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Topological degeneracy is the degeneracy of the ground states in a many-body system in the large-system-size limit. Topological degeneracy cannot be lifted by any local perturbation of the Hamiltonian. The topological degeneracies on closed manifolds have been used to discover/define topological order in many-body systems, which contain excitations with fractional statistics. In this paper, we study a new type of topological degeneracy induced by condensing anyons along a line in two-dimensional topological ordered states. Such topological degeneracy can be viewed as carried by each end of the line defect, which is a generalization of Majorana zero modes. The topological degeneracy can be used as a quantum memory. The ends of line defects carry projective non-Abelian statistics even though they are produced by the condensation of Abelian anyons, and braiding them allows us to perform fault tolerant quantum computations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The topological degeneracy associated with the topological defects in the topologically ordered states has attracted much research interest recently. Examples have been found in K matrix (or the Kitaev toric code model), whose low-energy effective theory is a Z2 lattice gauge theory. It has been shown that each lattice dislocation in this model is associated to a Majorana zero mode. On the other hand, the Z2 gauge theory supports (bulk) Majorana fermion excitations (denoted by em) as the bound state of Z2 electric and magnetic charges. If one can condense these Majorana fermions along a line segment in the lattice by lowering their excitation energy, then the Majorana zero modes will also emerge at the ends of the chain. Our conjecture is that the Majorana zero modes at the ends of a Majorana chain (a line of Majorana fermion condensates) and the Majorana zero modes associated to the extrinsic lattice dislocations are physically equivalent. If this is true, we can create synthetic dislocations by condensing the Majorana fermions.

To verify the above conjecture, consider the Z2 plaquette model on a square lattice with one qubit per site, which is described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H = -\sum_p O_p, \quad \text{where } O_p = \text{product of operators around the plaquette } p.
\]

II. ANYON CONDENSATION

A. Synthetic dislocations

We start from the Z2 plaquette model, whose low-energy effective theory is a Z2 lattice gauge theory. It has been shown that each lattice dislocation in this model is associated to a Majorana zero mode. On the other hand, the Z2 gauge theory supports (bulk) Majorana fermion excitations (denoted by em) as the bound state of Z2 electric and magnetic charges. If one can condense these Majorana fermions along a line segment in the lattice by lowering their excitation energy, then the Majorana zero modes will also emerge at the ends of the chain.

Our conjecture is that the Majorana zero modes at the ends of a Majorana chain (a line of Majorana fermion condensates) and the Majorana zero modes associated to the extrinsic lattice dislocations are physically equivalent. If this is true, we can create synthetic dislocations by condensing the Majorana fermions.

To verify the above conjecture, consider the Z2 plaquette model on a square lattice with one qubit per site, which is described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H = -\sum_p O_p, \quad \text{where } O_p = \text{product of operators around the plaquette } p.
\]

Here we have adopted the graphical representation as introduced by the authors of Ref. 11, where each operator acting on the qubit is represented by a string going through that site (i.e., \(\sigma^z_i = \chi_i\) and \(\sigma^x_i = \chi_i\)). These operators follow the algebra \(\sigma^z_i \sigma^z_j = -\sigma^x_i \sigma^x_j\), or as \(\chi_i = -\chi_i\). The ground state of this model is a condensate of closed strings (generated by the \(O_p\) operators). Intrinsic excitations are created by the open string operator (a product of \(\sigma^z\) and/or \(\sigma^x\) operators) at both of its
The operators $\sigma^z$ and $\sigma^x$ are along the diagonal direction, each string can only continue in one set of plaquettes. We call the strings in the even (odd) plaquettes as the electric (magnetic) string, which leaves electric (magnetic) excitations at the ending plaquettes, denoted by $e$ ($m$), see Fig. 1. The fermion excitation is composed of a pair of electric and magnetic excitations in the neighboring plaquettes, which can be created (in pairs) by the action of $\sigma^z$ term along a line of sites (marked by $\partial$) to condense the fermions into a Majorana chain. The chain (branch-cut) region $C$ is outlined by the dashed line. (c) The second-order perturbation paths leading to the double-plaquette operators. Excited sites in the intermediate states are marked by $\bullet$. (d) The double-plaquette operators on the branch-cut and around the synthetic dislocation.

FIG. 1. (Color online) The lattice is partitioned into even and odd plaquettes, which, respectively, host electric $e \equiv \sigma^z$ and magnetic $m \equiv \sigma^x$ excitations. (a) The on-site action of the $\sigma^z$ operator creates, annihilates, or moves fermion excitations. (b) Turn on $\sigma^y$ term along a line of sites (marked by $\circ$) to condense the fermions into a Majorana chain. The chain (branch-cut) region $C$ is outlined by the dashed line. (c) The second-order perturbation paths leading to the double-plaquette operators. Excited sites in the intermediate states are marked by $\bullet$. (d) The double-plaquette operators on the branch-cut and around the synthetic dislocation.

