SUMMARY

Following genotoxic stress, cells activate a complex kinase-based signaling network to arrest the cell cycle and initiate DNA repair. p53-defective tumor cells rewire their checkpoint response and become dependent on the p38/MK2 pathway for survival after DNA damage, despite a functional ATR-Chk1 pathway. We used functional genetics to dissect the contributions of Chk1 and MK2 to checkpoint control. We show that nuclear Chk1 activity is essential to establish a G2/M checkpoint, while cytoplasmic MK2 activity is critical for prolonged checkpoint maintenance through a process of posttranscriptional mRNA stabilization. Following DNA damage, the p38/MK2 complex relocalizes from nucleus to cytoplasm where MK2 phosphorylates hnRNPA0, to stabilize Gadd45a mRNA, while p38 phosphorylates and releases the translational inhibitor TIAR. In addition, MK2 phosphorylates PARN, blocking Gadd45a mRNA degradation. Gadd45a functions within a positive feedback loop, sustaining the MK2-dependent cytoplasmic sequestration of Cdc25B/C to block mitotic entry in the presence of unrepaired DNA damage. Our findings demonstrate a critical role for the MK2 pathway in the posttranscriptional regulation of gene expression as part of the DNA damage response in cancer cells.

INTRODUCTION

In response to DNA damage, eukaryotic cells activate a complex protein kinase-based checkpoint signaling network to arrest progression through the cell cycle. Activation of this signaling cascade recruits repair machinery to the sites of DNA damage, provides time for repair, or, if the damage is extensive, triggers programmed cell death or senescence (Abraham, 2001; Bartek and Lukas, 2003; Harper and Elledge, 2007; Jackson and Bartek, 2009; Shiloh, 2003). We and others have recently identified a third checkpoint effector pathway mediated by p38 and MAPKAP kinase-2 (MK2) that operates parallel to Chk1 and is activated downstream of ATM and ATR (Bulavin et al., 2001; Manke et al., 2005; Reinhardt et al., 2007). In particular, it is specifically within cells defective in the ARF-p53 pathway that this p38/MK2 pathway becomes essential for proper cell-cycle control following DNA damage.

The canonical DNA damage response network can be divided into two major protein kinase signaling branches which function through the upstream kinases, ATM and ATR, respectively. These upstream kinases are critical initiators of the G1/S, intra-S, and G2/M cell-cycle checkpoints through activation of their downstream effector kinases Chk2 and Chk1, respectively (Bartek and Lukas, 2003; Harper and Elledge, 2007; Jackson and Bartek, 2009; Reinhardt and Yaffe, 2009).

Chk1, Chk2, and MK2 appear to control the checkpoint response, at least in part, through the phosphorylation-dependent inactivation of members of the Cdc25 family of phosphatases, which are positive regulators of Cyclin/Cdk complexes (Donzelli and Draetta, 2003). Chk1, Chk2, and/or MK2-dependent phosphorylation of Cdc25B and C on Ser-323 and -216,
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respectively, for example, creates binding sites for 14-3-3 proteins, resulting in modest catalytic inhibition and pronounced cytoplasmic sequestration of these mitotic phosphatases, preventing access to, and activation of, nuclear and centrosomal Cyclin/Cdk substrates (Boutros et al., 2007). Paradoxically, Chk1, Chk2, and MK2 phosphorylate the identical basophilic amino acid consensus motif on peptides, and all three kinases appear to exhibit similar activity against Cdc25B and C (Manke et al., 2005; O’Neill et al., 2002). Why then, at the systems level of cell-cycle control, do cells maintain more than one kinase to perform the same molecular function?

We reasoned that this diversity in kinase activity might involve specific differences in subcellular localization and/or timing in response to genotoxic stress. We therefore examined the spatial and temporal dynamics of DNA damage checkpoint signaling through the effector kinases Chk1 and MK2, and searched for additional MK2-specific targets relevant to checkpoint regulation. These studies surprisingly revealed that p53-defective cells contain two spatially and temporally distinct G2/M checkpoint networks—an early “nuclear” checkpoint mediated through the actions of Chk1, and a late “cytoplasmic” checkpoint mediated through MK2. The critical cytoplasmic function of MK2 in late cell-cycle checkpoint control is the post-transcriptional modulation of gene expression through DNA damage-induced p38/MK2-dependent phosphorylation of RNA-binding/regulatory proteins. We show that p38/MK2-dependent phosphorylation of three key targets involved in RNA regulation, hnRNPA0, TIAR, and PARN, stabilizes an otherwise unstable Gadd45a transcript through its 3'UTR. The resulting accumulation of Gadd45a then functions, at the systems level, as part of a p38-dependent positive feedback loop to block the premature activation and nuclear translocation of Cdc25B and -C in the presence of ongoing DNA damage.

RESULTS

Chk1 and MK2 Control Early and Late G2/M Checkpoints, Respectively, after DNA Damage

We recently demonstrated that depletion of the checkpoint kinase MK2 sensitizes p53-deficient cells to the effects of DNA-damaging chemotherapy (Reinhardt et al., 2007). A series of recent studies reported a similar requirement for Chk1 in p53-deficient cancer cells for survival after genotoxic stress (Chen et al., 2006; Koniaras et al., 2001; Mukhopadhyay et al., 2005). Paradoxically, Chk1 and MK2 phosphorylate the identical optimal sequence motif on their substrates (Manke et al., 2005), yet the enzymatic activity of both kinases is essential for proper cell-cycle control in response to DNA damage. To explore the mechanistic basis for these seemingly motif-identical kinases in DNA damage signaling, we used lentiviral shRNA to knock down Chk1 or MK2 in U2OS cells (see Figures S1A and S1B available online) and examined the kinetics of spontaneous checkpoint release after exposure to 1 μM doxorubicin for 1 hr. Cells were analyzed at 12, 18, 24, and 30 hr after doxorubicin treatment for mitotic accumulation by measuring DNA content and phosphohistone H3 (pHH3) positivity by flow cytometry in the presence of a nocodazole trap.

