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SUMMARY

The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) has been associated with the acquisition of motility, invasiveness, and self-renewal traits. During both normal development and tumor pathogenesis, this change in cell phenotype is induced by contextual signals that epithelial cells receive from their microenvironment. The signals that are responsible for inducing an EMT and maintaining the resulting cellular state have been unclear. We describe three signaling pathways, involving transforming growth factor (TGF)-β and canonical and noncanonical Wnt signaling, that collaborate to induce activation of the EMT program and thereafter function in an autocrine fashion to maintain the resulting mesenchymal state. Downregulation of endogenously synthesized inhibitors of autocrine signals in epithelial cells enables the induction of the EMT program. Conversely, disruption of autocrine signaling by added inhibitors of these pathways inhibits migration and self-renewal in primary mammary epithelial cells and reduces tumorigenicity and metastasis by their transformed derivatives.

INTRODUCTION

The epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) affects critical steps of morphogenesis by interconverting epithelial cell types into cells with mesenchymal attributes (Acloque et al., 2009; Thiery et al., 2009). EMT programs activated in carcinoma cells enable them to acquire cellular traits associated with high-grade malignancy, including the ability to complete various steps of the metastatic cascade (Brabletz et al., 2005; Singh and Settleman, 2010). In addition, certain epithelial cells that pass through an EMT acquire the self-renewing trait associated with normal tissue (SCs) and cancer stem cells (CSCs) (Mani et al., 2008; Morel et al., 2008). However, the signaling mechanisms that induce and then maintain this mesenchymal/SC state have remained unclear.

Diverse extracellular signals have been reported to induce EMTs in various cell types (Thiery et al., 2009). In response, pleiotropically acting transcription factors (TFs), such as Twist, Snail, Slug, ZEB1, and ZEB2, are induced that orchestrate EMT programs. We wished to determine whether EMTs induced in human mammary epithelial cells (MECs) by diverse stimuli are manifestations of a common underlying cellular program. In order to characterize mechanisms that induce and subsequently maintain EMT-associated properties in normal and neoplastic MECs, we speculated that autocrine signaling might play a key role in maintaining the mesenchymal/SC state and therefore focused on growth factors and morphogens operating in the extracellular space.

Initially, we utilized a spontaneously arising mesenchymal subpopulation (MSP) of cells isolated from immortalized human MECs (HMLE) (Elenbaas et al., 2001). Unlike the parental, largely epithelial HMLE cells, MSP cells resided stably in a mesenchymal/SC state. In this respect, MSP resembled HMLE cells induced to pass through an EMT by overexpression of the Twist EMT-TF (HTwist) (Yang et al., 2004). The MSP cells differed significantly from the HTwist cells, since induction and subsequent maintenance of these cells in the mesenchymal/SC state occurred spontaneously and was not provoked by an experimentally predetermined set of signals. Analyses of MSP cells have allowed us to define a set of extracellular signals that operate in a paracrine manner to induce entrance of HMLE cells into the mesenchymal/SC state and subsequently function as autocrine factors to maintain residence in this state. These signals also control the interconversion of primary stem- and progenitor cell-containing, basal MECs to lineage-restricted, luminal MECs, indicating that they operate in normal mammary gland homeostasis.

RESULTS

A Mesenchymal Subpopulation Isolated from Immortalized Human Mammary Epithelial Cells

We isolated a MSP of cells that were floating in the medium of monolayer cultures of experimentally immortalized HMLE cells,
similar to the derivation of cell populations described elsewhere (Chaffer et al., 2011). When transferred to new culture dishes, MSP cells reattached and could be propagated as adherent cultures (Figure 1A and Figure S1A available online). In contrast to the epithelial island-forming, parental HMLE cells, the MSP consisted of front-to-back polarized, single cells (Figures 1A and 1B). Similar to HTwist cells, MSP cells expressed several mesenchymal markers and EMT-TFs (Figures 1B–1D and Figure S1B). MSP cells also displayed a CD44hi/CD24− cell-surface marker profile (Figure 1E), suggesting that they form part of the naturally occurring CD44hi/CD24− SC subpopulation within HMLE cell cultures (Mani et al., 2008).

The mammosphere assay measures anchorage-independent proliferation at clonal density in vitro and has been associated with the presence of mammary epithelial progenitor and SC populations (Dontu et al., 2003). We therefore used the mammosphere-forming abilities of these various cell populations to gauge their content of SCs. Relative to the parental HMLE cells, fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-isolated CD44hi/CD24− HMLE cells were 5-fold, the MSP cells 12-fold, and the HTwist cells 18-fold enriched in mammosphere-forming cells (Figure 1F).

To test for another functional hallmark of the mesenchymal/SC state, we conducted in vitro motility assays. HTwist cells were most migratory (45-fold compared to bulk HMLE cells), followed by MSP cells (30-fold) and FACS-purified CD44hi/CD24− cells (12-fold) (Figure 1G). We noted that the majority epithelial population of parental HMLE cells displayed a CD44med/CD24+ cell-surface marker profile. When isolated by magnetic-activated cell sorting (MACS) or FACS, this population was depleted of mammosphere-forming and migrating cells, suggesting the latter were contained exclusively within the CD44hi/CD24− population (Figures 1F and 1G). Together, our observations indicated that spontaneously arising MSP cells represent a CD44hi/CD24− subpopulation enriched for motile, mammosphere-forming cells.