Because the operators $\sigma^z$ and $\sigma^x$ are along the diagonal direction, each string can only continue in one set of plaquettes. We call the strings in the even (odd) plaquettes as the electric (magnetic) string, which leaves electric (magnetic) excitations at the ending plaquettes, denoted by $e$ ($m$), see Fig. 1. The fermion excitation is composed of a pair of electric and magnetic excitations in the neighboring plaquettes, which can be created (in pairs) by the action of $\sigma^z = i \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i + 1 + H.c.$ on a site, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Further acting $\sigma^z$ will move one fermion to the next double plaquette $C$. Thus the action of $\sigma^z$ can be translated as $c_i^\dagger = c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger + c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + H.c.$, in terms of the fermion creation $c_i^\dagger$ and annihilation $c_i$ operators, with $q$ labeling the double plaquette, see Fig. 1(b). Therefore to make a Majorana chain we only need to add $\sigma^z$ terms to the Hamiltonian along a line of sites (in the region $C$), as $H = -\sum_p O_p + g \sum_{q \in C} \sigma^z_q$. The fermions are restricted to move along the chain because there is no operator like $\sigma^y$ elsewhere to take the fermions away. Each fermion excitation carries four units of energy according to the $O_p$ term in the Hamiltonian $H$, so we can write down the Hamiltonian for the fermions along the chain $H_C = g \sum_{q \in C} (c_i^\dagger c_{i+1}^\dagger + c_i^\dagger c_{i+1} + H.c.) + 4 \sum_{q \in C} c_i c_q$. For small $g$, $H_C$ is in its trivial phase. For $g$ greater than the critical value $g_c = 2$, $H_C$ will be driven into the weak pairing (topological) phase, with Majorana zero modes at both ends of $C$. Therefore we can condense the intrinsic fermion excitations and make a Majorana chain by applying strong “transverse” field $g$. This Majorana chain will become the branch-cut line between the synthetic dislocations.

The strong $g$ field along the branch-cut line quenches the qubit degrees of freedom, which effectively removes those sites from the lattice, leading to the synthetic dislocations at the ends. To justify this statement, we start from $H = -\sum_p O_p + g \sum_{i \in C} \sigma^z_q$ in the large $g$ limit. The qubits along the branch-cut are polarized by the $g$ field to the $\sigma^z_q = -1$ state. Any plaquette operator $O_p$ that crosses the branch-cut will excite the qubits at the crossing points to the $\sigma^z = +1$ state and thus taking the system to the high-energy sector (of the energy $\sim g$), as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). Such a high-energy state can be brought back to the low-energy sector immediately either by acting on the same $O_p$ again or by another plaquette operator $O_{p'}$, which shares the same crossing points. Besides those, any other plaquette operators will leave the system in the high-energy sector, leading to higher-order perturbations. So $O_p \rightarrow O_p$ and $O_{p'} \rightarrow O_{p'}$ are the only possible paths for perturbation up to the second order. However, the first of these will only produce a constant shift in energy, which is not interesting; while the later leads to the effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{p \notin C} O_p - 1/(2g)(\sum_{q \in C} O_q + 2 \sum_{q \neq C} O_q)$, acting in the low-energy subspace where no qubit on the branch-cut line is excited. Here $q$ labels the double plaquettes across the branch-cut ($q \in C$) or around the dislocations ($q \in \partial C$). The double-plaquette operators $O_q$ are given by

\begin{equation}
O_{q \in C} = \frac{4}{3} \sigma^z_q = \sigma^z_1 \sigma^z_2 \sigma^z_3 \sigma^z_4,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
O_{q \notin C} = i \frac{4}{3} \sigma^z_q = \sigma^z_1 \sigma^z_2 \sigma^z_3 \sigma^z_4 (-\sigma^z_2),
\end{equation}

whose arrangement is shown in Fig. 1(d). These double-plaquette operators effectively sew up the branch-cut by coupling the qubits across, and leaving the qubits right on the branch-cut untouched (as their degrees of freedom has been frozen by the $g$ field). The electric and magnetic strings are glued together in the branch-cut region by the double-plaquette operators, which is evidenced from $[S, O_q] = 0$ [take $S$ in Fig. 1(d), for example] such that no excitation is left on the branch-cut by the action of $S$. This explicitly demonstrates that going through the branch-cut line, the $e$ charge will become $m$ and vice versa, realizing the $e$-$m$ dual mapping, which is the defining property of the dislocation branch-cut in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ plaquette model.