As shown in the upper panels of Figure 1A, knockdown of MK2 or Chk1 did not result in gross cell-cycle changes in the absence of DNA damage. Treatment of control cells with doxorubicin resulted in a gradual build-up of G2-arrested cells over 24 hr, as evidenced by the accumulation of 4N cells staining negatively for pHH3 (Figure 1A, lower panels, and Figure 1B). Chk1-depleted cells, like wild-type cells, displayed a prominent 4N peak after DNA damage; however, by as early as 12 hr after doxorubicin, 7.7% of the cells already stained positively for pHH3. This percentage of pHH3-positive cells progressively rose to 15% by 18 hr and reached 25.6% and 29.5% by 24 and 30 hr, respectively, compared to 0.9% and 1.5% of the shRNA controls at these times. These latter pHH3 values for the Chk1 knockdown cells are similar to those seen in undamaged wild-type cells arrested in mitosis with nocodazole, and indicate an early failure of the G2 checkpoint in Chk1-depleted cells.

In contrast to Chk1 depletion, examination of the MK2 knockdown cells showed an accumulation of 4N DNA-containing cells that were largely negative for pHH3 staining at 12 and 18 hr after doxorubicin (3.7% ± 1.2%, and 3.6% ± 0.5%, respectively), indicating a functional early G2 arrest. However, by 24 and 30 hr following doxorubicin, a gradual collapse of the checkpoint was evident with 13.2% and 28.5% of MK2-depleted cells now staining positively for pHH3 (Figure 1B). Thus, MK2 depletion appeared to disrupt maintenance of the G2 checkpoint at late times, whereas Chk1 depletion resulted in impaired checkpoint initiation and/or maintenance at earlier times. Expression of shRNA-resistant wild-type MK2 completely rescued the effect of MK2 depletion on doxorubicin-induced G2/M arrest (Figures S2A–S2C), whereas expression of a kinase-dead MK2 mutant failed to restore these checkpoints, although this mutant bound to p38 (Figures S2D), confirming that MK2 activity itself was required for the late cell-cycle checkpoint arrest.

Distinct Nuclear and Cytoplasmic Locations of Active Chk1 and MK2 following DNA Damage Mediate Early and Late Checkpoint Functions

To investigate whether the different temporal kinetics of checkpoint escape seen in the Chk1- and MK2-deficient cells resulted from targeting spatially distinct substrate pools, we examined the subcellular localization of these two checkpoint kinases after genotoxic stress. Retroviral gene delivery was used to obtain stable low-level expression of GFP chimeras of Chk1 and MK2 in U2OS cells, and localization monitored in live cells before and after DNA damage over time. Both GFP-Chk1 and GFP-MK2 localized exclusively in the nucleus of resting cells, while GFP alone was diffusely distributed throughout both the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Figure 2A). Following doxorubicin, GFP-MK2 rapidly translocated from the nucleus to the cytoplasm, where it remained for at least 24 hr, whereas GFP-Chk1 remained nuclear. Phosphorylation/activation of the GFP fusion proteins following DNA damage occurred with identical kinetics as those seen for the endogenous Chk1 and MK2 (Figures 2B and 2C), with the damage-induced relocalization of MK2 completely dependent upon its activation by p38, since cytoplasmic translocation after doxorubicin was completely abolished by the addition of the p38 inhibitor SB203580.
Identical results were obtained following cisplatin treatment (Figure S3). To ensure that the visual behavior of GFP fusion proteins assayed in vivo reflected the subcellular localizations of endogenous Chk1 and MK2 kinases following DNA damage, a similar series of biochemical experiments was performed where the localization of endogenous activated Chk1 and MK2 was examined in cell lysates by nuclear and cytoplasmic fractionation. As shown in Figure 2D, endogenous phospho-MK2 became detectable in the cytoplasmic fraction shortly after DNA damage, while endogenous phospho-Chk1 remained in the nuclear fraction. In addition, we also used indirect immunofluorescence to directly monitor the subcellular localization of endogenous Chk1 and MK2 in situ (Figure 2E). These studies confirmed that doxorubicin induced robust cytoplasmic accumulation of MK2, while Chk1 remained exclusively nuclear. The DNA damage-induced cytoplasmic relocalization of MK2 could be completely prevented by caffeine (Figure 2F), indicating that the upstream kinases ATM and ATR mediate MK2 activation upon genotoxic stress. Pharmacological inhibition of the checkpoint effector kinase Chk1 using two different inhibitors, however, failed to prevent the doxorubicin-induced cytoplasmic localization of MK2, indicating that Chk1 and MK2 operate in separate parallel pathways (Figure 2F). Intriguingly, the activation and translocation of MK2, as well as phosphorylation of its cytoplasmic substrate, Hsp27, could also be observed in some human tumor samples which also displayed hallmarks of ongoing DNA damage (i.e., positive nuclear γH2AX staining), likely as a consequence of oncogenic stress (Figure 2G) (Bartkova et al., 2006; Di Micco et al., 2006).

To explore whether the distinct subcellular localizations of Chk1 and MK2 after DNA damage were directly responsible for early and late checkpoint arrest, we generated chimeric molecules in which each kinase was spatially substituted for the other within cells. MK2 contains both a bipartite nuclear localization signal, while Chk1 remained exclusively nuclear. The DNA damage-induced cytoplasmic relocalization of MK2 could be completely prevented by caffeine (Figure 2F), indicating that the upstream kinases ATM and ATR mediate MK2 activation upon genotoxic stress. Pharmacological inhibition of the checkpoint effector kinase Chk1 using two different inhibitors, however, failed to prevent the doxorubicin-induced cytoplasmic localization of MK2, indicating that Chk1 and MK2 operate in separate parallel pathways (Figure 2F). Intriguingly, the activation and translocation of MK2, as well as phosphorylation of its cytoplasmic substrate, Hsp27, could also be observed in some human tumor samples which also displayed hallmarks of ongoing DNA damage (i.e., positive nuclear γH2AX staining), likely as a consequence of oncogenic stress (Figure 2G) (Bartkova et al., 2006; Di Micco et al., 2006).
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signal (NLS; amino acids 373–389) and a nuclear export signal (NES; amino acids 356–365) located near the C terminus (Figure 3Ai). In the kinase resting state, the NES is masked by a direct interaction with a hydrophobic patch in the kinase domain (ter Haar et al., 2007). Upon activation and MK2 phosphorylation on Thr-334 by p38, this interaction between the NES and the catalytic core is weakened and the NES becomes exposed, leading to cytoplasmic translocation (Ben-Levy et al., 1998; ter Haar et al., 2007). In contrast, Chk1 contains a NLS, but lacks a discernable NES (Figure 3Aii). Therefore, to produce an activatable but nuclear-restricted form of MK2, we expressed a construct in which the NES was functionally inactivated by insertion of point mutations (Figure 3Aiii). Similarly, to produce a construct in which either the NLS was inactivated (Figure S4) or the NES motif from MK2 was inserted at the Chk1 N terminus (Figure 3Aiv). All constructs were fused to GFP to allow visualization of subcellular localization.

