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SUMMARY

Acetylcholine is an important neurotransmitter, and the habenulo-interpeduncular projection is a major cholinergic pathway in the brain. To study the physiological properties of cholinergic transmission in the interpeduncular nucleus (IPN), we used a transgenic mouse line in which the light-gated cation channel ChannelRhodopsin-2 is selectively expressed in cholinergic neurons. Cholinergic axonal terminals were activated by light pulses, and postsynaptic responses were recorded from IPN neurons. Surprisingly, brief photostimulation produces fast excitatory postsynaptic currents that are mediated by ionotropic glutamate receptors, suggesting wired transmission of glutamate. By contrast, tetanic photostimulation generates slow inward currents that are largely mediated by nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, suggesting volume transmission of acetylcholine. Finally, vesicular transporters for glutamate and acetylcholine are coexpressed on the same axonal terminals in the IPN. These results strongly suggest that adult brain “cholinergic” neurons can corelease glutamate and acetylcholine, but these two neurotransmitters activate postsynaptic neurons via different transmission modes.

INTRODUCTION

As a classical neurotransmitter, acetylcholine plays important signaling roles throughout the nervous system. The cholinergic system in the brain is implicated in organizing or regulating a variety of behavioral functions, such as attention, learning and memory, sleep, and arousal (Everitt and Robbins, 1997). Cholinergic neurons in the basal forebrain and brainstem provide widespread and generally diffuse projections to the cortex, thalamus, and many other brain structures (Mesulam et al., 1983). Various evidences suggest that acetylcholine is transmitted diffusively and plays mainly a modulatory role in the brain (Dani and Bertrand, 2007; Sarter et al., 2009). However, the nonspecific nature of electrical stimulation or drug application precludes the selective activation of cholinergic efferents to directly test the effects of acetylcholine transmission on postsynaptic neurons.

The projection from the medial habenula (MhB) in the epithalamus to the interpeduncular nucleus (IPN) in the midbrain represents another prominent cholinergic pathway. Anatomically, the habenulo-interpeduncular pathway is believed to serve as an important link between the limbic forebrain and the midbrain (Lecourtier and Kelly, 2007; Sutherland, 1982). Behaviorally, it is suggested to be involved in sleep, stress, pain, and nicotine addiction (Changeux, 2010; Haun et al., 1992; Hikosaka, 2010; Plenge et al., 2002; Salas et al., 2009; Sandyk, 1991).

Despite the potentially important physiological and behavioral functions of the habenulo-interpeduncular pathway, the exact physiological effects of acetylcholine transmission in the IPN remain unclear. Among phenotypically diverse neuron populations in the MhB (Andres et al., 1999; Qin and Luo, 2009; Quina et al., 2009), a majority of them expresses choline acetyltransferase (ChAT)—an enzyme that synthesizes acetylcholine (Kimura et al., 1981). Electrical stimulation of habenular efferents evokes acetylcholine release in the IPN (Sastry et al., 1979). Consistent with the finding that IPN neurons express exceptionally high levels of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs) (Swanson et al., 1987), external superfusion of acetylcholine and nicotine activates IPN neurons and also regulates presynaptic releases of glutamate and GABA (Brown et al., 1983; Girod et al., 2000; Lena et al., 1993; Mulle et al., 1991). However, electrical stimulation of the MhB efferents has not been reported to evoke direct postsynaptic responses that are mediated by acetylcholine receptors on IPN neurons. In contrast, this type of stimulation produces postsynaptic responses that are mediated by glutamate receptors (Brown et al., 1983; Girod and Role, 2001), paradoxically leading to the suggestion that acetylcholine is not a functional neurotransmitter for habenular cholinergic neurons (Brown et al., 1983).

To study the physiological functions of cholinergic input to IPN neurons, we require a method of selectively stimulating...
exocytotic release from cholinergic synapses. Here, we took advantage of a newly developed ChAT-ChR2-EYFP transgenic mouse line, in which cholinergic neurons express the light-sensitive cation channel Channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2) (Boyden et al., 2005; Nagel et al., 2003). By selectively stimulating cholinergic axonal terminals using optogenetics and electrophysiological recordings of postsynaptic responses from adult IPN neurons, we found that surprisingly MHb cholinergic neurons release both glutamate and acetylcholine. In addition, glutamatergic responses are fast and likely mediated by synaptic iontropic glutamate receptors, whereas slow cholinergic effects are triggered only by tetanic stimuli and likely produced by the volume transmission of acetylcholine. These results suggest dual modes of signal transmission by cholinergic neurons in the brain.

