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We report observations suggesting that the transcription elongation factor NusA promotes a previously unrecognized class of transcription-coupled repair (TCR) in addition to its previously proposed role in recruiting translesion synthesis (TLS) DNA polymerases to gaps encountered during transcription. Earlier, we reported that NusA physically and genetically interacts with the TLS DNA polymerase DinB (DNA pol IV). We find that *Escherichia coli nusA11(ts) mutants* strains, at the permissive temperature, are highly sensitive to nitrofurazone (NFZ) and 4-nitroquinolone-1-oxide but not to UV radiation. Gene expression profiling suggests that this sensitivity is unlikely to be due to an indirect effect on gene expression affecting a known DNA repair or damage tolerance pathway. We demonstrate that an N²-furfuryl-dG (N²-f-dG) lesion, a structural analog of the principal lesion generated by NFZ, blocks transcription by *E. coli* RNA polymerase (RNAP) when present in the transcribed strand, but not when present in the nontranscribed strand. Our genetic analysis suggests that NusA participates in a nucleotide excision repair (NER)-dependent process to promote NFZ resistance. We provide evidence that transcription plays a role in the repair of NFZ-induced lesions through the isolation of RNAP mutants that display altered ability to survive NFZ exposure. We propose that NusA participates in an alternative class of TCR involved in the identification and removal of a class of lesion, such as the N²-f-dG lesion, which are accurately and efficiently bypassed by DinB in addition to recruiting DinB for TLS at gaps encountered by RNAP.
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The process of nucleotide excision repair (NER) acts to remove a wide variety of DNA lesions and in *Escherichia coli* is mediated through the concerted action of the *uvrA, uvrB*, and *uvrC* gene products (1). The process of transcription-coupled repair (TCR) targets NER to actively transcribed genes, resulting in preferential repair of the transcribed strand relative to the nontranscribed strand (2–4). In *E. coli*, the mfd* gene product couples the process of NER to transcription, and has been shown to be responsible for the strand specific repair of UV-induced lesions (5–7).

We have recently reported that the highly conserved TLS polymerase DinB (DNA pol IV), a member of the class of specialized DNA polymerases that can replicate damaged DNA, interacts physically and genetically with the transcription elongation factor NusA (8, 9). ΔdinB strains are sensitive to DNA-damaging agents, nitrofurazone (NFZ) and 4-nitroquinolone-1-oxide (4-NQO), and DinB preferentially and accurately bypasses a structural analog of the major NFZ-induced N²-dG lesion as well as certain other N²,dG adducts (10–13). NusA is an essential, multidomain protein that functions in both termination and antitermination of transcription, and is associated with the RNA polymerase (RNAP) throughout the elongation and termination phases of transcription (14–22). We have proposed a model of transcription-coupled translesion synthesis (TC-TLS) in which NusA recruits DinB to sites of RNAP stalled by a gap in the transcribed strand that is opposite a lesion in the nontranscribed strand so DinB can fill in the gap to provide a template for transcription (8).

Here, we report our striking observations that *nusA* mutants are highly sensitive to NFZ and that this sensitivity is unlikely to be due to an indirect effect of gene expression changes. We present evidence that NusA participates in an NER-dependent process as well as DinB-dependent process to promote survival after challenge with NFZ. Moreover, we provide additional in vivo evidence that transcription plays a role in the repair of NFZ-induced lesions. Together, our results suggest that NusA, in addition to its roles in transcription elongation and termination, is important for coordinating the cellular responses to DNA damage by coupling the processes of NER and TLS to transcription. Our results suggest an additional reason for the conservation of *nusA* throughout bacteria and archaea.

**Results**

*nusA* Mutant Strains Are Sensitive to DNA-Damaging Agents. To further investigate the role for NusA in DNA repair/damage tolerance pathways, we explored the possibility that *nusA* mutants might render cells sensitive to exposure to DNA-damaging agents. Strikingly, we observed that at the permissive temperature (30 °C), *nusA11(ts)* strains are specifically sensitive to the DNA-damaging agents NFZ and 4-NQO, but not to UV, methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) (Fig. 1), ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS), or hydrogen peroxide. This sensitivity to NFZ and 4-NQO can be complemented by providing *nusA* in trans at the permissive temperature (Fig. S1 A and B). The greater sensitivity of a *nusA11* mutant strain compared with that of a ΔdinB strain implies that NusA participates in a dinB-independent, as well as a dinB-dependent, role in promoting survival after exposure to NFZ or 4-NQO.