 Constructs were expressed in asynchronously growing Chk1- or MK2-knockdown cells, which were left untreated or exposed to doxorubicin for 1 hr, followed by addition of nocodazole 2 hr after removal of doxorubicin, to capture cells escaping from DNA damage checkpoints in mitosis (Figure 3B). As an additional control, cells were treated with nocodazole alone. Cells were harvested 30 hr after doxorubicin and cell-cycle distribution assessed using FACS. As observed previously, treatment of cells expressing a control shRNA resulted in robust S and G2/M checkpoints 30 hr after addition of doxorubicin, with G2-arrested cells indicated by an accumulation of cells with 4N DNA content that were largely negative for pH3 staining (Figure 3C). This arrest was completely abrogated in cells expressing a Chk1 shRNA (Figures 3B and 3C). However, MK2ΔNES complementation of Chk1-depleted cells resulted in full restoration of functional S and G2/M checkpoints, indicating that nuclear-targeted MK2 can functionally compensate for the loss of Chk1. Thus, a phospho-motif-related basophilic kinase activity within the MK2 can functionally compensate for the loss of Chk1.

 To identify likely substrates of MK2 that were critical for its late function in MK2-depleted cells upon doxorubicin treatment, we explored known roles for MK2 in other signaling contexts. In hematopoetic cells, MK2 is known to be involved in stabilizing unstable cytosine mRNAs (Gaestel, 2006), and we recently demonstrated a similar MK2-dependent stabilization of IL-1α in carcinoma cells following TNFα stimulation (Janes et al., 2008). Specifically, mRNAs containing AU-rich elements (AREs) in the 3′UTR have been shown to be stabilized in an MK2-dependent manner (Gaestel, 2006; Neininger et al., 2002). We therefore surveyed molecules potentially involved in cell-cycle control for the presence of 3′ AREs. Gadd45α, a cell-cycle regulator known to be induced after DNA damage in both a p53-dependent and -independent manner (Harkin et al., 1999; Kastan et al., 1992; Maekawa et al., 2008), emerged as a likely candidate among the molecules we identified. As shown in Figure 4A, Gadd45α mRNA was rapidly upregulated following doxorubicin-induced DNA damage, and accumulation of this mRNA was almost completely abolished when cells were depleted of MK2. However, upregulation of Gadd45α mRNA following genotoxic
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stress could be restored in MK2 knockdown cells if they were complemented with a cytoplasmic-localized form of Chk1.

To directly investigate the functional importance of DNA damage-induced Gadd45α induction, we used an RNAi approach (Figure 4B). Knockdown of Gadd45α in MK2-containing cells was found to result in premature collapse of both the doxorubicin-induced intra-S and G2/M checkpoints by 30 hr after treatment (Figure 4B and Figure S1C), phenocopying the loss of checkpoint function in MK2 knockdown cells. These observations point to the importance of Gadd45α as a critical MK2 target for checkpoint regulation.

The 3'UTR of Gadd45α is heavily AU rich and contains numerous AREs, making posttranscriptional regulation through this part of the mRNA likely (Barreau et al., 2005). Importantly, under resting conditions, Gadd45α was recently shown to be actively degraded via a mechanism involving the 3'UTR (Lal et al., 2006). To conversely investigate whether a p38/MK2-dependent pathway(s) actively stabilizes Gadd45α mRNA levels through its 3'UTR, we used reporter constructs in which the GFP coding sequence was fused to the Gadd45α 3'UTR (GFP-3'UTR) (Lal et al., 2006) (Figures 4C and 4D). The GFP-3'UTR fusion construct, or GFP alone, was expressed in HeLa cells expressing either control or MK2-specific shRNA hairpins. As shown in Figures 4C and 4D, the basal levels of GFP protein were markedly lower in cells expressing the Gadd45α 3'UTR-chimeric mRNA than in cells expressing the unfused GFP mRNA. Cells expressing the 3'UTR chimeric GFP showed substantial induction of GFP following doxorubicin and UV treatment (~9-fold), and milder upregulation after cisplatin exposure (~4-fold), similar to what has been recently reported following MMS treatment (Lal et al., 2006). In marked contrast, the expression levels of the unfused GFP control protein remained unchanged. These results are consistent with regulation of Gadd45α mRNA levels through a posttranscriptional mechanism involving the 3'UTR.

Given the presence of AREs in the 3'UTR of Gadd45α, we speculated that MK2 might impose control over the Gadd45α mRNA via phosphorylation of RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) that recognize these sequences. To identify RBPs that might be involved in the posttranscriptional regulation of Gadd45α, we used Scansite (Obenauer et al., 2003) to examine known ARE-binding proteins for the presence of the MK2 consensus phosphorylation motif that we had defined previously using oriented peptide library screening (Manke et al., 2005). This revealed HuR, TTP, TIAR, and hnRNPA0 as likely MK2 candidate substrates. To investigate which of these proteins were bound to Gadd45α mRNA, we used RNA-IP followed by RT-PCR (Figures 5A and 5B). The IP conditions were optimized to retain the integrity of endogenous ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complexes. Subsequent RT-PCR analysis using Gadd45α-specific primers revealed prominent bands only from the TIAR and hnRNPA0 immunoprecipitate. No detectable amplification was seen in the control IgG IP or after IP with antibodies recognizing HuR or TTP. Importantly, we observed a reduction of Gadd45α mRNA binding to TIAR following doxorubicin exposure (Figure 5B). This observation is consistent with a known role for TIAR in translational inhibition (Anderson and Kedersha, 2002). On the other hand, Gadd45α mRNA levels in complex with hnRNPA0 appeared to be substantially increased after doxorubicin treatment (Figure 5B). Low-level but equal PCR products for GAPDH mRNA were seen in all of the IP samples tested; these signals likely represent the background binding of cellular mRNA to the IP reagents and hence served as a loading control.