Therefore the dislocation associated to the $e$-$m$ duality can be synthesized by condensing the fermion excitations into a Majorana chain, instead of deforming the lattice literally. The synthetic dislocations mimic all the defining physical properties of real lattice dislocations, such as implementing the $e$-$m$ dual mapping as the intrinsic excitations winding around, and carrying the synthetic Majorana zero mode. Moreover, they are flexible and movable, as controlled by the applied field $g$, so their fusion and braiding can be discussed in a more physical sense.

**B. Synthetic non-Abelian anyons**

The above discussion can be readily generalized to the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ plaquette model, with the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ quantum rotor ($N$-state Potts spin) on each site, governed by the Hamiltonian $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_p O_p + H.c.$, where the single plaquette operator is defined as

\begin{equation}
O_p = \left( \begin{array}{c c c c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right)^{U_1 V_2 U_3 V_4},
\end{equation}

and $g \sum_{i \in C} \sigma^z_q$ in the large $g$ limit. The qubits along the branch-cut are polarized by the $g$ field to the $\sigma^z_q = -1$ state. Any plaquette operator $O_p$ that crosses the branch-cut will excite the qubits at the crossing points to the $\sigma^2 = +1$ state and thus taking the system to the high-energy sector (of the energy $\sim g$), as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). Such a high-energy state can be brought back to the low-energy sector immediately either by acting on the same $O_p$ again or by another plaquette operator $O_{p'}$, which shares the same crossing points. Besides those, any other plaquette operators will leave the system in the high-energy sector, leading to higher-order perturbations. So $O_p \rightarrow O_p$ and $O_{p'} \rightarrow O_{p'}$ are the only possible paths for perturbation up to the second order. However, the first of these will only produce a constant shift in energy, which is not interesting; while the later leads to the effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{p \notin C} O_p - 1/(2g)(\sum_{q \in C} O_q + 2 \sum_{q \neq C} O_q)$, acting in the low-energy subspace where no qubit on the branch-cut line is excited. Here $q$ labels the double plaquettes across the branch-cut ($q \in C$) or around the dislocations ($q \in \partial C$). The double-plaquette operators $O_q$ are given by

\begin{equation}
O_{q \in C} = \frac{4}{3} \sigma^z_q = \sigma^z_1 \sigma^z_2 \sigma^z_3 \sigma^z_4,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
O_{q \notin C} = i \frac{4}{3} \sigma^z_q = \sigma^z_1 \sigma^z_2 \sigma^z_3 \sigma^z_4 (-\sigma^z_2),
\end{equation}

whose arrangement is shown in Fig. 1(d). These double-plaquette operators effectively sew up the branch-cut by coupling the qubits across, and leaving the qubits right on the branch-cut untouched (as their degrees of freedom has been frozen by the $g$ field). The electric and magnetic strings are glued together in the branch-cut region by the double-plaquette operators, which is evidenced from $[S, O_q] = 0$ [take $S$ in Fig. 1(d), for example] such that no excitation is left on the branch-cut by the action of $S$. This explicitly demonstrates that going through the branch-cut line, the $e$ charge will become $m$ and vice versa, realizing the $e$-$m$ dual mapping, which is the defining property of the dislocation branch-cut in the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ plaquette model.

Therefore the dislocation associated to the $e$-$m$ duality can be synthesized by condensing the fermion excitations into a Majorana chain, instead of deforming the lattice literally. The synthetic dislocations mimic all the defining physical properties of real lattice dislocations, such as implementing the $e$-$m$ dual mapping as the intrinsic excitations winding around, and carrying the synthetic Majorana zero mode. Moreover, they are flexible and movable, as controlled by the applied field $g$, so their fusion and braiding can be discussed in a more physical sense.
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with the on-site operators \( U_i = \sum_{q} \) and \( V_i = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_i \) following the Weyl group algebra \( V_i U_i = e^{i\theta_N} U_i V_i \) (with \( \theta_N = \frac{\pi}{N} \)), or \( \hat{\mathcal{P}} = e^{i\theta_B} \hat{\mathcal{P}}' \). Again, synthetic dislocations can be produced by simply modifying the Hamiltonian to \( \hat{H} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_p \hat{P}_p - \frac{1}{2} g \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X_i + \text{H.c.} \), where \( X_i = -e^{-i\theta_N/2} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_i = -e^{-i\theta_B/2} U_i V_i \). A strong enough \( g \) field will quench the rotor degrees of freedom by polarization to the \( X_i = +1 \) state, which effectively removes the sites along the branch-cut \( C \). In the large \( g \) limit, the low-energy effective Hamiltonian follows from the second-order perturbation \( \hat{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{P}_p - 1 / (4g \sin^2 \frac{\theta_B}{2}) \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{O}_q + 2 \sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \hat{O}_q \) + H.c., with the double-plaquette operators given by

\[
\hat{O}_{q \in \mathbb{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_i V_j U_i ^\dagger V_j ^\dagger \end{pmatrix} ,
\]

\[
\sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \hat{O}_{q} = -e^{i\theta_B} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} U_i V_j U_i ^\dagger V_j ^\dagger X_5 \end{pmatrix} .
\]

