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Intracellular delivery of materials is a challenge in research and therapeutic applications. Physical methods of plasma membrane disruption have recently emerged as an approach to facilitate the delivery of a variety of macromolecules to a range of cell types. We use the microfluidic CellSqueeze delivery platform to examine the kinetics of plasma membrane recovery after disruption and its dependence on the calcium content of the surrounding buffer (recovery time \( \approx 5 \) min without calcium vs. \( \approx 30 \) s with calcium). Moreover, we illustrate that manipulation of the membrane repair kinetics can yield up to \( 5\times \) improvement in delivery efficiency without significantly impacting cell viability. Membrane repair characteristics initially observed in HeLa cells are shown to translate to primary naive murine T cells. Subsequent manipulation of membrane repair kinetics also enables the delivery of larger materials, such as antibodies, to these difficult to manipulate cells. This work provides insight into the membrane repair process in response to mechanical delivery and could potentially enable the development of improved delivery methods.

Insight, innovation, integration

Physical approaches to intracellular delivery could potentially overcome many of the challenges associated with vector-based and chemical delivery methods. However, the mechanism of plasma membrane disruption and recovery in physical methods is poorly understood. We describe a new generation of intracellular delivery devices that are used to characterize the process of membrane disruption/repair. Calcium is identified as an important factor that mediates membrane repair thus providing insight into parameters that may govern the performance of other physical approaches, such as ultrasound, electroporation, fluid shear, and nanowire-based methods. This work could potentially enable the design of more effective delivery systems and thus help overcome some of the challenges of intracellular delivery in both research and clinical applications.

Introduction

Intracellular delivery of macromolecules is a challenge in research and clinical applications. Various methods have been developed to address this issue however each approach has particular advantages and disadvantages. Nanoparticle1,2 and liposome3 based approaches, for example, have demonstrated some efficacy in delivering materials to established cell lines, but they often struggle to translate to primary cells, especially stem cells4 and immune cells,5 and can suffer from off-target effects. Moreover, the techniques often rely on inefficient endosome escape mechanisms that can lose \( \approx 70\% \) of the delivered material.6,7 Viral vectors can be effective for some gene delivery applications; however, they tend to be limited to nucleic acid delivery and raise safety concerns in clinical applications.8,9 Alternatively, cell penetrating peptides can be effective for some protein delivery applications although they also suffer from the same endosome escape problems as nanoparticle-based approaches.10,11

Physical approaches to delivery are potentially more robust and could overcome some of the challenges associated with vector-based and chemical methods.12 Electroporation,13,14 for example, has demonstrated efficacy in previously challenging primary cells. Sonoporation has also begun to show promise in some applications.15 Because these methods are based on physical disruption of the plasma membrane, they provide direct access to the cell cytoplasm. In contrast, the aforementioned chemical and vector-based techniques typically rely on
less efficient endosome escape mechanisms that hinder their ability to translate to different materials and cell types.

The recently described CellSqueeze microfluidic platform has emerged as a robust physical approach to intracellular delivery. Mechanically inducing disruption of the plasma membrane enables passive diffusion of the target material into the cell cytoplasm. This approach obviates the need for exogenous materials or fields to facilitate delivery and has demonstrated its efficacy in cell reprogramming (10–100 × improved efficiency) and single-molecule imaging applications. However, the mechanism of membrane disruption and repair is poorly understood.

In this work, we develop improved versions of the platform and studied membrane repair in response to cell squeezing. Specifically, we conduct experiments to elucidate the potential dependence of the repair process on calcium. Other membrane repair studies have indicated that calcium signaling is an important component in repairing large membrane disruptions, while it does not necessarily participate in the closure of smaller pores (<0.2 μm). Thus, analysis of the calcium dependence of the repair process would potentially support the membrane disruption/repair delivery hypothesis (versus endocytosis for example), provide some insight into the size range of the disruptions, and help guide the development of more effective delivery methods.