RESULTS

Precise Optical Control of Firing Activity of MHb Cholinergic Neurons

We first tested whether ChR2-EYFP is accurately expressed in MHb cholinergic neurons of ChAT-ChR2-EYFP transgenic mice. The MHb is located in the epithalamus near the dorsal third ventricle. Its neurons project their axons to the IPN in the midbrain midline area through the fiber tract fasciculus retroflexus (fr) (Andres et al., 1999). By sectioning the mouse brain with an appropriate angle (Figure 1A), we observed strong ChR2-EYFP expression within the entire MHb-fr-IPN pathway in a single section (Figure 1B). The ventral MHb is believed to provide cholinergic output, whereas the dorsal subnucleus is considered substance P-ergic (Contestabile et al., 1987). Consistently, ChR2-EYFP expression was restricted to the ventral two-thirds of the MHb, where we also observed rich ChAT expression (Figure 1C and see Figure S1A available online). High-power view revealed that ChR2-EYFP was localized on the membrane of essentially all MHb neurons that expressed ChAT in cytoplasm (Figure 1D). We further examined the selectivity of ChR2-EYFP expression by immunostaining against vesicular acetylcholine transporter (VAChT; Figure S1B), another marker of cholinergic neurons (Arvidsson et al., 1997). ChR2-EYFP was expressed only on the cell membrane of VAChT+ neurons (Figures S1B and S1C). We also observed overlapping expression pattern of ChR2-EYFP and VAChT in neuropils and axonal fiber tracts (Figures S1B and S1C).

To examine whether transgenic expression of ChR2 effectively drives neuronal activation, we carried out whole-cell recordings from adult ChR2-EYFP+ MHb neurons in acute brain slices. Neurons were labeled to confirm their expression of ChR2-EYFP (Figure 1E). Steady blue light pulses (0.2–2 s) rapidly elicited action potential firing when cells were recorded in the current-clamp mode (Figure 1F). In the voltage-clamp mode, the same light pulses generated large inward currents (Figure 1F; peak amplitude 479 ± 93 pA; mean ± SEM; n = 14 cells). As previously demonstrated in cultured neurons (Boyden et al., 2005), light-induced currents exhibited an initial transient component that then decayed to a stable component (Figure 1F). ChR2-negative neurons in the dorsal MHb were not activated by light even at maximal light intensity (data not shown), confirming that light-evoked responses were limited to ChR2-expressing neurons.

We asked whether light stimuli can be used to control the activity of ChR2-EYFP+ cells with high temporal precision. For all 14 MHb cells tested, short light pulses (5 ms) reliably evoked firing of single action potentials in the current-clamp mode and brief large inward currents in the voltage-clamp mode (Figure 1G). In the current-clamp mode, trains of brief light flashes at different frequencies (5–50 Hz) generated precisely timed, highly reliable action potential firing (n = 8 cells tested; Figures 1H, S1D, and S1E). Thus, transgenic ChR2 expression allows us to selectively and precisely activate MHb cholinergic neurons.

Stimulation of Cholinergic Fibers Evokes Fast Glutamatergic Responses in the IPN

We next examined whether the ChAT-ChR2-EYFP mice could be used to optically stimulate cholinergic axonal terminals in the IPN (Figure S2A). Consistently with the topography of MHb-IPN projection (Contestabile et al., 1987), dense ChR2-EYFP+ terminals were localized in the core but not the peripheral region of the rostral and central IPN (Figures 2A and 2B). When viewed with high magnification, ChR2-EYFP was strongly and selectively expressed in the axonal terminals that were VAChT+ or ChAT+ (Figures 2C and S2B), suggesting accurate expression of ChR2 in cholinergic axonal terminals.

We performed whole-cell recordings from adult IPN neurons to examine the synaptic responses to optical stimulation of the cholinergic axonal terminals. Neurons were recorded and visualized to confirm their physiological properties and their location within the area targeted by ChR2-EYFP+ terminals (Figure 2D and S2C–S2E). Because a large number of GABAergic neurons are present in the IPN, we further applied a GABA_A-receptor blocker picrotoxin (50 μM) to isolate synaptic responses directly evoked by optical stimulation (Figure S2F).

