Abstract

Antibiotic discovery has a storied history. From the discovery of penicillin by Sir Alexander Fleming to the relentless quest for antibiotics by Selman Waksman, the stories have become like folklore, used to inspire future generations of scientists. However, recent discovery pipelines have run dry at a time when multidrug resistant pathogens are on the rise. Nature has proven to be a valuable reservoir of antimicrobial agents, which are primarily produced by modularized biochemical pathways. Such modularization is well suited to remodeling by an interdisciplinary approach that spans science and engineering. Herein, we discuss the biological engineering of small molecules, peptides, and non-traditional antimicrobials and provide an overview of the growing applicability of synthetic biology to antimicrobials discovery.
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Introduction

The discovery of antibiotics represents one of our greatest scientific achievements, for few other discoveries have had as great an impact on medicine. The rich history of antibiotic discovery can be traced back for over a century, where great intuition was used to recognize that although all microbial pathogens eventually find their way in to the soil, whether through biological decay or animal excrement, the soil is not the source of most infectious diseases.\(^1,2\) This led to an expansive search of soil-dwelling microorganisms for antimicrobial agents culminating in the ‘golden era’ of antibiotic discovery during the mid-twentieth century, where most known classes of antibiotics were discovered.\(^3\)

Environmental bacteria and fungi have been a great source of bioactive molecules, in particular those with antimicrobial activities. It is estimated that approximately 16,500 antibiotics have been isolated as natural products, the majority of which originate from soil-dwelling Gram-positive bacteria of the order Actinomycetales (Fig. 1a\(^4\)).\(^5\)

Although antibiotics are very effective therapeutics that can be used to target diverse species of bacteria, they are highly susceptible to adaptive and environmentally acquired bacterial resistance. This can severely limit their clinical lifetime and render once powerful
therapeutics obsolete. Adaptive resistance occurs as a result of changes in gene and protein expression in response to environmental cues and can lead to transient resistance of bacterial populations.\textsuperscript{6} Alternatively, environmental bacteria harbor reservoirs of resistance genes, where the average bacterium has resistance against 7–8 different antibiotics with varying modes of action.\textsuperscript{7} As such, there is a large pool of resistance cassettes present in the environment that can be passed on horizontally to infectious bacteria. In fact, such horizontal gene transfer between soil-dwelling bacteria and clinical pathogens was recently demonstrated experimentally, where resistance determinants with significant nucleotide identity were found among diverse species of environmental and pathogenic bacteria.\textsuperscript{8} Therefore, to combat adaptive and environmentally acquired bacterial resistance, there must be continuous development of novel antibiotics via new discoveries and re-engineering of old therapeutics.

Traditional antibiotic discovery programs have relied on the mining of environmental microbes for natural product biosynthesis via secondary metabolic pathways (Fig. 1b). However, after more than half a century of such investigations, new discoveries are becoming ever more rare. The alleged ‘low-hanging fruit’, or the commonly produced and easily isolated natural product antibiotics, have been picked and it has become exceedingly difficult to find new antimicrobial agents.\textsuperscript{9, 10} A significant hurdle to overcome with current natural product discovery initiatives is dereplication, the process of rapidly discounting previously identified secondary metabolites.\textsuperscript{11} Considering that approximately 1\% of Actinomycetes produce the antibiotic streptomycin,\textsuperscript{10} it can be easily understood why an efficient and high-throughput approach is required to prevent the re-discovery of old antibiotics. Alternative approaches to antibiotic discovery have included the use of medicinal chemistry to expand the chemical diversity of commonly utilized antimicrobials.\textsuperscript{3} Although the total synthesis of natural products is not feasible due to the complexity of the molecules,\textsuperscript{12, 13} the chemical modification of antibiotic scaffolds to evade resistance has been quite successful, as seen for example with the second- and third-generation tetracyclines.\textsuperscript{14} Another widely used drug discovery approach has been the screening of large chemical libraries for antimicrobial activity. However, this approach has achieved little success as synthetic chemical libraries cannot mimic the structural complexity inherent to bioactive molecules.\textsuperscript{15}

Although the discovery of new antibiotics continues to become ever more difficult owing to an exhausted scientific approach and a drop in industry participation, the need for new antimicrobials continues to grow in the clinic due to the emergence of multidrug resistant pathogens, as exemplified by methicillin-resistant \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} (MRSA) and vancomycin-resistant Enterococci (VRE). To tackle these new challenges, multi-disciplinary approaches are required that can take advantage of the efficiency and versatility of biological platforms within a user defined context. The nexus between such a biological and engineering approach is synthetic biology.

By modularizing biological elements using genetic and protein engineering, synthetic biologists are able to incorporate new functionality within pre-existing biological platforms to sample new chemical space. Herein, synthetic biology approaches to antibiotic development will be discussed in the context of genetic engineering for small-molecule development, peptide antimicrobials, and non-traditional therapeutics.

**Small-Molecule Antibiotics**

Small-molecule antibiotics represent the largest class of antimicrobial agents and include both natural products and synthetic molecules that encompass a diverse array of molecular architectures. Most small molecule antibiotics are synthesized as natural products by
environmental microbes using simple building blocks, which are assembled into elaborate structures via secondary metabolic pathways. These large biochemical pathways possess inherent modularity that make them attractive platforms for synthetic biology.\textsuperscript{16}

**Secondary Metabolic Pathways**

Synthetic biology strives to implement a modular design for the engineering of biological molecules.\textsuperscript{17–21} Such approaches can be applied to engineer the secondary metabolic biosynthetic pathways of Actinomycetes. These soil-dwelling microbes can be thought of as chemical factories that produce secondary metabolites in a ‘conveyor-belt’-like fashion.\textsuperscript{14} Such versatility is afforded by the use of large enzymatic complexes that allow for the coordinated action of many different enzymes to build complex small molecules from basic building blocks.\textsuperscript{22}