To directly explore whether hnRNPA0 binds specifically to the 3'UTR of Gadd45α mRNA, we transfected the GFP-3'UTR hybrid...
construct and repeated the hnRNPA0 IP. As we had observed for endogenous Gadd45α mRNA, treatment with doxorubicin induced a robust binding of eGFP mRNA fused to the Gadd45α-3’UTR (Figure 5C). These data strongly indicate that hnRNPA0 binds to endogenous Gadd45α mRNA via the 3’UTR in a DNA damage-inducible manner.

Next, to determine if hnRNPA0 was involved in the MK2-dependent checkpoint, we used a similar RNAi approach as that used to explore Gadd45α above. As shown in Figure 5D, knockdown of hnRNPA0 resulted in a substantial impairment of the intra-S- and G2 checkpoint arrest following doxorubicin treatment, recapitulating, in part, what was observed in cells lacking MK2 or Gadd45α. hnRNPA0 has a single optimal phosphorylation site for MK2 on Ser-84 (Figure 5E) (Rousseau et al., 2002). However, it is unclear whether hnRNPA0 phosphorylation on Ser-84 is required for mRNA binding. We therefore transfected HeLa cells with HA-tagged hnRNPA0 or with a mutant form of hnRNPA0 in which Ser-84 was replaced with Ala (Figure 5F). Cells were either treated with doxorubicin or left untreated and lysed 12 hr later. hnRNPA0 was recovered by immunoprecipitation with an anti-HA-antibody, followed by RT-PCR analysis for bound Gadd45α mRNA using specific primers. This revealed a prominent Gadd45α mRNA band from hnRNPA0 wild-type-transfected MK2-proficient cells exposed to doxorubicin. In stark contrast, the interaction between hnRNPA0 and Gadd45α mRNA was almost entirely lost in cells transfected with the Ser-84 Ala mutant (Figure 5F, left panels). Similarly, no binding between hnRNPA0 and Gadd45α mRNA was seen in MK2-depleted cells (Figure 5F, middle panels). Importantly, expression of a cytoplasmic-targeted form of Chk1 restored the interaction between hnRNPA0 and Gadd45α mRNA, with subsequent Gadd45α mRNA stabilization.

In contrast to hnRNPA0, we were unable to demonstrate MK2-dependent phosphorylation of TIAR. However, we did observe strong direct phosphorylation of TIAR by p38 in vitro (Figure 5G), together with a marked decrease in release of Gadd45α mRNA from TIAR following DNA damage in vivo if the cells were treated with the p38 inhibitor SB203580 (Figure 5H). These data argue that the combined actions of p38
and MK2 are responsible for the release of Gadd45α mRNA from TIAR and its accumulation and stabilization on hnRNPA0.

**MK2-Mediated Phosphorylation of PARN Is Required to Prevent Gadd45α mRNA Degradation after Genotoxic Stress**

The observation that MK2 is involved in regulation of Gadd45α expression through phosphorylation of mRNA-binding proteins prompted us to search for additional MK2 substrates that might be similarly involved in checkpoint signaling through posttranscriptional control of gene expression. To accomplish this, we used our previously published computational algorithms ScanSite and NetworKIN (Linding et al., 2007; Obenauer et al., 2003) to search mass spectrometry databases for phosphorylated proteins likely to be substrates of the p38/MK2 pathway. This analysis revealed poly-A ribonuclease PARN as a potential MK2 target. Phosphorylation of PARN on Ser-557 was previously identified in a large-scale mass spectrometry phosphoproteomic screen of human HeLa cells, but the functional relevance and responsible kinase are unknown. As shown in Figure 6A, recombinant wild-type PARN was strongly phosphorylated by MK2 in vitro; however, PARN in which Ser-557 was mutated to Ala showed dramatically reduced levels of phosphorylation, confirming that PARN can serve as a direct substrate for MK2, and demonstrating that Ser-557 is the dominant MK2 phosphorylation site.
Figure 5. Doxorubicin Triggers MK2-Dependent Complex Formation between hnRNPA0 and the GADD45α mRNA 3′ UTR, Resulting in GADD45α mRNA Stabilization and Increased GADD45α Protein Levels

(A) Immunoprecipitation followed by western blotting for the RBPs that were investigated.
(B) HeLa cells were either treated with doxorubicin (1 μM) for 12 hr or left untreated, lysed, and the binding of endogenous ARE-binding RBPs (HuR, TIAR, TTP, and hnRNPA0) to Gadd45α mRNA assessed using RNA-IP as described in the Experimental Procedures.
(C) hnRNPA0 interacts with the Gadd45α mRNA 3′ UTR following genotoxic stress. HeLa cells were co-transfected with HA-tagged hnRNPA0 and either GFP fused to the Gadd45α 3′ UTR or unfused GFP. Cells were treated with doxorubicin (10 μM) or vehicle for 12 hr, lysed, and HA-hnRNPA0 was immunoprecipitated followed by GFP RT-PCR. hnRNPA0 strongly bound to Gadd45α 3′ UTR-fused GFP mRNA following doxorubicin. However, no interaction between hnRNPA0 and unfused GFP mRNA was detected, indicating that hnRNPA0 directly binds to the 3′ UTR of Gadd45α mRNA.
(D) hnRNPA0 depletion in functionally p53-deficient HeLa cells prevents the engagement of a functional intra-S and G2/M checkpoints following doxorubicin. HeLa cells expressing luciferase control shRNA, HeLa cells expressing luciferase control shRNA or doxorubicin-induced cell arrest in these cells. Mean values are shown with error bars indicating standard deviation.