Comparative Profiling of the Autocrine Signaling Context
We speculated that maintenance of the mesenchymal/SC state might depend on the activation of autocrine signaling loops, as has been suggested for TGF-β-induced EMT in RAS-transformed mouse MECs (Oft et al., 1996). To test this notion, we studied secreted proteins released into the culture medium of MACS-purified HMLE24+ and HTwist cells using antibody arrays and extended these findings to the above-described MSP cells (Figures S1C–S1E and Table S1). Based on functional grouping, secreted factors associated with passage through an EMT contained predominantly proangiogenic factors and proteins acting in the Wnt and TGF-β signaling pathways (Table S2). For our initial studies, we focused on factors likely to function in an autocrine rather than paracrine manner on other cells within the tissue microenvironment; accordingly, the work described below emphasizes Wnt and TGF-β signaling.

We complemented the above analyses with microarray gene expression profiling of HMLE24+ and three independently derived MSP cell lines. Cluster analysis with a previously published “EMT core signature” based on HMLE cells ectopically expressing various EMT-TFs, including Twist (Taube et al., 2010), revealed significant overlap with the three MSP cell lines, whereas the HMLE24+ cells clustered with the bulk HMLE cells (Tables S3 and S4).

EMT-Associated Autocrine Signaling: Activation of TGF-β and Restriction of BMP Signaling
We initially focused on TGF-β signaling. We noted increased secretion of TGF-β1 protein by HTwist and MSP cells, relative to HMLE24+ cells (Figure 2A), correlating with increased activity (1.5- to 2-fold) of a Smad-reporter plasmid (SBE4-luc, Figure 2B) and Smad2 phosphorylation (Figure 2C), all indicators of active TGF-β signaling. We noted that MSP cells propagated in culture medium depleted of all growth factors retained Smad2 phosphorylation, supporting an autocrine origin of TGF-β (Figure 2D).

Our attention was also drawn to possible modulation of TGF-β by BMP signaling: mutual antagonism of TGF-β and BMP signaling pathways at several levels has been described (Guo and Wang, 2009), and BMP signaling is known to antagonize the TGF-β-driven EMT occurring during renal fibrosis (Zeisberg et al., 2003). In our own hands, secreted protein and gene expression profiling revealed two secreted BMP antagonists, Chordin-like 2 and Gremlin, to be significantly upregulated in MSP and HTwist compared to HMLE cells; this was confirmed by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Figure 2E).

These observations led us to interrogate the expression status of BMP ligands by RT-PCR: relative to their messenger RNA (mRNA) expression in the parental HMLE cells, several BMP ligands were strongly downregulated in both HTwist and MSP cells (Figure S2A). This suggested that TGF-β signaling might be enabled in the HTwist and MSP cells through downregulation of BMPs and upregulation of two BMP antagonists. Consequently, we tested whether TGF-β signaling could be modulated by the presence of BMP antagonists. Indeed, we observed an increase of Smad2 nuclear translocation in epithelial HMLE24+ cells stimulated with low doses of TGF-β in the presence of recombinant Gremlin or Chordin-like 2, relative to TGF-β introduced on its own. This effect was presumably achieved through neutralization by Gremlin and Chordin-like 2 of endogenously expressed BMP ligands (Figure 2F). Conversely, nuclear translocation of Smad2 after stimulation with a high concentration of TGF-β, presumably high enough to overcome inhibitory signals by endogenous BMP production, could be inhibited by concomitant addition of recombinant BMP4 to the growth medium (Figure 2F).

Together, these observations indicated that HTwist and MSP cells exhibit an extracellular signaling environment that restricts BMP signaling via (1) loss of BMP ligand production and (2) upregulation of two BMP antagonists. Consequently, we tested whether TGF-β signaling could be modulated by the presence of BMP antagonists. Indeed, we observed an increase of Smad2 nuclear translocation in epithelial HMLE24+ cells stimulated with low doses of TGF-β in the presence of recombinant Gremlin or Chordin-like 2, relative to TGF-β introduced on its own. This effect was presumably achieved through neutralization by Gremlin and Chordin-like 2 of endogenously expressed BMP ligands (Figure 2F). Conversely, nuclear translocation of Smad2 after stimulation with a high concentration of TGF-β, presumably high enough to overcome inhibitory signals by endogenous BMP production, could be inhibited by concomitant addition of recombinant BMP4 to the growth medium (Figure 2F).
Figure 1. A Mesenchymal Subpopulation Isolated from Immortalized Human Mammary Epithelial Cells
(A) Bright-phase microscopy: MACS-purified HMLE\textsuperscript{24+}, HMLE overexpressing Twist (HTwist), and reattached MSP cells.
(B) Immunofluorescence: adherens junction protein E-cadherin and tight-junction protein ZO-1 in HMLE\textsuperscript{24+}, HTwist, and MSP cells.
EMT-Associated Autocrine Signaling: Canonical and Noncanonical Wnt Signaling