The polyketide class of secondary metabolites, which include the clinically relevant macrolide and tetracycline antibiotics, are synthesized by large enzymatic complexes called polyketide synthases (PKS). A detailed overview of the biochemistry of PKS pathways is beyond the scope of this review and the interested reader is referred to other reviews.\textsuperscript{22–26} Although there are different types of PKS enzymes with varying mechanistic complexities, the basic process of polyketide assembly follows a similar path. The carbon backbone of a polyketide molecule is assembled by a designated PKS complex through sequential or iterative condensation of acyl-CoA building blocks.\textsuperscript{22, 27} Subsequently, the polyketide backbone is decorated with a variety of different functional groups such as sugars, alcohols, aromatic rings, methyl groups, and amino groups via the action of specific tailoring enzymes.\textsuperscript{25, 26} These functional groups are responsible for mediating interactions that are key to the biological activity of polyketides.\textsuperscript{28} For example, even though there are three generations of tetracyclines with diverse chemical structures, the ribosome inhibitory action of tetracyclines are imparted by only the keto-enol functionalities at the base of the molecules.\textsuperscript{29, 30} Therefore, at the latter stages of tetracycline biosynthesis, synthetic biology could be utilized to increase the chemical diversity of natural products by modulating tailoring reactions. By taking advantage of the modular nature of PKS and tailoring enzymes, one can mix-and-match them to develop new chemical entities with engineered biological platforms.

**Type I PKS Assembly: Erythromycin**

The biosynthetic assembly of the macrolide antibiotic erythromycin produced by *Saccharopolyspora erythraea* represents the best studied PKS pathway. A type I PKS produces erythromycin, where three mega-enzymes (DEBS-1, DEBS-2, and DEBS-3) constituting 7 modules and 28 enzymatic domains catalyze the production of 6-deoxyerythronolide B (6-DEB), the aglycone scaffold of erythromycin (Fig. 2a).\textsuperscript{23} 6-DEB production proceeds in an assembly-line fashion where at each stage one acyl-CoA intermediate is incorporated (1 propionyl-CoA and 6 methylmalonyl-CoA units).\textsuperscript{31} Following polyketide assembly, 6-DEB is decorated by regiospecific hydroxylation and glycosylation reactions via dedicated tailoring enzymes.\textsuperscript{31, 32}

The modular nature of the erythromycin biosynthetic machinery was apparent when the biosynthetic gene cluster was initially sequenced in 1990.\textsuperscript{33, 34} The repetitive utilization of standardized catalytic units provides opportunities to mix-and-match the enzymatic domains to sample new chemical space and create ‘unnatural’ natural products. Engineering of unnatural erythromycin derivatives can be achieved at 2 distinct steps: during PKS assembly of the polyketide scaffold or during the tailoring reactions.
The first stage of erythromycin assembly involves loading the PKS with a starter unit. The loading module in DEBS-1 (N-terminal acyltransferase and acyl carrier protein) naturally loads a propionate unit onto the PKS. However, loading modules from the macrolide antibiotics oleandomycin or tylosin can be incorporated into DEBS-1 to provide control over starter unit specificity, namely a propionate or acetate starter unit. Alternatively, diversity can be introduced by swapping the methylmalonyl specific acyltransferase domains of DEBS with domains that have specificity for methoxymalonyl, ethylmalonyl, or malonyl extender units. Changing the number of modules present in the DEBS can also change the scaffold of erythromycin. For example, a three-module DEBS derivative results in the production of an 8-membered ring lactone.

The final stage of erythromycin assembly involves the decoration of 6-DEB with hydroxyl and sugar group, which are required for biological activity. At this stage, modularity can be observed in the substrate flexibility of the glycosyltransferase enzymes. For instance, EryBV catalyzes the incorporation of TDP-L-mycarose on to 6-DEB (Fig. 2a). However, it has been shown that EryBV is not only able to catalyze the reverse reaction as well, but it can also incorporate other TDP-L-sugar analogues on to the aglycon macrolide. Similarly, in addition to its native substrate TDP-D-desosamine, EryCIII is also able to incorporate other sugars on to the erythromycin scaffold including TDP-D-mycaminose and TDP-D-angolosamine. Therefore, the substrate promiscuity of tailoring enzymes could provide a useful avenue for the development of unnatural polyketides.

Such modularity and substrate promiscuity at almost every stage of type I PKS polyketide assembly affords many exciting opportunities for a synthetic biological approach to drug development. In an innovative experiment to develop ‘unnatural’ natural products, Menzella et al. validated this approach by dismantling type I PKS genes in to synthetic building blocks that could be reassembled in a combinatorial fashion. By using a standardized loading module, intra- and inter-peptide linkers, and a polyketide cleavage module, the authors used 8 different PKS clusters to synthesize 14 individual modules each encoded in an expression vector either as a donor or acceptor module. Subsequently, donor and acceptor modules were co-transformed into an Escherichia coli strain engineered to synthesize polyketide compounds resulting in 154 bimodular combinations. Intriguingly, all of the different synthetic components showed great compatibility, where 47% of the bimodular PKSs were active and produced the expected triketide lactone products. The bimodular PKSs were further engineered to accept alternate starter units to further expand product diversity. The same group further advanced this technology to develop combinatorial trimodule PKSs that were able to assemble tetraketide molecules. The trimodular PKSs achieved remarkable efficiency, where 96% of the combinations tested were able to produce the expected tetraketide molecules.

Thus, type I PKS enzymes have proven to be versatile platforms that can be manipulated in innovative ways in the quest for chemical diversity. This notion can be further extended to type II PKS enzymes, which are more disseminated and complex chemical assembly lines.