(E) Shown are in vitro kinase assays with bacterially purified recombinant MK2 and GST-hnRNPA0 wild-type or hnRNPA0 in which Ser-84 was mutated to Ala. GST served as a control. Following completion of the kinase assay, reaction mixtures were separated on SDS-PAGE and 32P incorporation was visualized by autoradiography. GST-hnRNPA0 wild-type was readily phosphorylated by MK2 in vitro, while mutation of Ser-84 to Ala completely abolished hnRNPA0 phosphorylation.

(F) MK2-mediated hnRNPA0 phosphorylation on Ser-84 is essential for hnRNPA0 binding to Gadd45α mRNA. HeLa cells expressing luciferase control shRNA or MK2-specific shRNA were transfected with HA-tagged hnRNPA0 wild-type or the Ser-84 to Ala mutant. Cells were treated with doxorubicin (10 μM) or vehicle, lysed 12 hr later, and hnRNPA0 immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibodies. While HeLa hnRNPA0 readily coprecipitated with Gadd45α mRNA following genotoxic stress in control cells, the Ser-84 to Ala mutant failed to interact with Gadd45α mRNA (left panel). This interaction was MK2-dependent, since hnRNPA0:Gadd45α mRNA complex formation was abolished in MK2-depleted cells (middle panel). Loss of MK2 could be rescued by expression of the activatable, cytoplasmic Chk1 mutant.

(G) Reduced binding of Gadd45α mRNA by TIAR following genotoxic stress depends on p38 activity. HeLa cells were treated with the p38 inhibitor SB203580 (10 μM) or vehicle 1 hr before treatment with doxorubicin as described in 5F. TIAR was immunoprecipitated followed by Gadd45α RT-PCR. TIAR binding to the Gadd45α mRNA that was abolished following genotoxic stress could be restored by inhibition of p38.

(H) In vitro kinase assays with bacterially purified recombinant His-MK2 or His-p38 and GST-TIAR. GST served as a control, and GST-Hep25-peptide (AS 71–100) served as a positive control for MK2. Following completion of the kinase assay, reaction mixtures were separated on SDS-PAGE, and 32P incorporation was visualized by autoradiography. GST-TIAR was readily phosphorylated by p38 in vitro after 20 min, but it was not phosphorylated by MK2.

To investigate whether MK2 phosphorylates PARN in vivo in response to genotoxic stress, we treated U2OS cells expressing either a luciferase or an MK2-specific shRNA with 10 μM doxorubicin. Four hours following doxorubicin, endogenous PARN was affinity purified from cell lysates and analyzed by mass spectrometry. As shown in Figure 6B, Ser-557-phosphorylated PARN peptides could not be detected in untreated U2OS cells expressing the luciferase control shRNA. In marked contrast, Ser-557-phosphorylated peptides were readily detected when these cells were treated with doxorubicin. This DNA damage-induced phosphorylation event was completely abolished in MK2-depleted cells, strongly suggesting that MK2 is the in vivo kinase directly responsible for genotoxic stress-induced PARN Ser-557 phosphorylation.
Figure 6. MK2 Directly Phosphorylates PARN on Ser-557 following Genotoxic Stress
(A) Shown are in vitro kinase assays using bacterially purified recombinant MK2 and 6xHis-tagged PARN wild-type or a PARN mutant in which Ser-557 was mutated to Ala. Following completion of the kinase assay, reaction mixtures were separated on SDS-PAGE and 32P incorporation was visualized by autoradiography. Equal loading was confirmed by coomassie staining. The top panel shows a schematic representation of the modular domain structure of PARN. Ser-557 lies within an optimal MK2 consensus phosphorylation motif located C-terminal to the RNA recognition motif (RRM).
(B) MK2 mediates doxorubicin-induced phosphorylation of PARN on Ser-557 within cells. U2OS cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing luciferase or an MK2-specific shRNA. Following selection, cells were treated with 10 μM doxorubicin for 4 hr and endogenous PARN was affinity purified from cell lysates. The immunoprecipitated material was analyzed by mass spectrometry. (Insets) Only nonphosphorylated Ser-557 PARN peptides (shown in red) could be detected in untreated U2OS cells expressing the luciferase control shRNA (shLuci, co). In contrast, Ser-557-phosphorylated peptides (shown in black) were readily detected when luciferase control cells were exposed to doxorubicin (shLuci, dox). DNA damage-induced phosphorylation of PARN on Ser-557 was completely abolished in MK2-depleted cells (shMK2 co and dox panels).
(C and D) PARN Ser-557 phosphorylation is critical for maintenance of a doxorubicin-induced cell-cycle arrest. HeLa cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing empty empty transfer vector or PARN shRNA-expressing vectors. PARN shRNA-expressing cells were also cotransfected with shRNA-resistant PARN wild-type or a Ser-557 to Ala mutant. Cells were treated with 0.1 μM doxorubicin for 1 hr, and cell-cycle profiles (phosphohistone H3 and DNA content) were assessed in a nocodazole trap experiment using FACS to monitor mitotic entry and cell-cycle progression. After 24 hr, 5 mM caffeine was added to abrogate checkpoint signaling and analyze the ability of damaged cells to exit the checkpoint. Empty vector, PARN shRNA, and PARN shRNA-expressing cells that were complemented with shRNA-resistant wild-type PARN showed the induction of a stable cell-cycle arrest, as evidenced by an accumulation of S and G2/pHH3-negative cells
Next, to determine whether MK2-dependent phosphorylation of PARN on Ser-557 plays a role in checkpoint control, we used RNAi to deplete endogenous PARN from HeLa cells (Figure S1E) and complemented these cells with RNAi-resistant FLAG-tagged wild-type PARN or with the Ser-557 to Ala PARN mutant. The cells were treated with low-dose (0.1 μM)
doxorubicin for 1 hr, the drug washed out, and the spontaneous escape of cells from the doxorubicin-induced cell-cycle checkpoints monitored 12 and 24 hr later using the nocodazole mitotic-trap assay as in Figure 1. As shown in Figures 6C and 6D, control cells expressing either an empty vector or PARN shRNA mounted and maintained a robust doxorubicin-induced cell-cycle arrest 12 and 24 hr later, indicated by an accumulation of cells with a 4N DNA content that stained largely negative for the mitotic marker pH3. A similar pattern was observed in PARN-depleted cells that were complemented with exogenous wild-type PARN. In stark contrast, cells depleted of endogenous PARN and complemented with the Ser-557 to Ala mutant could initiate, but were unable to maintain a prolonged doxorubicin-induced cell-cycle arrest, indicated by the accumulation of 11.7% pH3-positive cells 24 hr after the addition of doxorubicin. As a control, 5 mM caffeine was then added to each of the plates following the 24 hr measurement to inhibit ATM/ATR/DNA-PK and chemically inactivate the DNA damage checkpoint. This resulted in similar checkpoint release from all the PARN-manipulated cells, verifying that the cells after each treatment are equally viable and competent to enter mitosis. These observations demonstrate that phosphorylation of PARN on Ser-557 by MK2 is required for proper cell-cycle checkpoint maintenance, and suggest that phosphorylation of PARN may alter the degradation of specific RNAs involved in checkpoint control.