The fact that the *nusA11* mutation does not sensitize cells to UV, MMS, EMS, or hydrogen peroxide indicates that the expression of genes involved in the various DNA repair and damage tolerance pathways that enable cells to cope with lesions induced by these agents—nucleotide excision repair, basic excision repair, recombinational repair, and *umuDC*-mediated TLS (reviewed in ref. 1)—is not perturbed. Additionally, at the permissive temperature, *nusA11* mutant strains display wild-type levels of UV-induced mutagenesis (9), suggesting that SOS induction and DNA pol V (*UmuD*–C) are operating normally. Collectively, these data suggest that the sensitivity to NFZ and 4-NQO observed in
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a nusA11 mutant strain is not likely due to an indirect effect of gene expression on a DNA repair or damage tolerance process. We also performed microarray analyses to assess the genome-wide changes in gene expression that occur in a nusA11 background at the permissive temperature. We did not observe changes in the expression of any genes known to be involved in DNA repair or damage tolerance, but rather differential expression of genes whose products are involved in a variety of aspects of cellular metabolism (Table S1 and Table S2). These findings motivated us to investigate the alternative hypothesis that NusA might play a hitherto unsuspected role in DNA repair.

The striking recessive sensitivity of nusA11 mutant strains to NFZ at the permissive temperature indicates that it is a partial loss-of-function mutation. The analysis of a strain completely lacking nusA is not feasible in standard E. coli genetic backgrounds, because nusA is essential for viability. However, it is possible in a specialized genetic background lacking horizontally transferred DNA (23, 24). In such a strain (MDS42), we observe that both nusA11 and ΔnusA mutations result in sensitivity to NFZ and 4-NQO (Fig. 2A and D). However, the complete loss of nusA additionally results in sensitivity to UV and MMS (Fig. 2), supporting the notion that the nusA11 allele is a partial loss-of-function mutant. Providing nusA+ in trans complements the NFZ, UV, and MMS sensitivity as well as the growth defect of a ΔnusA strain (Fig. S1C). Microarray analysis of the nusA deletion strain (23) did not reveal any statistically significant changes in the expression of genes whose products have been implicated in DNA repair. Although we cannot unambiguously rule out the possibility that the increased sensitivity to killing by these DNA-damaging agents is due to an effect on gene expression, these data are consistent with the hypothesis that nusA+ participates directly in a process that promotes cellular survival after challenge with DNA damage.

Fig. 1. nusA11 mutants are specifically sensitive to NFZ and 4-NQO. (A) Percent survival of strains treated with 0–15 μM NFZ. All graphs in this figure are experiments were performed at the permissive temperature (30 °C), and error bars represent the SD determined from at least three independent cultures. (B) Percent survival of strains treated with 0–17.5 μM 4-NQO. At 30 °C the sensitivity of the ΔdinB strain to NFZ and 4-NQO is less than the degree of sensitivity observed at 37 °C (10). (C) Percent survival of strains irradiated with 0–45 J/m² UV. (D) Percent survival of strains treated with 0–0.08% MMS.

Fig. 2. Comparison of nusA11 and ΔnusA mutations in MDS42. (A–C) Percent survival of strains treated with the DNA-damaging agents NFZ (μM), UV, and MMS, respectively, at 30 °C. For all graphs in this figure, error bars represent the SD determined from at least three independent cultures. (D–F) Percent survival of strains treated with the DNA-damaging agents NFZ (μM), UV, and MMS, respectively, at 37 °C.

N²-furfuryl-dG Lesion Blocks Transcription by E. coli RNAP. If the specific sensitivity of nusA11 mutant strains after exposure to NFZ and 4-NQO were due to a failure to repair a specific class of lesion introduced by these agents, what could these lesions be? A possible answer is suggested by our previous observations that DinB carries out preferential and accurate TLS over N²-furfuryl-dG (N²-f-dG), a mimic of the major adduct formed by NFZ (10, 25). Given that DinB is present in considerable excess over the replicative DNA polymerase in both SOS-uninduced cells (250 DinB/10–20 pol III) and SOS-induced cells (2,500 DinB/10–20 pol III) (26, 27), it seems likely that N²-f-dG lesions with properties similar to N²-f-dG would be readily bypassed by DinB, resulting in their continued presence in the genome where they could potentially hinder transcription.

To test the hypothesis that this type of lesion would obstruct transcription, we monitored E. coli RNAPs ability to use a template containing the N²-f-dG lesion in vitro. The presence of an N²-f-dG lesion on the transcribed strand completely blocked transcription (Fig. 3), whereas the presence of the same lesion on the nontranscribed strand had little effect on transcription (Fig. S2A). Generation of a 3′dCMP-terminated transcript allowed us to map the position of the transcript generated when N²-f-dG is present on the transcribed strand, showing that transcription is stalled four nucleotides (ntd) upstream of the lesion (Fig. S2B).
Stalling of RNAP at such a lesion in the transcribed strand could be a detection mechanism that then allows repair proteins to subsequently be recruited.