**Type II PKS Assembly: Oxytetracycline**

Unlike the type I PKS enzymes, where each module catalyzes the addition of one acyl-CoA unit to produce the polyketide backbone, type II PKS assembly involves a ‘minimal’ PKS complex (acyl-carrier protein, chain length factor, and ketosynthase units) that iteratively catalyze the condensation of malonyl-CoA extender units. Subsequently, the polyketides are modified by a host of tailoring enzymes including: aromatases, cyclases, oxygenases, methyltransferases, ketoreductases, acyltransferases, and aminotransferases. Accordingly, a intriguing path to broaden the chemical diversity of these natural products would be via the tailoring reactions.
The tetracycline class of antibiotics represents one of the most medically significant natural products synthesized by type II PKS complexes.\textsuperscript{27} Tetracycline biosynthesis has been investigated for almost half a century starting with early studies involving blocked mutants.\textsuperscript{48–52} However, it is only recently that we are beginning to understand the detailed biochemistry of the biosynthetic pathway as a result of advances in genetic engineering and the availability of heterologous expression systems.\textsuperscript{47, 53}

*Streptomyces rimosus*, first discovered at Pfizer in 1950, produces the tetracycline antibiotic oxytetracycline originally marketed as Terramycin\textsuperscript{®} (Fig. 1a).\textsuperscript{4, 14, 54} Oxytetracycline is produced by a type II PKS encoded within a 24 kb biosynthetic gene cluster that contains 21 genes flanked by 2 resistance genes (Fig. 2b).\textsuperscript{47, 55} Oxytetracycline biosynthesis has recently been reviewed and as such will not be discussed here.\textsuperscript{56}

A lack of appropriate genetic engineering tools for *S. rimosus* stemming from genomic instability and inefficient DNA transformation procedures,\textsuperscript{55} has led to the use of heterologous hosts for the study of oxytetracycline biosynthesis. Although heterologous expression of PKS genes in *E. coli* leads to the formation of inclusion bodies,\textsuperscript{57} *Streptomyces coelicolor* CH999 and *Myxococcus xanthus* have been successfully used for the heterologous expression of pathway genes.\textsuperscript{47, 58} In a series of studies over the past few years, Tang and co-workers have demonstrated the modular nature of the oxytetracycline pathway enzymes by reconstituting the oxytetracycline minimal PKS in combination with varying tailoring enzymes in *S. coelicolor* CH999. This has led to the production of a variety of tetracycline analogues and pathway intermediates.\textsuperscript{47, 53, 59, 60}

A rational synthetic biology approach to tetracycline production would be fundamentally different than the combinatorial methods used with type I PKS pathways. Through the studies of tetracycline analogues and tetracycline-ribosomal structural data, it has been well established that the keto-enol functionalities on the ‘non-variable’ side of tetracyclines make key contacts to the ribosome and are essential for biological activity, whereas the ‘variable’ side of the molecule affords opportunities for great chemical diversity (Fig. 2b).\textsuperscript{14, 29, 30, 61, 62} Accordingly, different combinations of the oxytetracycline biosynthetic enzymes (or engineered versions with enhanced substrate promiscuity) can be used to develop analogues that contain chemically modifiable functional groups on the ‘variable’ side. This could provide opportunities to introduce new chemical moieties that do not interfere with ribosomal binding, but do disrupt molecular interactions between tetracyclines and efflux proteins (the main mode of clinical resistance), because of an altered molecular shape.\textsuperscript{14, 63}

**Synthetic Biology: Tools of the Trade**

As with any ambitious engineering project, we are often at the mercy of the diversity and quality of tools at our disposal or a lack thereof. However, the great advances made in synthetic biology over the past decade have provided us with powerful tools to rewire natural networks. The development of recombineering technology based on the $\lambda$-Red system over a decade ago laid the foundation for the simple and efficient swapping of genetic material in a seamless fashion.\textsuperscript{64, 65} By simply expressing *exo*, *bet*, and *gam* genes from the bacteriophage $\lambda$-Red recombination system, one can efficiently induce the recombination of homologous DNA elements between chromosomal/plasmid DNA and transformed linear DNA containing as little as 30–50 bp of homology.\textsuperscript{64, 66} Furthermore, recombineering can also be performed with single stranded DNA oligonucleotides as short as 30 bp to provide a highly efficient method by which one can mutagenize genomic DNA.\textsuperscript{67} Recombineering has revolutionized genetic engineering and is commonly used to modify natural product biosynthetic pathways.
Additional advances in DNA synthesis technologies and DNA assembly techniques (Gibson, Biobricks, BglBricks, Golden Gate shuffling, Circular Polymerase Extension Cloning) have provided facile solutions for the genetic manipulation of large secondary metabolic gene clusters. Natural product biosynthesis requires the coordinated action of many enzymes and subsequent to DNA assembly, the expression of individual genes must be tuned to achieve maximum efficiency. The genomic evolution MAGE (Multiplex Automated Genome Engineering) technology is a powerful method to achieve this. By using 90 bp oligonucleotides, one can simultaneously and rapidly target multiple short DNA elements for directed evolution. In a demonstration of the efficiency of MAGE for biosynthetic pathway tuning, Wang et al. optimized lycopene production in E. coli by evolving 24 genes, where 20 ribosome binding sites were tuned and 4 genes were inactivated. This resulted in a variant that produced 5-fold more lycopene than the parental strain. Recently, Carr et al. have improved on this platform by developing co-selection MAGE, where a selectable marker (turned off) is placed within 500 kb of sites targeted for evolution. By turning on the selectable marker while simultaneously evolving multiple genomic targets, sequence diversity can introduced more efficiently. This approach is highly relevant to the tuning of PKS gene clusters, since individual operons are located in close proximity and antibiotic resistance genes (selectable marker) are generally present within each respective biosynthetic cluster.

