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The α-proteobacterium Sinorhizobium meliloti establishes a chronic intracellular infection during the symbiosis with its legume hosts. Within specialized host cells, S. meliloti differentiates into highly polyploid, enlarged nitrogen-fixing bacteroids. This differentiation is driven by host cells through the production of defensin-like peptides called “nodule-specific cysteine-rich” (NCR) peptides. Recent research has shown that synthesized NCR peptides exhibit antimicrobial activity at high concentrations but cause bacterial endoreduplication at sublethal concentrations. We leveraged synchronized S. meliloti populations to determine how treatment with a sublethal NCR peptide affects the cell cycle and physiology of bacteria at the molecular level. We found that at sublethal levels a representative NCR peptide specifically blocks cell division and antagonizes Z-ring function. Gene-expression profiling revealed that the cell division block was produced, in part, through the substantial transcriptional response elicited by sublethal NCR treatment that affected ∼15% of the genome. Expression of critical cell-cycle regulators, including ctrA, and cell division genes, including genes required for Z-ring function, were greatly attenuated in NCR-treated cells. In addition, our experiments identified important symbiosis functions and stress responses that are induced by sublethal levels of NCR peptides and other antimicrobial peptides. Several of these stress-response pathways also are found in related α-proteobacterial pathogens and might be used by S. meliloti to sense host cues during infection. Our data suggest a model in which, in addition to provoking stress responses, NCR peptides target intracellular regulatory pathways to drive S. meliloti endoreduplication and differentiation during symbiosis.

Significance

Sinorhizobium meliloti and its legume hosts establish a symbiosis in which bacterial fixed nitrogen is exchanged for plant carbon compounds. We study this symbiosis because it is agriculturally and ecologically important and to identify mechanisms used in host-microbe interactions. S. meliloti is internalized in specialized host nodule cells that then use small, cysteine-rich peptides to drive their differentiation into polyploid cells that fix nitrogen. We found that a representative host peptide blocks cell division, in part by eliciting significant changes in the expression of genes that regulate the cell cycle and mediate cell division. We also found that the peptide activated pathways conserved in related pathogens. Our study provides insights into how host peptides cause differentiation of S. meliloti during symbiosis.
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and prevents the establishment of a functional symbiosis. Evidence suggests that the antimicrobial activity of NCR peptides is caused, at least in part, by the disruption of bacterial lipid membrane integrity and increased cell permeabilization (4, 9, 11).

The relationship between the effects of sublethal and lethal doses of NCR peptides remains unclear. Surprisingly, in addition to increasing in size and ploidy, viable S. meliloti cells treated with a sublethal dose of NCR peptides readily take up stains that typically indicate membrane permeabilization and cellular death (4, 9). This paradoxical observation strongly suggests that at sublethal levels NCR peptides cause significant but nonlethal stress on treated bacteria. Physiological responses mounted by S. meliloti in response to sublethal levels of NCR peptides could be important in symbiosis.

In this study, we took advantage of our recent insights into the control of the S. meliloti cell cycle (10) to explore how S. meliloti responds to sublethal levels of an NCR peptide at the molecular level. We used the cationic NCR247 peptide for this study because its biochemical properties are well understood and its biological activities are similar to the activities exhibited by other cationic NCR peptides (4, 9, 11). We discovered that a sublethal level of NCR247 specifically blocks cell division and antagonizes Z-ring function, in part by eliciting a transcriptional response in which expression of master cell-cycle regulators and critical cell-division genes is greatly attenuated. We also identified important symbiotic genes that are induced by NCR247-mediated stress and AMP-activated signaling pathways that are conserved in related pathogenic α-proteobacteria. Our data suggest a model in which, in addition to provoking stress responses, NCR peptides target intracellular regulatory pathways and processes to cause endoreduplication and differentiation of S. meliloti during symbiosis.

Results

NCR247 Peptide Specifically and Robustly Blocks Cell Division in S. meliloti. Like most α-proteobacteria, S. meliloti initiates DNA replication once during the cell cycle so that under normal conditions cells only carry one or two copies of the genome (15). In principle, NCR peptides could cause polyploidy in S. meliloti by either of two general mechanisms. In one mechanism that is reminiscent of Escherichia coli and Bacillus subtilis multifork DNA replication (16), NCR-peptide treatment could induce multiple rounds of DNA replication initiation during a single S phase and thus cause extra copies of the genome to accumulate. An alternative mechanism is that NCR-peptide treatment could block cell division but allow the cell to skip the division (M) phase of the cell cycle so that DNA accumulates at the usual rate of replication over a series of cell cycles.