We wished to determine whether Wnt signaling pathways, identified above as being activated upon entrance into a mesenchymal state, collaborated with TGF-β signaling in MSP and HTwist cells. First, we found that secretion of the canonical Wnt signaling antagonist Dickkopf-1 (DKK1) (Kuhnert et al., 2004) was downregulated in both HTwist and MSP cells (Figure 2G). Second, gene expression of the secreted frizzled-related protein 1 (SFRP1), which sequesters both canonical and noncanonical Wnt ligands in the extracellular space (Suzuki et al., 2004), was downregulated in HTwist and undetectable in MSP relative to HMLE24+ cells (Figure 2H). Of the multiple SFRPs encoded in the genome, SFRP1 was the only one expressed at significant levels in HMLE24+ relative to MSP cells (Figure S2C).

We also examined whether production of Wnt ligands was changed upon passage through an EMT. RT-PCR analysis revealed upregulation of noncanonical Wnt ligands 5a and 16 in HTwist and MSP cells (confirmed by immunoblotting for Wnt5a, Figure 2L), whereas other Wnt ligands were expressed at comparable levels (Wnt2, 2b, and 6) or downregulated (Figure S2D).

We measured the activity of β-catenin-dependent canonical Wnt signaling pathway using the TOPFlash β-catenin/TCF-LEF reporter assay (Veeman et al., 2003). Doing so revealed a 5-fold higher TOP relative to FOP (control) activity in HTwist and a 20-fold higher TOP/FOP activity in the MSP cells (Figure 2J). In contrast, β-catenin/TCF-LEF activity was undetectable in the HMLE24+ cells. In addition, mRNA levels of Axin2, a conserved β-catenin/TCF-LEF target gene, were upregulated 15- and 30-fold in HTwist and MSP cells, relative to HMLE24+ cells (Figure 2I). Together, these observations indicated that β-catenin-dependent, canonical Wnt signaling was active in HTwist and MSP cells but not in the parental HMLE24+ cells. Because no Wnt ligand was present in the medium, we concluded that canonical Wnt signaling operated in an autocrine manner. Importantly, the observed concomitant downregulation of Wnt antagonists appeared to be a rate-limiting determinant of β-catenin/TCF-LEF transcriptional activity, as suggested previously for certain breast and colon cancer cell lines (Batifico et al., 2004; Suzuki et al., 2004). Thus, we found that addition of either recombinant DKK1 or SFRP1 proteins resulted in 1.5- and 2-fold reduction of TOPFlash reporter activity in the HTwist and MSP cells, respectively (Figure 2K).

We also analyzed the activity of noncanonical Wnt signaling pathways in HTwist and MSP cells; as mentioned above, production of the noncanonical Wnt5a ligand was strongly upregulated in the MSP and HTwist cells (Figure 2L). Wnt5a binding to noncanonical Frizzled receptors has been shown to activate protein kinase C (PKC) isoforms (Dissanayake et al., 2007). Indeed, we observed significant levels of activated PKCs in HTwist and MSP cells, but not in the HMLE24+ cells (Figure 2L). In addition, JNK signaling has been shown to be activated by Wnt5a and to mediate breast cancer invasion (Pukrop et al., 2006). We found elevated phosphorylation of JNK and its downstream target, c-Jun, in HTwist and MSP relative to the parental HMLE24+ cells (Figure 2L). These results suggested that autocrine noncanonical Wnt signaling was active in HTwist and MSP cells, acting via at least two downstream pathways involving the PKC and JNK proteins.

Autocrine Signaling Controls Migration and Mammosphere Formation

The above experiments indicated that autocrine canonical and noncanonical Wnt as well as TGF-β signaling pathways were active in MSP and HTwist cells. To address whether ongoing autocrine signaling was instrumental in maintaining residence in the mesenchymal/SC state, we added back to cultured HTwist and MSP cell cultures some of the secreted inhibitory factors that we found to be downregulated relative to the parental HMLE24+ cells and assessed effects on motility and mammosphere-forming ability.

Addition of either recombinant DKK1 or SFRP1 to the culture medium of HTwist and MSP cells inhibited migration in a dose-dependent manner (Figures 3A and 3B). At the highest concentration, recombinant DKK1 reduced migration 10-fold, and SFRP1 20-fold (Figures 3A and 3B). BMP4 had a less potent effect on migration, inducing a 2-fold reduction in HTwist and MSP cells (Figure 3C). Of note, proliferation of adherent HTwist and MSP cultures was not affected by these proteins, excluding general cytostatic and cytotoxic effects (Figure S3A).

To test the effects of Wnt antagonists and BMP ligands on mammosphere-forming ability, we added various proteins daily for a period of 5d beginning from initial seeding of cells. We then dissociated the initially formed mammospheres and reintroduced these cells into secondary mammosphere cultures in the absence of further treatment. We observed a modest reduction of sphere formation by DKK1 (Figures 3D and 3E). SFRP1 and BMP4 had a more potent effect, reducing secondary sphere formation by 80%–90% in MSP and HTwist cells (Figure 3E). Taken together, these data indicated that SFRP1 and BMP4, and to a lesser extent DKK1, inhibit migration and self-renewal.

