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SUMMARY

Under conditions of obesity and insulin resistance, the serine/threonine protein kinase Akt/PKB is required for lipid accumulation in liver. Two forkhead transcription factors, FoxA2 and FoxO1, have been suggested to function downstream of and to be negatively regulated by Akt and are proposed as key determinants of hepatic triglyceride content. In this study, we utilize genetic loss of function experiments to show that constitutive activation of neither FoxA2 nor FoxO1 can account for the protection from steatosis afforded by deletion of Akt2 in liver. Rather, another downstream target positively regulated by Akt, the mTORC1 complex, is required in vivo for de novo lipogenesis and Srebp1c expression. Nonetheless, activation of mTORC1 and SREBP1c is not sufficient to drive postprandial lipogenesis in the absence of Akt2. These data show that insulin signaling through Akt2 promotes anabolic lipid metabolism independent of Foxa2 or FoxO1 and through pathways additional to the mTORC1-dependent activation of SREBP1c.

INTRODUCTION

Insulin is the primary hormone responsible for coordinating the metabolic response to nutrient intake. Following a meal, insulin suppresses the hepatic glucose production that provides the brain substrate during fasting and directs ingested nutrients into long-term energy stores. In particular, insulin promotes triglyceride (TG) synthesis and storage utilizing dietary fatty acids and induces de novo lipogenesis in liver and adipose tissue. Much of this synchronized metabolic response is thought to be mediated by hormone-dependent reduction in the transcription of gluconeogenic genes, such as glucose-6-phosphatase, catalytic subunit (G6pc), and cytosolic phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 1 (Pck1), and activation of lipogenic gene expression via the master regulator sterol regulatory element-binding transcription factor 1c (Srebp1c) (Argaud et al., 1996; Horton et al., 2002; O’Brien et al., 2001). Thus, the transcriptional targets of the insulin signaling pathway are of considerable interest.

The serine/threonine kinase Akt (also known as protein kinase B, PKB) is now well-established as a major mediator of the metabolic actions of insulin (Whiteman et al., 2002). Akt2 is the predominant isoform in the insulin-responsive tissues like liver, muscle, and adipose tissue (Cho et al., 2001). Akt2 knockout mice are “diabetic,” whereas liver-specific disruption of the Akt2 gene has mild effects on glycemia but more dramatic effects on lipogenic gene expression and steatosis in obese, insulin-resistant mice (Cho et al., 2001; Leavens et al., 2009). The canonical nuclear target of Akt, established in worms and flies as well as vertebrates, is the transcription factor Foxhead box O (FoxO) (Gross et al., 2009). Akt phosphorylates and inhibits the transcriptional activity of FoxO at least in part by promoting its exclusion from the nucleus (Durham et al., 1999; Guo et al., 1999; Nakae et al., 1999; Rena et al., 1999; Tang et al., 1999). There are now considerable data implicating FoxO1 as an intermediate in the pathway by which insulin through Akt suppresses hepatic gluconeogenic gene expression and glucose output (Haeusler et al., 2010b; Li et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008; Matsumoto et al., 2007; Nakae et al., 2001; Puigserver et al., 2003; Qu et al., 2006).

In contrast to the consensus of opinion regarding the role of FoxO1 in the control of gluconeogenic gene expression, its contribution to regulation of hepatic lipid metabolism remains unclear. In some studies, expression of a constitutively active FoxO1 in liver induces Srebp1c expression and hepatic TG accumulation, though others have not found this response; in addition, FoxO1 inhibits TG secretion (Matsumoto et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006). In contrast, Kamagate et al. reported that FoxO1 is necessary and sufficient to promote hepatic very-low-density lipoprotein-associated TG (VLDL-TG) production and hypertriglyceridemia via its regulation of microsomal TG transfer protein (MTP), and further proposed that this pathway accounts for...
elevated serum lipids in insulin-resistant states (Kamagate et al., 2008). Loss-of-function approaches to understand the contribution of FoxO1 to lipogenic gene expression have yielded few striking results, though its ablation in streptozotocin (STZ)-induced diabetes increases serum TG and VLDL-TG secretion (Haeusler et al., 2010a). Deletion of Foxo1 in insulin receptor substrate 1 and 2 (IRS1 and IRS2) double-knockout mouse livers partially rescues the deficit in VLDL-TG secretion (Dong et al., 2008). Ablation of all three FoxO isoforms in liver leads to hepatic steatosis, potentially through regulating the expression of nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase (Nampt) and consequently NAD⁺ levels (Tao et al., 2011). Thus, there persists considerable uncertainty concerning the physiological role of FoxO1 in controlling hepatic lipid metabolism.