We also monitored the ability of RNAP to bypass template strand gaps, which we propose stall transcription in our model of TC-TLS. We observed that *E. coli* RNAP is able to bypass a 1-ntd gap, with similar efficiencies to those previously published (~45% bypass) (28). However, unlike T7 RNAP (28, 29), transcription by *E. coli* RNAP is unable to bypass a larger, 14-ntd gap (~2% bypass) (Fig. 3). Even with prolonged incubation time, RNAP is not capable of bypassing either the N2-f-dG adduct or 14-ntd gapped templates (Fig. S3). Moreover, addition of purified NusA or NusA11 to the reactions did not directly alter RNAPs ability to transcribe through these modified templates (Fig. S4). This observation indicates that NusA does not act by modulating RNAPs ability to carry out transcription over a lesion or a gap in the transcribed strand but instead suggests that NusA might play a role in the recruitment of factors, such as DNA repair systems or DinB for TLS, to sites of RNAP stalled by an N2-f-dG lesion or by a gap.

**Identification of a NusA-Dependent, uvr-Dependent Process for NFZ Resistance.** Two prior observations led us to consider the possibility that NusA might play a role in the recruitment of nucleotide excision repair (NER) machinery to an RNAP that has been stalled by an NFZ-induced lesion. First, a high-throughput protein interaction screen identified NusA as an interaction partner of UvrA (30), which we have confirmed by far Western blotting (Fig. 4A). Additionally, ΔuvrA strains are sensitive to NFZ, and *uvr*-dependent NER is the predominant mechanism for processing NFZ-induced DNA damage in *E. coli* (31).

Epistasis analysis with respect to NFZ sensitivity of *nusA11* and ΔuvrA alleles revealed that ΔuvrA is largely epistatic to *nusA11* (Fig. 4B), suggesting that NusA plays a role in a UvrA-dependent process. ΔuvrB and ΔuvrC alleles are similarly epistatic to *nusA11* with respect to sensitivity to NFZ. Because NusA is a component of elongating RNA polymerases, it seems possible that the *uvr*-dependent process that the *nusA11* mutation might be affecting could be a type of transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair of lesions introduced by NFZ. However, we observe an additive relationship for both NFZ and 4-NQO sensitivity with Δmfd and *nusA11* alleles, implying that NusA and Mfd function in separate pathways (Fig. 4C), and suggesting the possibility that NusA and the reaction are required for an alternative type of Mfd-independent transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair. In contrast epistasis analysis with respect to UV sensitivity of ΔnusA and Δmfd alleles revealed a synergistic relationship in which the double mutant was much more sensitive than either of the single mutants (Fig. 4D). This suggests that, in addition to any roles with Mfd in promoting TCR of UV-induced lesions, NusA additionally plays a role in more generally directing NER (Discussion).

**RNA Polymerase Mutants Display an Altered Ability to Deal with NFZ.** To search for additional in vivo evidence that transcription might play a role in directing *uvr*-dependent NER of lesions introduced by NFZ, we screened the previously described plasmid-borne mutant libraries of *rpoB* (32), which encodes for the β catalytic subunit of RNAP, for the ability to cause either NFZ sensitivity (NFZs) or NFZ resistance (NFZr). We isolated three single mutants: the NFZs mutant *rpoB* (D185Y) and NFZr mutants *rpoB* (V287A) and *rpoB* (D320N). The NFZs mutant *rpoB* (D185Y) displayed a 10-fold sensitivity to NFZ compared with an *rpoB* plasmid control, whereas the NFZr mutants *rpoB* (V287A) and *rpoB* (D320N) displayed a 10-fold resistance (Fig. 4E).

We observed that, when expressed in a ΔdinB (Fig. 5B) or Δmfd (Fig. 4F) background, these *rpoB* mutants displayed the same pattern of NFZs or NFZr, although the relative degree of NFZs or NFZr differs from that observed in a wild-type background, indicating that these gene products do not play a role in this phenomenon. Strikingly, when expressed in a *nusA11* background, this pattern was altered because these *rpoB* mutants had largely lost their ability to confer NFZs or NFZr (Fig. 4G and Fig. S5A). Similarly, in a ΔuvrA background, expression of these *rpoB* mutants also resulted in loss of the original pattern of relative sensitivity or resistance (Fig. 4H). These results indicate that the original pattern of NFZ sensitivity or resistance depends on *nusA* and *uvrA*. The fact that mutating a core component of RNA polymerase affects the *nusA*-dependent, *uvr*-dependent process of NFZ resistance we have identified provides additional evidence that this process could be a previously unrecognized form of transcription-coupled repair that functions independently of Mfd.