We also tested the cooperative effects of these factors. Thus, we added either DKK1 or SFRP1 in combination with BMP4 to HTwist cells: doing so inhibited migration in an additive manner (8- and 7-fold, respectively, compared to 3-, 5-, and 2-fold when added individually, Figure 3F). During primary mammosphere formation, we observed a 74% reduction in
Figure 2. EMT-Associated Autocrine Signaling
(A) ELISA: TGF-β1 secretion by HMLE24+, HTwist, and MSP cells. n = 3.
(B) Luciferase reporter assay: Smad transcriptional activity. Cells were transfected with SBE4-luc reporter plasmid, and firefly luciferase levels were normalized to pGL-SV40 renilla transfection control. n = 3.
(C) Immunoblot: Smad2 phosphorylation in HMLE24+, HTwist, and MSP cells. Loading control, β-actin.
(D) Immunoblot: Smad2 phosphorylation. Loading control, β-actin. Cells were cultivated 24 hr in full medium (++), growth factors reduced by 90% (+), or growth factor free (–).
(E) RT-PCR: mRNA expression of BMP antagonists Gremlin and Chordin-like 2, both relative to HMLE24+ cells.
(F) Immunofluorescence: modulation of Smad2/3 nuclear translocation by BMP antagonists and ligand. HMLE 24+ cells were stimulated with TGF-β1 (low, 0.3 ng/ml; high, 2.5 ng/ml) alone or together with Chordin-like 2, Gremlin (both 2.5 μg/ml), or BMP4 (0.5 μg/ml) for 30 min.
(G) RT-PCR: DKK1-mRNA expression. ELISA: DKK1-protein secretion. n = 3.
(H) RT-PCR: SFRP1-mRNA expression.
(I) RT-PCR: Axin2-mRNA expression.
(J) Luciferase reporter assay (TOPFlash): cells were transfected with Super(8x)TOP (TCF-LEF reporter) or FOP construct (mutated binding sites). Shown is TOP over FOP firefly luciferase activity, normalized to pGL-SV40 renilla transfection control. n = 3.
(K) Inhibition of TOPFlash activity: DKK1 or SFRP1 protein (1.0 μg/ml) was added to the growth medium of HTwist and MSP for 12 hr. n = 3.
sphere-forming efficiency when DKK1 or SFRP1 were added together with BMP4 (Figure 3G). This compared to 40% reduction when either DKK1 or SFRP1 was added singly and a 64% reduction when BMP4 was added singly.

These responses suggested that the three classes of secreted signaling proteins work, at least in part, through distinct downstream pathways. To inhibit autocrine TGF-β signaling directly, we used pharmacologic inhibitors of the TGF-β receptor type I (TGFBRI); these attenuated migration and mammosphere formation of HTwist and MSP cells to a similar extent as added BMP4 protein (Figures S3D and S3E). However, the combination of a TGFBRI inhibitor and BMP4 did not have an additive effect (Figure S3D), suggesting that BMP4 exerts most of its inhibitory effects by interfering with TGF-β signaling.

(L) Immunoblot: PKC and JNK pathways associated with noncanonical Wnt signaling. Phospho-JNK antibody generated an unspecific bottom band; asterisks point to phosphorylated SAPK/JNK isoforms. Loading control, β-actin.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. See also Figure S2.
Our findings emphasize the importance of ongoing autocrine signaling in maintaining the mesenchymal/SC state of the MSP cells. More surprising were the observed effects on HTwist cells (Figures 3A–3E), suggesting that continuous expression of the EMT-inducing Twist TF cannot maintain residence in the mesenchymal/SC state through intracellular signaling, but instead depends on extracellular autocrine signaling loops. To further test this notion, we induced an EMT in HMLE cells using a conditional Twist-ER expression vector (Casas et al., 2011). We induced Twist expression for 12 days by application of tamoxifen, after which these HTwist-ER cells maintained a stable, mesenchymal/SC state in the absence of further tamoxifen, presumably due to induced expression of endogenous EMT-TFs (Figure S3F). Indeed, these cells remained sensitive to inhibition of migration and mammosphere formation by SFRP1, BMP4, and a TGFBRI inhibitor (Figures S3G and S3H).

**Autocrine Signaling Controls Tumorigenicity and Metastasis of MSP-RAS Cells**

We also wished to determine whether autocrine loops maintain the tumorigenic and metastatic behaviors of the RAS oncogene-transformed derivatives of HMLE cells. To begin, we measured the tumor-initiating frequency of RAS-transformed MSP and HMLE24+ cells. Similar to previously published results (Mani et al., 2008), implantation of 1 × 10^5 HMLE24+-RAS cells was necessary for subcutaneous tumor formation, whereas HTwist-RAS and MSP-RAS populations were enriched for tumor-initiating cells by at least two orders of magnitude (Figures S4A and S4B). Moreover, two independently isolated MSP-RAS populations exhibited a 10-fold increased ability to seed metastatic foci in the lung compared to HMLE24+-RAS cells (Figures S4C–S4G).