To test for the first possibility, we used an inducible FtsZ-GFP translational fusion protein (21) to visualize the Z-ring in cells in synchronous cultures at the G2 phase. In nontreated synchronized cultures, ~45% of cells exhibited a Z-ring labeled with FtsZ-GFP. The modest frequency of cells with a fluorescent Z-ring likely results from the short period of FtsZ-GFP induction and the low concentration of the inducer used in this experiment.

To differentiate between these possible mechanisms, we tested how a sublethal dose of the NCR247 peptide affects the cell cycle of S. meliloti. We generated synchronized cultures arrested in G1 phase of the cell cycle as described in ref. 10 and resuspended these cells in modified minimal medium that supports synchronized growth and NCR247 peptide activity (Fig. 1A). After a period of incubation, arrested S. meliloti cells initiated DNA replication synchronously and progressed through the cell cycle in unison. By 120 min, replication was completed, and cells had entered the predivisional or G2 phase. After 180 min, most cells in the synchronized culture had divided to yield two daughter cells (Fig. 1A).

Treatment of synchronized S. meliloti cultures at the G1 phase with a sublethal concentration of the cationic NCR247 peptide did not alter the initiation or progression of DNA replication (Fig. 1A and B). However, we found that NCR247 treatment robustly blocked cell division (Fig. 1A). Although morphological signs of septation were observed in nontreated G2-phase cells, we observed little to no evidence of septation in cells treated with NCR247 (Fig. S1 A and B). This block in cell division was reversible, because plating of serial dilutions to assess relative survival after peptide treatment revealed no loss of viability (Fig. 1B). The response of S. meliloti to NCR247 treatment was highly specific, because sublethal levels of other well-studied cationic antimicrobial peptides (LL-37 and polymyxin B) arrested the cell cycle immediately upon treatment so that the cells remained in G1 (Fig. 1C). Thus, NCR247 is unique in its action in that it specifically and robustly blocks S. meliloti cell division.

NCR247-Peptide Treatment Disrupts Z-Ring Function and Leads to Down-Regulation of Master Cell-Cycle Regulators gcrA and ctrA. Research from other systems suggests two general possibilities for how NCR247-peptide treatment could block cell division. One possibility is that NCR247 simply inhibits the formation, stability, or function of the Z-ring or other components of the cell-division machinery, as found for other small proteins that regulate bacterial cell division (17, 18). A second possibility is that NCR247-peptide treatment blocks cell division by eliciting responses that disrupt cell-cycle regulatory pathways, as has been found to occur in Caulobacter crescentus cells in response to certain stresses (19, 20).

To test for the first possibility, we used an inducible FtsZ-GFP labeled Z-ring in synchronized cultures at the G2 phase. In nontreated synchronized cultures, ~45% of cells exhibited a Z-ring labeled with FtsZ-GFP. The modest frequency of cells with a fluorescent Z-ring likely results from the short period of FtsZ-GFP induction and the low concentration of the inducer used in this experiment.
We found that the frequency of cells with a labeled Z-ring was significantly lower in synchronized cultures treated with a sublethal level of NCR247 than in nontreated cultures (Fig. 1D and Fig. S1C). Instead of FtsZ-GFP being localized in the Z-ring at the midcell, NCR247-treated cells mostly exhibited diffuse localization of FtsZ-GFP (Fig. S1C). These observations indicate that Z-ring formation or stability is disrupted in synchronized NCR247-treated cells.

To test the second possibility, that sublethal NCR247 treatment perturbs cell-cycle regulation, we measured the expression level of the master cell-cycle–regulator genes dnaA, gcrA, and ctaA in synchronized, NCR247-treated S. meliloti cultures. Each of these regulators controls a distinct step in the C. crescentus cell cycle; DnaA initiates DNA replication and activates gcrA expression, GcrA promotes cell-cycle progression and activates ctaA expression, and CtrA regulates cell division (22). Strikingly, we found that the expression level of dnaA, gcrA, and ctaA was significantly perturbed by NCR247 treatment as compared with nontreated cultures (Fig. 2). The effect on dnaA and ctaA expression was particularly pronounced. In nontreated cultures, dnaA expression was maintained at a constant level during the cell cycle, and ctaA expression rose sharply during the early replication phase and reached maximum levels in predivisional cells (Fig. 2). In NCR247-treated cultures, dnaA expression levels dropped to approximately half the normal level, and the cell-cycle–dependent increase in ctaA expression was attenuated significantly (Fig. 2). Thus, NCR247 treatment of S. meliloti significantly disrupts the normal expression pattern of master cell-cycle regulators.