Intriguingly, mapping these *rpoB* mutations on the crystal structure of *T. thermophilus* RNAP elongation complex (33) revealed that all three were located in the leading part of RNA polymerase that would first encounter a lesion in double-stranded DNA during the process of transcription (Fig. S5C). The crystal structure predicts that when RNAP stalls at the −4 position relative to the N2-f-dG lesion in the transcribed strand, the N2-f-dG
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**Fig. 3.** *E. coli* RNA polymerase does not bypass template strand gaps or a N2-f-dG lesion. (A) Schematic of experimental design. Three oligonucleotides, one containing the N2-f-dG lesion or an undamaged proxy, are ligated together to generate the transcribed strand (T) using the nontranscribed strand (NT) as a scaffold. A 9-ntd noncomplementary region between the (T) DNA and (NT)DNA allows for the annealing of an RNA primer (green) to initiate transcription. For each nucleic acid scaffold, purified RNAP, UTP, and [α-32P]GTP are added to radiolabel the RNA transcript and extend to G12. Because of the limiting ATP left over from the ligation reaction, we observe the addition of several nucleotides to the transcript (B). The addition of excess cold ATP, UTP, and GTP extends the RNA to the G27 position (second lane of B). Addition of CTP allows for transcription through the lesion or proxy to the end of the scaffold in the full-length undamaged template (third lane of B; band labeled RO). “X” indicates the site of N2-furfuryl-dG lesion or proxy dG, nucleotide colored in red represents the position of the 1-ntd gap, and nucleotides colored in blue represent the position of the 14-ntd gap. Positions labeled in B represent the extension of RNA primer as marked, underneath the templating base, in schematic. (B) For each template (labeled at bottom), the first lane is the transcription reaction after addition of UTP, [α-32P]GTP, and limiting ATP to allow labeling and extension to G12; the second lane is the reaction after the addition of excess ATP, UTP, and GTP; and the third lane is the reaction after the addition of CTP. All lanes represent 1-min time points. The asterisk represents the product formed on the 1-ntd gap.
adduct would be located in the minor groove of the dsDNA ahead of the transcription bubble.

**Induction of DNA Damage in nusA11.** To test whether the NusA-dependent repair process we had postulated is important for processing endogenous lesions, we examined whether untreated nusA11 strains at the permissive temperature show indications that they have suffered DNA damage. We observed a 4-fold increase of SOS induction (34) in exponential phase nusA11 cells (0.8%) compared with 0.2% in wild-type cells (Fig. S6 A–C) and a 25-fold increase in stationary-phase nusA11 cells (~2.5%) compared with wild type (~0.1%) (Fig. S5 A–C). As expected, lexA (Del) cells, lacking the LexA repressor, display SOS induction in 100% of cells in both exponential and stationary phase. Additionally, we observed that nusA11 cells were somewhat elongated compared with nusA+ cells, with a smaller population displaying extreme filamentation, >30 times the size of nusA+ cells, specifically in stationary phase (Fig. S6 D and E). The distribution of RecA-GFP foci of exponentially growing nusA11 cells is similar to that of nusA+ cells ~5 foci per cell (35) (Fig. S6 F–I). In contrast, in stationary-phase cells, grown at the permissive temperature, RecA-GFP foci are observed in ~2% of wild-type cells and ~13% of nusA11 cells, 8.5-fold higher than nusA+ cells. If wild-type strains are irradiated with UV, all cells then have RecA-GFP foci (Fig. 5 D–F).

**Discussion**

We propose that, in addition to its postulated role in TC-TLS (8), NusA plays a key role in a previously unrecognized pathway of transcription-coupled NER that is distinct from the well-characterized Mfd-dependent pathway. This NusA-dependent transcription-coupled repair pathway (NusA-TCR) is important for the repair of a class of DNA lesion typified by the N2-fdG adduct, a structural analog of the major NFZ-induced lesion. Such lesions could be considered “stealth lesions” in that they can be readily bypassed during DNA replication because of the high levels of DinB relative to the replicative DNA polymerase, but then absolutely block transcription when present in the transcribed strand. NusA-TCR would help prioritize the cell’s NER resources to maximally benefit transcription while also facilitating the recognition and repair of lesions that are otherwise more difficult to detect (Fig. 6). There are 20 molecules of UvrA/SOS-induced cell and 250 molecules of UvrA/SOS-induced cell (1), in many cases there would be more lesions than UvrA molecules.

We speculate that the RNAP β subunit, which contains the NFZ-D185R substitution, may facilitate RNAP backtracking upon encountering a lesion or gap in the template DNA so as to expose downstream DNA. NER may then be recruited to the DNA via contacts to NusA and possibly to the lineage-specific insertion βi4 (36) in which the NFZ-D287A V287D and D320N substitutions are located. Precedence exists for RNAP backtracking to expose a downstream DNA priming site for DNA polymerases during M13 phage replication (37).