Exposure in vitro of MSP-RAS cells to SFRP1 protein reduced motility 9-fold, to BMP4 4-fold, and to a combination treatment 30-fold (Figure 4A). Importantly, proliferation of MSP-RAS cells in monolayer culture was unaffected by the presence of these recombinant proteins (Figure S4H).

As a surrogate assay for tumor-initiating ability, we tested the formation of tumorspheres in three-dimensional cultures at clonal densities (Fillmore and Kuperwasser, 2008). MSP-RAS cells treated with recombinant SFRP1 and BMP4 during primary tumorsphere formation displayed a reduction in both primary as well as secondary sphere formation by 40% when each factor was added alone, and by 60% when added in combination (Figure 4B). Together, these data indicated that autocrine signaling continues to control migratory and self-renewal abilities in MSP cells after transformation by a RAS oncogene.

To determine whether in vitro exposure to SFRP1 and BMP4 influenced subsequent in vivo behavior of MSP-RAS cells, we prepared single-cell suspensions from MSP-RAS tumorspheres and implanted them orthotopically in mice. We noted that tumors originating from mammospheres of MSP-RAS cells exposed ex vivo to SFRP1, BMP4, or a combination of both were reduced in weight by 3-fold on average (Figure 4C and Figure S4J). We observed a modest reduction in tumor incidence for the BMP4- and combination-treated groups (30%), suggesting that sufficient numbers of tumor-initiating cells remained which were, however, compromised in their ability to vigorously proliferate and/or survive in vivo.

Further, we noted a 2-fold reduction in lung metastases in the SFRP1-treated group, 7.5-fold in the BMP4-treated group, and 4.5-fold in the combination-treated group (Figure 4E). Echoing the effects on migration in vitro, combination treatment with SFRP1 and BMP4 had a synergistic effect in decreasing the number of metastatic foci in the liver, by >10-fold on average, compared to a 2.5- and 5-fold reduction in the mice bearing cells treated ex vivo with SFRP1 or BMP4 singly (Figures 4F and 4G).

We speculated that exposure to SFRP1 and BMP4 after implantation in mouse hosts might exert a stronger effect on the tumor-initiating ability of MSP-RAS cells. Accordingly, we implanted these cells subcutaneously in limiting dilutions in Matrigel plugs impregnated with SFRP1, BMP4, or a combination of both (Figure 4G). In addition, recombinant proteins at indicated doses were injected peritumorally 1, 2, 3, and 7 days after implantation of the MSP-RAS cells. We found that exposure to SFRP1, BMP4, or combination treatment reduced tumor incidence by at least one order of magnitude (Figure 4H). Together, these observations demonstrated that similar regulatory loops were operative in the immortalized HMLE cells and their transformed derivatives.

**Creation of a Permissive Extracellular Environment Promotes the Induction of an EMT in HMLE Cells**

The above experiments revealed that ongoing autocrine signaling through Wnt and TGF-β pathways was important for maintaining the migratory and self-renewal abilities of cells that resided in the mesenchymal/SC state. It remained possible that these same pathways could activate an EMT program in epithelial cells. To examine this, we treated HMLE24+ cells with TGF-β, the first extracellular factor reported to induce an EMT (Oft et al., 1996). However, treatment of HMLE24+ cells for a period of 3 days with TGF-β, titrated to elicit maximal Smad2-phosphorylation (Figure S5A), did not result in a change in expression of mRNAs encoding epithelial and mesenchymal markers, and EMT-TFs (Figure 5A). These data echoed previous observations demonstrating that TGF-β on its own rarely induces an EMT (Brown et al., 2004).

We therefore explored contributions of additional signaling pathways to activation of the EMT program in HMLE24+ cells. These involved modulation of Wnt signaling and destabilization of adherens junctions. To reduce Wnt inhibition, we added a DKK1-neutralizing antibody and knocked down expression of Wnt5a, since Wnt5a was upregulated in HTwist and MSP cells. To destabilize adherens junctions, we added an anti-E-cadherin antibody; previous work suggested that loss of E-cadherin is a rate-limiting step for EMT (Onder et al., 2008).

To summarize our findings, only the presence of all of these EMT-inducing components plus recombinant TGF-β1, which together constituted the EMT induction cocktail (IC), led to a significant induction of the mesenchymal marker N-cadherin and the ZEB1 and ZEB2 EMT-TFs in HMLE24+ cells (Figure 5A and Figures S5C and S5D).
Creation of a Permissive Extracellular Environment for Stable Expression of Mesenchymal/SC Traits

To investigate whether the initiation of an EMT triggered by the IC would yield cells that entered stably into the mesenchymal/SC state, we treated HMLE24+ cells with TGF-β alone or with the above-described IC (Figure 5A). Over 14 days, IC-treated cells acquired a mesenchymal morphology, while control and TGF-β-treated cells remained epithelial (Figures S5E and S5F). During 12 subsequent passages (9/C24 36 population doublings) after cessation of treatment, IC-treated cells remained mesenchymal and did not revert back to an epithelial morphology.