**NCR247 Perturbs Expression of Cell-Cycle–Regulated Genes Involved in Motility, Cell Division, and Cell-Cycle Regulation.** We hypothesized that the changes in gene expression during the cell cycle in NCR247-treated cells contributed significantly to the block in cell division for two reasons. First, NCR247-peptide treatment affected the expression of cell-cycle regulators early in the S phase, well before the establishment of a Z-ring at the midcell in the G2 phase. Second, low levels of ctaA expression in C. crescentus can cause defects in cell division (23, 24).

We tested our hypothesis by using microarrays to profile and compare cell-cycle gene expression during synchronous growth of nontreated cultures or cultures treated with a sublethal dose of NCR247 (Fig. 3A). Surprisingly, we found that expression of 902 genes, ~15% of the genome, was significantly altered by NCR247 treatment (a twofold or greater change, \(P \leq 0.01\)) (Fig. 3A and Dataset S1). Of the differentially regulated genes, 45% were up-regulated, and 55% were down-regulated in NCR247-treated cells. The frequency of differentially expressed genes increased progressively during the treatment of synchronized cultures, with the greatest number being observed at 90 and 120 min (between mid-S and G2 phases of the cell cycle) after NCR247 treatment (Fig. 3A and Fig. S2). To verify the microarray data, we used quantitative PCR to compare the expression levels of eight genes in control and NCR247-treated cultures and found similar gene-expression patterns as determined by the microarray experiments (Fig. S3).

![Fig. 2. Treatment with NCR247 peptide disrupts the expression of master cell-cycle regulators. Shown is the fold change in dnaA, gcrA, and ctaA expression in nontreated and NCR247-treated synchronized cultures relative to time 0. Expression of dnaA, gcrA, and ctaA is relative to smc00128. Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval (CI).](image)

![Fig. 3. Massive transcriptional response to the NCR247 peptide by S. meliloti contributes to the block in cell division. (A) Hierarchical clustered expression profiles for 902 genes in nontreated and NCR247-treated cultures during synchronous growth. Shown are log₂ expression levels relative to time 0 for each gene. The scale for expression level is located at the figure bottom. (B) Profiles of the log₂ expression level for 462 cell-cycle–regulated genes in nontreated and NCR247-treated cultures during synchronous growth at the indicated times. (C) Profiles of the log₂ expression level for 64 genes putatively regulated by CtrA in nontreated and NCR247-treated cultures during synchronous growth at the indicated times.](image)
De Nisco et al. (10) identified 462 genes whose expression is significantly regulated during the *S. meliloti* cell cycle. Remarkably, we found that a sublethal dose of NCR247 significantly altered the expression of 217 of these genes, nearly half of the cell-cycle–regulated genes (Fig. 3B and Dataset S1). Most of the genes affected in NCR247-treated cultures were down-regulated in the replication and predivisional phases (60–120 min), a period when these genes normally increase sharply in expression and reach maximal levels in the cell cycle (Fig. 3B; compare non-treated and NCR247-treated heat maps). Genes required for cell polarity (*podJ1*, cell division (*fks1*, *fks2*, *fis1*, *divC*, and *xerC*) and cell-cycle regulation (*ccrM*, *divK*, *divJ*, *pdeD*, and *xclP*) were significantly down-regulated in NCR247-treated cells (Dataset S1). In *C. crescentus*, the bifunctional FtsK protein and FtsL transpeptidase are required for cell division (25, 26), and FtsK also is required for Z-ring stabilization at the midcell (25). If FtsK function is conserved in *S. meliloti*, the down-regulation of *fks1* and *fks2* might contribute to the low abundance of cells with a Z-ring in NCR247-treated cultures. These data clearly demonstrate that treatment with the NCR247 peptide causes a significant change in cell-cycle–regulated transcription in *S. meliloti*.

