Furthermore, $\gamma$ is essentially independent of a moderate external magnetic field [3]. (2) The spin susceptibility shows no magnetic phase transition at any finite temperature, and it saturates to a finite constant $\chi$ at zero temperature [4].

These two phenomena are completely inconsistent with any semiclassical magnetic state and are strongly suggestive of the existence of a highly nontrivial quantum disordered phase. They also demonstrate the presence of a large density of charge-neutral excitations at low temperature. To date, four main theoretical scenarios have been proposed to describe these experimental facts.

(1) In the U(1) spinon Fermi surface state [14,16], a fermionic spinon $f_{ij}$ is introduced by decomposing the physical spin operator as $\tilde{S}_j = (1/2)\sum_{a,\beta} \phi_{j,a} \tilde{\sigma}_{a,\beta} f_{j,\beta}$ and taking the spinons to fill an ordinary Fermi sea at the mean-field level. This gives rise to a finite density of states, consistent with the experimental results mentioned above. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that for strong enough ring exchange $K$, the spinon Fermi sea state has very competitive variational energy in the microscopic spin model (1) [14]. However, once we go beyond the mean-field level, the U(1) gauge fluctuation will acquire singular overdamped dynamics $|\omega| \sim k^3$ due to its coupling with the Fermi surface [17]. This singular dynamics generates an even larger density of states at low temperature, which leads to a singular specific heat $C_v \sim T^{2/3}$. This specific heat behavior is not observed experimentally.

(2) The most natural way to suppress the U(1) gauge fluctuation is to condense Cooper pairs of spinons and, thus, break the U(1) gauge fluctuation down to a fully gapped $Z_2$ gauge fluctuation. This possibility has been explored numerically in Ref. [18], where the authors concluded that the particular pairing pattern that is energetically favored by Eq. (1) has nodal $d_{x^2-y^2}$-wave structure. However, this nodal $d$-wave pairing not only suppresses the gauge fluctuation, it also significantly suppresses the fermion density of states, and the system will no longer have finite $\gamma$ and $\chi$ at low temperature, unless sufficient disorder is turned on.
(3) Another very different approach was taken in Ref. [19], where the authors proposed that $\kappa$-BEDT is a $Z_2$ spin liquid which is very close to the condensation quantum critical point of bosonic spinons. This quantum critical behavior is consistent with the NMR relaxation rate observed experimentally [20]. In particular, the small energy gap seen in thermal conductivity data [21] was identified with the gap of the topological defect of the $Z_2$ spin liquid [19]. However, no parent spin Hamiltonian has been found for this state so far. Thus, it is unknown whether this quantum critical spin liquid can be realized in any experimentally relevant lattice model.

(4) A novel Majorana slave fermion formalism was introduced in Ref. [22], where the authors proposed that the ground state of the organic spin liquids has a Majorana Fermi surface. But, just like the previous theory, so far it is unclear in which lattice model this spin liquid can be realized.

In this Letter, we propose an entirely new spin liquid. In Ref. [23], possible $Z_2$ spin liquids with an extended spinon Fermi surface were summarized. However, the spin liquid state proposed in the present Letter is beyond the ones discussed in Ref. [23]. Our novel state has no spinon Fermi surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the surface.

(4) A novel Majorana slave fermion formalism was introduced in Ref. [22], where the authors proposed that the ground state of the organic spin liquids has a Majorana Fermi surface. But, just like the previous theory, so far it is unclear in which lattice model this spin liquid can be realized.

In this Letter, we propose an entirely new spin liquid. In Ref. [23], possible $Z_2$ spin liquids with an extended spinon Fermi surface were summarized. However, the spin liquid state proposed in the present Letter is beyond the ones discussed in Ref. [23]. Our novel state has no spinon Fermi surface, but has a quadratic band touching (QBT) of fermionic spinons that is protected by the symmetry of the model: $\omega \sim \pm k^2$. In two dimensions, a quadratic band touching leads to a finite constant density of states, which automatically gives finite $\gamma$ and $\chi$ at zero temperature. Besides being consistent with the major experimental facts of the organic spin liquid compounds, this state has the following advantages. (1) As we will show below, this state is a very competitive variational ground state for model (1) in the physically relevant regime $0.1 \leq K \leq 0.15$ and $J_2 = 0$ (see Fig. 1). (2) The gauge fluctuation for this state is fully gapped, and hence plays no role at low energy.

