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Fig. 3. Generalized Changshingian to Anisian carbonate carbon isotopic composition from South China. Bed thickness and number, carbonate carbon isotopic composition, weighted mean 238U/230U dates, and extinction interval (gray) within Fig. 1, Inset from this study and Cao et al. (11). Remainder of carbonate carbon isotopic composition from Payne et al. (52) and geochronology from Galfetti et al. (54). Permian and Triassic conodont zones from Ogg et al. (63). Stage/substage names are global standard chronostratigraphic units used by the International Commission on Stratigraphy.
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The end-Permian mass extinction was the most severe loss of marine and terrestrial biota in the last 542 My. Understanding its cause and the controls on extinction/recovery dynamics depends on an accurate and precise age model. U-Pb zircon dates for five volcanic ash beds from the Global Stratotype Section and Point for the Permian-Triassic boundary at Meishan, China, define an age model for the extinction and allow exploration of the links between global environmental perturbation, carbon cycle disruption, mass extinction, and recovery at millennial timescales. The extinction occurred between 251.941 ± 0.037 and 251.889 ± 0.031 Mya, an interval of 60 ± 48 ka. Onset of a major reorganization of the carbon cycle immediately precedes the initiation of extinction and is punctuated by a sharp (3%), short-lived negative spike in the isotopic composition of carbonate carbon. Carbon cycle volatility persists for ~500 ka before a return to near preextinction values. Decamillenial to millennial level resolution of the mass extinction and its aftermath will permit a refined evaluation of the relative roles of rate-dependent processes contributing to the extinction, allowing insight into post-extinction ecosystem expansion, and establish an accurate time point for evaluating the plausibility of trigger and kill mechanisms.

Significance

Mass extinctions have long garnered attention, as they are characterized by fundamental restructuring of marine and terrestrial ecosystems and reflect complex feedbacks between environmental change, extinction, and recovery. However, it is only rarely that environmental perturbation leads to global extinction. Proposed drivers of mass extinctions range from asteroid impact to flood basalt volcanism, which are thought to trigger kill mechanisms ranging from global ocean anoxia to high atmospheric pCO\textsubscript{2} to high ocean and atmosphere temperatures, for example (1). Although the geologic record is replete with occurrences of all of these, very few lead to mass extinctions. Studying the temporal details of mass extinctions is crucial for understanding how they are triggered and may allow isolation and identification of processes that are associated with a characteristic timescale. These processes in turn may be relevant to current biological and climate change and the timescales of feedbacks between environmental change and extinction.

The last two decades have seen a great deal of interest in the largest Phanerozoic extinction, the end-Permian biotic crisis, and an increased understanding of the patterns and timing of extinction and recovery, the synchronous and rapid perturbation of the global ocean-atmosphere system, and plausible trigger(s) and kill mechanisms (1–6). Attempts to reconcile the patterns and rates of extinction in marine and terrestrial environments has led to some agreement on the nature of severe environmental changes, including increased atmospheric pCO\textsubscript{2} and acidification of the oceans, as well as widespread euxinic/anoxic conditions and a sharp spike in sea surface temperature (4, 7–12), and inferentially, kill mechanism(s).

Since 1998, four major U-Pb geochronological studies have attempted to constrain the timing and duration of the extinction (3, 13–15). To better understand the relationship between environmental perturbation and biotic response, accurate and precise age models that integrate geochronology, paleontology, and geochemistry must be developed (8, 11, 16, 17). Recognition of astronomically forced sedimentary cycles (Milankovitch cycles) in late Permian and Triassic sedimentary rocks tuned with available geochronology have been used to refine existing age models of the biotic crisis (18–20). Published estimates of the extinction interval based on radiotopic dates range from ~1.5 Mya to approximately <200 ± 100 ka, whereas astrochronological interpretations range from ~700 ka to as little as ~10 ka (3, 14, 15, 18, 19). Most recently, Wu et al. (20) used Milankovitch cyclicity and previously published geochronology to constrain the maximum extinction interval at Meishan to 83 ka. Published geochronology is not sufficiently precise to test this estimate.