In ~60% IPN neurons tested (n = 63/106 cells), synchronous focal activation of cholinergic fibers with brief light illumination (5 ms) evoked EPSPs and in some cases action potential firing when the cells were recorded in the current-clamp mode and fast EPSCs in the voltage-clamp mode (Figure 2E). Similar fast excitatory synaptic responses were reliably produced by a train of repetitive light pulses at 10 Hz (Figure 2F). The light intensities that were required to evoke the EPSCs were typically less than 10 mW/mm² (Figures S2G and S2H), which are comparable to those for activating MHb neurons. The fast EPSCs exhibited a mean peak amplitude of 111.1 ± 25.7 pA (range = [25–710] pA), a latency of 3.6 ± 0.2 ms, a rise time of 2.0 ± 0.2 ms, and a decay time constant of 5.3 ± 0.5 ms (n = 30 cells). The fast EPSCs evoked by brief light pulses resembled those evoked by single-shot electrical stimulation of the fr, within which the axons of MHb neurons traverse to the IPN (Figure S2I). The fast kinetics therefore suggests that stimulating cholinergic terminals produce fast monosynaptic EPSCs in IPN neurons. The optically-evoked EPSCs were blocked by the sodium channel blocker TTX (1 μM; Figures S2J and S2K), indicating the requirement of action potential firing within the presynaptic terminal for the generation of light-evoked EPSCs. Fast EPSCs and EPSPs were also evoked from IPN neurons by placing the optical fiber immediately
Corelease of Glutamate and Acetylcholine

In the spinal cord and the peripheral nervous system, fast synaptic effects of acetylcholine are mediated by nAChRs. We applied a mixture of two nAChR antagonists (50 µM hexamethonium and 5 µM mecamylamine) to test whether the optically evoked fast EPSCs were mediated by acetylcholine transmission (Mulle et al., 1991). Surprisingly, the EPSCs were not blocked by nAChR antagonists (Figures 2I and 2J). There was a small but statistically significant increase of EPSC amplitudes from IPN neurons that were reversibly abolished by nAChR blockers (Figure 3B). Using train stimuli at 50 Hz, we observed strong inward currents (Figure 3B). Tetanic stimulation triggers glutamate release from cholinergic axonal terminals and produces fast monosynaptic responses that are mediated by ionotropic glutamate receptors on postsynaptic IPN neurons.

Tetanic Stimulation Evokes nAChR-Mediated Slow Responses in the IPN

We then asked whether stimulating cholinergic axonal terminals generates any direct cholinergic effect on IPN neurons. Pressure application of acetylcholine (1 mM) evoked large inward currents from IPN neurons that were reversibly abolished by nAChR blockers (Figure 3A; normalized acetylcholine amplitude = 2.5% ± 1.5% in nAChR blockers. p < 0.001; paired t test; n = 6 cells). Thus, as in wild-type animals (Mulle et al., 1991), acetylcholine excites IPN neurons by acting on nAChRs in ChAT-ChR2-EYFP mice.

Since brief light pulses resulted in fast EPSCs that are only mediated by glutamate receptors, we tested whether any cholinergic effects could be produced by sustained light stimuli. Continuous 5 s light pulses resulted in slowly-increasing inward currents from IPN neurons (Figure 3B). Similar slow inward currents could also be produced by prolonged stimulation using a 20 s train of light flashes (5 ms) at the frequency of ≥ 20 Hz (Figure 3B). Using train stimuli at 50 Hz, we observed strong inward currents from a vast majority of rostral and central IPN cells (n = 61/64 cells). In addition to the slow inward currents, zoom-in views reveal that the tetanic photostimulation generated...
the EPSCs evoked by 10 light pulses at 10 Hz. Similar responses were observed from 6 out of 8 IPN cells tested with optical fiber placement above the MHb. (I) The fast EPSCs evoked by brief light illumination are mediated by AMPARs but not nAChRs. Synaptic stimulation caused a fast EPSC in an IPN neuron (1), which was slightly increased by the application of nAChR blockers (HMT and Mec) (2). Addition of DNQX abolished the EPSC (3), and this blockade was reversible on washout of DNQX (4). Sample traces were generated by averaging eight traces from the times indicated in the graph of EPSC amplitude versus time (lower panel). HMT, hexamethonium (50 µM); Mec, mecamylamine (5 µM). Six cells were tested with optical stimulations at the fixed intervals of 20 or 40 s. (J) Summary data show that the amplitudes of fast EPSCs are slightly increased in the presence of nAChR blockers. *p < 0.05 (paired t test; n = 13 cells). (K) Summary data show that the fast EPSCs are completely abolished by AMPAR antagonism. ***p < 0.001 (paired t test; n = 18 cells). (L) In Mg2+-free solution, a brief light pulse produced a large fast EPSC from an IPN neuron (upper trace). DNQX isolated a small inward current that was then blocked by APV (lower traces). (M) Summary data show that the inward current isolated by DNQX is abolished by APV. **p < 0.01 (paired t test; n = 5 cells).