Another forkhead family transcription factor, FoxA2, has been implicated in the control of hepatic lipid metabolism by insulin. Like FoxO, FoxA2 is phosphorylated by Akt, thereby leading to exclusion from the nucleus and inhibition of transcriptional activity, though some investigators have found that FoxA2 resides in nuclei constitutively and does not respond to different nutritional conditions (Wolfrum et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2005). Expression of a constitutively active FoxA2 in liver of obese mice leads to a phenotype much like a deficiency of insulin signaling, i.e., increased fatty acid oxidation and TG secretion and reduced hepatic TG content (Wolfrum et al., 2004; Wolfrum and Stoffel, 2006). However, the role of FoxA2 in insulin action has been challenged; mice with liver-specific deletion of FoxA2 demonstrate impaired bile acid metabolism but no alterations in hepatic or serum TG (Bochkis et al., 2008).

It has long been known that a major target of insulin signaling in the regulation of hepatic lipid metabolism is another transcription factor, SREBP1c, a member of the basic helix-loop-helix leucine-zipper family (Ferre and Foufelle, 2010; Horton et al., 2002). Both transcription and posttranslational processing of SREBP1c to its transcriptionally active form are stimulated by insulin signaling through Akt, contributing to postprandial increases in de novo lipogenesis (Chakrabarti et al., 2010; Fleischmann and Iynedjian, 2000; Leavens et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010). SREBP1c translocates to the Golgi complex where it is proteolyzed, liberating an aminoterminal fragment that activates its own expression as well as that of a set of lipogenic enzymes including fatty acid synthase (Fasn), acetyl-Coenzyme A carboxylase (Acc), ATP citrate lyase (Acly), and glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase (Gpat) (Horton et al., 2002; Postic and Girard, 2008). Insulin’s ability to promote Srebp1c expression depends on the mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), as knockdown of an essential component of mTORC1, Raptor (regulatory-associated protein of mTOR), in multiple tissue culture cell lines significantly blunts insulin or Akt-stimulated expression of Srebp1c and its targets (Chakrabarti et al., 2010; Duvel et al., 2010; Porstmann et al., 2005, 2008).

In primary hepatocytes, rapamycin prevents the insulin-dependent induction of Srebp1c, but not the reduction in Pck1, consistent with the idea that distinct transcriptional targets mediate the effect of insulin on gluconeogenic and lipogenic gene expression (Li et al., 2010).

The goal of the experiments reported herein is to test the dependency of these three transcriptional pathways as downstream targets linking insulin signaling to control of hepatic TG content and de novo lipogenesis in mice. We have taken a genetic approach to address the epistatic relationship between Akt and its downstream candidate nuclear targets. If inhibition of FoxO1 or FoxA2 were critical to insulin signaling, then the loss in hormone action that accompanies deletion of Akt2 in liver would be reversed by concomitant deletion of the target transcription factor. If Akt2 promotes de novo lipogenesis in liver via mTORC1, both its activity and Srebp1c expression would be decreased upon deletion of Akt2 in liver. Thus, we ablated Akt2 expression in liver by tissue-specific homologous recombination alone or simultaneously with either FoxA2 or FoxO1, and analyzed lipid metabolism. Neither FoxA2 nor FoxO1 is downstream of Akt2 in the control of hepatic lipid accumulation, but rather FoxO1 reduces hepatic TG content upstream of or via a parallel pathway to Akt2. We then tested the requirement and sufficiency of activation of mTORC1 for de novo lipogenesis. We found that mTORC1 was required for Srebp1c expression and de novo lipogenesis, but, when hepatic Akt2 was deleted, activation of mTORC1 and SREBP1c was insufficient to drive de novo lipogenesis following a high-carbohydrate meal.