The double-plaquette operators glue the \( Z_N \) electric and magnetic strings together, realizing the \( e-m \) dual mapping across the branch-cut. Synthetic dislocations are created at both ends. It has been shown\(^4\) that each of these dislocations resembles a projective non-Abelian anyon of quantum dimension \( \sqrt{N} \). There braid group representation will be discussed in Sec. III.

The point of introducing dislocations is to produce the non-Abelian anyons. In the \( Z_2 \) plaquette model, Majorana zero modes were produced by condensing the fermions \( em \) along a line. Now the same idea is generalized to the \( Z_N \) plaquette model. From the graphical representation \( X_i = -e^{-i\theta_N/2} \hat{\mathcal{P}}_i \), it is clear that the \( \mathcal{P} \) operator creates, annihilates, or moves the intrinsic excitations \( em \) (the bound states of the \( Z_N \) electric and magnetic charges, which are no longer fermions but Abelian anyons). A strong enough \( g \) field will proliferate the \( em \) anyon along the branch-cut line, dubbed as “anyon condensation,” as the anyon excitation energy is effectively brought to negative by the gain in kinetic energy. The ends of the anyon chain give rise to the projective non-Abelian anyon modes,\(^2\) as a generalization of the Majorana zero modes to higher quantum dimensions. This once again demonstrates the general principle that we can alter the topological degeneracy and synthesize non-Abelian anyons in a topologically ordered Abelian system by condensing its intrinsic anyon excitations.

C. Synthetic topological degeneracy

The concept of synthetic dislocations can be further generalized by introducing the idea of automorphism. In the previous discussion, the branch-cut line between dislocations is like a “magic mirror,” going through which the \( e \) charge becomes the \( m \) charge and vice versa. Under this \( e-m \) dual mapping, the statistical properties of all intrinsic anyons are not altered. Put explicitly, in the \( Z_N \) plaquette model, the self-statistic angle of \( e_m m_e \) is \( e^{i\theta_N/2} \) and the mutual-statistic angle between \( e_e m_m \) and \( e_m m_e \) is \( e^{i\theta_N(xy+yz)} \), both angles are invariant under \( e-m \) duality [i.e., \( x^{\alpha} \leftrightarrow y^{\beta} \)]. Thus every dislocation branch-cut implements a kind of automorphism (the relabeling of anyons) preserving the anyon statistics. However, the \( e-m \) duality is not the only choice, there are also some other automorphisms, such as \( (x^{\alpha}, y^{\beta}) \rightarrow (-x^{\alpha}, -y^{\beta}) \)

\[
\text{[i.e., the conjugation of both the electric and magnetic charges \( (e,m) \rightarrow (\bar{e},\bar{m}) \)]}. \text{ Can they also be implemented by some kinds of branch-cuts? If so, are the dislocations associated to any topological degeneracy? How to synthesize such dislocations?}

To answer these questions, we focus on the charge conjugate automorphism. An \( e \) string going through the charge conjugate branch-cut will become an \( \bar{e} \) string with the string orientation reversed, leaving the \( e_2 \) anyon (a pair of \( e \)) on the branch-cut line where the opposite strings meet. To join the strings, the \( e_2 \) anyon must be condensed along the branch-cut, such that they are no longer excitations and can be resolved into the vacuum fluctuation, thus the branch-cut line becomes invisible. The above design applies to the magnetic sector as well. Therefore to synthesize the charge conjugate dislocations we only need to proliferate (condense) the paired charges along a chain. The physics here is analogous to the Andreev reflection in the BCS superconductor, where the particle-in-hole-out reflection is realized by the condensation of Cooper pairs.