In such phenotypically stable IC-treated cells, but not in those treated only with TGF-β, we observed a switch from E- to N-cadherin expression and upregulation of the Vimentin and Fibronectin mesenchymal markers (Figure 5B and Figures S5E and S5I). RT-PCR analysis of ZEB1, ZEB2, and Twist EMT-TFs provided further evidence that IC-treated cells had moved stably into a mesenchymal state: these mRNAs were upregulated >100-fold compared to control cells and those treated with TGF-β alone (Figure S5G).

On the basis of the work presented above, we speculated that autocrine signaling loops involving the same factors that had previously triggered entrance into an EMT were established in these IC-treated cells. Indeed, relative to control and TGF-β-treated cells, we observed a 3- to 4-fold increase in Smad-reporter activity (Figure S5H) and Smad2 phosphorylation (Figure S5I). In IC-treated cells, we detected cytoplasmic and nuclear β-catenin (Figure 5B) and β-catenin/TCF-LEF reporter activity, indicative of canonical Wnt signaling (Figure S5J). We also measured increased levels of Wnt5a and elevated phosphorylation of PKCs and JNK, indicative of noncanonical Wnt signaling (Figure S5I).
Relative to control cells, IC-treated cells formed 70 times more mammospheres, and their ability to migrate increased 50-fold (Figures 5C and 5D). In contrast, cells treated only with TGF-β displayed a small increase in mammosphere-forming efficiency (10-fold) but not in motility. Echoing these results, we observed a comparably small increase in the proportion of CD44$^{hi}$/CD24$^{-}$ cells in TGF-β-treated cells (from 0.2% to 1.5%) compared to the 98% representation of CD44$^{hi}$/CD24$^{-}$ cells in IC-treated cultures (Figure 5F).

Importantly, these effects could not be attributed to increased proliferation of the IC- and TGF-β-treated cells; their proliferation rates were lower than those of control cells (Figure S5F). Moreover IC-treated cells remained sensitive to perturbation of their autocrine environment: treatment of these cells with SFRP1, BMP4 or a combination of both inhibited migration and mammosphere formation (Figures 5E and 5F). Finally, when these various cell populations were transformed with a RAS oncogene, IC-treated cells, implanted into the mammary fat pads of mice, gave rise to lung metastatic foci with a similar elevated frequency as MSP-RAS cells. By contrast, control and TGF-β-treated cells were only weakly metastatic (Figures 7G and 7H).

Together, these various findings indicated that the same factors involved in maintaining the mesenchymal/SC state can also serve to induce entrance into this state, allowing the derivation of cells with stable migratory and SC properties from...
populations that previously displayed these functional attributes at very low levels.

**Characterization of Primary Mammary Epithelial Cell Populations Enriched in Self-renewal and Motility**

We set out to explore whether the autocrine loops that govern migratory and self-renewal traits in HMLE cells and their transformed derivatives were also operative in cell populations residing within the human mammary gland. In fact, stem and progenitor cells had been previously resolved within CD49f+/EpcAM⁺ MECEPC populations (Eirew et al., 2008). Accordingly, we prepared CD49f⁺ MECEPC populations from reduction mamooplasties that were either positive or negative for expression of EpcAM by FACS (MECEPC⁺ or MECEPC⁻, Figure S6A) and conducted mammosphere assays to test for the presence of stem and progenitor cells. We found that sorted MECEPC populations displayed an mammosphere-forming frequency of 0.15%–0.5%, comparable to previous reports (Dontu et al., 2003). In contrast, 1%–2% of MECEPC⁺ cells formed mammospheres, relative to 0.1%–0.3% of MECEPC⁻ cells (Figure 6A).

We noted that MECEPC⁺ cells formed epithelial islands, whereas MECEPC⁻ cells displayed a scattered mesenchymal morphology (Figure S6B). Both MECEPC⁺ and MECEPC⁻ cells expressed cytokeratins at similar levels; however, the MECEPC⁻ cells additionally expressed high levels of the mesenchymal marker vimentin (Figure 6C). Despite similar levels of E-cadherin mRNA (Figure S6C), MECEPC⁻ cells did not form adherens or tight junctions (Figure 6C). We next assessed the expression of EMT-TFs and observed high expression levels of Slug, Twist, and p63 and Slug were reduced to levels comparable to those in MECEPC⁺ cells, whereas Claudin-2 mRNA, associated with the epithelial state, was upregulated (Figure S7B), suggesting a luminal differentiation process.

Similar to our earlier experiments, we also determined whether the EMT-IC could be applied to MECEPC⁺ cells to induce migratory and mammosphere-forming abilities. We found that a 5 day pretreatment of MECEPC⁺ cells with TGF-β, E-cadherin antibody, or a combination of neutralizing DKK1 and SFRP1 antibodies together with Wnt5a (functioning as activators of the canonical and noncanonical Wnt pathways, respectively) increased subsequent migration and mammosphere formation 3- to 5-fold. However, when added together, these factors did not function in either an additive or synergistic manner (Figures S7D and S7E).