Finally, to investigate whether the role of MK2-mediated PARN phosphorylation in cell-cycle control was mediated through posttranscriptional control of Gadd45α mRNA, analogous to what we observed for hnRNPA0, we assayed lysates from the PARN-depletion/complementation experiments described above for Gadd45α mRNA levels. In response to doxorubicin, all cells showed robust upregulation of Gadd45α mRNA at 12 hr after treatment (Figure 6E). In PARN-depleted cells complemented with empty vector or wild-type PARN, the elevated levels of Gadd45α mRNA and protein were further maintained for 24 hr, which was the duration of the experiment prior to addition of caffeine. In marked contrast, PARN-depleted cells complemented with the nonphosphorylable PARN mutant showed a precipitous decline in Gadd45α mRNA levels back to baseline values between 12 and 24 hr, and only a minuscule amount of protein at 24 hr, consistent with the premature checkpoint collapse we had observed earlier. Upon forced cell-cycle re-entry by caffeine addition, Gadd45α mRNA and protein levels dropped below the limits of detection following all of the cell treatments (Figure 6E, 48 hr lanes). Together, these data show that MK2 phosphorylation of PARN on Ser-557 in response to genotoxic stress is critical for maintenance of both Gadd45α mRNA and protein expression in response to DNA damage.

A Gadd45α-Mediated Positive Feedback Loop Is Required for Sustaining Long-Term MK2 Activity to Suppress Cdc25B and C-Driven Mitotic Re-entry after Genotoxic Stress

Members of the Cdc25 family are critical checkpoint kinase substrates for cell-cycle control in response to DNA damage. We and others have provided evidence that the checkpoint function of MK2 may, at least in part, be mediated through MK2-dependent phosphorylation and cytoplasmic sequestration/inactivation of members of the Cdc25 family (Manke et al., 2005; Lopez-Aviles et al., 2005; Reinhardt et al., 2007). We therefore asked whether the MK2-dependent regulation of Gadd45α that was required for maintenance of late cell-cycle arrest after DNA damage was somehow related to this previously discovered MK2-dependent checkpoint function involving inactivation of Cdc25B and C. Intriguingly in this regard, Gadd45α was previously shown to positively regulate the p38 pathway through a mechanism that is not entirely clear (Bulavin et al., 2003). We therefore postulated that Gadd45α might form part of a positive feedback loop that was required to sustain long-term activation of MK2 through its upstream regulator p38. Initial experiments explored whether Gadd45α physically interacted with known components of the p38 pathway using immunoprecipitation experiments. No direct interactions between HA-tagged Gadd45α and the endogenous kinases MKK3 or -6, two known upstream regulators of p38 that respond to inflammatory stimuli or UV irradiation, could be detected in these experiments (data not shown). However, as shown in Figure 7A, we did observe a strong interaction between Gadd45α and p38 itself. Furthermore, when Gadd45α was depleted using RNAi, as shown in Figures 7B and 7C, there was a loss of p38-dependent MK2 phosphorylation specifically at late times after DNA damage. Importantly, the loss of Gadd45α had little if any effect on MK2 activation at earlier times. These observations suggest a model in which the initial activation of MK2 after genotoxic stress does not depend on Gadd45α, but subsequent p38/MK2-dependent stabilization of Gadd45α, through phosphorylation of TIAR, PARN, and hnRNPA0, becomes required for maintaining the phosphorylated and active form of MK2 at late times (Figure 7D). If this model of an MK2-driven Gadd45α-positive feedback is correct, and late MK2 activity is itself critical for controlling Cdc25B and C activity and localization, then loss of MK2 would be expected to result in misregulation of Cdc25B/C beginning at around 24 hr (Figure 7B). To investigate this, we examined the subcellular localization of Cdc25B/C, along with phenotypic responses, in cells in which this feedback loop was disrupted (Figure 8). In these experiments, stable cell lines expressing GFP-tagged versions of Cdc25B/C were generated and subsequently infected with lentiviral shRNAs targeting MK2, Chk1, or luciferase (control). The cells were treated with low-dose (0.1 μM) doxorubicin for 30 min and the subcellular localization of CDC25B/C monitored in live cells by time-lapse fluorescence microscopy. As shown in Figures 8A and 8B, in control cells, Cdc25B/C lose their cytoplasmic sequestration and first appear in the nucleus at 30.3 ± 3.9 hr and 30.3 ± 3.7 hr, respectively, after this low-level DNA-damaging treatment. This nuclear entry was followed by a cytologically normal mitotic cell division that occurred ~2 hr later, producing two intact daughter cells (Figure 8A, top row of upper and lower panels, arrows indicate the two daughter cells). In Chk1-depleted cells, nuclear entry of Cdc25B/C after this treatment occurred much more rapidly, with a mean onset at 15.4 ± 3.9 hr and 15.3 ± 4.5 hr, respectively (Figures 8A and 8C). This premature nuclear entry was invariably followed by catastrophic mitosis resulting in apoptosis, indicated by prominent
mRNA, resulting in increased Gadd45α mRNA, resulting in increased Gadd45α protein levels. Gadd45α itself is then required to maintain MK2 activity in the cytoplasm.