See also Figure S2.

the slow responses are thus mainly mediated by nAChRs. The residual responses were not affected by the applications of atropine, (R, S)-α-Methyl-4-carboxyphenylglycine (MCPG), suramin, or APV, the blockers for muscarinic acetylcholine receptors, metabotropic glutamate receptors, P2Y purinergic receptors, and NMDA receptors, respectively (Figures S3B–S3D). The residual inward currents were unlikely the nonspecific artifact of prolonged light stimulation, as the same tetanic light stimulation failed to show any effect on IPN neurons outside of the ChR2-EYFP+ terminal field (data not shown). Tetanic light stimulations possibly trigger release of other modulatory neurotransmitters, such as peptides. Thus, the slow inward currents are most likely produced by optically triggered release of two or more neurotransmitters, with acetylcholine being the major contributor.
VGLUT1 and VAcHt Are Coexpressed in Synaptic Vesicles in the IPN

Finally, we examined whether the molecular machinery exists for glutamate and acetylcholine corelease. VGLUT proteins sequester glutamate into synaptic vesicles and are considered specific markers of synapses that release glutamate as a neurotransmitter (Bellocchio et al., 2000; Fremeau et al., 2001). Our previous tract tracing and immunostaining show that many MHb neurons extend VGLUT1+ but not VGLUT2+ axonal terminals into the IPN (Qin and Luo, 2009), but it had remained unclear whether VGLUT1 is expressed in the cholinergic axonal terminals.

We tested whether VGLUT1 and VAcHt are coexpressed within the same axonal terminals in the IPN by dual-color immunostainings. In wild-type C57BL/6 mice, we observed strong expression of VGLUT1 within the area occupied by VAChT+ axonal terminals in the IPN (Qin and Luo, 2009), but it had remained unclear whether VGLUT1 is expressed in the cholinergic axonal terminals.

DISCUSSION

The brain cholinergic system plays important physiological and behavioral roles. However, it had been difficult to study the physiological properties of acetylcholine transmission because of the broad, diffuse, and sparse nature of cholinergic projections. By selectively stimulating habenula cholinergic neurons using optogenetics and recording from their postsynaptic neurons in ChAT-ChR2-EYFP mice, we show that activating cholinergic axonal terminals produces fast monosynaptic excitatory responses from adult IPN neurons. These responses are blocked by antagonists of ionotropic glutamate receptors but are unaffected by using the vesicular membrane fraction of the mouse IPN. As a control, rich VGLUT1 immunoreactivity was detected from the membrane fractions of synaptic vesicles (sv) and those immunosolated by using antibodies to the synaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin (syp) and VGLUT1 (Figure 4E). More importantly, a substantial amount of VGLUT1 immunoreactivity was also precipitated from synaptic vesicles isolated by an antibody to VAChT (Figure 4E, rightmost lane). Reciprocally, an antibody to VGLUT1 isolated a rich amount of VAChT (Figure 4E). These immunosolation results thus strongly indicate that VGLUT1 and VAChT are coexpressed in many synaptic vesicles in the IPN. Because VGLUT1 and VAChT proteins are believed to be the key components within the machinery for releasing glutamate and acetylcholine, their coexpression in the same cholinergic axonal terminals and even synaptic vesicles substantiates our physiological data showing that the axonal terminals of MHb neurons corelease glutamate and acetylcholine in the IPN.