We speculated that a sequential activation of Wnt and TGF-β signaling might allow MECEPC⁺ cells to respond to both signals. Indeed, a 3 day pretreatment with the Wnt activators prior to addition of TGF-β, E-cadherin antibody, or a combination of both for an additional 2 days resulted in a synergistic increase of migration and mammosphere formation (Figures 7A and 7B). Importantly, when primary mammospheres were dissociated and plated into secondary sphere assay, we observed an expansion of the proportion of sphere-forming cells only in MECEPC⁺ cells that had been treated with all factors, reaching a representation similar to that observed in MECEPC⁻ cells (Figure 7B).

Additionally, MECEPC⁺ cells exposed to all agents present in the IC showed the greatest decrease in juncional E-cadherin, β-catenin, and ZO-1 expression and greatest increase in Slug and p63 expression (Figures 7C and 7D). By contrast, various
Figure 6. Characterization of Primary Mammary Epithelial Cell Populations Enriched in Self-Renewal and Motility

(A) Mammosphere assay: microscopy images of mammospheres and quantification of mammosphere formation by FACS-purified MEC^{EPC−} cells, MEC^{EPC+} cells, and unsorted MECs (Figure S6A) after 5 days of monolayer culture. Primary spheres formed over a period of 7 days were dissociated and seeded for secondary sphere formation. n = 48.

(B) Migration assay: microscopy images of migrated cells and quantification. n = 6 wells.

(C–E) Immunofluorescence: expression of mesenchymal and epithelial markers in MEC^{EPC−} and MEC^{EPC+} cells after 5 days of monolayer culture. Vimentin, pan-cytokeratin (panCK), E-cadherin, and ZO-1 (C), and EMT-TF Twist, Slug, and ZEB1 (D), and beta-catenin and Smad2 (E).

(F) Mammosphere assay: MEC^{EPC−} cells were seeded into assay after a 5 day pretreatment with TGFβRI inhibitors A83-01, SB435142 (SB43, both at 10 μM), and recombinant SFRP1 (1 μg/ml). n = 24.

(G) Migration assay: MEC^{EPC−} cells were seeded into migration assays after 5 day pretreatment as described in (C). n = 6.

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. See also Figure S6.
combinations of these factors led to partial EMT phenotypes with mild disruption of adherens and tight-junction formation. Importantly, the highest levels of nuclear Smad2 as well as cytoplasmic and nuclear β-catenin and upregulation of Axin2 mRNA were observed in MEC

Figure 7. Wnt and TGF-β Signals Govern Conversions between Basal and Luminal MECs
(A) Mammosphere assay: FACS-purified MEC

expression of p63, Slug, Axin2, and E-cadherin in MEC

plasmic and nuclear β-catenin and upregulation of Axin2 mRNA were observed in MEC

establishment of autocrine Wnt and TGF-β signaling (Figures
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7C and 7D). Together, these data demonstrated a remarkable plasticity in MEC-scCPC+ cells: exposure of these cells to DKK1-, SFRP1-, and E-cadherin-neutralizing antibodies, together with TGF-β and Wnt5a, resulted in the collaborative induction of mesenchymal and basal traits, as well as motility and mammosphere-forming ability.

**DISCUSSION**

An array of contextual signals has been implicated in the induction of an EMT, almost invariably in cells that have undergone unknown predisposing genetic changes (Thiery et al., 2009). Using primary normal, immortalized, and neoplastic human MECs, we demonstrate that the EMT program cannot be efficiently induced by activation of one or another signaling pathway. Instead, multiple pathways must collaborate with one another to do so.

The present data extend an experimental paradigm described 14 years ago, when it was shown that TGF-β is able to induce an EMT in RAS-transformed mouse MECs (Oft et al., 1996). In our hands, TGF-β signaling, while necessary, is not sufficient for this conversion in human MECs and instead requires collaboration of two Wnt signaling pathways. Our observations indicate that some of the factors necessary for the induction of these three EMT-inducing autocrine signaling loops are secreted by cells that reside in an epithelial state. However, these factors fail to establish autocrine signaling loops because of the concomitant secretion of inhibitors. Accordingly, a permissive environment for the induction of an EMT can be created by reducing the levels of secreted inhibitors of these pathways, specifically SFRP1, DKK1, and BMPs. Future studies are needed to determine directly whether such a mechanism operates in the intact mammary gland and, more generally, in the homeostasis of other epithelial tissues. Thus, it remains to be demonstrated that the signaling circuits critical to EMT induction in human MECs operate as well in a variety of other epithelial cell types.