Most field-theoretic calculations based on this state are, thus, well approximated at the mean-field level, and so, in contrast to the spinon Fermi surface state [24], they are well controlled. (3) Finite $\gamma$ and $\chi$ are generic properties of our QBT spin liquid. In contrast to the spinon Fermi surface state, these properties are both robust in the presence of gauge fluctuations, and unlike the nodal $d$-wave state, they do not rely on disorder. (4) A very small energy gap, much smaller than the Heisenberg exchange $J_1$, was observed by thermal conductivity measurements in $\kappa$-BEDT [21]. This small gap can be very elegantly explained by our QBT spin liquid without fine-tuning: an allowed short-range spinon interaction on top of our mean-field state may be marginally relevant, and thus, naturally open up an exponentially small gap. (5) Since the gauge field fluctuation is fully gapped in our spin liquid, it does not respond to an external magnetic field. Thus, our state has no obvious thermal Hall effect, which is consistent with experiments [25].

Let us first describe the QBT spin liquid state. We take the standard slave fermion (spinon) representation of spin-1/2 operators: $\tilde{S}_j = (1/2) \sum_{\alpha,\beta=-1} f_{j\alpha}^\dagger \sigma^{\alpha\beta} f_{j\beta}$. The physical spin-1/2 Hilbert space is then recovered by imposing the on-site constraint $\sum_{\alpha} f_{j\alpha}^\dagger f_{j\alpha} = 1$, which introduces an SU(2) gauge symmetry to the low-energy dynamics of the spinons [26]. However, this SU(2) gauge symmetry will generally be broken by the mean-field dynamics, which can be described by a quadratic Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_{\text{MF}} = -\sum_{i,j} [t_{ij} f_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{j\sigma} + (\Delta_{ij} f_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{j\bar{\sigma}} + \text{H.c.})].$$

The QBT spin liquid at the focus of this Letter corresponds to a mean-field Ansatz for the spinons with $d + id$ pairing and vanishing hopping

$$t_{ij} = 0, \quad \Delta_{ij} = \Delta (e_x + ie_y)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Here, $\hat{e}$ is a first-neighbor unit vector of the triangular lattice. This mean-field Ansatz breaks the SU(2) gauge symmetry down to $Z_2$: $f_{i\sigma} \mapsto -f_{i\bar{\sigma}}$. Thus, gauge fluctuations can be ignored in the low-energy dynamics of the system.

It is convenient to introduce a complex spinor $\psi$ defined as $\psi_1, \psi_2 = (f_1, f_1^\dagger)$. Expanded at the $\Gamma$ point $\hat{k} = 0$, the low-energy Hamiltonian for the mean-field Ansatz mentioned above reads

$$H_0 = \psi^\dagger \left\{ -\tau^i (\partial_{\hat{x}}^2 - \partial_{\hat{y}}^2) + 2\tau^y \partial_{\hat{x}} + \partial_{\hat{y}} \right\} \psi.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

This mean-field Hamiltonian has a quadratic band touching at $\hat{k} = 0$, which leads to a finite density of states in two dimensions. We propose that this finite density of states is responsible for finite $\gamma$ and $\chi$ observed experimentally in $\kappa$-BEDT and DMIT. A similar QBT spin liquid state for the spin-1 material $\text{Ba}_3\text{NiSb}_2\text{O}_9$ [10] was proposed in Ref. [27].

FIG. 1 (color online). Variational phase diagram of the spin Hamiltonian, Eq. (1). We propose that the $d + id$ QBT spin liquid phase is a very strong candidate for the ground state of $\kappa$-BEDT and DMIT in the parameter range $J_2 = 0$ and $K = 0.13$. 