FIGURE 3. Tetanic Photostimulation of Axonal Terminals Produces Slow nAChR-Mediated Inward Currents from IPN Neurons
(A) Example traces from an IPN neuron show that pressure application of acetylcholine (1 mM, 4 s) produces a large inward current that is reversibly blocked by the application of nAChR blockers.
(B) Sustained photostimulation elicits slow inward currents from IPN neurons. Left panel, a slow current evoked by a 5 s continuous light pulse; middle panel, a smaller slow inward current by a 20 s train of 5 s light pulses at 20 Hz; right panel, a much larger inward current by a 20 s train of 5 s light pulses at 50 Hz.
(C) Example traces show that the slow component evoked by tetanic photostimulation is largely abolished by the application of nAChR blockers, whereas the fast inward currents are completely blocked by AMPAR antagonism. The slow component partially recovers after 30 min wash (right panel), suggesting reversible blockade. Traces below the left two panels show zoom-in view of the traces within the dashed boxes, illustrating that the fast component is blocked by DNOX.
(D) Group data show that the slow current evoked by tetanic photostimulation is not affected by AMPAR antagonism. n.s., nonsignificant (p = 0.88; paired t test; n = 18 cells).
(E) Group data show that the slow current is substantially reduced following nAChR blockers (**p < 0.001; paired t test; n = 30 cells).

See also Figure S3.
nAChRs blockers, suggesting glutamate transmission. By immunostainings and immunoisolation of synaptic vesicles, we further show that VGLUT1 and VACHT are coexpressed in synaptic vesicles within the axonal terminals in the IPN, suggesting molecular machinery for the cotransmission of glutamate and acetylcholine. These two neurotransmitters are also co-released by cultured neonatal rat forebrain neurons (Allen et al., 2006) as well as neonatal mouse spinal motoneurons and Xenopus tadpole spinal interneurons (Li et al., 2004; Mentis et al., 2005; Tecuapetla et al., 2010). Images at the right of each panel show the overlay of VACHT and VGLUT1 signals.

(C) High-power view reveals strong coexpression of VACHT and VGLUT1 in the axonal terminals. Blue, DAPI labeling of cell nuclei.

(D) Zoom-in view of an area in (C) reveals an almost identical expression pattern of VACHT and VGLUT1 in axonal varicosities.

(E and F) VGLUT1 and VACHT are coexpressed in synaptic vesicles (SVs). SVs prepared from the mouse IPN were immunoprecipitated (IP) with antibodies to synaptophysin (syp), VGLUT1, or VACHT, and the isolated vesicles were then immunoblotted for VGLUT1 (E) and VACHT (F). VACHT isolated vesicles contain VGLUT1 (E) and VGLUT1-isolated vesicles contain VACHT (F). One-tenth or one-fifth of the VGLUT1-isolated SVs was immunoblotted to avoid signal saturation in (E). Two anti-VACHT antibodies were used in (F).

Numbers at right indicate MW markers (kD). See also Figure S4.

The ability to generate fast EPSCs through glutamate co-transmission would allow for rapid and punctate effects that more precisely match the discharge patterns of MHb cholinergic neurons. This thus represents a mechanism for processing signals with fast kinetics to decode the information from the forebrain limbic areas to the midbrain. Several recent studies have shown that glutamate is also coreleased by neurons that have been traditionally considered to release only modulatory neurotransmitters such as serotonin and dopamine (Varga et al., 2009; Hnasko et al., 2010; Stuber et al., 2010; Tecuapetla et al., 2010).

Our findings further support the concept that neurons that release modulatory, classical nonpeptide transmitters can also release glutamate to produce brief and local effects directly on their postsynaptic neurons.