The paired charges (either electric or magnetic) can be created, annihilated, or moved by the link operator \( I_l \), as illustrated in Fig. 2(a). \( I_l \) couples two \( Z_N \) rotors across the link \( l \)

\[
I_l = \frac{1}{2} \left( \begin{array}{cc} z_l & x_l \\ x_l & z_l \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{2} (U_1 V_2 + V_1 U_2) .
\]

Because \( [U_1 V_2, V_1 U_2] = 0 \), all the \( N^2 \) eigenstates of \( I_l \) are labeled by both eigenvalues of \( U_1 V_2 \) and \( V_1 U_2 \) simultaneously, which can be used to single out a unique state on which \( U_1 V_2 = V_1 U_2 = 1 \) (and hence \( I_l = +1 \)). Therefore a strong enough \(-I_l \) term can completely quench the degrees of freedom of both rotors, by polarizing the link to the \( I_l = +1 \) state. Thus if the link operators \( I \) are introduced to the \( Z_N \) plaquette model along a chain of links as in Fig. 2(b)
(i.e., \( H = -\frac{1}{2} \sum O_p + \frac{i}{2} g \sum_{\ell \in \partial C} l_\ell + \text{H.c.} \)), two rows of sites (in region \( C \)) will be effectively removed in the large \( g \) limit, producing synthetic dislocations that are expected to be related to the charge conjugate automorphism according to the anyon condensation picture.

To explicitly verify the above statement, we resort to the second-order perturbation as shown in Fig. 2(c), and obtain the low-energy effective Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \in \partial C} O_p = 1/(4g^2 \sin^2 \theta) \sum_{q \in \partial C} O_q + \sum_{p \in \partial C} O_p + \text{H.c.} \), with the triple-plaquette operators given by

\[
O_{q \in \partial C} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = U_1 V_3 U_2 V_4,
\]

\[
O_{q \notin \partial C} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 3 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} = U_1 V_3 U_2 V_4^\dagger V_6^\dagger,
\]

and arranged as in Fig. 2(d). Because the link operator \( I \) is made up of counteroriented strings as in Eq. (5), so any link that has been excited by a plaquette operator \( O_p \) [as depicted in Fig. 2(c)] can only decay (nontrivially) through the action of a counteroriented plaquette operator \( O_p \) across the link. This results in the convective triple-plaquette operators in Eq. (6), which glue the opposite strings together (as exemplified by \( [S, O_p] = 0 \) in Fig. 2(d)), realizing the charge conjugate automorphism. Therefore dislocations (twisted defects) associated to the charge conjugate automorphism can be synthesized by a chain of link operators \( I \).

For the \( Z_N \) plaquette model with even integer \( N \), there is an additional subtlety that the effective Hamiltonian also includes the term \(-\alpha \sum_{l \in \partial C} C_l \) at both ends of the chain. \( I \in \partial C \) labels the parallel link right next to the leftmost (or rightmost) \( \frac{N}{2} \) link, see Fig. 2(d). The operator \( C_l \) is defined on such links

\[
C_l = \begin{cases} 1 & (U_1 V_2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \text{ at left end,} \\ 1 & (V_1 U_2)^{\frac{N}{2}} \text{ at right end.} \end{cases}
\]

\( C_l \) is also a local operator acting in the low-energy subspace (not leading to any excitation of the energy \( \sim g \)), and must be presented in the effective Hamiltonian in general. It can be obtained through a \( \frac{N}{2} \)th-order perturbation, which makes sense only for an even integer \( N \). However, this even-odd effect should not matter in the large \( N \) limit, as the coefficient \( \alpha = \left( \frac{\pi}{g} \right)^{-N/2+1} \) gets exponentially weaker.

Simply by counting the constraints, it is not hard to show that each pair of such dislocations will increase the topologically protected ground-state degeneracy by \( (N/2)^2 \) for even \( N \) and by \( N^2 \) for odd \( N \). So each dislocation has the quantum dimension \( N/2 \) (for even \( N \)) or \( N \) (for odd \( N \)). The reasons are as follows. Applying \(-g I_l \) coupling to a chain of \( n \) links will quench \( 2n \) rotors. The rotor Hilbert space dimension is reduced by \( N^{2n} \). Meanwhile in the \( C \) region, the original \( 3(n+1) \) single-plaquette operators become \( (n+1) \) triple-plaquette operators. As the ground state (\( \text{grnd} \)) is given by the constraints \( \forall p : O_p(\text{grnd}) = |\text{grnd} \rangle \), each restricts the Hilbert space dimension by a factor of \( 1/N \). Under strong \( g \) coupling, the number of constraints is reduced by \( 2(n+1) \). The relaxation of constraints is faster than the reduction of rotor degrees of freedom, leading to an increase of the ground-state degeneracy by \( N^{2n+1}/N^{2n} = N^2 \). So each dislocation is associated with the additional ground-state degeneracy of \( N \), hence the quantum dimension \( N \). However, for an even integer \( N \), we still have the \( C_l \) operator around each dislocation. Because \( C_l \) has two eigenvalues \( \pm 1 \), it will further reduce the degeneracy by \( 2 \), resulting in the \( N/2 \) quantum dimension for an even integer \( N \). Despite the integer quantum dimension, the charge conjugate dislocations are also non-Abelian anyons, as will be shown in Sec. III.