RESULTS

Akt2 Regulates Hepatic TG Accumulation Independent of FoxA2

It has been proposed that insulin inhibits FoxA2 via Akt-dependent phosphorylation and nuclear exclusion, controlling lipid export, fatty acid oxidation, and accumulation of TG in the liver (Wolfrum et al., 2004, 2008; Wolfrum and Stoffel, 2006). We generated mice lacking both Akt2 and FoxA2 in liver by breeding Akt2loxP/loxP;FoxA2loxP/loxP mice to AFP-Cre, which is expressed exclusively in liver (Zhang et al., 2005). As shown in Figure 1A, we achieved essentially complete deletion of Akt2 in both Akt2loxP/loxP;FoxA2loxP/loxP and Akt2loxP/loxP;FoxA2loxP/loxP mice. FoxA2 protein levels were also greatly reduced in both FoxA2 single-knockout and Akt2, FoxA2 double-knockout hepatocytes (Figure 1A). Mice of all four genotypes were submitted to glucose tolerance tests, which showed indistinguishable rates of glucose disposal (see Figure S1A available online). In addition, there were no significant changes in serum insulin or TG levels from either fed or overnight-fasted mice lacking Akt2, FoxA2, or both in liver (Figures S1B and S1C). Adipose tissue mass was the same among the mice of all four genotypes (Figure S1D).

The TG content in livers deficient in Akt2, FoxA2, or both was unchanged compared to wild-type controls when assayed in mice under chow-fed conditions (Figure 1B). Akt2 is required for accumulation of liver TG under some situations that induce hepatic steatosis, and expression of a constitutively active FoxA2 reduces hepatic TG accumulation in leptin-deficient (ob/ob) mice (Leavens et al., 2009; Wolfrum et al., 2004; Wolfrum and Stoffel, 2006). To address the question of whether endogenous FoxA2 is responsible for the reduction in hepatic TG in livers deficient in Akt2, mice lacking Akt2, FoxA2, or both in liver were fed a high-fat diet (HFD) for 3 months. Glucose tolerance tests were indistinguishable among the four genotypes (Figure S2A), as were serum insulin and TG levels under both fasting and fed conditions (Figures S2B and S2C). Loss of Akt2 in livers trended to a decrease of hepatic TG accumulation relative to wild-type controls after HFD feeding, and this was unaffected by deletion
of FoxA2 (Figure 1C). These data show that, at least under conditions of diet-induced obesity (DIO), FoxA2 activity is unlikely to be the cause of the protection from steatosis rendered by removal of Akt2 from liver. These data are also consistent with previous findings challenging the notion of FoxA2 as a target of insulin signaling (Zhang et al., 2005).

**Loss of Akt2 Suppresses Hepatic TG Levels in FoxO1-Deficient Liver**

FoxO1, a transcription factor negatively regulated by the insulin/Akt signaling pathway, promotes expression of gluconeogenic genes such as G6pc and Pck1 (Gross et al., 2009). To assess the function of FoxO1 as a downstream target of Akt2, we derived Akt2, FoxO1 and combined liver-specific double-knockout mice. Akt2 and FoxO1 protein levels were significantly reduced in Akt2 and FoxO1 single-knockout mice, respectively, and in Akt2, FoxO1 double-knockout mice (Figure 2A, left), and real-time PCR from either FoxO1 knockout livers or Akt2, FoxO1 double-knockout livers showed reduction of FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or FoxO1 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right)}. Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2 and FoxO1 knockouts showed reduced FoxO1 mRNA levels compared to either wild-type controls or Akt2 knockouts (Figure 2A, right). Under conditions of normal chow feeding, both Akt2
from wild-type controls (Figures 2B and 2C). These data are consistent with previously published results that support a model in which FoxO1 regulates glucose output and is downstream of Akt (Matsumoto et al., 2007).

After an overnight fast, AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP), AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) and AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP);FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice had hepatic TG levels indistinguishable from that in wild-type controls (Leavens et al., 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2007) (Figure 2D). Fed AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice displayed increased hepatic TG levels compared to controls and AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP);FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice, though TG secretion was unaltered (Figure 2E and Figure S4). Surprisingly, the accumulation of liver TG in the AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice was suppressed by concomitant deletion of Akt2 (Figure 2E). Though deletion of FoxO1 leads to a phenotype opposite to that of deletion of Akt2, these data are inconsistent with a model in which Akt2 regulates lipid accumulation through suppression of FoxO1 activity.