Experimental

Cell culture

HeLa cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen, USA) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma, USA). One day before delivery, 3 × 10⁶ cells were seeded into a 75 cm² tissue culture flask. Before the experiment, cells were detached from the tissue culture flask: old medium was removed; the cells were washed with 5 mL of PBS (Invitrogen, USA), incubated with 5 mL of 0.5% trypsin/EDTA (Invitrogen, USA) at 37 °C for 10 min, and neutralized with 10 mL of fresh medium. The resulting cell suspension was split equally into as many tubes as there were experimental conditions. The tubes were spun at 800 g for 5 min, the supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was resuspended in a buffer of interest to yield a final cell concentration of 3 × 10⁶ cells per mL.

Delivery procedure

Delivery experiments were conducted as described previously. Briefly, the suspension of cells and delivery material was passed through the device at the desired pressure. Treated cells (60–80 μL per sample) were collected in a 96-well plate and allowed to recover for >5 min at room temperature. Fresh cell culture medium was then added to cells prior to further processing or overnight culture. The microfluidic devices used in these experiments were obtained from SQZ Biotechnologies, USA.

Device comparison

Cell suspensions were prepared in PBS with the following material concentrations: 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ 3 kDa cascade blue dextran, 0.1 mg mL⁻¹ 70 kDa fluorescein labeled dextran, and 0.05 mg mL⁻¹ APC labeled IgG1 isotype control antibody. The cells were passed through the device at three different pressures (30, 50, and 70 psi) using four different device types (10-7, 10-6, 50-6, 10-6×5). Treated cells were incubated for ~5 min post-delivery to ensure appropriate membrane recovery. FACS analysis was performed the same day. Three controls were used: untreated cells not exposed to the dye solution, untreated cells exposed to the dye solution, and cells treated at 30 psi without exposure to the dye solution.

Buffer comparison

Cell suspensions were prepared in three buffers: PBS, DMEM, and a third buffer (1.8 mM CaCl₂ in PBS, 1.8 mM EDTA in PBS, 2.7 mM NaCl in PBS, or medium). Delivery materials were added at the concentrations indicated previously. The cells were passed through the device at four different pressures (30, 50, 70, and 100 psi). FACS analysis was performed the next day. Two controls were used: untreated cells not exposed to the dye solution and untreated cells exposed to the dye solution.

Calcium dosage

Cell suspensions were prepared in four buffers: PBS, 1.8 mM CaCl₂ in PBS, 0.9 mM CaCl₂ in PBS, and 0.18 mM CaCl₂ in PBS. Delivery materials were added at the concentrations indicated previously. The cells were passed through the device at four different pressures (30, 50, 70, and 100 psi). FACS analysis was performed the next day. Two controls were used: untreated cells not exposed to the dye solution and untreated cells exposed to the dye solution.

Membrane recovery kinetics

The cell suspension was prepared in a single buffer (PBS, DMEM, or 1.8 mM CaCl₂ in PBS) in the absence of any delivery material. For a zero time point, the delivery material was added to yield the final dye concentration and immediately treated by the device at 70 psi. For subsequent time points, the cell suspension alone was passed through the device at 70 psi, and the dye solution was added at set time points (15 s, 30 s, 45 s, 1 min, 1.5 min, 2 min, 3 min, 5 min, and 10 min) to yield the same final dye concentrations indicated previously. Treated cells were incubated with the dyes for >5 min post-exposure. In experiments with HeLa cells, FACS analysis was performed the next day, whereas in experiments with naive murine T cells FACS analysis was performed the same day (due to the challenge of culturing primary naive T cells). Three controls were used: untreated cells not exposed to the dye solution, untreated cells exposed to the dye solution, and cells treated at 70 psi not exposed to the dye solution.

FACS sample preparation and analysis

Samples were prepared in a 96-well v-bottom plate and washed with 150 μL of PBS per well. The cells were suspended in FACS
buffer (3% FBS, 1% pluronics, 1% PI in DPBS, 100 μL per well) prior to analysis.

Samples were processed on a FACS Canto or LSR Fortessa analyzer (Becton-Dickinson, USA). Results were analyzed using FACS Diva (Becton-Dickinson, USA) and FlowJo software (Treestar, USA).