Additionally, protein engineering and directed evolution for tuning of polypeptide sequences are well-established arts that have been around for decades. Techniques such as rational protein modifications based on structure-activity relationships can be used to engineer enzymes with altered substrate specificities. Notably, by modifying two active site residues of the serine protease subtilisin, the Wells groups were able to produce the derivative subtiligase that catalyzes peptide bond formation rather than hydrolysis. More combinatorial sequence optimization approaches, display technologies such as phage, bacterial, yeast, ribosome, or DNA display can be used, among which phage display is most widely utilized. Recently, Liu and co-workers further improved the efficiency of phage display by developing phage-assisted continuous evolution (PACE), where directed evolution can be accomplished in a continuous and automated process with minimum researcher intervention. Furthermore, at the post-translational level proteins can also be ligated together much like DNA, to develop the \textquoteleft;conveyor belt\textquotefrigh structures of PKS enzymes or to co-localize the many different tailoring enzymes, especially within heterologous hosts. Intein trans-splicing can be used to seamlessly link two polypeptide strands, although the reactions are dependent on the redox status of the environment and can produce undesired side products. Recently, Zakari et al. developed short peptide tags (isopeptag and SpyTag) that can be used to covalently and irreversibly link polypeptide strands via spontaneous isopeptide bond formation to highly specific protein partners. Importantly, the peptide-protein pairs are genetically encodable and can therefore be used to rapidly and spontaneously link proteins both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. This technology creates \textquoteleft;protein building blocks\textquotefrigh to forge peptide linkages in both linear and branched configurations to develop novel protein architectures. Consequently, the synthetic biological tools at both the DNA and protein levels are available to not only modify natural secondary metabolic pathways, but also to engineer completely synthetic ones as well.

**Antimicrobial peptides**

Peptides represent the other major class of biopolymers that serve as scaffolds for antimicrobials. Peptide antimicrobials can be separated into two major classes: nonribosomal peptides (NRPs) and antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). Similar to polyketides, NRPs are small molecule antibiotics that are produced by environmental microbes using both proteinogenic and nonproteinogenic amino acids. Alternatively, AMPs are produced...
by multi-cellular organisms as part of their immune systems using the standard 20 amino acids.\(^8^9\) Both classes of peptide antibiotics are assembled through pathways that are suitable for engineering.

### Nonribosomal Peptides

Nonribosomal peptides comprise some of the most clinically important drugs including β-lactams, glycopeptides, and lipopeptides.\(^9^0\) These natural products are most commonly produced by nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs), which are the molecular assembly lines that fabricate peptide antibiotics using both natural and non-natural amino acid building blocks.\(^8^8\) The biochemistry of NRPS pathways have been studied in great detail and are reviewed elsewhere.\(^9^1–9^3\) Briefly, the general mechanism of NRPS assembly closely resembles that of the type I PKS machinery, where large multi-domain complexes catalyze the sequential addition of amino acids in to the growing peptide chain.\(^9^4\) For example, the heptapeptide core of the glycopeptide antibiotic chloroeremomycin is produced by three large enzymatic complexes containing a total of 7 modules, each responsible for the incorporation of 1 amino acid. Subsequently, tailoring enzymes modify the peptide scaffold via methylation, oxidative cross-linking, and glycosylation reactions.\(^9^1, 9^5\) Since the mechanism of NRPS and type I PKS assembly is similar, the same general synthetic biology principles can be applied to modify NRPS complexes to expand chemical diversity. Accordingly, the modularization of NRPS pathways will only be briefly discussed.

Interestingly, there exist natural PKS-NRPS hybrid biosynthetic pathways that demonstrate the compatibility of the two natural product assembly machineries. To illustrate, the antibiotic bacilamide is produced by a 2.5 MDa PKS-NRPS hybrid complex (13 PKS modules and 3 NRPS modules) in *Bacillus subtilis* that is encoded on an ±80 kb biosynthetic cluster.\(^9^6\) Consequently, both polyketides and amino acids can be used as building blocks by the same protein machinery thereby allowing for a new category of chemicals that can be engineered for antibiotic development. Intriguingly, the PKS-NRPS hybrid complexes in the bacterium further associate and co-localize adjacent to the cell membrane to produce ‘biosynthetic factories’ that are 10–100 MDa in size.\(^9^7\) This unusual arrangement of biosynthetic enzymes can allow for the engineering of cells that can redirect energy resources for antibiotic production by rewiring natural pathways, thereby significantly reducing the cost of drug development.

Since NRPS systems use natural and unnatural amino acids as building blocks that are then linked by stable peptide bonds, one can sample a more diverse chemical space than PKS systems that use a few simple acyl-CoA subunits that result in unstable intermediates during assembly (Fig. 3). Therefore, it is possible to rationally engineer NRPS complexes by substituting modules that have specificity for different amino acids to impart desirable features in to peptide antibiotics. When structure-activity relationships are available, a rational engineering approach can often be more informative and fruitful in the development of bioactive compounds than a randomized combinatorial library, which can often be limited by the quality of the utilized high-throughput biological screens.

To illustrate, Baltz and co-workers were able to expand the antibacterial spectrum of a lipopeptide by producing rationally engineered hybrid NRPSs.\(^9^8\) The cyclic lipopeptide antibiotic daptomycin has bactericidal activity against Gram-positive bacteria, and is used to treat complicated skin infections and infections caused by multidrug resistant organisms.\(^9^9\) Although daptomycin is active against *Streptococcus pneumonia* with a minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 0.06 \(\mu g/mL\), it cannot be used to treat pneumonia infections because it is sequestered by pulmonary surfactant.\(^9^8, 1^0^0\) Based on previous knowledge of amino acid substitutions that overcome surfactant sequestration within the similarly structured lipopeptide antibiotic A54145, Baltz and co-workers developed hybrid A54145 NRPSs by...
substituting modules from the daptomycin NRPS. In doing so, the authors were able to produce 16 A54145 derivatives that had up to 6 amino acid modifications on the tridecapeptide backbone. One of these derivatives had a 32-fold lower MIC than daptomycin in the presence of 1% surfactant, and showed improved antibacterial activity relative to daptomycin in a S. pneumoniae lung infection mouse model.

Further modularization of NRP assembly can also be performed at the stage of tailoring enzymes that decorate the peptide scaffolds with various functional groups. For example, the methyltransferase MtfA from the chloroeremomycin biosynthetic cluster, a glycopeptide antibiotic with a vancomycin peptide scaffold produced by Amycolatopsis orientalis, can be used to methylate desulfo-A47934, a glycopeptide antibiotic with a teicoplanin peptide scaffold produced by Streptomyces toyocaensis. Methylation of the glycopeptide by MtfA could be performed both in vitro and in vivo. Such modifications not only increase the chemical diversity of glycopeptides that may be used to combat resistance mechanisms, but they can also allow for the masking or unmasking of functional groups that could be used by medicinal chemists to explore novel chemical architectures.