Once cells have passed through an EMT, both normal and neoplastic MECs maintain their residence in the resulting mesenchymal/SC state by ongoing autocrine signaling, doing so through the same signals that previously induced entrance into this state. Thus, we observed that after a 14 day treatment period, continued exposure to the EMT-IC was no longer required. Our data suggest that this is due to the establishment of a network of mutually reinforcing autocrine loops engaging both Wnt and TGF-β pathways. These autocrine loops presumably serve to ensure continued expression of the EMT transcriptional circuitry. Indeed, during experimental induction of an EMT, we observed activation of the expression of several EMT-inducing TFs, notably Slug, Twist, ZEB1, and ZEB2. Further support of this biological concept comes from the work of others, demonstrating that embryonic (Ogawa et al., 2007), muscle (Abou-Khalil et al., 2009), mesenchymal (Rider et al., 2008), and neural (Toda et al., 2003) SCs secrete growth factors in an autocrine manner to protect themselves from spontaneous differentiation and to support their self-renewal.

Responding to these findings, we suggest that residence in the mesenchymal/SC state can be destabilized if extracellular autocrine loops are disrupted by exposure to their endogenous inhibitors, i.e., the Wnt antagonists DKK1 and SFRP1, as well as BMPs. Thus, in our own hands, disruption of autocrine signaling leads to the reduction of migratory and mammosphere-forming ability in immortalized MECs and to inhibition of metastasis and tumor-initiating ability in their transformed derivatives.

We find that the same set of signals that induce and maintain mesenchymal/SC traits via induction of an EMT program in immortalized and transformed HMLE cells also governs interconversions between primary SC/progenitor cell-enriched (basal) and lineage-restricted (luminal) MECs. These data suggest that, given a permissive signaling context, a high degree of plasticity exists within normal MEC populations and provide direct support for the emerging notion that normal and neoplastic epithelial cells utilize very similar SC programs.

A number of additional factors have been found capable of inducing an EMT in a variety of epithelial cell types, including Notch, Sonic Hedgehog, and various growth factors that activate tyrosine kinase receptors. We suggest that the physiologic relevance of these factors and their roles in maintaining the mesenchymal/SC state can be gauged by studying epithelial cells of various tissue origins that undergo spontaneous entrance into this state, such as the MSP cells we describe in this manuscript. Such work may reveal alternative mechanisms of stabilizing residence in the mesenchymal/SC state in other epithelial tissues. It also remains possible that the pathways described here represent core EMT-inducing signals shared in common by a variety of epithelial cell types and that other documented EMT-inducing signaling pathways ultimately converge on the three studied here.

In the longer term, the present observations may provide the basis for efficiently inducing differentiated epithelial cells to pass through an EMT and enter into a SC state without relying on genetic alteration, such as introduction of genes specifying EMT-TFs. Such an approach may eventually be of great utility in the area of regenerative medicine in those instances where the rapid regeneration of damaged epithelial tissues is required. Acting in the opposing direction, the tumor-initiating cells of certain carcinomas may be forced to exit the mesenchymal/SC state by therapeutically interrupting multiple autocrine signaling pathways required for their continued residence in this state.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

Migration and Invasion Assays
Twenty-five thousand cells were seeded into 24-well cell culture inserts with 8 μm pores (BD Falcon). After 12–48 hr, the cells on the upper surface of the filters were removed with a cotton swab. For visualization, cells on lower filter surfaces were fixed and stained with a Diff-Quick staining kit (Dade Behring). Twenty-five thousand cells were seeded into 24-well cell culture inserts with 8 μm pores (BD Falcon). After 12–48 hr, the cells on the upper surface of the filters were removed with a cotton swab. For visualization, cells on lower filter surfaces were fixed and stained with a Diff-Quick staining kit (Dade Behring). Three to five fields per filter were counted. Data are presented as migrated cells per field.

Mammosphere and Tumorsphere Assay
Assays were performed as previously described with modifications (Dontu et al., 2003): 1000 cells/well were seeded in 96-well ultra-low adhesion plates (Corning) in MEGM medium containing 1.3% methylcellulose (Stem Cell Technologies) supplemented with 20 ng/ml EGF, 10 ng/ml bFGF (Sigma), and B27 (GIBCO). For secondary sphere formation, primary spheres were dissociated by trypsinization and replated at 1000 cells/well.

Immunochemistry

Cells were prepared according to standard protocols and suspended in 0.1% BSA/PBS on ice prior to FACS. 7-AAD (BD Biosciences) was used to exclude dead cells. Cells were sorted on a BD FACSAria SORP and analyzed on a BD LSRII with BD FACSDiva Software (BD Biosciences).

RNA Preparation and RT-PCR Analysis

RNA was isolated with the RNeasy Micro kit (QIAGEN). Reverse transcription was performed with the SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis kit (Invitrogen). SYBR Green Mix I (Roche Diagnostics) was used for amplification, and Fluorescence-Activated Cell Sorting and Flow Cytometry

Cells were prepared according to standard protocols and suspended in 0.1% BSA/PBS on ice prior to FACS. 7-AAD (BD Biosciences) was used to exclude dead cells. Cells were sorted on a BD FACSAria SORP and analyzed on a BD LSRII with BD FACSDiva Software (BD Biosciences).

Statistical Analysis

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. A Student’s t test (two-tailed) was used to compare two groups (p < 0.05 was considered significant) unless otherwise indicated.
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