A prominent role of NusA in recruitment of NER machinery to damaged DNA raises an interesting structural question given the known interactions of NusA on the face of RNAP opposite to the downstream DNA entering an elongating complex. E. coli NusA contacts the RNAP α-subunit CTD via the C-terminal NusA acidic repeat domains (AR1 and AR2) (38) and contacts the RNA exit channel via its C-terminal NusA acidic repeat domain (39, 40); these contacts position the δ1 domain and G181 (nusA11 is G181D) near the β′-dock, in which a suppressor of nusA11 (ropC10; E402K) has been mapped (22, 41). In contrast, the NFZ-D substitution in the β′-subunit, the NFZ-D substitutions in β4, and the downstream DNA are ~150 Å from the RNA exit channel and ~125 Å from the position of cCTD attachment to RNAP via a flexible linker. Could NusA target NER over these distances? The combined length of the flexible α-subunit linker, the cCTD, and the NusA AR domains is at least 120 Å. Furthermore, the linearly arranged domains of NusA span ~150 Å from N to C terminus. Because the NER machinery also must span some distance, it appears to be plausible that they could be reconverted to the downstream side of RNAP by NusA tethered either to the cCTD via AR2 or to exiting RNA and the RNA exit channel via the NusA NTD.

Although elegant biochemical studies of Mfd-dependent TCR have offered detailed insights into the mechanism by which it repairs UV-induced DNA damage (5–7), it is striking that, in contrast to mutation of the mammalian transcription-coupling repair factor (42, 43), Δmfd mutants display only a modest in-
increase in sensitivity to UV (44). This has led to the inference that TCR is much less important in bacteria than eukaryotes. Our results suggest an alternative interpretation: TCR is as important in bacteria as it is in eukaryotes, but its importance has been underappreciated in the past because the existence of an alternative Mfd-independent pathway of TCR had not yet been recognized. Interestingly, the N^{3-4,5-}G lesion stalls transcription at the –4 position in contrast to UV lesions that enter the active site of the RNAP (45–48). These observations may suggest a possible explanation for why the nusA11 mutation differentially affects TCR of the two classes of lesions.

The sensitivity of ΔnusA mutant strains to other agents such as UV and MMS suggests that NusA could also play a role in the transcription-coupled repair of lesions introduced by these agents as well. Our epistasis analysis does not exclude the possibility that NusA works in concert with Mfd to promote TCR of UV-induced lesions but does indicate that NusA plays a role in directing NER in a manner that is independent of Mfd. Interestingly, the sequenced genomes of several cancer cell lines have suggested the existence of an additional class of NER that is preferentially deployed to both transcribed and nontranscribed strands of genes compared with intergenic DNA (49, 50) or genes that are not expressed (51), which is of greater importance than strand-specific repair (50). Thus, it is possible that NusA-independent NER is a variant of TCR that can remove lesions from both strands analogous to the system inferred to exist in mammalian cells (49–51).

Additionally, we observed that nusA11 mutant strains display chronic partial SOS induction that is greater in stationary phase than during exponential growth and a striking increase in RecA-GFP foci particularly in stationary phase. The fact that these phenotypes, indicative of DNA damage, are observed without the addition of exogenous DNA-damaging agents implies that nusA11 mutant cells cannot properly deal with endogenous DNA damage. What could account for these observations? First, a metabolite generated at higher levels in stationary-phase cells than in exponentially growing cells could be causing DNA damage that depends on nusA+ for repair. Secondly, it is possible that active replication during exponential growth may mask any defects in nusA-dependent transcriptional repair of these endogenous lesions through the recruitment of DNA repair and damage tolerance factors to stalled replication forks. If this were the case, NusA-dependent transcriptional recruitment of repair factors in stationary phase might be much more important in helping cells cope with these endogenous lesions because of the absence of replication. This defect in processing endogenously generated DNA damage may be a contributing factor to the reduction of stress-induced mutagenesis, a measure of mutagenesis in nongrowing or very slowly growing cells, observed in a nusA11 mutant background (9). We proposed that this defect may be a reflection of a deficiency in DNA repair or an inability to recruit DinB for TCR under stressed conditions.

Our model of NusA-TCR complements our previously described model of TC-TLS (8), which proposes that NusA associated with elongating RNA polymerases, can recruit TLS polymerases to fill in gaps opposite to lesions in the transcribed strand to allow for the continuation of transcription. An alternative class of TCR, NusA-dependent TCR, where NusA participates in a previously unrecognized branch of the TCR pathway, NusA is capable of recruiting NER to sites of stalled RNAPs to repair DNA lesions on the transcribed strand.
tant strain, in a manner that requires the catalytic activities of DinB and UmuDC (8), suggests that a key problem cells experience upon losing NusA function is a potentially lethal issue if CHG cells are more efficient than in the genome overall. Cell 40:359–369.
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SI Material and Methods

Bacterial Strains and Plasmids. The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table S3 and were constructed using standard molecular biology techniques. Plasmids were maintained with ampicillin (100 μg/mL) when necessary.