157203-2
In addition to the quadratic band touching at \( \tilde{k} = 0 \), there are also Dirac fermions at the corners of the Brillouin zone: \( \tilde{Q}_{A,B} = \pm (4\pi/3, 0) \). Complex Dirac fermion fields \( \psi^\pm_{A,B} \) at momenta \( \tilde{Q}_{A,B} \) can be defined as \( \psi = \psi_A \exp(i\tilde{Q}_A \cdot \tilde{r}) + \psi_B \exp(i\tilde{Q}_B \cdot \tilde{r}) \). The low-energy Hamiltonian for \( \psi_{A,B} \) reads

\[
H = \sum_{\alpha = A,B} \psi^\dagger_{\alpha} (-i\tau^x \partial_x - i\tau^y \partial_y) \psi_{\alpha}.
\]

At low temperature, the contribution of these Dirac fermions to \( \gamma \) and \( \chi \) is much smaller than the one resulting from the quadratic band touching at the \( \Gamma \) point.

The spinon carries a projective representation of the physical symmetry group. In the Supplemental Material [28], we demonstrate that the mean-field QBT Ansatz discussed above preserves all the symmetries of the model (including the spin symmetry, triangular lattice symmetry, and time-reversal symmetry). As long as these symmetries are preserved, no relevant fermion bilinear terms can be added to Eqs. (4) and (5), and the low-energy dynamics is stable.

Let us now go beyond the mean field. As mentioned above, the mean-field Ansatz breaks the gauge symmetry down to \( Z_2 \), and the gauge fluctuations are, thus, quite harmless. But, besides the gauge fluctuation, local short-range four-fermion interactions exist at both the Dirac points \( \tilde{Q}_{A,B} \) and the QBT \( \Gamma \) point. At the \( \Gamma \) point, only the following four-fermion interaction needs to be considered:

\[
H_4 = -gf f^\dagger_i f^\dagger_j f^\dagger_i \sim g \psi^\dagger_1 \psi_1 \psi^\dagger_2 \psi_2.
\]

The renormalization group flow of this term is very simple: depending on the sign of \( g \), \( H_4 \) can be either marginally relevant or irrelevant [29]. When it is relevant (\( g > 0 \)), the system spontaneously breaks time-reversal symmetry (it becomes a chiral spin liquid) and opens up an exponentially small gap at the \( \Gamma \) point: \( m = g \Gamma \psi^\dagger \psi \). We identify this fluctuation generated gap with the small gap observed by thermal conductivity in \( \kappa \)-BEDT [21].

In DMIT, on the other hand, thermal conductivity measurements indicate that the system is gapless at the lowest temperature [25]. Thus, we conjecture that DMIT corresponds to the case with a marginally irrelevant \( H_4 \) (\( g < 0 \)), while \( \kappa \)-BEDT corresponds to \( g > 0 \). The thermal conductivity behavior with negative \( g \) will be studied in detail in the future [30], taking into account both interaction and disorder effects.

Inspired by previous works [14,18,31–33], we now revisit the variational phase diagram of model (1) using a wide range of correlated spin wave functions. The quadratic Hamiltonian, Eq. (2), allows straightforward construction of spin liquid wave functions by Gutzwiller projecting its ground state \( |\Psi_0\rangle \). That is, we use as variational states \( |\Psi_{(t_{ij}, \Delta_{ij})}\rangle = \mathcal{P}_G \mathcal{P}_N |\Psi_0\rangle \), where \( \mathcal{P}_N \) is a projector to a state with \( N \) spinons, and \( N \) is the number of lattice sites \((N_1 = N_1 = N/2)\). \( \mathcal{P}_G = \prod_{j}[1 - n_{j} n_{j}] \) is the Gutzwiller projector which removes unphysical states containing doubly occupied sites. We fix the spinon chemical potential \( \mu = t_{ij} \) such that \( |\Psi_0\rangle \) is half filled on average before projection, but other parameters in (2) are used as variational parameters. The evaluation of expectation values in such fermionic wave functions can be done efficiently and with high accuracy using variational Monte Carlo techniques [33–35]. For competing long-range ordered states, we use Jastrow-type wave functions as pioneered by Huse and Elser [36] (see the Supplemental Material [28] for more details on all states we studied).