### III. SYNTHETIC NON-ABELIAN STATISTICS

#### A. Loop algebra approach

In this section, we discuss the anyonic properties of the synthetic dislocations constructed in this work, including their quantum dimensions and braiding rules. Because these properties are not sensitive to the microscopic details, they can be studied in the continuous space using the loop algebra approach developed by Barkeshli, Jian, and Qi, which can be considered as a long-wavelength effective theory of synthetic dislocations. The essential idea of loop algebra is to use noncontractible Wilson loops (a closed strings of operators) to keep track of the dislocation configurations in the system and specify the ground-state Hilbert space, such that the effect of adding, removing, or braiding the dislocations can all be handled by the algebraic relations among these Wilson loop operators. If we have several pairs of synthetic dislocations, we may arrange them along a line and choose the noncontractible loops like those in Fig. 3. Adding each pair of dislocations into the system will introduce two additional loops: one going around the pair, and the other connecting to the last pair of dislocations. All the Wilson loop operators commute with the Hamiltonian by definition, so the ground-state Hilbert space is simply a representation space (presumably irreducible) of the loop algebra. The new loops introduced by new dislocations will enlarge the representation space, and hence increase the ground-state degeneracy. As has been pointed out by the authors of Ref. 4, braiding two dislocations leads to the deformation of the Wilson loops, so the ground states specified by these loops are also altered, resulting in a non-Abelian Berry phase that gives rise to the projective non-Abelian statistics of dislocations. All these general principles will be demonstrated with definite examples from the \( Z_N \) plaquette model in the following.

#### B. Loop algebra of \( Z_N \) model

There are two strings in the \( Z_N \) plaquette model: electric \( \leftrightarrow \) and magnetic \( \rightarrow \), following the local algebra \( XX = e^{i\theta_0} XX \) (with \( \theta_0 \equiv \frac{2\pi}{N} \)). \( XX = XX \) and \( XX = XX \). The dislocations are characterized by their stabilizers (the plaquette operators at the end of the branch-cut line). According the microscopic
construction, the stabilizer of e-m duality dislocation reads 
\[ O_q = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} \mathbb{1}, \]
and the stabilizer of the charge conjugate dislocation reads 
\[ O_q = \mathbb{1} \] or \[ O_q = \mathbb{1}. \] The ground state is given by \[ O_q = +1, \]
which means in the ground-state manifold, we have
\[ \star \star = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} \mathbb{1} \quad \text{for e-m duality}, \]
\[ \star \star = \mathbb{1} = \mathbb{1} \quad \text{for charge conjugate}. \] (8)

In the following, we will focus on the case of having four dislocations (or two pairs) resting on a sphere. Denote the braiding of dislocations 1 and 2 as \( \sigma_{12} \), and the braiding of 2 and 3 as \( \sigma_{23} \). On the sphere, braiding 3 and 4 is equivalent to braiding 1 and 2, and thus will not be discussed.

C. e-m duality dislocations

We start from the e-m duality dislocations, as shown in Fig. 4(a). \( O_{12} \) and \( O_{23} \) are the Wilson loops, following the algebra \( O_{23} O_{12} = e^{i \phi_{ab}} O_{12} O_{23} \), whose irreducible representation space is of \( N \) dimension, with the basis specified by \( (n = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1) \)
\[ O_{12}|n\rangle = e^{i n \chi}|n\rangle, \quad O_{23}|n\rangle = |n - 1\rangle. \] (9)

Because \( [O_{12}, H] = [O_{23}, H] = 0 \), the Hamiltonian operator can be represented as \( H = 0 \) in the representation space, thus the states \( |n\rangle \) represent the degenerated ground states of the Hamiltonian. Therefore adding each pair of e-m duality dislocations into the system will increase the ground degeneracy by a factor of \( N \), hence each dislocation is of quantum dimension \( \sqrt{N} \) (Ref. 11).

Braiding the dislocations will deform the Wilson loops. Under \( \sigma_{12} \), \( O_{12} \) is not affected, however, \( O_{23} \) undergoes the following deformation:
\[ O_{23} = \star \star \star \star \Rightarrow \sigma_{12} \]
\[ = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} \mathbb{1} \]
\[ = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} \mathbb{1} \]
\[ = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} \mathbb{1} \]
\[ = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} O_{12} O_{23}. \] (10)

where we have used Eq. (8) to create a stabilizer ring around the dislocation 2. Under \( \sigma_{12} \), \( O_{23} \) is not affected, however, \( O_{12} \)

undergoes the following deformation:
\[ O_{12} = \star \star \star \star \]
\[ \sigma_{23} \star \star \star \star \]
\[ = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} \mathbb{1} \]
\[ = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} \mathbb{1} \]
\[ = -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} O_{12} O_{23}. \] (11)

where we have rearranged the branch-cut lines between dislocations after the braiding, and used Eq. (8) to insert the stabilizer. In summary, under the braiding of dislocations, the Wilson loops transform as
\[ O_{12} \rightarrow \sigma_{12} O_{12}, \]
\[ O_{23} \rightarrow e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} O_{12} O_{23}, \]
\[ O_{12} \rightarrow -e^{-i \frac{\theta}{2}} O_{23} O_{12}, \]
\[ O_{23} \rightarrow \sigma_{23} O_{23}. \] (12)