DNA Damage Sensitivity Assays. Independent overnight E. coli cultures grown in LB medium were diluted in M9 minimal salts and plated on LB agar containing NFZ, 4-NQO, or MMS. For UV survival assays, cells were plated on LB agar and then irradiated with UV light (0–40 J/m²) by using a G15T8 UV lamp (GE) at 254 nm, then incubated in the dark. A concentrated stock solution of NFZ or 4-NQO was first made in N,N-dimethylformamide, stored at ~20 °C, and diluted appropriately for each experiment. Percent survival was determined relative to growth in the absence of DNA-damaging agent.

Microarray Analysis. Cultures were grown in Luria–Bertani (LB) medium at 30 °C to exponential phase. RNA samples were prepared from three independent cultures of AB1157 (nusA⁺) or AB1157 nusA11 (SEC164) using a Qiagen RNeasy extraction kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and RNA samples were treated with DNA-free (Ambion) to remove residual DNA according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Microarray data collection and analysis were performed as described in refs. 1 and 2. Microarray *.CEL files were combined with *.CEL files from arrays that comprise the M3D compendium (1) [http://m3d.bu.edu (E. coli v3_Build_3)] and RMA-normalized (3) with scores to ¼ of control: pNusA and NusA11 proteins were purified strain versus the 12%), transferred to a poly(vinylidene di-ethyleneimine precipitation followed by ammonium sulfate precipitation, Ni-NTA, and Heparin affinity chromatography.

Protein Purification. NusA and NusA11 proteins were purified from BL21(DE3) pLysS cells containing a His₆-NusA overexpression plasmid (pNusA or pNusA11) (Table S3) by a two-step purification protocol using Ni-NTA and monoQ affinity chromatography. For purification of E. coli RNAP BL21(DE3) pLysS cells, cells were lysed by boiling in SDS-loading dye and lysates were separated by SDS/PAGE (4–12%), transferred to poly(vinylidene difluoride) membrane, and probed with purified recombinant NusA (2 μM final concentration). Anti-NusA Western blotting was then performed as described in ref. 7. Monoclonal anti-NusA antibody was obtained from Neoclone.

RNA Polymerase Mutant Screen. Mutagenized libraries of pRL706 (8) transformed into AB1157 were grown in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin induced with 1 mM IPTG. Under induced conditions, it has been estimated that ~85%–90% of cellular RNAPs have incorporated the plasmid-encoded His₆-tagged subunit (8). Cultures were diluted in M9 minimal salts and 10-fold dilutions stamped onto LB agar containing ampicillin and either 0 or 10 μM NFZ with a 96-well pin replicator. Plates were incubated at 37 °C and scored for NFZ sensitivity or resistance the next day. All candidates were isolated and repeated for confirmation. Plasmids from confirmed clones were isolated and sequenced. Of ~800 mutants screened, 6 NFZ³ mutants were isolated.

Live-Cell Microscopy. Live-cell microscopy was performed as described in refs. 9 and 10. Aliquots of cells were stained with the membrane dye FM4-64 (240 ng/mL; Molecular Probes). Cells were then placed on a pad of 4% low melt agarose in a solution of M9 minimal salts and covered with a coverslip. The following Chroma filter sets were used: 41002b (TRITC) for FM4-64 and 41012 for GFP. Images were acquired using a Nikon E800 microscope with a charge-coupled device camera (Hamamatsu model C4742-95) and OpenLab software (Improvision). Images were colorized in OpenLab and then transferred to Photoshop (Adobe) for figure assembly.

In Vitro Transcription. DNA and RNA oligonucleotides used to generate transcription substrates are listed in Table S3 and were used to generate full-length undamaged strand; 6881, 6883, and 6896 are used to generate 1-ntd gap template; 6897, f-dG, and 6896 are used to generate damaged template; 6897 and 6896 are used to generate 14-ntd gap template. Template strand was ligated with 2,000 units of T4 DNA ligase (NEB) and 1 mM ATP. Reconstitution of TECs was performed by incubating core E. coli RNAP (2.5 μm) with the nucleic acid scaffold in RB for 10 min at room temperature. At 37 °C TECs were diluted in RB to contain 50 nM TECs before adding 10 μM UTP and 10 μCi [α-32P]GTP to label and extend the RNA to position G12. Next, ATP, UTP, and GTP (10 μM each) were added to allow RNAP to elongate to G27. Addition of CTP (10 μM) allows for transcription to continue to the end of the template in the case of full-length, undamaged substrates.