DISCUSSION

The DNA Damage Response Regulates Cytoplasmic Proteins that Modulate mRNA Stability

In this manuscript we have identified a critical role for cytoplasmic MK2 activity in regulating the G2/M transition of p53-defective cells after DNA damage by posttranscriptional regulation of proteins involved in RNA regulation. In contrast to transcriptional control, the general importance of posttranscriptional and translational regulatory circuits in regulating gene expression in a wide variety of biological contexts is only now becoming increasingly recognized. In this regard we note that the largest subset of ATM/ATR/DNA-PK substrates identified in a recent phosphoproteomic screen were proteins linked to RNA and DNA metabolism, particularly those proteins involved in posttranscriptional mRNA regulation (Matsuoka et al., 2007). Likewise, a genome-wide siRNA screen looking for modulators of DNA damage signaling similarly revealed that the largest number of “hits” were those targeting gene products responsible for nucleic acid metabolism, particularly those involved in mRNA binding and processing (Paulsen et al., 2009).

Those convergent observations, from two very different experimental approaches, highlight the potential emerging importance of regulatory circuits controlling RNA metabolism and stability in DNA repair and checkpoint function, and strongly argue that the DNA damage response may extend substantially beyond the canonical ATM/Chk2 and ATR/Chk1 signaling cascades that have been described to date. Our findings implicating MK2 in regulation of mRNA stabilization through modification of hnRNPA0 and PARN lend support to this concept.

Premature Mitotic Entry following DNA Damage in p53-Deficient Cells Is Prevented by Two Temporally and Spatially Distinct Checkpoint Networks

In resting cells, MK2 is localized in the nucleus, as part of a tight complex with its upstream activating kinase p38. Chemical

Figure 7. Gadd45α Is Required to Maintain Long-Term MK2 Activity and Prevent Premature Checkpoint Collapse following Genotoxic Stress

(A) Gadd45α interacts with p38. Cells were transfected with HA-tagged Gadd45α or HA-tagged 14-3-3α as a negative control. Lysates and anti-HA IPs were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and western blotting using anti-p38 and anti-HA-antibodies.

(B) Gadd45α is required to maintain long-term MK2 activity following doxorubicin-induced DNA damage. HeLa cells were infected with lentiviruses expressing either luciferase control shRNA or MK2-specific hairpins, treated with 1 μM doxorubicin for the indicated times, lysed, and proteins separated on SDS-PAGE. MK2 and Gadd45α levels were monitored by immunoblot; β-actin staining served as a loading control. Both Gadd45α and control shRNA-expressing cells showed a robust induction of MK2 activity 18 hr following doxorubicin treatment (monitored by phosphorylation-induced gel shift to a slower-migrating isoform on SDS-PAGE). However, control cells maintained MK2 activity for at least 30 hr, while Gadd45α-depleted cells were unable to maintain MK2 activity for more than 18 hr.

(C) The activation-induced MK2 gel shift is blocked by the p38 inhibitor SB203580. Doxorubicin treatment (10 μM) of HeLa cells induces a phosphorylation-dependent gel shift of MK2 (middle lane). Pretreatment of HeLa cells with 10 μM of the p38 inhibitor SB203580 abolished the activation-induced MK2 gel shift (right lane).

(D) A simplified model depicting the early, Chk1-dependent nuclear checkpoint (left box) and the late MK2-dependent cytoplasmic checkpoint (right box). Dashed arrows between ATM/ATR and p38/MK2 indicate intermediate steps that are not shown. The MK2-mediated cytoplasmic checkpoint is sustained through a positive feedback loop. Following nuclear activation, the p38/MK2 signaling complex relocates to the cytoplasm through a Crm1-dependent transport mechanism. MK2-mediated hnRNPA0 and PARN phosphorylation, as well as p38-dependent TIAR phosphorylation, are required to stabilize Gadd45α mRNA, resulting in increased Gadd45α protein levels. Gadd45α itself is then required to maintain MK2 activity in the cytoplasm.
stressors, such as arsenite and anisomycin, have been shown to induce the cytoplasmic translocation of p38:MK2 complexes (Ben-Levy et al., 1998; Engel et al., 1998), where MK2 acts as a “molecular chauffer” with p38-dependent phosphorylation of MK2 revealing Crm1-recognizable NES sequences (Meng et al., 2002; ter Haar et al., 2007). Our findings now extend this dynamic, pho-sopho-dependent relocalization of MK2 in the context of genotoxic stress.

In contrast to the cytoplasmic localization of active MK2, Chk1 has been reported to be largely nuclear following DNA damage-induced activation (Jiang et al., 2003; Sanchez et al., 1997), although some localization of Chk1 to the centrosome has been described (Kramer et al., 2004). While a component of MK2 activity may similarly reside at the centrosome, we observed that the bulk of MK2 appears to be diffusely localized throughout the cytoplasm. Furthermore, the discrepancy in time between the “early” loss of the G2/M checkpoint following knockdown of Chk1 and the “late” loss of the checkpoint upon loss of MK2 lends additional support to a model in which Chk1 and MK2 control spatially and temporally distinct substrate pools (c.f. Figure 7D).

RNA-Binding and Processing Proteins as Key Targets of Protein Kinase Signaling Pathways