The geology, biostratigraphy, and chemostratigraphy of the Global Stratotype Section and Point (GSSP) for the Permian-Triassic boundary at Meishan, China, have been previously described in detail (3, 11, 21, 22), and the section contains volcanic ash beds interlayered with fossil-bearing carbonate rocks (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1). In this article, we use the significant progress made in U-Pb geochronology since the acquisition of the data to refine our age model, which we use to constrain the extinction interval and the feedbacks between environmental change, extinction, and recovery at millennial timescales 252 Mya. This record is critical for a better understanding of the punctuated nature and duration of the extinction, the reorganization of the carbon cycle, and a refined evaluation of potential trigger and kill mechanisms.
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Stratigraphy, geochronology, and carbonate carbon isotopic anomaly above Bed 34

Analytical uncertainty. The weighted mean calculated date in the previous study (3) is the analytical uncertainty on dated beds. Uncertainty on durations/differences is added in quadrature from 2
dates and the calculated weighted mean
date in the previous study (3). The differences in age and precision reported here reflect adoption of major improvements in the way our U-Pb isotopic data are acquired and reduced, including use of the precisely calibrated EARTHTIME Pb/U tracer solution, changes in the isotopic compositions of standards used to calibrate the tracer, new error propagation algorithms, and improved data acquisition and reduction techniques (23–25). Application of these improvements, discussed in detail in the SI Text, yields significantly improved accuracy and precision on the weighted mean and interpolated dates (Table S1 and Fig. S2). Uncertainties associated with weighted mean Pb/U dates are reported as ±x/y/z, where x is the analytical (internal) uncertainties and y and z include the systematic uncertainties associated with published in Shen et al. (3) (SI Text) and present more precise and accurate dates on zircon crystals isolated from five volcanic layers (beds 22, 25, 28, 33, and 34) that span the main extinction event, the major negative excursion and oscillation in δ13C_carb the Permian-Triassic boundary at Meishan as defined by the first appearance datum (FAD) of the conodont, Hindeodus parvus, and the earliest Triassic period (Figs. 1 and 2 and Figs. S1 and S2).

New Age Model
We rely on weighted mean Pb/U dates as the best estimate of eruption/depositional ages for these volcanic rocks. For each sample, we determined a minimum of nine dates on single grains of zircon, allowing recognition of outliers due to either incorporation of older zircon or open system behavior, such as Pb loss (Fig. 2, Table 1, and Tables S1 and S2). The individual Pb/U dates and the calculated weighted mean Pb/U dates we present for beds 22, 25, and 28 are distinctly younger (up to 0.2%) and more precise than dates on the same ash beds published in Shen et al. (3) (Table S1 and Fig. S2). We also present a weighted mean Pb/U date on bed 33, which did not yield a reliable date in the previous study (3). The differences in age and precision reported here reflect adoption of major improvements in the way our U-Pb isotopic data are acquired and reduced, including use of the precisely calibrated EARTHTIME Pb/U tracer solution, changes in the isotopic compositions of standards used to calibrate the tracer, new error propagation algorithms, and improved data acquisition and reduction techniques (23–25). Application of these improvements, discussed in detail in the SI Text, yields significantly improved accuracy and precision on the weighted mean and interpolated dates (Table S1 and Fig. S2). Uncertainties associated with weighted mean Pb/U dates are reported as ±x/y/z, where x is the analytical (internal) uncertainties and y and z include the systematic uncertainties associated with
tracer calibration (0.03%) and 238U decay constant (0.05%), respectively. If calculated dates are to be compared with other U-Pb laboratories not using the EARTHTIME tracer, then ±ε should be used for each laboratory. If compared with other chronometers such as Ar-Ar or astrochronology, then ±σ should be used.