Given the representation of \( O_{12} \) and \( O_{23} \) in Eq. (9), it can be verified that the following is a solution of Eq. (13), up to U(1) phase factors for both \( T_{12} \) and \( T_{23} \)
\[ T_{12} = \sum_{n} e^{i \frac{\phi_{ab}}{2}(n(n-1))} |n\rangle \langle n|, \]
\[ T_{23} = e^{-i \pi/4} \sqrt{N} \sum_{n,n'} e^{i \frac{\phi_{ab}}{2}(n(n-1)+n'(n'-1))} |n\rangle \langle n'|. \] (14)

One can check that \( T_{12} \) and \( T_{23} \) satisfy the braid-group definition relation \( T_{12} T_{23} T_{12} = T_{23} T_{12} T_{23} \). As braiding the dislocations deforms the Wilson loops, the ground states defined by the deformed Wilson loops after braiding are related to the original ground states by the unitary transform \( T_{ab} \), so \( T_{ab} \) represents the non-Abelian Berry phase accumulated during the braiding. Because there is a U(1) phase ambiguity as Eq. (13) is invariant under the transform \( T_{ab} \rightarrow e^{i \lambda} T_{ab} \), hence the braid-group definition relation only holds up to a U(1) phase \( e^{i \phi} \) (i.e., \( T_{12} T_{23} T_{12} = e^{i \phi} T_{23} T_{12} T_{23} \)), so the dislocations are projective non-Abelian anyons.

D. Charge conjugate dislocations

We continue to consider the charge conjugate dislocations, as shown in Fig. 4(b). Adding each pair of such dislocations will introduce four noncontractible Wilson loops:

of electric type, and \( O_{12}^m \), \( O_{23}^m \) of magnetic type. They can be separated into two independent sets of algebra \( O_{12}^m e^{i\theta} O_{12}^m = e^{i\theta} O_{12}^m \) and \( [O_{12}^m, O_{23}^m] = 0 \). If we use the common eigenstates of \( O_{12}^m \) and \( O_{12}^m \) as the basis of the ground-state Hilbert space

\[
\begin{align*}
O_{12}^m |n_e,n_m\rangle &= e^{i\theta} |n_e,n_m\rangle, \\
O_{23}^m |n_e,n_m\rangle &= e^{i\theta} |n_e,n_m\rangle,
\end{align*}
\]

(15)

where \( n_e \) and \( n_m \) are two integers introduced to label the ground states, then \( O_{23}^m \) simply lowers the index \( n_e(n_m) \) by 2 (modulo \( N \)) according to the algebraic relations mentioned above

\[
\begin{align*}
O_{23}^m |n_e,n_m\rangle &= |n_e - 2,n_m\rangle, \\
O_{23}^m |n_e,n_m\rangle &= |n_e,n_m - 2\rangle.
\end{align*}
\]

(16)

At this point it becomes obvious why the parity of \( N \) is important. If \( N \) is even, starting from a \( n_e = 0 \) state, the operator \( O_{23}^m \) can only take it to the states labeled by even integer \( n_e \) between 0 and \( N - 2 \) [i.e., \( n_e = 0,2,4,\ldots,(N - 2) \)]. However, if \( N \) is odd, then all the states with integer \( n_e \) between 0 and \( N - 1 \) can be achieved by acting \( O_{23}^m \) [i.e., \( n_e = 0,2,4,\ldots,(N - 1),1,3,5,\ldots,(N - 2) \)]. So for even integer \( N \), both \( n_e \) and \( n_m \) only take \( N/2 \) even integer values, and the ground-state Hilbert space associated to a pair of dislocations is of \( (N/2)^2 \) dimension; while for odd integer \( N \), both \( n_e \) and \( n_m \) can take \( N \) integer values, thus the associated ground-state Hilbert space is of \( N^2 \) dimension. For later convenience, we introduce the notation \([N]\)

\[
[N] = \begin{cases} 
N/2 & \text{if } N \text{ even}, \\
N & \text{if } N \text{ odd}.
\end{cases}
\]

(17)

Then the quantum dimension associated to each charge conjugate dislocation is simply \([N]\).