**Antimicrobial Peptides**

Multicellular organisms from the plant and animal kingdoms also possess small peptide-based antimicrobial defense mechanisms. These defense peptides, aptly named antimicrobial peptides, are far less recognized than the traditional small molecule antibiotics that are routinely used in the clinic. Nevertheless, their utility as natural antimicrobials amongst such diverse forms of life and their amenability for synthetic biology opens up new avenues for antibiotic discovery.

The biological activity of AMPs can derive from direct killing of pathogenic bacteria or indirect induction of killing by modulating the innate immune system. Here, we shall focus on the direct interactions between AMPs and their bacterial targets as a more conventional and clinically relevant form of therapy. The structure and physical properties of AMPs are markedly different than the aforementioned NRP antibiotics (Fig. 3). For example, whereas the well-studied glycopeptide vancomycin is composed of a rigid 7-member peptide scaffold that inhibits cell wall biosynthesis by specifically binding to a peptidoglycan intermediate, AMPs have a more generalized mode of action where they disrupt membrane integrity without targeting any specific cellular substructures. Although some AMPs are able to cross the cellular membrane and interact with cellular components, cell membrane disruption appears to be the main antimicrobial mode of action.

AMPs possess several structural and biophysical properties that allow for the specific targeting of bacterial as opposed to mammalian membranes. Generally, AMPs are short peptides containing 10–50 amino acids and are composed primarily of cationic and hydrophobic residues that provide amphiphilic characteristics. Although there is little sequence conservation amongst different peptides, they do adopt secondary α-helical and β-sheet structures that fall in to several well recognized motifs. While mammalian membranes are primary composed of neutral lipids and contain cholesterol, bacterial membranes on the other hand are mainly composed of anionic lipids and do not contain cholesterol. Therefore, AMPs are electrostatically attracted to bacterial membranes, where they integrate in to the lipid bilayer due to their amphiphilic properties and disrupt membrane integrity by forming various porous structures, or at high concentrations they can act like detergents to disintegrate membranes leading to cell death. Accordingly, large concentrations of AMPs are required to achieve antibacterial activity with MICs in the micromolar range. This is not unexpected when one considers the typical biophysical characteristics of peptides. Due to their small size and limited surface area, peptides lack
well-defined structures and have few molecular ‘handles’ to mediate strong non-covalent binding interactions, whether electrostatic or hydrophobic in nature. Thus, they can be thought of as flexible and ‘slippery’ molecules when one considers global biochemical interactions in general terms. However, it is indeed these common structural features and versatile physiochemical characteristics of AMPs that afford opportunities to re-engineer the peptides to impart more potent biological activity.

A synthetic biology approach to engineering novel AMPs is quite different than the aforementioned engineering of natural secondary metabolic pathways. Due to the small size of AMPs, common physiochemical properties, and an ambiguous membrane-disrupting mechanism, AMP re-engineering efforts are well-suited for computational modeling and peptide design. For instance, Tsai et al. used molecular dynamics simulations to rationally design improved analogs of the 13-residue AMP indolicidin. Indolicidin has broad-spectrum antimicrobial activities and although the detailed molecular mechanism of the peptide is not well understood, it is clear that interaction with and disruption of the cell membrane is key to its mode of action. However, the clinical application of indolicidin is inhibited in part due to cytotoxicity, where the peptide causes the lysis of erythrocytes, but synthetic analogs have been made with reduced cytotoxic effects. Using molecular dynamics simulations, Tsai et al. sought to better understand the molecular interactions between indolicidin and hypothetical erythrocyte/bacterial membranes. The simulations revealed that indolicidin perturbs both membranes, but by different mechanisms. Disruption of the hypothetical erythrocyte membrane was largely due to insertion of the peptide into the lipid bilayer and the formation of key hydrophobic contacts. Alternatively, disruption of the hypothetical bacterial membrane was primarily the result of adsorption of the peptide on to the surface of the lipid bilayer and the formation of important electrostatic interactions at the water-lipid interface. After identifying key residues for mediating insertion and adsorption interactions, the authors designed synthetic indolicidin analogs to enhance electrostatic interactions for improved antimicrobial activity, while weakening hydrophobic interactions to reduce hemolytic activity. This resulted in the development of an indolicidin analog (IL-K7F89) with 3 altered residues, which had a 2-fold lower MIC and a 10-fold decrease in hemolysis relative to indolicidin. This study demonstrates that although detailed mechanistic information may not be available for most AMPs, their small size and general membrane disruptive properties make them highly amenable for computational simulation to both study their molecular mechanisms and design synthetic variants with improved properties.

Further applications of computational methodology include the virtual screening of synthetic peptides for antimicrobial activity. Such an approach can significantly reduce the cost and time associated with large-scale peptide synthesis and screening efforts. Recently, artificial intelligence algorithms were used to virtually screen a 100,000 9-mer peptide library in the search for potent AMPs. Quantitative structure-activity relationships were used to analyze the antibiotic properties of peptides based on atomic scale molecular data. Subsequently, artificial neural networks were used to design models that were able to predict the antibacterial potential of the peptides. The designed algorithm was able to predict highly active AMPs with a 94% rate of accuracy. When the two most active AMPs were tested in an in vivo model of Staphylococcus aureus infection, they demonstrated superior efficacy compared to the most clinically advanced AMP.

AMPs do have some limitations that need to be addressed, namely protease degradation and acquired resistance. Protease degradation could be overcome by changing the chemical properties of the peptides, such as chemically modifying peptides to prevent protease recognition or incorporating unnatural or D-amino acids, although such modifications may affect peptide activity, stability, or toxicity. Contrary to previous beliefs, it has been
experimentally demonstrated that bacteria are able to acquire heritable resistance to AMPs. However, even though resistance may inhibit the bacterial killing activity of AMPs, it does not affect their immunomodulatory activities and there is limited cross-resistance between different AMPs.