In *S. meliloti*, CtrA is predicted to control directly 64 cell-cycle–regulated genes involved in motility, cell division, and cell-cycle regulation (10). Because *ctra* expression was attenuated in NCR247-treated cultures, we investigated the predicted Ctra regulon in NCR247-treated cultures. We found that NCR247 treatment affected the expression of most of the predicted Ctra-regulated genes (~77%), nearly all of which were down-regulated in the replication and predivisional phase (Fig. 3C and Dataset S1). Thus, the expression profile of the predicted Ctra regulon in NCR247-treated cultures is consistent with the low *ctra* expression levels at these cell-cycle phases (Fig. 2). Within the predicted Ctra regulon are genes encoding important signaling proteins (*divJ* and *pdeD*), cell polarity proteins (*podJ1*), and transcriptional regulators, including the transcription factor *rpoH1* that likely is involved in activating most flagellar biosynthesis and chemotaxis genes during the cell cycle (10). Thus, transcriptional down-regulation of *ctra* in response to NCR247 treatment contributes significantly to the expression profile of cell-cycle–regulated genes. Collectively, these data strongly support the hypothesis that the transcriptional response elicited by treatment with NCR247 peptide greatly contributes to the block in cell division.

**NCR247-Peptide Treatment Affects the Expression of Genes and Functions Important in Symbiosis.** In addition to affecting cell-cycle–regulated gene expression, sublethal levels of NCR247 altered the expression of symbiotically important functions, including chemotaxis and motility and biosynthesis of exopolysaccharide and cyclic glucan (Dataset S1). These changes in expression likely represent physiological adaptations by *S. meliloti* to stress produced by sublethal levels of NCR247. To gain a better understanding of these physiological adaptations, we examined the profile of differentially expressed genes in NCR247-treated cultures with the profiles from cultures grown under heat, acid, osmotic, iron, carbon, phosphate, and nitrogen stress (Table S1 and Dataset S1). Significant overlap was found between the genes differentially expressed in peptide-treated cells and in most other stresses. These overlapping genes likely constitute a general stress response activated by sublethal doses of NCR247 (Dataset S1). This analysis also identified 384 *S. meliloti* genes whose expression is altered specifically by a sublethal dose of NCR247 (Dataset S1). Repressed genes in this list include many hypothetical genes, nitrate metabolism genes (*napA* and *napD–F*), and nitric oxide metabolism genes (*norC–E*). Genes specifically induced by NCR247 include genes involved in glycerol metabolism (*glnA1*, *glnC*, and *glnX*); potassium transport (*kdPA–C*); global stress responses (*fcs1* and *rpoH1*); and hypothetical genes. The effect on *rpoH1* expression is particularly notable, because RpoH1 is required for bacteroid differentiation and survival in host legumes that produce cationic NCR peptides (27, 28). RpoH1 regulates a suite of genes during heat and pH stress (29, 30), and we found that a subset of RpoH1-dependent genes was induced in NCR247-treated cells (Dataset S1).

**NCR247 and Other Cationic Peptides Activate Three Regulons Important in Host–Microbe Interactions.** Hierarchical clustering of the differentially expressed genes revealed a subset of 153 genes that was strongly induced within 30 min of peptide treatment (Fig. 3A and Dataset S1, clusters 6 and 7). Nearly two-thirds of these genes are known or predicted to code for proteins localized in the membrane or periplasm (Dataset S1). We discovered that many of these genes fell into three defined regulons controlled by ExoS-ChvI, FeuP-FeuQ, and RirA (Fig. 4A) (31–33). ExoS-ChvI and FeuP-FeuQ are two-component systems that control exopolysaccharide and cyclic glucan production, respectively, in addition to other genes. RirA is a transcriptional repressor that regulates iron acquisition and metabolism genes. ExoS-ChvI and FeuP-FeuQ signaling is required for *S. meliloti* symbiosis (32, 34), and work on related pathogenic α-proteobacteria has determined that all three regulators have critical functions during host infection (35–37).