One major function of MK2 is the posttranscriptional regulation of unstable inflammatory cytokine mRNAs such as TNFα, MIP-2, IL-6, and IL-1α, particularly in response to neutrophil and macrophage activation by various stimuli such as LPS (Gaestel, 2006; Janes et al., 2008; Rousseau et al., 2002). Many of these unstable mRNAs contain 3’UTRs carrying AREs with an AUUUA consensus motif (Barreau et al., 2005), and are known to interact with RBPs. Some ARE-binding RBPs, such as TTP, KSRP, AUF1, and BRF1, have been shown to mediate mRNA decay, while other ARE-binding RBPs, such as hnRNP A0, increase the stability of ARE-containing mRNAs (Dean et al., 2004). Furthermore, ARE-binding RBPs such as TIA-1 and TIAR appear to control the translation of their client mRNAs, while HuR and Hu-related proteins control both mRNA turnover and translation (Dean et al., 2004). RBP binding to ARE mRNA is a highly sequence-specific process that has classically been thought to depend primarily on the affinity of the RBP for particular mRNA sequence. Our findings indicate that phosphorylation of RBPs by kinases such as MK2 and p38 is likely to be a key regulatory mechanism that controls specific RBP:mRNA interactions relevant to cell-cycle control in cancer cells (Figure 5). Similar kinase-dependent interactions have recently been shown for the RBP HuR and the protein kinases Chk2 and p38 (Abdelmohsen et al., 2007; Lafarga et al., 2009). Furthermore, the observation that a sizeable number of RNA-interacting molecules were also putative targets of ATM/ATR/DNA-PK based on mass spectrometry-driven phosphoproteomics (Matsuoka et al., 2007) suggests that this phenomenon may have a more general role in regulating the DNA damage response.
A key unstable mRNA whose levels increased in an MK2-dependent manner after DNA damage was Gadd45α, which is intimately involved in the DNA damage response through mechanisms that remain incompletely understood. Gadd45α is induced both in a p53-dependent and -independent manner, in response to genotoxic stress (Fornace et al., 1989; Kastan et al., 1992). Gadd45α induction in p53-defective cells is mediated in part through the transcription factors ATF2 (a known p38 target), Oct-1, BRCA1, NF-I, and NF-YA (Jin et al., 2001; Maekawa et al., 2008). In addition, there appears to be another layer of control in Gadd45α mRNA expression mediated by alterations in its posttranscriptional stability. An elegant recent study by Gorospe and colleagues showed that Gadd45α transcripts were highly unstable in resting cells (Lal et al., 2006). This rapid degradation under non-stress conditions was mediated, in part, through association of the transcript with AUF1, a protein known to be involved in mRNA decay. Additionally, the translational inhibitor TIAR has been shown to complex with Gadd45α mRNA, ultimately resulting in repression of Gadd45α protein expression (Lal et al., 2006). Upon exposure to the alkylating agent MMS, both AUF1 and TIAR dissociated from the Gadd45α mRNA, allowing for stabilization of the transcript and protein induction. Interestingly, these observations were made in functionally p53-deficient HeLa cells, supporting the importance of posttranscriptional control in this setting. Our results further extend the mechanism of Gadd45α control through p38-mediated phosphorylation of TIAR, resulting in dissociation of the TIAR:Gadd45α RNP complex, and MK2-mediated phosphorylation of both hnRNP A0, and PARN, leading to enhanced Gadd45α mRNA:hnRNP A0 interaction and stability, and increased levels of Gadd45α protein.

Gadd45α Is Part of a Positive Feedback Loop that Suppresses Premature Mitotic Entry

Gadd45α belongs to a family of stress-responsive genes that are induced following DNA damage. Intriguingly, Gadd45α−/− cells have been shown to display a high degree of genomic instability, and Gadd45α−/− mice show increased radiation-induced carcinogenesis (Holland et al., 1999; Zhan et al., 1999). Gadd45α has been proposed to function at the molecular level through a variety of mechanisms, including binding to MTK1/MEKK4 (Miyake et al., 2007; Takekawa and Saito, 1998), p38 (Bulavin et al., 2003), DNA demethylation (Barreto et al., 2007), and competing for cyclin B binding to Cdk1 (Zhan et al., 1999). In our hands, we were unable to recapitulate this latter effect, and addition of recombinant Gadd45α had no effect on the kinase activity of purified CycAinB/Cdk1 in vitro (D. Lim and M.B.Y., unpublished data). Instead, we observed a robust interaction between Gadd45α and p38 together with a marked loss of p38-mediated MK2 activation, only at late times, in cells lacking Gadd45α. These data suggest a positive feedback model for checkpoint maintenance mediated through late cytoplasmic checkpoint kinase activity and posttranscriptional mRNA stabilization (Figure 7D). At the systems level, positive feedback circuits have been shown to be important for the irreversibly reinforced, of critical cellular decision processes, including apoptosis, mitotic entry, R-point transition, and cell-cycle restart following recovery from genotoxic injury. Our findings now demonstrate that a topologically similar positive feedback loop involving the interplay between protein kinase signal transduction pathways and control of gene expression at the posttranscriptional level is essential for maintenance of prolonged cell-cycle arrest after DNA damage.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Live-Cell Imaging

For live-cell imaging, cells were grown on four chambered glass-bottom slides from Nunc. Images were obtained using a DeltaVision Core live-cell microscopy imaging system maintained at 37°C and 5% CO2 (Applied Precision) and equipped with a CoolSnap CCD camera. Improvision deconvolution and softWoRx software packages were used for image analysis.

RNA Immunoprecipitation

Cells were lysed in 0.5 ml of ice-cold RNA lysis buffer (110 mM CH3COOK, 2 mM Mg[CH3COO]2, 10 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 200 mM KCl, 0.5% NP-40, 40 µl/ml complete protease inhibitor [Roche], and 50 units/ml RNasin) per 10 cm dish on ice. Extracts were homogenized using a 26.5 gauge needle, cleared by centrifugation at 4200 rpm for 10 min and incubated with antibody-coated beads for 2 hr. After extensive washing in TBS, beads were eluted with 0.5 ml elution buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.5], 1 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, Proteinase K) for 1 hr at 37°C with rocking. Eluted material was phenol/chloroform extracted followed by CH3COONH4/isopropanol precipitation. Pellets were washed in 70% ethanol, resuspended in H2O, and DNase treated, and reverse transcribed using MMLV RT (Ambion) with random hexamer primers. Primers for the subsequent PCR were as follows: 5’-GAT GCCCTTGAGGAAGTGCT-3’ (forward) and 5’-AGGAGGTTCAAGACACGTTT-3’ (reverse) for Gadd45α and 5’-TGGACACCAACTGCTTACG-3’ (forward) and 5’-GGCATGGACTGTGGTCATGAG-3’ (reverse) for GAPDH amplification (Lal et al., 2006).

Additional details and methods are described in the Supplemental Information.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes four figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2010.09.018.
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