The section at Meishan has long been recognized as being highly condensed, implying that calculated sediment accumulation rates may not accurately account for hiatuses between dated ash horizons (3). In agreement with Shen et al. (3), Jin et al. (26), and Wang et al. (27), we define the onset of extinction at the base of bed 25 and the end of the main extinction interval at bed 28. In other sections with higher accumulation rates such as Penglaitan (China) (3) and Gartnerköfle core (Swiss Alps) (28, 29), the extinction appears more abrupt; thus, our duration estimate between bed 25 and bed 28 at Meishan of 61 ± 48 ka is a maximum (Table 1, Fig. 1, and Fig. S1). This estimate is three times shorter than reported by Shen et al. (3) (Figs. S1 and S2) and is more consistent with the recent estimate derived from astrochronology of 83 ka (20).

The new geochronology permits a detailed examination of the relationships between the extinction, the isotopic composition of carbonate carbon, and its rate of change. The carbon isotope record is characterized by a negative shift in composition beginning just above the base of bed 23 (251,999 ± 0.039 Mya), 60 (−17/456) ka before the beginning of the mass extinction interval, from +3.4‰ toward the lighter values (~1‰) that characterize the earliest Triassic (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1). δ13C(carb) drops off rapidly in the upper 6 cm of bed 24e, from +2‰ to ~−4‰ (Fig. 1 and Fig. S1). In many sections that lack detailed paleontology and geochemistry, this negative excursion is used to mark the onset of the extinction interval. The negative shift and subsequent rebound has a duration of between 2.1 and 18.8 ka depending on accumulation rate, slightly predating the beginning of the maximum extinction interval. Immediately following the initial large negative excursion, carbonate carbon isotopic composition oscillates (±1%ε), until ~1 m above bed 33. Using an accumulation rate derived from interpolating between dated beds 33 and 34, this period of oscillation lasts until 251.572 ± 0.069 Mya, a duration of 427 ± 79 ka. [Uncertainty on interpolated dates is calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation, which exploits stratigraphic superposition of dated rocks (30).] Uncertainty on durations/differences is added in quadrature from δ13Cawnalyl uncertainty on dated beds. MSWD, mean square of weighted deviates.

### Table 1. 206Pb/238U weighted mean dates for Meishan ash beds, sediment accumulation rates, and calculated datum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic locations and intervals</th>
<th>Ages of ash beds and datums, accumulation rates, and statistical parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Mya, n; MSWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 22-MZ96(-4.3)</td>
<td>252.104 ± 0.060/0.28 (12; 0.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 25-MBE0203</td>
<td>251.941 ± 0.037/0.28 (16; 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 28-MBE0205</td>
<td>251.880 ± 0.031/0.28 (13; 0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 33-MD99-3u</td>
<td>251.583 ± 0.086/0.29 (9; 0.86)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 34-MS834-2</td>
<td>251.495 ± 0.064/0.29 (11.0/0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sediment accumulation rates</td>
<td>Maximum-minimum (cm/ka)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 22-25</td>
<td>2.6, 1.6–6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 25-28</td>
<td>0.36, 0.17 – unconstrained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 28-33</td>
<td>0.58, 0.34–0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed 33-34</td>
<td>6.8, 2.5 – unconstrained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated datums and durations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrupt decline in δ13C_carb in bed 24e</td>
<td>251.950 ± 0.042 Mya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAD Hindeodus parvus at GSSP, Meishan</td>
<td>251.902 ± 0.024 Mya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δ13C_carb anomaly onset above Bed 34–2</td>
<td>−251.4 Mya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extinction interval</td>
<td>0.061 ± 0.048 Mya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbonate carbon isotope excursion duration</td>
<td>2.1–18.8 ka</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Datums between dated ash beds are calculated assuming constant sediment accumulation rates. Datums not bracketed by dated beds, such as the δ13C_carb anomaly above bed 34-2, are calculated using the sediment accumulation rate derived from the interval between the two stratigraphically closest ash beds (Fig. 1). Dates calculated by assuming a constant sediment accumulation rate have unquantifiable uncertainties associated with depositional hiatuses. Thus, we apply a constant accumulation rate for datums between dated beds and indicate a maximum and minimum accumulation rate and age for datums not bracketed by dated beds. Uncertainty on interpolated dates and durations are calculated using a Monte Carlo simulation, which exploits stratigraphic superposition of dated rocks (30). Uncertainty on durations/differences is added in quadrature from δ13Cawnalyl uncertainty on dated beds. MSWD, mean square of weighted deviates.
surface temperatures are estimated to have reached ~33 °C by bed 28, coinciding with the end of the mass extinction interval, and continued to rise until at least 251.583 ± 0.086 Ma (bed 33) (9). Calcium isotopic composition (δ44/40Ca%eo bulk earth) also varies over the extinction interval, and when coupled with apparent physiological selectivity of the extinction and an absence of reef builders in the early Triassic, these data have been interpreted to support rapid acidification of the surface ocean coincident with the mass extinction (4, 12).