The immediate and strong induction of the ExoS-ChvI, FeuP-FeuQ, and RirA regulons suggested that these regulators in *S. meliloti* control primary physiological responses to cationic peptide exposure. To test this possibility, we assayed the expression of direct targets of ExoS-ChvI (smc01381), FeuP-FeuQ (smc03900), and RirA (smc01747) in *S. meliloti* cells treated with a sublethal concentration of NCR247 and other cationic peptides (LL-37, melittin, or polymyxin B). We found that treatment of *S. meliloti* with NCR247 or any other tested cationic peptides significantly and similarly induced the expression of ExoS-ChvI and FeuP-FeuQ target genes (Fig. 4B and C). Treatment of *S. meliloti* with sublethal levels of NCR247 and LL-37 also induced the RirA target gene (Fig. 4D). The similar effects of NCR247 and other cationic peptides on gene expression were in sharp contrast to the different effects they had on the *S. meliloti* cell cycle (Fig. 1C). Thus, sublethal levels of cationic peptides activate ExoS-ChvI–, FeuP-FeuQ–, and RirA-regulated genes in *S. meliloti*.

**Discussion**

Our findings provide important mechanistic insights into how NCR peptides exert their crucial symbiotic role. After *S. meliloti* has penetrated into the nodules it elicited on its legume host and has been released into the plant cytoplasm inside host membrane compartments, NCR peptides drive the intracellular bacteria to differentiate terminally into bacteroids. Differentiation into bacteroids is a process essential for symbiosis that includes endoreduplication, cellular enlargement, and membrane changes. We found that the cationic peptide NCR247 robustly blocks *S. meliloti* cell division without affecting DNA replication initiation or S-phase progression. Cells treated with NCR247 peptide failed to construct a Z-ring at the midcell and exhibited little or no morphological signs of septation. Moreover, through gene-expression profiling, we found that sublethal levels of the NCR247 peptide cause a massive remodeling of the bacterial transcriptome that includes highly significant perturbations of the expression of master cell-cycle regulators and many cell-cycle–regulated genes. The timing and direction of these changes in gene expression and the fact that many genes critical for cell division were affected indicate that this transcriptional response elicited by treatment with the NCR247 peptide contributes significantly to the blocking of cell division. Our data suggest that during symbiosis NCR peptides drive intracellular *S. meliloti* to endoreduplicate and enlarge, at least in part by altering cell-cycle gene expression to block cell division.

Progression through the *S. meliloti* cell cycle involves sequential changes in gene expression (transcription), a pattern that is caused by the action of master cell-cycle regulators (10). The altered cell-cycle gene-expression profile in NCR247-treated cultures likely was caused by the perturbed expression of *dnaA*, *gcnA*, and *cteA*. The NCR247-mediated effect on *cteA* expression and its known role in cell division are consistent with the model in which NCR247 alters...
cell-cycle gene expression to cause endoreduplication. However, at face value, the effect of NCR247 treatment on dnaA expression does not conform to this model because DnaA likely is needed for the repeated rounds of DNA replication initiation during endoreduplication in vivo. In C. crescentus, DnaA activity is regulated at both the transcriptional and posttranscriptional level (22). Thus, it is possible that changes to posttranscriptional regulatory pathways that affect DnaA levels or activity in NCR247-treated cells could compensate for lower dnaA transcript levels (see below).

How sublethal levels of NCR247 affect the expression of master cell-cycle regulators is unclear. Recent research suggests that the regulatory mechanisms acting on ctrA are conserved between C. crescentus and S. meliloti (10, 38, 39). In C. crescentus and S. meliloti, ctrA expression is regulated by two distinct promoter sequences (38, 40). During the C. crescentus cell cycle, GcrA initially binds one promoter to activate ctrA transcription, and phosphorylated CtrA binds the other promoter to up-regulate ctrA expression further (41). The attenuated pattern of ctrA expression in NCR247-treated S. meliloti cells during the cell cycle could be caused by reduced GcrA activity or by defects in the CtrA autor-regulation pathway. As in C. crescentus, the transcriptional regulatory activity of S. meliloti CtrA is thought to be dependent on phosphorylation and a phosphoregulatory pathway (42). In addition, S. meliloti CtrA likely is regulated proteolytically, as it is in C. crescentus (43). Investigating how sublethal levels of NCR247 affect master cell-cycle regulators will provide insights into the biological activities of NCR peptides and the regulatory mechanisms controlling the cell cycle during symbiosis.