**Akt2 Regulates Hepatic TG Levels Independent of FoxO1 upon HFD Feeding**

Suppression of FoxO1 by shRNA in livers from DIO mice decreases blood glucose and insulin levels and improves insulin sensitivity as assessed by the euglycemic, hyperinsulinemic clamp (Samuel et al., 2006). To investigate the role of FoxO1 as a downstream mediator of Akt’s role in metabolism under pathological conditions, we placed the wild-type controls, AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP), AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) and AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP);FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice, on a HFD for 3 months. Glucose tolerance tests were indistinguishable in mice of all four genotypes (Figure 3A). Fasting and fed serum insulin and TG levels were also similar among these same mice (Figures 3B and 3C). However, under fed conditions, loss of hepatic Akt2 in HFD-fed mice trended to a lower TG level in liver, whereas liver TG upon ablation of FoxO1 did not differ from wild-type controls (Figure 3D). Deletion of FoxO1 concomitant with Akt2 did not reverse the protection from steatosis in AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP) mice (Figure 3D), again militating against the idea that FoxO1 regulates lipid metabolism downstream of Akt2.

**Loss of Akt2 Decreases Hepatic TG Levels in FoxO1 Knockout Mice after Aurothioglucose Treatment**

In DIO, deletion of Akt2 in liver reduces hepatic TG without altering lipogenesis, whereas in ob/ob mice protection from steatosis is accompanied by a concomitant reduction in lipogenesis and lipogenic gene expression (Leavens et al., 2009). To study the AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP);FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice under conditions that mimic ob/ob mice, we injected mice with aurothioglucose (goldthioglucose, GTG), which causes hypothalamic damage, hyperphagia, and weight gain (Brecher et al., 1965; Marshall and Mayer, 1954). As shown in Figure 4A, 8 weeks after GTG injection, mice of all genotypes displayed significantly increased body weight and hepatic TG levels compared to age-matched controls (compare Figure 4B to Figure 2E). AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP) mice trended to lower hepatic TG, while AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice had significantly elevated TG in liver (Figure 4B). Interestingly, concomitant deletion of Akt2 and FoxO1 concomitant with Akt2 did not reverse the protection from steatosis in AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP) mice (Figure 3D), again militating against the idea that FoxO1 regulates lipid metabolism downstream of Akt2.
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Wild-type mice, but this was blunted in AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP) mice, body weight also increased significantly after 6 hr refeeding in metabolism (Figures 5A and 5B). Liver weights normalized to control for hepatic Akt2 in mouse liver did not alter the glucose or insulin levels in continuous access to food (Figures 5A and 5B). Deletion of Akt2 in mouse liver did not alter the glucose or insulin levels under fed conditions in mice injected with GTG as described above, n = 6–10 for each genotype; "p < 0.05 by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post test; error bars represent SEM. Abbreviations are as indicated in Figure 2.

Figure 4. Loss of Hepatic Akt2 Decreases TG Accumulation in Liver Independent of FoxO1 after Aurothioglucose Treatment

(A) Mice with aurothioglucose (GTG) injection gained more weight than age-matched not-treated (NT) controls. Male mice were fasted overnight and then injected with 0.3 g/kg bodyweight GTG at 4–5 weeks old, and were maintained on normal chow for 8 weeks before analysis; n = 4–8 for each genotype; "p < 0.05 by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post test. (B) Hepatic TG levels under fed conditions in mice injected with GTG as described above, n = 6–10 for each group. "p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s post test; error bars represent SEM. Abbreviations are as indicated in Figure 2.

FoxO1 led to livers that accumulated TG levels comparable to those in AFP > Cre;Akt2ΔloxP/loxP mice rather than AFP > Cre; FoxO1ΔloxP/loxP mice (Figure 4B). This showed that the Akt2 mutant phenotype was dominant to that of FoxO1, which, again, did not support Akt2 as upstream of FoxO1, but rather suggested that Akt2 regulates hepatic TG levels downstream of or in parallel to FoxO1.