**Discussion**

The efficacy of many proposed kill mechanisms, such as synchronous sea surface and atmospheric temperature increase, rapid rise in pCO₂, and flooding of shelf areas with anoxic and euxinic waters, depends on rate of change and on precisely when they occur relative to the onset of extinction (9, 34, 35). For example, it is crucial to know whether the ~10 °C increase in sea surface temperature close to the extinction interval slightly precedes or postdates the onset of the mass extinction (9, 33) (Fig. S1). More detailed study of the relationship between temperature increase and extinction is needed from less condensed sections than Meishan to evaluate whether temperature leads or lags the extinction and the relationship between temperature rise and changes in the carbonate carbon isotopic record. Using the maximum extinction duration of ~60 ka, this suggests an ~1 °C increase per 6,000 y, comparable to the rate and magnitude of the increase at the Paleocene–Eocene Thermal Maximum (PETM) (36) and Pleistocene/Holocene postglacial warming (~2 °C/5 ka) (37). Tracking with temperature increase is a negative shift in δ13C(Ca), interpreted as resulting in part from acidification of the ocean over this same interval and fluctuations in δ13C(Carb), consistent with continued volatility in the carbon cycle after the Late Triassic, until 251.502 ± 0.056 Ma. The observation that the temperature increase lags the extinction and the relationship between temperature rise and extinction is needed from less condensed sections than Meishan to evaluate whether temperature leads or postdates the onset of the mass extinction (9, 33) (Fig. S1, Fig. 3). However, neither study had sufficient temporal control on the carbon isotope excursions or the age of Siberian volcanism to further evaluate this hypothesis. Here we demonstrate that upper bed 34 (mid-Griesbachian) is 251.495 ± 0.064, which predates the second negative excursion in δ13C(Carb) at Meishan by ~100 ka if the sediment accumulation rate between beds 32 and 34 is applied. The large positive oscillation in δ13C(Carb) (+6) observed by Payne et al. (51) and Meyer et al. (52) in southern China begins at about 251.22 ± 0.20 Ma (54). The efficacy of many proposed kill mechanisms, such as synchronous sea surface and atmospheric temperature increase, rapid rise in pCO₂, and flooding of shelf areas with anoxic and euxinic waters, depends on rate of change and on precisely when they occur relative to the onset of extinction (9, 34, 35). For example, it is crucial to know whether the ~10 °C increase in sea surface temperature close to the extinction interval slightly precedes or postdates the onset of the mass extinction (9, 33) (Fig. S1).

Many have proposed that the end-Permian extinction was triggered by the eruption/intrusion of the Siberian Traps Large Igneous Province, which is hypothesized to have been of short (~1–2 Ma) duration, to have occurred at approximately the same time as the extinction, and to have generated the large volume of volatiles via degassing of lavas and sediments required to drive such dramatic atmospheric and biotic response (2, 8, 42–46). The end-Permian extinction event occurred suddenly and rapidly (61 ± 48 ka) in an interval much shorter than current estimates for the total duration of Siberian Traps magmatism, suggesting that, similar to the end-Triassic extinction event, a single pulse of magmatism may be the most critical for triggering dramatic environmental change (43, 47, 48). Current U-Pb and Ar-Ar constraints on the timing and tempo of Siberian Traps magmatism are less precise by an order of magnitude than our new constraints on the extinction. With current estimates, it can only be concluded that magmatism either overlaps with or postdates the extinction (43, 47, 49). Additionally, the potential for bias between chronometers and subtle differences in calculated dates generated by single or multiple laboratories using different U-Pb data acquisition and reduction protocols currently prohibits exploring the full details of a causal relationship.