S. meliloti cells treated with a sublethal dose of cationic NCR peptides exhibit alterations in membrane permeability (4, 9), suggesting that treated bacteria experience significant, nonlethal stress. The global transcriptional remodeling we observed in NCR247-treated cells strongly supports this idea. Notably, many of the genes induced immediately after sublethal NCR247 treatment code for membrane or periplasmic proteins. These proteins regulate the transport of ions, minerals, siderophores, and polysaccharides, suggesting that the increased regulation of levels of these ions and molecules is important during cellular adaptation to NCR247 peptide-mediated stress. Interestingly, we also observed that treatment of S. meliloti with a sublethal dose of NCR247 activated the expression of rpoH1 and several RpoH1-regulated genes, similar to the effects seen when S. meliloti cells were treated with a lethal dose of NCR247 (11). These data suggest that, even at sublethal levels, NCR247 peptide treatment activates a cytoplasmic stress response in S. meliloti cells. This finding is particularly intriguing because it could explain why S. meliloti rpoH1 mutants die soon after being internalized within plant cells that express NCR peptides (27, 28). Collectively, our data strongly suggest that cationic NCR peptides exert their effects by targeting the S. meliloti cell envelope, periplasm, and cytoplasm and suggest mechanisms through which S. meliloti adapts to NCR peptide-mediated stress in vitro and during symbiosis.

The very rapid activation of the ExoS-ChvI and FeuP-FeuQ regulons in response to sublethal NCR247 treatment is particularly interesting because numerous bacterial pathogens use two-component systems to sense AMP activity. These two-component systems are comprised of a membrane-embedded histidine kinase sensor (ExoS and FeuP) and a cytoplasmic response regulator (ChvI and FeuQ) (32, 33). Both ExoS-ChvI and FeuP-FeuQ are critical for S. meliloti symbiosis. Bacteria with mutations that severely perturb ExoS-ChvI or FeuP-FeuQ signaling produce insufficient levels of exopolysaccharides or cyclic glucans, respectively, and fail to stimulate infection thread growth properly (32, 44). Genetic studies on these signaling systems also indicate that they have additional important functions in later stages of the symbiosis (32, 45, 46). In pathogenic bacterial species, two-component systems have evolved to sense AMP activity directly, along with other signals, and activation of these systems leads to changes in gene expression that increase AMP resistance (47). Whether the S. meliloti ExoS-ChvI and FeuP-FeuQ also sense AMPs directly and regulate important AMP-resistance functions is not yet known, but the ortholog of ExoS-ChvI in the animal pathogen Brucella abortus is required for AMP resistance (37). An intriguing possibility suggested by our results is that ExoS-ChvI and FeuP-FeuQ may use nodule cationic AMPs as signals to induce functions such as exopolysaccharides and cyclic glucans that are needed for infection. Further research is needed to investigate the link between cationic AMPs and these signaling systems.

Nodules of Medicago plants express hundreds of NCR peptides that vary in length, charge, number of cysteine residues, and spatiotemporal expression (4, 5, 7, 8, 48). It has been suggested that this chemical and structural diversity impacts peptide activity, as found in other families of small disulfide-linked peptides that exhibit rich chemical and structural diversity (4, 49). Indeed, experiments on modified versions of the NCR247 peptide indicated that the presence and order of disulfide bonds between cysteine residues significantly influences peptide antimicrobial activity (50). It has been proposed that NCR peptides (i) alter the S. meliloti cell cycle and metabolism to achieve a more efficient symbiosis (4), (ii) sanction non-nitrogen-fixing rhizobia (4), (iii) kill senescent bacteroids so that their nutrients can be absorbed by the plant (4, 48), and (iv) serve as signals to the plant (48). In addition, the cytotoxicity of NCR peptides could help ensure that other bacterial species are unable to survive inside the nodule (12). Nodules also produce other small non-NCR peptides, including antimicrobial peptides that are part of the innate immune system (51) and a small family of glycine-rich peptides that also exhibit chemical and structural diversity (5). Our study establishes a platform for further interrogation of the biological activities of nodule peptides.
Methods
Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions. All experiments were done on syn-
chronized cultures of strain Sm1021 (10). Treatment and culture conditions are described in SI Methods. The time of treatment was designated time 0.

Gene-Expression Profiling and Heatmap Generation. RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative PCR are described in SI Methods. The microarray and labeling procedures are as described in ref. 10. One only channel was used for hybridization. Three biological replicates were hybridized for each time point, except for the 15-min time point, which was represented by two biological replicates. Microarray data normalization and analysis are described in SI Methods. Heatmaps were generated as described in SI Methods.

Hierarchical clustering was used to identify clusters of differentially ex-
pressed genes that have similar expression profiles. Gene-expression profiles in S. meliloti cells exposed to NCR247 and other stresses were compared as described in SI Methods.
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