Akt2 Is Required for Postprandial De Novo Lipogenesis

In order to address the pathways downstream of Akt2 that mediate lipid homeostasis, we sought to establish a model more physiological than hypothalamic obesity that would test the dependency of hepatic lipogenesis on Akt2. To accomplish this, mice were fasted overnight and then refeed a lipogenic high-carbohydrate diet (HCD). As expected, blood glucose and serum insulin levels increased by 1.5 hr after HCD refeeding and remained high through the 12 hr animals were allowed continuous access to food (Figures 5A and 5B). Deletion of Akt2 in mouse liver did not alter the glucose or insulin levels compared to wild-type controls, indicating the minimal requirement for hepatic Akt2 in control of postprandial carbohydrate metabolism (Figures 5A and 5B). Liver weights normalized to body weight also increased significantly after 6 hr refeeding in wild-type mice, but this was blunted in AFP > Cre;Akt2ΔloxP/loxP mice (Figure 5C). To evaluate the rate of de novo lipogenesis under these conditions, we injected D2O at the third hour after feeding and analyzed the incorporation of D2O into liver palmitate 3 hr later, i.e., 6 hr after feeding. During the labeling period, de novo lipogenesis increased about 5-fold compared to that during fasting, and this effect was blunted in the livers lacking Akt2 (Figure 5D). These data point to a discrepancy between the requirements for Akt2 in hepatic lipogenesis versus glycemia following a high-carbohydrate meal. Hepatic mRNAs encoding lipogenic enzymes increased after refeeding a high-carbohydrate meal (Figure 5E). Surprisingly, the expression of Srebp1c and its targets Acly and Acc were only minimally if at all reduced in AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP mice, though there was a blunting in the induction of glucokinase (Gck) and Fasn (Figure 5E). HCD feeding suppressed insulin-induced gene 2a (INSIG2a) expression for at least 6 hr independent of the presence of hepatic Akt2 (Figure 5E).

To test whether unrestrained activity of FoxO1 is the major factor responsible for the lack of postprandial de novo lipogenesis and hepatic weight gain in AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP mice, we measured fatty acid synthesis in livers from AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP; FoxO1ΔloxP/loxP mice. Elimination of FoxO1 in liver was without effect on the increase in blood glucose evident after ingestion of a HCD (Figure 6A). However, deletion of FoxO1 in liver appeared to reverse the prevention in hepatic weight gain evident in the AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP livers, much like the suppression of a similar defect in livers lacking IRS1 and IRS2 (Figure 6B) (Dong et al., 2008). Deletion of FoxO1 alone in liver led to a trend for increased de novo lipogenesis following a meal, consistent with the increase in hepatic TG under several conditions (Figures 2E, 4B, and 6C). Unlike liver size, the decrease in lipid synthesis in livers lacking Akt2 was not reversed by concomitant removal of FoxO1; instead, AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP; FoxO1ΔloxP/loxP livers demonstrated rates of de novo lipogenesis virtually identical to those in AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP livers (Figure 6C). Delivery by adeno virus of a dominant-negative form of FoxO1 (Nakae et al., 2001) into AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP; FoxO1ΔloxP/loxP livers also failed to reverse the decreased lipogenesis in Akt2 null livers (data not shown). These data are consistent with those presented above in which Akt2 is epistatic to FoxO1 regarding the control of liver TG content.

mTORC1 Is Required for De Novo Lipogenesis in Mouse Liver

We next turned to the question: since FoxO1 and FoxA2 do not appear to function downstream of Akt2 in lipid homeostasis, what pathway could mediate Akt2’s effect on lipogenic gene expression? The most likely answer lies in the recent observation that in primary hepatocytes, insulin utilizes a pathway dependent on mTORC1 to stimulate the processing and expression of SREBP1c, a transcription factor that drives coordinated lipogenic gene transcription (Azzout-Marniche et al., 2000; Chakrabarti et al., 2010; Duvel et al., 2010; Fleischmann and Ly nedjian, 2000; Li et al., 2010; Porstmann et al., 2008). To test the requirement for this pathway in vivo, we injected raptorΔloxP/loxP mice with an adeno-associated virus expressing liver-specific Cre recombinase (AAV > Cre) or green fluorescent protein (AAV > GFP), thus generating hepatic raptor knockout mice or controls. As shown in Figure 7A, raptor protein levels were substantially reduced in AAV > Cre; raptorΔloxP/loxP mice, and the phosphorylation of an mTORC1 target, ribosomal protein S6 (S6), was not detected, as assayed by reactivity with a phosphospecific antibody (Figure 7A). Expression of the lipogenic genes Srebp1c, Fas, Acly, and Gpat were reduced in AAV > Cre; raptorΔloxP/loxP mice, though Acc and Gck were unchanged under fed conditions (Figure 7B). Most notably, de novo lipogenesis following a high-carbohydrate meal was largely abrogated in liver from AAV > Cre; raptorΔloxP/loxP mice (Figure 7C).