Due to the availability of a larger subset of building blocks including both natural and unnatural amino acids, peptides provide more versatile scaffolds to engineer antibiotics when compared to polyketides. This is apparent in the structural diversity observed among the vastly different NRPs and AMPs (Fig. 3). Structural diversity can be further increased due to facile chemical synthesis techniques. For example, solid-phase peptide synthesis was recently used to construct branched chimeric AMPs, where two recognition regions were linked to a killing moiety to allow for species-specific bacterial targeting within mixed bacterial populations. Additionally, the physiochemical properties of peptides can be tuned by simple amino acid substitutions, whereas polyketide antibiotics require complex genetic engineering efforts. Therefore, synthetic biology could provide many exciting opportunities in the near future for the development of novel peptide antibiotics.

**Non-Traditional Therapeutics**

Current clinical antimicrobials are small molecules that target a handful of key cellular targets that are unique to bacteria. The revolutionary discovery of penicillin by Sir Alexander Fleming in 1929 and its rapid production and clinical application came to define what we generally regard as an antibiotic. However, before antibiotics, bacteriophages were explored as an antimicrobial therapy and even after antibiotics were widely adopted in the Western world, bacteriophage therapy continued to be used in Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union. Due to the alarming emergence of multidrug resistant bacterial pathogens over recent decades along with a diminishing antibiotic discovery pipeline, there has been a resurgence of interest in non-traditional antimicrobials, especially ones that are amenable to engineering with synthetic biology. Bacteriophage-based therapeutics face a host of challenges in being adopted for clinical therapy but there are academic and industrial groups pursuing engineering strategies to overcome these hurdles.

**Phage Engineering**

In addition to adaptive and acquired antibiotic resistance mechanisms, bacterial tolerance to certain antibiotics can also be increased as a result of extracellular barriers, such as those erected by biofilms, produced by some bacterial populations. Biofilms are microbial communities that are formed when bacteria transition from a planktonic to a surface bound state. As certain bacteria adhere to and colonize various solid surfaces, they secrete extracellular polymeric substances to produce three-dimensional structures containing fluid channels. It is well established that bacteria present in biofilms are far more tolerant of antibiotics compared to their planktonic counterparts, although the exact mechanisms of resistance are diverse.

To aid in biofilm formation, bacteria use outer-membrane appendages to promote surface attachment, colonization, and biofilm formation. Recent studies on the outer-membrane proteins of Gram-positive bacterial pathogens have further shed light on the biochemistry of Gram-positive bacterium-host interactions. Remarkably, in the human pathogen *Streptococcus pyogenes*, two autocatalyzed isopeptide bonds stabilize the major pilin subunit that is polymerized to form the mature pilus, an important outer-membrane appendage for bacterial surface attachment. This provides the pilin subunit with dramatically enhanced proteolytic and thermodynamic stability, as well as tolerance to extreme amounts of shear force. Each subunit is then covalently attached to the next
via sortase catalyzed intermolecular isopeptide bonds, and similarly adhesin proteins are also attached to the pilus to mediate host cell recognition and binding.\textsuperscript{126, 127, 131, 132} Intriguingly, the \textit{S. pyogenes} adhesin contains an internal thioester bond that is proposed to mediate covalent attachment to host cells.\textsuperscript{133} Therefore, during \textit{S. pyogenes} infection there is a linear path of covalent connectivity between the bacterium and host. Coupled to biofilm formation that can provide a physical drug barrier, it can be envisioned that to combat such infections a dual strategy would be best suited, where one can first breakdown biofilms before applying antibiotics to kill the pathogens.

Over the past decade, advances in synthetic biology methodologies and genetic engineering technologies have provided exciting opportunities to engineer biological platforms. As an extension of phage therapy, where phage are used to specifically target and kill bacteria, Lu and Collins devised a two-pronged strategy to combat biofilm-producing bacteria by engineering phage to be able to enzymatically degrade biofilms (Fig. 4).\textsuperscript{134} The cell-bound polymeric polysaccharide $\beta$-1,6-N-acetyl-$\omega$-glucosamine is an important component of the extracellular polymeric substances within \textit{E. coli} and \textit{Staphylococcus} biofilms.\textsuperscript{135} The $\beta$-hexosaminidase DspB from \textit{Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans} has been shown to hydrolyze $\beta$-1,6-N-acetyl-$\omega$-glucosamine polymers and disperse biofilms.\textsuperscript{135} Accordingly, Lu and Collins integrated the \textit{dspB} gene in to the genome of T7 phage along with the T3 phage gene 1.2 to extend the T7 phage host range to include F-plasmid containing \textit{E. coli}.\textsuperscript{134} The rationale was that the newly engineered T7 phage (T7\textit{DspB}) would be able to infect \textit{E. coli} within biofilms and then the phage would use the bacterial machinery to express DspB, which would get released in to the biofilm after cell lysis. This would aid in dispersal of the biofilm and improve the efficiency with which phage can spread and infect surrounding bacteria in the biofilm. As hypothesized, the engineered T7\textit{DspB} phage was approximately 100-fold more efficient at reducing bacterial biofilm cell counts than a control lacking \textit{dspB}, and resulted in $\sim$99.997\% bacterial removal.\textsuperscript{134} Biofilm degradation could be visually observed using scanning electron microscopy, and phage replication within \textit{E. coli} was not affected by the introduction of the \textit{dspB} gene. Therefore, to combat persistent bacterial infections engineered phage can be used to breakdown protective barriers constructed by bacteria, thereby making them more vulnerable to subsequent treatments.