T cell isolation

Spleens were harvested from male C57BL6 mice (Jackson Labs, USA) at 6–12 weeks of age. Spleens were mechanically disrupted by grinding using a pair of serrated tweezers to yield a cell suspension of splenocytes. The suspension was passed through a 40 μm filter to eliminate any aggregates, and red blood cell lysis was performed according to instructions (Cat no. 00-4333-57, eBioscience, USA). After lysis, cells were concentrated to 2 × 10^6 cells per mL. T cells were isolated using the EasySep T cell isolation kit with the manufacturer’s protocol (Cat no. 19851, StemCell Technologies, USA). The separation process is a negative selection, thus the isolated T cells did not have any magnetic particles bound to them. Purified T cells were then suspended in the desired buffer for device treatment.

Results and discussion

Performance characterization

As described previously, the CellSqueeze platform relies on rapid mechanical deformation of a cell as it passes through a microfluidic constriction to induce membrane disruption.\textsuperscript{19} Although current manifestations of the system require each cell to be treated individually by the constriction, parallelization of the approach has enabled us to operate the system with high throughputs (50 000–500 000 cells per second). This parallelization strategy can lead to heterogeneity in treatment across channels as the fluid flow profile through different channels could be affected by their relative positions. As the cell speed has been shown to be an important parameter in determining the delivery efficiency and cell viability,\textsuperscript{19} differences in cell speed across channels can potentially lead to significant heterogeneity in the treated cell population. To address this concern, we developed a new generation of devices designed to increase throughput and treatment homogeneity. The device design, described in Fig. 1a and b, divides the cell flow in two stages and is symmetrical. Compared to the previously described system, these devices have higher throughput (~6× at a given operating pressure), can accommodate cell flow in either direction, and provide more homogenous treatment (Fig. S1 and Table S1, ESI†). The measured cell recovery rates for these device designs were over 90% (Fig. S2, ESI†).

Having reduced process variability due to differential flow speeds, we conducted characterization studies of the new designs using HeLa cells as a model. In these studies, we designed devices with different constriction lengths, widths and number of constrictions in series. The design nomenclature is defined as (constriction length) – (constriction width) × (number of constrictions in series). Fluorescently labeled dextran polymers and isotype control antibodies were used to assess the delivery efficiency for different sized target molecules (Fig. 2). For a given constriction width, increasing channel length or number of constrictions in series increases the delivery efficiency and reduces the cell viability. Increasing operating pressure (or cell speed) also increased the delivery efficiency across designs (Fig. S3 and S4, ESI†). The 10-6×5 design appears to be harsher than the 50-6 design (i.e. providing higher delivery and lower viability), suggesting that placing multiple 10 μm length constrictions in series is more effective for membrane disruption than generating a single constriction of equivalent total length. In comparison to the previously reported design scheme,\textsuperscript{19} the devices described herein also exhibited a more uniform distribution of delivery material (Fig. 2), suggesting that heterogeneity amongst the treated population may have been partially mitigated by this approach.

Calcium dependent delivery

To better understand the process of membrane recovery post-treatment, we designed experiments to characterize recovery kinetics and its dependence on buffer composition, specifically...
calcium content. Previous studies have reported that the closure of physically generated membrane disruptions could be governed by thermodynamic rearrangement of the cell membrane or by calcium mediated signaling to active membrane recovery processes.\(^{21}\) These studies also indicate that holes greater than \(~200\) nm in size are primarily addressed through active recovery mechanisms while those below that range are primarily addressed through thermodynamic rearrangement. We conducted experiments in buffers with and without calcium to gauge the dependence of delivery on the presence of the ion.