Having established the critical role of mTORC1 in de novo lipogenesis, we then asked whether defects in its expression and posttranslational processing of SREBP1c explained the disturbed lipid metabolism in AFP > Cre; Akt2ΔloxP/loxP mice. Following a high-carbohydrate meal, there was an increase in...
the phosphorylated form of protein S6 and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E-1A-binding protein 1 (4EBP1), which reflects activation of mTORC1 by nutrients and insulin. Surprisingly, this was unchanged in AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP) mice (Figure 7D). Both the precursor and the processed form of SREBP1c increased 6 hr after HCD feeding; induction of these

Figure 5. Akt2 Is Required for De Novo Lipogenesis after HCD Refeeding
(A) Blood glucose levels after HCD refeeding. Mice were prefed with HCD for 2 days, fasted overnight, and refed with HCD. Blood glucose was measured at the indicated time points; n = 4–6 for each condition.
(B) Serum insulin levels after HCD refeeding; n = 4–6 for each condition.
(C) Liver weight to body weight ratio after HCD refeeding; n = 4–6 for each condition.
(D) Newly synthesized palmitate. Mice treated as above were injected with 20 μl/g bodyweight D2O 3 hours after HCD refeeding. Six hours after refeeding, mice were sacrificed and incorporation of D2O into palmitate measured in liver; n = 3–5 for each condition.
(E) Lipogenic gene expression after HCD refeeding. Mice were treated as described in (A); n = 4–6 for each condition. Con, wild-type controls; L-Akt2, AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP); *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 by two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post test; error bars represent SEM.
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proteins was only slightly reduced in AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP) mice (Figure 7E), consistent with the minimal changes in lipogenic gene expression under these conditions (Figure 5E). These results indicated that the defective lipogenesis was unlikely to be attributed to lack of activation of mTORC1 and SREBP1c. Moreover, in the absence of Akt2, stimulation of mTORC1 and SREBP1c are not sufficient to promote de novo lipogenesis following a high-carbohydrate meal (Figures 5D, 5E, 7D, and 7E).

FoxO1 has been suggested to suppress mTORC1 activity by several mechanisms, e.g., stimulating the expression of mTORC1 inhibitors such as Sestrin3, Raptor independent companion of mTOR (Rictor), and possibly Tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (TSC1) (Chen et al., 2010; Harvey et al., 2008). To ask whether such a mechanism accounts for the suppression of lipid accumulation by FoxO1, we assessed mTORC1 activity in animals lacking hepatic FoxO1. Six hours after ingesting a high-carbohydrate meal, hepatic phospho-S6 was not altered in AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) or AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP); FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice (Figure 7E). The expression of Srebp1c, Gck, Fasn, Acc, Acly, and Sestrin3 was also unchanged in livers from AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice after HCD refeeding (Figure S5). These data argue against mTORC1 as the mediator of hepatic TG accumulation induced by deletion of FoxO1.

**Insulin-Stimulated Phosphorylation of ATP Citrate Lyase Is Blunted in Akt2-Deficient Livers**

To explore other potential mechanisms utilized by Akt2 to regulate lipid metabolism, we examined two other pathways known to modulate hepatic lipogenesis activity responding to insulin signaling. ATP-citrate lyases (ACLY) catalyze the cleavage of cytosolic citrate to oxaloacetate and acetyl-CoA, the latter a precursor for fatty acid synthesis. The activity of ACLY is regulated transcriptionally as a target of SREBP1c as well as at the posttranslational level by Akt and protein kinase A phosphorylation (Berwick et al., 2002; Horton et al., 2002; Potapova et al., 2000). Insulin injection stimulated phosphorylation of Akt and ACLY, and phosphorylation of both was blunted in livers from AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP) mice (Figure 7A). To study this in more detail, hepatocytes were isolated from control and Akt2 KO livers. Again, insulin promoted Akt and ACLY phosphorylation in a dose-dependent manner. Phosphorylation of both was reduced in primary hepatocytes from Akt2-deficient livers, particularly at low concentrations of insulin (Figure 7B). Those data provide an additional pathway potentially dependent on Akt2 for the increase in de novo lipogenesis in liver.