In addition to targeting biofilms, phage can also be engineered to target key bacterial processes aside from those traditionally targeted by small molecule antibiotics. However, a major issue with phage therapy is the emergence of bacterial resistance, which can arise through a variety of mechanisms including blocking of phage DNA entry, restriction-modification of phage DNA, interference with phage adsorption onto bacterial cell surfaces, or abortive infection systems.\textsuperscript{118, 136} To address these issues, Lu and Collins sought to engineer phage that targeted nonessential bacterial gene networks (Fig. 4).\textsuperscript{137} Such phage would serve dual roles – since nonessential genes are targeted, reduced evolutionary pressure should be imposed on the bacteria leading to reduced emergence of phage resistance, and targeting nonessential gene networks should weaken bacteria and make them more susceptible to subsequent antibiotic therapy. To develop phage as adjuvants for antibiotic therapy, the authors integrated an inducible \textit{lexA3} gene in to the genome of the filamentous M13mp18 phage to create $\phi$\textit{lexA3}.\textsuperscript{137} It has been shown that bactericidal antibiotics induce the production of reactive hydroxyl radicals, leading to DNA damage and subsequent activation of the DNA repair SOS response system, which is in turn inhibited by \textit{LexA3}.\textsuperscript{138, 139} Therefore, expression of \textit{LexA3} in bacteria would disrupt the DNA repair mechanism and enhance the effects of bactericidal antibiotics. Indeed, when $\phi$\textit{lexA3} was used in combination with quinolone, aminoglycoside, or $\beta$-lactam antibiotics it enhanced cell killing by several orders of magnitude compared to the antibiotic alone or the antibiotic with an unmodified phage. Furthermore, $\phi$\textit{lexA3} also enhanced the efficacy of a quinolone antibiotic against antibiotic resistant bacteria within a mouse model of \textit{E. coli} infection.
thereby demonstrating its potential for clinical applications. To illustrate the generality of developing phage adjuvants for antibiotic therapy, the authors also successfully targeting gene networks involved in oxidative stress response, biofilm formation, and outer membrane permeability where in each case enhanced efficacy was observed with combination therapy.

Phage can also be used to reverse bacterial resistance. Streptomycin resistance in bacteria can arise as a result of mutations in the \textit{rpsL} gene, which encodes a protein in the 30S ribosomal subunit. However, wild-type \textit{rpsL} has a dominant sensitive phenotype and when introduced to resistance bacteria, it can restore the antibiotic sensitive phenotype. Edgar et al. have engineered a lysogenic phage $\lambda$ to contain 1–2 copies of a sequence optimized \textit{rpsL} gene that can reverse bacterial resistance similar to the wild-type \textit{rpsL} gene, while minimizing recombination between the phage and bacterial copies of the \textit{rpsL} gene due to different codon usage. Also, rather than using another antibiotic selection marker for phage maintenance, the authors used resistance to the toxic compound tellurite to maintain phage in bacteria. Interestingly, the engineered phage were able to dramatically increase the sensitivity of bacteria to streptomycin, reducing the MIC of a resistant strain from 200 $\mu$g/mL to 1.56 $\mu$g/mL. The authors further demonstrated this approach by engineering phage that can reverse resistance to a quinolone antibiotic.

The ability of engineered phage to disrupt bacterial biofilms and gene networks can be used to increase the susceptibility of bacteria to antibiotics. In order to combat the emergence of widespread antibiotic resistance, we need to not only discover new antibiotics but also to find ways to revitalize old antibiotics that have been rendered ineffective due to bacterial resistance. Similar to how clavulamic acid and penicillins have enjoyed great clinical success as combination therapeutics, phage may one day be a useful adjuvant to traditional antibiotics.

**Other Non-Traditional Therapeutics**

Phage have been evolutionarily optimized to efficiently kill bacteria. As such, they can serve as a source for novel antimicrobial products. In addition to engineering phage as adjuvants to antibacterial therapy, we can also explore how phage kill their bacterial hosts and exploit this processes to develop new therapeutics. During a typical bacterial phage infection, bacteria are only killed when they are lysed by phage enzymes. Thus, the enzymes responsible for mediating this process, namely phage lysins, can serve as new sources of antimicrobial agents (Fig. 4). This expands the molecular toolbox of antimicrobial agents to include enzymes as well as polyketides, peptides, and phage.

The modular nature of phage lysins makes them amenable for synthetic biology applications. Lysins are composed of two domains, a catalytic N-terminal domain that is responsible for degrading cell wall components, and a cell-binding C-terminal domain that mediates species-specific cell wall binding. When different phage lysins are compared, high sequence homology is observed in the catalytic domain and little sequence conservation is seen in the cell-binding domain, thereby allowing for specificity of host bacterial cell walls. This provides opportunities to mix-and-match domains and engineer lysins with enhanced functionalities. For example, the Fischetti group developed a chimeric lysin by fusing the catalytic and cell-binding domains of two different staphylococcal lysins. The rationale was to utilize a cell-binding domain that did not have homology to SH3b domains that bind peptide cross-bridges for which resistance is easily acquired. Also, a catalytic domain was sought that was both soluble and highly active. The authors engineered over 15 chimeric lysins and were able to isolate ClyS, a lysin that was both specific for \textit{Staphylococci} and could be purified as a soluble protein. Not only was ClyS active against both antibiotic sensitive and resistant \textit{Streptococci}, but it also acted synergistically with other small molecule antibiotics. Moreover, ClyS was able to protect
mice in a systemic staphylococcal infection model and could be formulated within an ointment for topical skin application. Further engineering of lysin proteins may enhance the limited host range of the antimicrobial enzymes and increase the repertoire of available antimicrobials.

Pyocins are another class of bacterial antimicrobial agents that are produced by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, although they structurally resemble phage tails. R-type pyocins are composed of a hollow sheath core and a tail fiber region that specifically bind to the surfaces of target cells. Subsequently, the hollow sheath core inserts into the bacterial inner and outer membranes through the cell wall, resulting in cell death via cytoplasmic membrane depolarization due to leakage of intracellular ions. Although pyocins have a limited antimicrobial spectrum, they can be engineered to extend their target bacterial range. To illustrate, Scholl et al. engineered a R-type pyocin from *P. aeruginosa* to contain tail fiber fusion proteins that incorporated tail spikes from the *E. coli* O157 specific phage $\varphi$V10. The $\varphi$V10 tail spike proteins mediate recognition of target *E. coli* O157 strains and degradation of the surface polysaccharide structures. Interestingly, the hybrid pyocin was able to kill *E. coli* O157 strains and do so by degrading the surface polysaccharide structures. As a demonstration of the utility of this technology for food preservation, the hybrid pyocin was also able to significantly reduce the colonization of beef surfaces by *E. coli* O157.