Payne and Kump (45) and Song et al. (50) hypothesized that the large volatility in the carbon cycle that dominates the interval from the beginning of the Diniaran through the Spathian is distinct from the extinction interval represented at the GSSP and likely represents new injection of light carbon and global warming–driven anoxia related to continued activity of the Siberian Traps Large Igneous Province. ~1 My after the extinction (Fig. 3). However, neither study had sufficient temporal control on the carbon isotope excursions or the age of Siberian volcanism to further evaluate this hypothesis. Here we demonstrate that upper bed 34 (mid-Griesbachian) is 251.495 ± 0.064, which predates the second negative excursion in δ13C(Carb) at Meishan by ~100 ka if the sediment accumulation rate between beds 32 and 34 is applied. The large positive oscillation in δ13C(Carb) (+6) observed by Payne et al. (51) and Meyer et al. (52) in southern China begins at about 251.22 ± 0.20 Ma (54).
the Griesbachian/Dienerian boundary and continues through the Dienerian before swinging to values of ~2‰ near the top of the Smithian (Fig. 3). Existing geochronology on the lower Smithian includes an age of 251.22 ± 0.2 (53, 54) (Fig. 3). If correct, this requires the entire Dienerian and part of the Griesbachian to have been deposited in ~300 ka. As shown here (see SI Text), use of the recalibrated EARTHTIME tracer and adoption of EARTHTIME protocols may in some cases result in dates that are 200–500 ka younger than those reported before adoption of these methods. Until dates from the Smithian are repeated using latest EARTHTIME protocols and tracer, it is probably unwise to combine/compute data. Nonetheless, we are confident that the large positive-negative oscillations that begin at the Griesbachian/Dienerian boundary are ~251 Ma or younger, based on upward extrapolation from our dated ash beds at Meishan (Fig. 3). Thus, it is clear that these anomalies are ~1 Myr or more younger than the main extinction event and separated from the volatility characterizing this interval by a relatively stable plateau of δ13C(carb) at ~2‰ from the top of the second excursion in the Griesbachian to the Griesbachian/Dienerian boundary, whereupon the reservoir rises to values of 6‰ by the close of the Dinerian (Fig. 3). Meyer et al. (55) use coupled δ13Corg and δ13Ccarb records from South China to support a causal connection between carbon isotope stabilization and enhanced biotic recovery in Middle Triassic time. Whether postextinction carbon cycle dynamics are being driven by intrinsic (biological) or extrinsic (environmental) forces before final restructuring in the early Anisian (~247 Ma) remains unclear. Integration of a calibrated carbon isotope record into the middle and late Triassic with an improved age model for the Siberian Traps will be required to evaluate this question.

When the end-Permian extinction is compared with other short-lived events such as the end-Triassic and end-Cretaceous extinctions and the PETM, we see in common, a short-lived perturbation of the carbon cycle followed by a rise in atmospheric pCO2 and temperature, evidence for ocean acidification, anoxia, and rapid extinction (10s of thousands of years) (48, 56, 57). Recovery or restructuring (+additional extinction) occurs over an ~3-Mya timeframe for the end-Triassic event and over as much as 5 Mya for the full recovery of marine fauna after the end Cretaceous, whereas full recovery from the end-Permian extinction may have taken as long as 5–10 Mya (59, 60). A key question is whether the test served sequence is related to a common trigger such as volcanism or whether it less a function of mechanism and more fundamentally related to cascades of multiple feedbacks between intrinsic and extrinsic drivers. For all extinctions, understanding the timescales of extinction, the filling and restructuring of postextinction ecospace, and full recovery in different bathymetric and geographic settings is crucial and may be the key to understanding the truly singular and irreversible nature of the end-Permian event, as well as providing better context for the next millennium. We now have the geochronological tools to explore these feedbacks at the millennial to decamillennial timescales, which will in turn encourage higher-resolution chemostratigraphy to be obtained and allow detailed evaluation of more general models for mass extinction.