In Vitro Transcription. DNA and RNA oligonucleotides used to generate transcription substrates are listed in Table S3 and were used to generate full-length undamaged strand; 6881, 6883, and 6896 are used to generate 1-ntd gap template; 6897, f-dG, and 6896 are used to generate damaged template; 6897 and 6896 are used to generate 14-ntd gap template. Template strand was ligated with 2,000 units of T4 DNA ligase (NEB) and 1 mM ATP. Reconstitution of TECs was performed by incubating core E. coli RNAP (2.5 μm) with the nucleic acid scaffold in RB for 10 min at room temperature. At 37 °C TECs were diluted in RB to contain 50 nM TECs before adding 10 μM UTP and 10 μCi [α-32P]GTP to label and extend the RNA to position G12. Next, ATP, UTP, and GTP (10 μM each) were added to allow RNAP to elongate to G27. Addition of CTP (10 μM) allows for transcription to continue to the end of the template in the case of full-length, undamaged substrates.

Far-Western Blotting. An equivalent number of BL21 cells expressing UvrA from pMP47 or containing the empty vector (pET11) were lysed by boiling in SDS-loading dye and lysates were separated by SDS/PAGE (4–12%), transferred to a poly(vinylidene difluoride) membrane, and probed with purified recombinant NusA (2 μM final concentration). Anti-NusA Western blotting was then performed as described in ref. 7. Monoclonal anti-NusA antibody was obtained from Neoclone.

RNA Polymerase Mutant Screen. Mutagenized libraries of pRL706 (8) transformed into AB1157 were grown in LB medium supplemented with ampicillin induced with 1 mM IPTG. Under induced conditions, it has been estimated that ~85%–90% of cellular RNAPs have incorporated the plasmid-encoded His₆-tagged subunit (8). Cultures were diluted in M9 minimal salts and 10-fold dilutions stamped onto LB agar containing ampicillin and either 0 or 10 μM NFZ with a 96-well pin replicator. Plates were incubated at 37 °C and scored for NFZ sensitivity or resistance the next day. All candidates were isolated and repeated for confirmation. Plasmids from confirmed clones were isolated and sequenced. Of ~800 mutants screened, 6 NFZ³ mutants were isolated.

Live-Cell Microscopy. Live-cell microscopy was performed as described in refs. 9 and 10. Aliquots of cells were stained with the membrane dye FM4-64 (240 ng/mL; Molecular Probes). Cells were then placed on a pad of 4% low melt agarose in a solution of M9 minimal salts and covered with a coverslip. The following Chroma filter sets were used: 41002b (TRITC) for FM4-64 and 41012 for GFP. Images were acquired using a Nikon E800 microscope with a charge-coupled device camera (Hamamatsu model C4742-95) and OpenLab software (Improvision). Images were colorized in OpenLab and then transferred to Photoshop (Adobe) for figure assembly.


Fig. S1. (A and B) Sensitivity of nusA11 mutant strains to NFZ (μM) (A) and 4-NQO (μM) (B) can be complemented in trans at 30 °C in AB1157. pBR322 is used as an empty vector and pNAG2010 is p*nusA*+, and are described in Table S2. SD determined from at least three independent cultures. (C) Sensitivity of ΔnusA strains to NFZ, UV, and MMS can be complemented in trans. Growth of 10-fold serial dilutions, labeled to the left, of designated strains is depicted in photograph. nusA*+ represents MDS42 and ΔnusA represents MDS42 ΔnusA. –, empty vector (pBR322); +, p*nusA*+ (pNAG2010).
Fig. S2. (A) $N^2$-f-dG on the nontranscribed strand does not block transcription. Schematic of experimental design: three oligonucleotides, one containing the $N^2$-f-dG lesion or an undamaged proxy, are ligated together to generate the nontranscribed strand (NT) using the transcribed strand (T) as a scaffold. "X" indicates a site of $N^2$-furfuryl-dG lesion or proxy dG. A 9-ntd noncomplementary region between the (T)DNA and (NT)DNA allows for the annealing of an RNA primer (shown in red) to initiate transcription. Oligonucleotides 6920 (black), 6983 or f-dG (green), and 6930 (blue) are used to generate the nontranscribed strand. For each nucleic acid scaffold, undamaged or $N^2$-f-dG (in the NT strand), purified RNAP, ATP, and [$^{32}$P]GTP are added to allow for radiolabel incorporation into the RNA transcript and extend to G11 (first lane). The addition of excess cold ATP, UTP, and GTP extends the transcription elongation complex (TEC) to U18 position, 5 nucleotides before the $N^2$-f-dG lesion (second lane). Addition of CTP allows for the visualization of the run-off transcript (third lane; band labeled RO). All reactions represent 5-min time points after addition of nucleotide. (B) For nucleic acid templates labeled undamaged and $N^2$-f-dG (transcribed) in vitro transcription reactions were carried out as in Fig. 3. The first lane represents the migration of the transcript generated in the presence of radiolabeled GTP, UTP, and limiting ATP. The second lane represents the migration of the transcript generated when excess GTP, UTP, and ATP are added generating a G27 transcript marker. The third lane represents the migration of the transcript generated after the addition of CTP, allowing RNAP to transcribe through the template generating the complete transcript. All samples in this figure were removed 1 min after addition of nucleotide(s). The migration of the run-off transcript generated using the full-length/undamaged template when all NTPs are added is labeled RO. The final lane represents the migration of a C28 marker generated by the addition of dCTP to a reaction using the full-length template. These results demonstrate that the $N^2$-f-dG lesion stalls transcription four nucleotides before the site of the lesion.
**Fig. S3.** In vitro transcription reactions were performed as in Fig. 3, except samples were removed 1, 5, and 10 min after addition of CTP. For each template, the first three lanes are the same as those shown in Fig. 3B, with the last of these three lanes representing the product generated 1 min after addition of CTP, noted as 1’ above. An empty lane separates the 1’ time point and the products generated 5 (5’) and 10 (10’) minutes after addition of CTP, as labeled above. These results demonstrate that even with prolonged incubation time, *E. coli* RNAP cannot bypass a template strand gap of 14-ntd or the N2-f-dG lesion. Nonspecific higher migrating bands are observed in reactions using 14-ntd and N2-f-dG templates as these bands are observed before all nucleotides are added to the reaction.