Atypical PKCs (aPKCs) have been reported to be activated by several mechanisms, e.g., stimulating the expression of mTORC1 inhibitors such as Sestrin3, Raptor independent companion of mTOR (Rictor), and possibly Tuberous sclerosis complex 1 (TSC1) (Chen et al., 2010; Harvey et al., 2008). To ask whether such a mechanism accounts for the suppression of lipid accumulation by FoxO1, we assessed mTORC1 activity in animals lacking hepatic FoxO1. Six hours after ingesting a high-carbohydrate meal, hepatic phospho-S6 was not altered in AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) or AFP > Cre;Akt2(loxP/loxP); FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice (Figure 7E). The expression of Srebp1c, Gck, Fasn, Acc, Acly, and Sestrin3 was also unchanged in livers from AFP > Cre;FoxO1(loxP/loxP) mice after HCD refeeding (Figure S5). These data argue against mTORC1 as the mediator of hepatic TG accumulation induced by deletion of FoxO1.

**DISCUSSION**

The metabolic disturbances associated with type 2 diabetes mellitus and the metabolic syndrome have been perceived as being due to an inability of insulin to promote effectively its anabolic actions, a condition long referred to as insulin resistance. However, this view has been modified recently with the increasing appreciation that, while the “insulin-resistant” liver displays some features consistent with an inability of insulin to act, most notably an inappropriately high glucose output, its characteristic increased de novo lipogenesis and TG
accumulation more closely resemble a state of augmented insulin sensitivity. The simplest, though by no means only, explanation for this phenomenon is that insulin resistance occurs at a step distal to a bifurcation in insulin signaling, resulting in selective inhibition of the hormone’s effect on glucose output, while permitting signaling to lipid metabolism. Work from our laboratory as well as others suggests that this divergence occurs downstream of Akt, and thus the identification of the specific pathways that Akt2 utilizes to regulate metabolism has achieved particular importance (He et al., 2010; Leavens et al., 2009). In this study, we investigated the roles of two proposed Akt target transcription factors, FoxO1 and FoxA2, and an indirect route for control of a third, SREBP1c, which is regulated by mTORC1. Our data show that, whereas suppression of FoxO1 activity is downstream of Akt in the inhibition of hepatic glucose output, phosphorylation of neither FoxO1 nor FoxA2 is likely to mediate insulin’s effects on de novo lipogenesis and TG accumulation in liver. Our data also support the notion that mTORC1 is required for maintenance of Srebp1c expression and de novo lipogenesis, but its activation is not sufficient to reverse the reduction of de novo lipogenesis coincident with deletion of Akt2. Moreover, it is likely that the mechanism by which Akt2 induces lipogenesis after a high-carbohydrate meal is not based on regulation of gene expression by any of the three transcription factors assessed in this study.

Considerable data support a role for FoxO1 in the control of hepatic glucoseogenic genes expression, though there is much less clarity regarding its importance for glycemia and hepatic lipid metabolism. As has been reported, we found that under normal conditions, hepatic deletion of FoxO1 resulted in an impaired pyruvate tolerance test, in this study independent of Akt2 (Figures 2B and 2C) (Matsumoto et al., 2007). Inhibition of FoxO1 activity by various techniques in genetically obese and DIO mouse models decreases blood glucose and insulin levels, and reduces hepatic glucose production as assayed by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp; loss of hepatic FoxO1 ameliorates fasting hyperglycemia in the STZ-induced diabetic mice (Altomonte et al., 2003; Haeusler et al., 2010a; Kamagate et al., 2008). However, others report that conditional deletion of FoxO1 in liver abolishes mTORC1 activity, leading to a reduction in the expression of Srebp1c and its targets and decreased de novo lipogenesis in mTORC1-deficient manner (Azzouit-Marniche et al., 2000; Chakrabarti et al., 2010; Duvel et al., 2010; Fleischmann and Iynedjian, 2000; Li et al., 2010; Porstmann et al., 2008). However, the model of an obligate role for mTORC1 in hepatic lipogenesis has not been tested genetically in vivo. Herein we report that conditional deletion of raptor in liver abolished mTORC1 activity, leading to a reduction in the expression of Srebp1c and its targets and decreased de novo lipogenesis (Figures 7A–7C). Interestingly, even though Afp > Cre; Act2loxP/loxP
and AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP, FoxO1loxP/loxP mice exhibit significantly decreased de novo lipogenesis following a high-carbohydrate meal, activation of mTORC1 and SREBP1c was largely unaffected (Figures 5D, 7D, and 7E). These data indicate that mTORC1 activation is not sufficient to induce lipogenesis under these conditions. During the revision of this paper, Yecies et al. reported an mTORC1-independent pathway by which Akt2 signals through INSIG2a to promote SREBP1c processing (Yecies et al., 2011). We found no change in the normal decrease in hepatic INSIG2a mRNA in AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP mice after HCD refeeding and only a modest reduction in the nuclear form of SREBP1c or expression of its target genes (Figures 5E and 7E). Thus, the mTORC1-independent pathway revealed in this study by liver-specific deletion of Akt2 does not represent induction of INSIG2a and is unlikely to be related to SREBP1c processing or expression. Rather, we propose that the requirement for Akt2 is linked to its role in the posttranslational control of other targets such as ACLY.