Alternatively, bacteria can be engineered to detect and kill cells using pyocins. For instance, *E. coli* can be engineered to contain a quorum-sensing module that is able to detect a chemical signal from *P. aeruginosa*, and in response express a pyocin and a lytic protein to allow for pyocin release. When co-cultured with *P. aeruginosa*, the engineered *E. coli* is able to reduce the viability of the pathogen by over 99%.

Another interesting class of non-traditional antimicrobials is bacteriocins, which are short ribosomally synthesized peptides that are produced by bacteria. Similar to AMPs, bacteriocins function by permeabilizing membranes via pore formation leading to efflux of intracellular ions and small molecules. Bacteriocins are encoded within gene clusters that also encode other proteins responsible for post-translational modifications, self-immunity, and protein transport. Diverse peptide structures are observed within the various classes of bacteriocins, including both linear and cyclical peptides that adopt stable secondary structures. These peptides have been extensively used as food preservatives due to their potent antimicrobial activity as well as resistance to proteases, heat, and acidic environments. Based on the similarity of bacteriocins to the other peptide antimicrobials discussed earlier, many of the same synthetic biology principles can be used to engineer new functionalities in to these molecules.

**Conclusions**

Over the past century, antibiotics have revolutionized science and medicine. Antibiotics have become essential components in our daily lives whether in the fight against infectious disease or use in basic scientific research, agriculture, and industry applications. Their elegance is in their simplicity – when they work, they are highly effective and curative. Unfortunately, their Achilles heel – resistance – has led to the dramatic emergence of bacteria that cannot be treated effectively with current therapies.

Antibiotics and resistance go hand-in-hand in Nature – the organisms that produce antibiotics must also produce self-defense mechanisms against their activity. In fact, a recent study of ancient resistance determinants taken from ice cores from the Canadian arctic demonstrates the presence of environmental antibiotic resistance genes that date back 30,000
Therefore, antibiotic resistance is certainly not a new concept but is one that has risen to the forefront of medicine due to extensive use in the environment and in the clinic. Throughout evolutionary history, bacteria have existed within environments containing hotbeds of antimicrobial agents and resistance genes that come not only from other antibiotic-producing bacteria but from other fungi and plants as well. Accordingly, resistance has evolved and been passed on to other organisms through simple genetic exchange. Therefore, in the quest for antibiotic discovery, one must be wary of various antimicrobial resistance mechanisms and find new ways to overcome them. That being said, we must also accept that most therapeutics, whether for infectious disease or other ailments, have a limited window of opportunity for effectiveness and that we must always remain a step ahead of resistance with new technologies.

Biology is based on the assemblage of a small number of simple building blocks that together form highly complex and sophisticated structures. Scientific advances over the past several decades have afforded us a unique opportunity to not only sit on the sidelines and observe how biology functions, but to step in and modify natural systems and instill new functionality. At the heart of this scientific-engineering interdisciplinary approach lies synthetic biology. By breaking down biology in to small interchangeable biological components, synthetic biology can reassemble them in imaginative ways to sample new chemical space that cannot be reached by current biological systems or chemical approaches. Here, we provided examples where synthetic biology can be used to construct new antimicrobial agents by building upon natural systems. By swapping biological assembly modules in secondary metabolic pathways, we can create entirely new chimeric chemical entities. Alternatively, we can use computational approaches to create small focused 'smart' libraries of antimicrobial peptides and significantly reduce the costs associated with drug discovery. We can re-engineer phage therapeutics and leverage phage-derived products to create programmable therapeutics that extend our notions of traditional antibiotics. Antibiotic discovery has been defined by periods of great ingenuity that have culminated in the golden era of antibiotic discovery and medicinal chemistry, where most of our current arsenal of antimicrobials originated. A new interdisciplinary approach is required to tackle the challenges that we face today in regards to antimicrobial discovery. By exploiting our enhanced ability to program biology and remodel natural systems, we are poised to potentially usher in a new era of antimicrobial discovery in the early 21st century.
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Fig. 1.
Antibiotic discovery. a) *Streptomyces rimosus*, a soil-dwelling Gram-positive bacteria that produces oxytetracycline, which is visible as a yellow halo around bacterial colonies (right). b) An overview of the approaches utilized for antibiotic discovery.
Fig. 2.
PKS assembly of natural products. a) Type I PKS assembly. Erythromycin is produced 3 mega-enzyme complexes (DEBS-1, DEBS-2, and DEBS-3) that constitute 7 individual domains (blue brackets). Subsequent to the assembly of the aglycone 6-DEB, tailoring enzymes incorporate hydroxyl and sugar moieties to produce erythromycin.\textsuperscript{31, 88} b) Type II PKS assembly. The oxytetracycline biosynthetic cluster is composed of 21 genes.\textsuperscript{47} The minimal PKS assembles the polyketide scaffold, which is then highly modified by tailoring enzymes to produce oxytetracycline. The 'variable' side of tetracyclines represents regions in the molecule where modifications can be made to increase chemical diversity, whereas the 'non-variable' side constitutes functional moieties required for biological activity and hence modifications are not tolerated.
Fig. 3.
Structural diversity of peptide antibiotics. The large number of natural and unnatural amino acids provides great opportunities to develop antimicrobial agents with diverse structural architectures. Indolicidin (PDB 1G89), β-defensin-1 (PDB 1IJV), and plectasin (PDB 1ZFU) were visualized with PyMOL.
Fig. 4.
Non-traditional phage antimicrobials. Phage can be engineered to express enzymes that disperse biofilms (blue) or as adjuvants that disrupt bacterial cellular regulatory networks and sensitize cells for further antibiotic treatment. Alternatively, phage lysin can be applied extracellularly to degrade cell walls. PlyC (PBD 4F88) is used to depict phage lysins, visualized with PyMOL.