**Fig. S4.** Addition of purified NusA or NusA11 to in vitro transcription reactions of full-length/undamaged template (A), 14-ntd gapped template (B), or N2-f-dG containing template (C). Lanes labeled “–” were performed identically as those done in Fig. 3B. The first lanes represent products formed in the presence of radiolabeled GTP, UTP, and limiting ATP, the addition of excess GTP, UTP, and ATP to lane two and the addition of CTP to lane 3. Lanes labeled +NusA represent reactions performed in the presence of 100 nM purified NusA or NusA11 for lanes labeled +NusA11.
Fig. S5. (A) Percent survival of rpoB mutants expressed in AB1157 to 12.5 μM NFZ at 30 °C. A higher dose of NFZ is used compared with experiments done at 37 °C to observe sensitivity of strains expressing rpoB+. In this and all graphs in this figure, error bars represent the SD determined from at least three independent cultures. (B) Sensitivity of rpoB mutants expressed in a ΔdinB background to 10 μM NFZ at 37 °C. (C) Residues of rpoB D185 (blue), V287 (orange), and D320 (yellow) mapped on to the structure of T. thermophilus RNAP elongation complex (2O5I). β catalytic subunit shown in pale green, β’ in pink, DNA in green, and RNA in red. V287 and D320 are located in a lineage-specific sequence insertion in the lobe domain of E. coli RNAP, and V287 is not conserved between E. coli and T. thermophilus. The residue highlighted in orange is the residue of T. thermophilus that is positioned closest to V287 of E. coli. The crystal structure predicts that when RNAP stalls at the −4 position relative to the N²-f-dG lesion in the transcribed strand, the N²-f-dG adduct would be located in the minor groove of the dsDNA ahead of the transcription bubble.
**Fig. S6.** SOS induction and RecA-GFP foci of exponentially growing cells. (A–C) SOS induction of exponentially growing cells. Representative micrographs of wild-type (AB1157) (SEC677) (A), lexA(Def) (SEC678) (B), and nusA11 (SEC679) (C) cells during exponential growth (OD_{600} ∼ 0.3). These analyses demonstrate that ∼0.2% of wild-type cells are induced for the SOS response (n = 602) compared with 100% of lexA(Def) (n = 44) and 0.8% of nusA11 (n = 453) cells. Cell outlines (red) were visualized with the vital membrane stain FM4-64, and SOS induction was monitored from $P_{sulA}$-GFP fusion (green). (D) Cell length distributions of stationary-phase wild type/AB1157 (blue) and nusA11 (orange) show that nusA11 strains are elongated compared with wild type. (E) Cell length distributions of exponentially growing wild-type/AB1157 (blue) and nusA11 (orange) cells. (F–I) RecA-GFP foci in exponentially growing cells: Representative micrographs of AB1157 (F) and nusA11 (H) strains during exponential growth (OD_{600} ∼ 0.3), and distribution of RecA-GFP foci in nusA^+ (G) and nusA11 (I) cells. Cell outlines (red) were visualized with the vital membrane stain FM4-64, and RecA-GFP foci are shown in green. All experiments in this figure were performed at the permissive temperature, 30 °C.
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