Conclusion

Our age model for the end-Permian extinction provides a precise and accurate timeline for the sequence of events at the end of the Permian, including carbon cycle reorganization, the main extinction event, a dramatic increase in global sea surface and atmospheric temperatures, possible ocean acidification, and a framework for exploring the cause and effects of the environmental changes and feedbacks that led to the greatest Phanerozoic mass extinction. The extinction had a duration of 61 ± 48 ka and was preceded by the onset of a rapid reorganization of the carbon cycle, including a rapid negative spike in δ13C(carb) of 3‰ lasting between 2.1 and 18.8 ka and a global shift in δ13C(carb) from approximately +4‰ to ~1.5‰, with a duration of 427 ± 79 ka. This record represents a potentially characteristic 6°(13Ccarb) topology for the end-Permian event, which will stimulate refined comparison of other end-Triassic sections. The highly condensed nature of the Meishan section makes comparison with other sections difficult. The timing of the extinction and associated changes in environmental conditions are consistent with a very rapid biological response to environmental change followed by a complex recovery/restructuring period that took some 10 Ma for many species (38–41) and established the ecosystems that would dominate the Mesozoic. Further integration of the extinction timescale with detailed chemostratigraphic, cyclostratigraphic, and paleobiological data should allow many more insights into the dynamics and timing of extinction and restructuring. In addition, it is clear that more and higher precision geochronology from additional stratigraphic sections is needed. We predict that with further work will come the deconvolution of the end-Permian extinction into a cascade of smaller, shorter-lived extinction and recovery events, driven by differences in paleogeography, biology, and environmental degradation. The short-lived nature of the extinction, protracted nature of the recovery, and comparison with other extinction events suggests that environmental conditions preceding the largest of the Phanerozoic mass extinctions must have crossed a critical threshold or “tipping point” from which the biosphere was unable to recover or adapt quickly enough to survive.

Methods

Zircon crystals were separated from bulk samples using a combination of ultrasonic disaggregation and pulverization using a shatterbox, which was followed by magnetic separation, standard heavy-liquid separation, and careful selection of crystals under a microscope. Following thermal annealing at 900 °C for 60 h, each zircon crystal was placed into a 200-μL Teflon microcapse and leached in 29 M hydrofluoric (HF) inside high-pressure Parr vessels held at 220 °C for 12 h, a procedure modified after the Chemical Abrasion partial-dissolution procedure of Mattinson (60). Grains were then transferred to 3-mL Savillex PFA beakers and rinsed with 16 M HNO3 and 6 M HCl and fluxed in the acid at 80 °C, followed by a 30-min ultrasonic bath. Between acid washes, grains were rinsed with Milli-Q water. Single zircon was loaded with clean water into teflon microcaspes and spiked with the EARTHTIME 206 Pb-205 Pb-237 U-235 U (ET2535) tracer solution and dissolved in 29 M HF at 220 °C for 48 h. On dissolution, aliquots were dried down on a hotplate and redissolved under pressure in 6 M HCl overnight at 180 °C. Sample solutions were then dried and redissolved at 80 °C in 3 N HCl. Lead and U were separated using a miniaturized HCl-based ion-exchange chromatography procedure modified from Krogh (61) with 40-μL columns of AG1 × 8 resin. Eluted U and Pb were dried down with H2PO4 and then re-dissolved in a silica gel emitter solution (62) and loaded onto a zone-refined, outgassed Re filament. Measurement of isotopic ratios was done using an isotopX ×62 multiple-collector thermal ionization mass spectrometer. Isotopes of Pb were measured by peak-hopping on a single Dalyphotonmultiplier detector system. Isotopes of U were measured as UO2 on Faraday detectors in static mode. Isotope ratios of U and Pb were corrected for mass fractionation during analysis using the ET2535 tracer solution. Data acquisition and reduction were done using the Tripoli and U-Pb Redux software packages (24, 25).
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