We first consider the case with \( J_2 = 0 \) in Eq. (1). Since the seminal work of Motrunich [14], it has been known that the \( U(1) \) projected Fermi sea state (or “spin Bose metal” [31]) with isotropic nearest-neighbor \( t_{ij} = t \) and \( \Delta_{ij} = 0 \) has remarkably good variational energy and is clearly the best fermionic trial state for relatively large ring exchange \( K \approx 0.3 \). This state is also consistent with recent large-scale density matrix renormalization group calculations on the four-leg ladder [32]. On the other hand, exact diagonalization studies [37] indicate that the 120\(^\circ\) antiferromagnetic (AFM) order, which is believed to characterize the ground state of the Heisenberg model at \( K = 0 \) [36,38,39], is destroyed at much smaller ring exchange \( K \approx 0.1 \). Therefore, an intermediate spin liquid phase in the parameter regime \( 0.1 \leq K \leq 0.3 \) may well be present in the model, and is likely to be relevant for the organic compounds.

The most natural candidate states are \( \mathcal{Z}_2 \) spin liquids with finite spinon pairing \( \Delta_{ij} \neq 0 \) in (2). Indeed, it has been known since the work of Motrunich that, in the intermediate parameter regime of Eq. (1), such projected Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer states do have significantly lower energy than the 120\(^\circ\) AFM and \( U(1) \) Fermi sea states. However, the nature of the spinon pairing pattern in this putative \( \mathcal{Z}_2 \) spin liquid was still up for debate. In this Letter, we perform accurate large-scale simulations up to \( 30 \times 30 \) lattice sites to check all singlet (\( \Delta_{ij} = \Delta_{ji} \)) and triplet (\( \Delta_{ij} = -\Delta_{ji} \)) pairing instabilities \((s, p, p + ip, d, d + id, \text{and } f\)-wave) of the \( U(1) \) Fermi sea state in model (1). We find the remarkable result that for \( 0.1 \leq K \leq 0.15 \) our QBT \( d + id \) state, as discussed above, is highly competitive, and perhaps has the lowest energy of any projected fermionic trial state, including the nodal \( d\)-wave state of Ref. [18].

The results of our variational study at \( J_2 = 0 \) are summarized in Fig. 2. Consistent with Refs. [14,18], we find that the unpaired \( U(1) \) Fermi sea (FS) state and states with nodal \( d\)-wave and \( d + id \) pairing symmetries are the most competitive spin liquid wave functions for this model. The gap functions for the \( d + id \) and nodal \( d\)-wave states are given by \( \Delta_{ij}^{(d + id)} = \Delta(e_x + ie_y)^2 \) and \( \Delta_{ij}^{(\text{nodal} d)} = \Delta(e_x^2 - e_y^2) \), respectively, where \( \hat{e} \) is a unit vector connecting nearest neighbors on the triangular lattice. Each of these Ansätze,
thus, has one variational parameter $\Delta/t$ which we parameterize by $\alpha = \tan^{-1}(\Delta/t)$. In the top panel of Fig. 2, we show the optimal energies per site, $E_{\text{minimum}}$, versus ring exchange $K$ for the $d + id$ nodal $d$ wave, U(1) FS, and 120° AFM states. In the bottom panel, we show the corresponding optimal $\alpha$ for both the $d + id$ and nodal $d$-wave states. We see that the 120° AFM state wins for $K \leq 0.1$; however, immediately upon exiting the 120° phase for $0.1 \leq K \leq 0.15$, the $d + id$ and nodal $d$-wave states are extremely close in energy and are basically degenerate within statistical error. Remarkably, as seen in the bottom panel of Fig. 2, the optimal $d + id$ state in the entire range $0.1 \leq K \leq 0.17$ is in fact our exotic QBT state of interest, that is, $\Delta/t \to \infty$, $\alpha = \pi/2$. For still larger $K$, $0.15 \leq K \leq 0.25$, the optimal Ansatz is the nodal $d$-wave state, a result which is consistent with Ref. [18]. Finally, for $K \geq 0.25$, the optimal pairing amplitude $\Delta \to 0$ for all spin liquid states, thus, describing a crossover to the U(1) Fermi sea state of Refs. [14,31,32].