Taking these data in aggregate, we suggest that Akt2 promotes hepatic lipid accumulation and de novo lipogenesis independent of FoxA2 and FoxO1. Moreover, activated mTORC1 and SREBP1c and increased lipogenic gene expression is required but not sufficient for postprandial lipogenesis. Thus, our data provide strong support for the notion that nutrients have a direct role in the liver to promote anabolic lipid metabolism by a process dependent on the presence of a functional mTORC1 complex as well as other Akt-dependent signaling pathways.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animals

The AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP, AFP > Cre;FoxO1loxP/loxP, and RaptorloxP/loxP mice have been described previously (Leavens et al., 2009; Matsumoto et al., 2007; Sengupta et al., 2010a; Zhang et al., 2005). All animals were raised and treated with approval from the University of Pennsylvania IACUC in accordance with National Institutes of Health (NIH) guidelines. Mice were of a 129/FVB/C57BL6 mixed background, and male littermates were used for all studies. In the FoxA2 experiments, the wild-type control group included mice of genotypes AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP; FoxA2loxP/loxP; and Akt2+/+. In the FoxA2 experiments, a floxed allele of Raptor was used and mice were labeled as (A-CRE) at 6 weeks of age. Two weeks after virus injection, mice were fasted overnight (fasted) and then refed with chow for another 2 weeks before analysis.

De Novo Lipogenesis

For de novo lipogenesis assay, mice were fed with HCD (D12450B, Research Diets) for 2 days, and then treated as described in the text. Whole blood and liver samples were collected 3 hr after D2O injection and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Palmitate was isolated and analyzed by using gas chromatography-electron impact ionization mass spectrometry by the Stable Isotope Tracer Kinetic Service Center in the Institute of Diabetes, Obesity, and Metabolism, University of Pennsylvania. The absolute amount of newly made palmitate was assumed equivalent to the de novo lipogenesis rate.

Figure 7. Raptor Is Required for Lipogenic Gene Expression and De Novo Lipogenesis

(A) Western blot of Raptor knockouts. RaptorloxP/loxP male mice were maintained on normal chow and injected with either AAV-TBG-GFP (A-GFP) or AAV-TBG-Cre (A-CRE) at 6 weeks of age. Two weeks after virus injection, mice were fasted overnight (fasted) and then refed with normal chow for 4 hr (refed) before sacrifice.

(B) Lipogenic gene expression under fed conditions; n = 6–7 for each condition, “p < 0.05; **p < 0.01 by Student’s t test; error bars represent SEM.

(C) De novo lipogenesis, n = 6–7 for each condition, “p < 0.05 by Student’s t test; error bars represent SEM.

(D) Western blot for liver samples from wild-type controls and AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP mice after HCD refeeding. Mice were treated as described in Figure 5.

(E) Western blot for full-length SREBP1 (fSREBP1) and processed SREBP1 (pSREBP1). Liver samples from 24 hr fasted or 6 hr HCD refeeding control or AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP mice were used for blotting full-length SREBP1 and processed SREBP1.

(F) Western blot for liver samples from wild-type controls (CON), AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP (L-Akt2), AFP > Cre;FoxO1loxP/loxP (L-Fox1), and AFP > Cre;Akt2loxP/loxP; FoxO1loxP/loxP (L-Akt2; FoxO1) 6 hr after HCD refeeding. Mice were treated as described in Figure 6C.

Supplemental Information includes seven figures, one table, Supplemental Experimental Procedures, and Supplemental References and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2011.09.001.
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