Tetanic photostimulation evokes nAChR-mediated slow responses in IPN neurons, demonstrating that MHb cholinergic neurons can release acetylcholine to directly excite their postsynaptic neurons. The requirement for prolonged tetanic stimulation and the slow kinetics of cholinergic responses are consistent with the “volume transmission” model for acetylcholine. In this model, acetylcholine exerts its effects by activating extrasynaptic nAChRs after diffusing out of the synaptic cleft and/or releasing from nonsynaptic varicosities (Dani and Bertrand, 2007; Sarter et al., 2009). The volume transmission mode may explain the higher likelihood of producing slow nAChR-mediated responses using tetanic stimulation (near 100%) than evoking fast glutamatergic EPSCs using a single brief light pulse (~60%). The precise and reliable generation of monosynaptic glutamatergic EPSCs indicates “wired transmission” mode for glutamate. Many neurons failed to show glutamatergic responses to brief light pulses, possibly because they do not directly form synapses with MHb afferent terminals or their direct afferent fibers have been cut off during slice preparation. On the other hand, tetanic stimulation may release a large amount of acetylcholine that can spill over to adjacent IPN neurons. Strong synchronous firing of MHb neurons for a long period may thus recruit many IPN neurons through volume transmission of acetylcholine. In addition, the spill-over acetylcholine may also modulate both glutamatergic and GABAergic presynaptic terminals, as demonstrated by drug superfusion experiments (Girod et al., 2000; Girod and Role, 2001; Léna et al., 1993).

Despite the fact that acetylcholine transmission typically evokes rapid responses in neuromuscular junctions and the autonomic ganglia, fast cholinergic effects have been rarely observed in the mammalian brain (Dani and Bertrand, 2007). Accumulating evidences suggest the mode of volume...
transmission for acetylcholine in the brain, although the degree of volume transmission or even its presence remains controversial (Dani and Bertrand, 2007; Sarter et al., 2009). Our recordings show that cholinergic responses of IPN neurons are only evoked by strong and sustained stimulation and exhibit inward currents with rise and decay time of about 10 s. This time scale is consistent with the kinetics of extracellular acetylcholine levels measured from rats performing behavioral tasks (Parikh et al., 2007). Our observations thus support the volume transmission hypothesis on the acetylcholine effect at the scale of 10 s rather than minutes or even hours.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Methods and materials are described in detail in Supplemental Experimental Procedures.

**Animals**

Adult ChAT-ChR2-EYFP mice or wild-type C57BL/6 mice of either sex (6–12 weeks old) were used. ChAT-ChR2-EYFP transgenic mice were generated by a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) transgenic approach.

**Brain Slice Preparation and Electrophysiological Recordings**

Adult ChAT-ChR2-EYFP mice were deeply anesthetized and then rapidly decapitated. Brain sections containing the MHB-fr-IPN pathway (250 μm) were cut with a vibratome and then incubated for at least 1 hr at 34°C within oxygenated aCSF. During recording, slices were submerged and superfused (2 ml/min) with aCSF at room temperature (22°C–25°C). Whole-cell recordings from the MHB or IPN were obtained under visual control of DIC microscopy.

For photostimulation, light was produced by a diode-pumped solid-state 473 nm laser or a 100 W mercury lamp. Laser light was delivered by an optical fiber (200 μm core diameter, NA = 0.22) that was submerged in aCSF and placed ~300 μm from the recording site. The light from mercury lamp passed through a 505 nm dichroic mirror and a bandwidth 460–490 nm filter and was then focused onto tissue by a 40x water immersion lens. For electrical stimulation, the fr was stimulated with a bipolar stainless steel stimulating electrode.

For drug application, DNQX (10 μM), TTX (1 μM), picrotoxin (50 μM), APV (50 μM), suramin (100 μM), and a mixture of hexamethonium-Cl (50 μM) and mecamylamine (5 μM) were added to the superfusion medium by dilution of a stock solution. Acetylcholine (1 mM) was ejected with a small pressure.

**Histology, Confocal Imaging, and Immunoisolation**

To label neuronal morphology, cells were filled with Neurobiotin (0.25%; Vector Laboratories) and stained with Cy3-conjugated streptavidin (1:500; Vector Laboratories) and secondary antibodies, sections were mounted with DAPI-containing 50% glycerol. Images were acquired by a Zeiss 510 scanning confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Inc.). For imaging axonal fibers at high power, a 63x oil-immersion objective (NA = 1.4) was used. Optical sections at Z axis were performed at a 0.7 μm interval.

For immunoisolation, synaptic vesicles from the IPN of adult C57BL/6 mice were isolated by a standard immunobead procedure (Hnasko et al., 2010). To coat protein G/A-Sepharose beads, we used the following antibodies: rabbit anti-VACHT (Synaptic Systems), goat anti-VACHT (Santa Cruz), guinea pig anti-VGLUT1 (Millipore), and mouse anti-synaptophysin (Chemicon).

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes four Figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.12.038.
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