In the inset of the bottom panel of Fig. 2, we plot the variational energies per site versus $\alpha$ at the point $K = 0.13$ in the spin liquid phase. Interestingly, there are two local minima for the $d + id$ Ansätze: the first minimum at small $\Delta \leq t$ is smoothly connected to the U(1) Fermi sea state at $\Delta = 0$, while the second minimum at $\Delta/t \to \infty$ is the qualitatively new QBT state at the focus of our work. For $0.1 \leq K \leq 0.17$, the latter is lower in energy than the former, but is almost degenerate with the optimal nodal $d$-wave state which always has small $\Delta \leq t$. Indeed, the two local minima in the $d + id$ Ansätze are already present in the pure Heisenberg model ($K = J_z = 0$), with a large-$\Delta$ state ($\alpha = 0.44$, $\Delta/t = 5.2$) having minimum energy. Furthermore, the QBT state at $\alpha = \pi/2$ has surprisingly low ring-term expectation value, and this conspires with the good Heisenberg energy of the generic large-$\Delta$ $d + id$ state to make the QBT state the optimal fermionic spin liquid Ansatz in the parameter window $0.1 \leq K \leq 0.15$. (For more details, see the Supplemental Material [28]).

The authors of Ref. [18] concluded that the nodal $d$-wave state is clearly the best variational ground state for intermediate $0.1 \leq K \leq 0.15$. We believe that there are two reasons for this discrepancy with our result. First, Ref. [18] considered only a restricted range of small $\Delta/t$ for the $d + id$ Ansatz which excluded the QBT state altogether. Second, our extensive finite-size analysis shows that quite large lattice clusters ($\geq 18 \times 18$ sites) are necessary to get well converged expectation values for the nodal $d$-wave state. Our calculations find poorly converged expectation values and strong dependencies on the spinon boundary conditions for a nodal $d$-wave state on the small $10 \times 11$ cluster that was used in [18].

Finally, we discuss the effect of a second-neighbor interaction $J_2$. In Fig. 1, we present a variational phase diagram in the $K$-$J_2$ plane. A ferromagnetic interaction ($J_2 < 0$) quickly favors the 120° AFM state over the QBT $d + id$ state and destroys the spin liquid phase. On the other hand, antiferromagnetic $J_2 > 0$ strongly frustrates the 120° AFM state and favors a nodal $d$-wave spin liquid. Negative ring-exchange or larger values of $J_2 \geq 0.17$ lead to a collinear phase. In Fig. 1, around $J_2 \approx 0.05$ and $K \approx -0.02$, a small fully gapped $d + id$ phase with finite $\Delta/t$ emerges. This is a chiral spin liquid with nontrivial topological order [40,41]. Our preliminary results show that this phase will expand significantly once an antiferromagnetic third-neighbor Heisenberg coupling $J_3$ is added to Eq. (1). More details on this phase will be elaborated in future work.

The ability of the nodal $d$-wave state to beat the collinear state for $K \approx 0$ may suggest (see Refs. [42,43]) that we are overestimating the extent of the nodal $d$-wave state in our phase diagram (see also the Supplemental Material [28]). Of course, a variational study can never claim to have the final say on the phase diagram of a given microscopic model, and quantitative locations of phase boundaries should not be taken too seriously. What is very robust, however, is the statement that our QBT $d + id$ state has...
both extremely competitive energetics in a realistic parameter regime and highly appealing phenomenology for the organic spin liquid compounds.
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