Consider braiding the charge conjugate dislocations, we analyze the deformation of the \( e \)-loops first. The analysis of the \( m \)-loops will be essentially the same. Under \( \sigma_{12} \), \( O_{12}^c \) is not affected, however, \( O_{23}^c \) undergoes the following deformation:

\[
\begin{align*}
O_{23}^c &= \begin{array}{c}
\text{\( \sigma_{12} \)}
\end{array} \\
&= \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow \sigma_{12} O_{23}^c
\end{array},
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

where the stabilizer is inserted according to Eq. (8). Under \( \sigma_{23} \), \( O_{23}^c \) is not affected, however, \( O_{12}^c \) undergoes the following deformation:

\[
\begin{align*}
O_{12}^c &= \begin{array}{c}
\text{\( \sigma_{23} \)}
\end{array} \\
&= \begin{array}{c}
\rightarrow \sigma_{23} O_{12}^c
\end{array},
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

where we have rearranged the branch-cut lines between dislocations after the braiding, and used Eq. (8) to insert the stabilizer. The \( m \) loops deform in the same way as the \( e \) loops, and will not be elaborated on again. In summary, under the braiding of dislocations, the Wilson loops transform as

\[
\begin{align*}
O_{12}^c &= \begin{array}{c}
\sigma_{12} \rightarrow \sigma_{23} O_{12}^c \\
&= O_{23}^c
\end{array},
\end{align*}
\]

(20)

Again we seek the unitary transform \( T_{12} \) and \( T_{23} \) in the ground-state Hilbert space to represent \( \sigma_{12} \) and \( \sigma_{23} \), respectively, such that

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{12} O_{12}^c T_{12} &= O_{12}^c, \\
T_{12} O_{23}^c T_{12} &= O_{23}^c, \\
T_{23} O_{12}^c T_{23} &= O_{23}^c, \\
T_{23} O_{23}^c T_{23} &= O_{23}^c.
\end{align*}
\]

(21)

Note that the above equations should hold for both \( O_{12}^c \) and \( O_{23}^c \) simultaneously. Given the representation of \( O_{12}^c \) in Eqs. (15) and (16), it is easy to show that the following is a solution of Eq. (21), up to U(1) phase factors,

\[
\begin{align*}
T_{12} &= \sum_{n_e,n_m} e^{i\theta n_e n_m} |n_e,n_m\rangle \langle n_e,n_m|, \\
T_{23} &= \prod_{n_e/n_m} \sum_{n_e/n_m} e^{-i\theta(n_e - n_m)(n_e - n_m)} |n_e,n_m\rangle \langle n_e,n_m|. 
\end{align*}
\]

(22)

To avoid the complicated piecewise formulation of the even-odd effect, \( n_e, n_m, n'_e, n'_m \) should take their values from \( 0,2,4,\ldots,2(\lceil N\rceil - 1) \). For example, if \( N = 5 \), then \( n'_e(n_m) = 0,2,4,6,8 \); and if \( N = 6 \), then \( n'_e(n_m) = 0,2,4,6,8,10 \). The state \( |n_e,n_m\rangle \) should be understood as \( |n_e \mod N, n_m \mod N \rangle \) (under modulo \( N \)). Then Eq. (22) is applicable to both even and odd \( N \). One can check that \( T_{12} \) and \( T_{23} \) satisfy the braid-group definition relation \( T_{12} T_{23} T_{12} = T_{23} T_{12} T_{23} \). This shows that the non-Abelian Berry phase [up to a U(1) phase] accumulated during the braiding of dislocations \( a \) and \( b \). Therefore the charge conjugate dislocations are also projective non-Abelian anyons.
IV. CONCLUSION

In this work, we proposed the microscopic construction of flexible and movable synthetic dislocations by simply modifying the Hamiltonian along a line of sites or links. Two kinds of synthetic dislocations in the $\mathbb{Z}_N$ plaquette model were explicitly constructed: one associated to the e-m duality, and the other associated to the charge conjugation. Both are automorphisms among the intrinsic anyons related by statistical symmetry. A systematic way to design synthetic dislocations is to condense the intrinsic anyon excitations that is left over by the automorphism along the branch-cut line. The e-m duality (charge conjugate) dislocations can be synthesized by condensing a chain of em anyon (paired charges). In principle, even more general dislocations can be synthesized by arbitrary anyon condensation. The synthetic dislocations are associated to additional topological degeneracy, and rendered as projective non-Abelian anyons. Therefore anyon condensation provides us a controllable and systematic way to generate the topologically protected ground-state degeneracy in a topologically ordered system.
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