The results in Fig. 3 demonstrate that cells treated by a 50-6 device in PBS receive more dextran than those treated in PBS with 1.8 mM calcium (physiological calcium concentration is 1–2 mM\(^{24,25}\)). A similar difference is observed when comparing full culture media and DMEM to PBS, indicating that their calcium content is likely the major determinant of the observed delivery behaviour (Fig. S5, ESI\(^{†}\)). Further studies indicated that the aforementioned calcium response is dose dependent (Fig. 3c) and cannot be recreated by the addition of an alternative cation, such as sodium (Fig. S7, ESI\(^{†}\)). These results are consistent with previous reports of calcium mediated membrane repair.\(^{21,26}\)

Membrane recovery kinetics

To confirm that the observed calcium effects are correlated to membrane repair behaviour we examined the rate of repair in response to membrane disruption in different buffers. The Cellsqueeze platform was suitable for these studies as the treatment duration for a given sample is 0.5–10 s, thereby allowing for follow-up soon after membrane disruption. In these experiments, cells were initially treated by the device in the absence of dextran and subsequently exposed to dextran at defined time points. The intensity of dextran fluorescence in cells at a given time point should correlate to the extent of membrane disruption that persisted since the initial treatment. These data demonstrate that cells treated in the presence of calcium appear to seal their membranes within 15–30 s, whereas those treated in PBS alone are not fully closed even \(3\) min after treatment (Fig. 4a and b). This delay in membrane repair could account for the difference in delivery efficiency described earlier (Fig. 3) and was observed in both the 10-6 and 50-6 designs (Fig. 4c).

These data suggest that membrane recovery post-treatment is an active, calcium-mediated, process. Indeed, further studies with
cells treated at refrigerated temperatures (i.e. on ice) indicated that membrane repair may be retarded relative to treatment under ambient conditions (Fig. S9, ESI†). Although changes in temperature can influence many cell properties, low temperatures do reduce cell activity and thus these results would be consistent with the active membrane recovery hypothesis.

To examine the applicability of these findings to primary cells, we conducted experiments in naïve T cells derived from the lymph nodes and spleen of C57BL6 mice. These experiments demonstrate that a similar calcium (Fig. 5a and b, Fig. S10, ESI†) and temperature dependence (Fig. S11, ESI†) is observed in primary naïve T cells. This insight into membrane recovery enabled us to develop protocols that facilitate the delivery of antibodies to primary naïve T cells with up to 54% efficiency, while maintaining 56% viability (Fig. 5c and Fig. S12, ESI†).

Conclusions

In this work, we describe a new generation of intracellular delivery devices that provide higher throughput (~6×) and more uniform treatment (Fig. 1, Fig. S1 and Table S1, ESI†). These devices are used to characterize the process of membrane disruption/repair and its dependence on constriction geometry, flow rate, and buffer composition. Calcium is identified as an important parameter that mediates membrane repair thus indicating that the disruptions generated by this technique are potentially over 200 nm in size (Fig. 3). Characterization of the kinetics of delivery and membrane repair is of value to the field as it provides insight into parameters that may govern the performance of other physical approaches to intracellular delivery, such as ultrasound, fluid shear, and nanowire-based methods. Indeed these data indicate that the calcium composition of the buffer (Fig. 3), temperature (Fig. S9 and S11, ESI†), and incubation time post-treatment (Fig. 4) are important determinants of treatment efficacy.

The gained insight into membrane repair kinetics enabled us to evolve our technique to potentially address the challenge of protein delivery to T cells (Fig. 5). Moreover, the consistency of the observed phenomena across HeLa cells and naïve T cells, coupled with the reported ubiquity of the calcium-mediated membrane repair mechanism, would suggest that the aforementioned parameters are potentially relevant to a broad range of cell types.
Our work highlights the importance of membrane recovery and how careful manipulation of delivery conditions can improve performance without sacrificing cell viability. The described experiments also underscore the potential utility of this approach in studying membrane repair kinetics and its associated pathways, such as vesicular exocytosis, to better understand repair mechanisms in healthy and diseased cells. Future work with this platform could help elucidate the potential role of other ions and proteins, such as magnesium and calmodulin, through siRNA gene knockdown, antibody-based pathway inhibition, and buffer composition studies. A deeper understanding of the membrane repair process could potentially enable the design of more effective delivery methods and thus help overcome some of the challenges of intracellular delivery in both research and clinical applications.
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