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Measurement of the top-quark mass in the all-hadronic channel using the full CDF data set
The top-quark mass $M_{\text{top}}$ is measured using top quark-antiquark pairs produced in proton-antiproton collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 1.96 TeV and that decay into a fully hadronic final state. The full data set collected with the CDF II detector at the Fermilab Tevatron Collider, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $9.3 \, \text{fb}^{-1}$, is used. Events are selected that have six to eight jets, at least one of which is identified as having originated from a $b$ quark. In addition, a multivariate algorithm, containing multiple kinematic variables as inputs, is used to discriminate signal events from background events due to QCD multijet production. Templates for the reconstructed top-quark mass are combined in a likelihood fit to measure $M_{\text{top}}$ with a simultaneous calibration of the jet energy scale. A value of $M_{\text{top}} = 175.07 \pm 1.19(\text{stat}) \pm 1.55(\text{syst}) \, \text{GeV}/c^2$ is obtained for the top-quark mass.
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The mass of the top quark, $M_{\text{top}}$, is a fundamental parameter of the standard model (SM). Furthermore, the measured value of $M_{\text{top}}$ is comparable to the mass scale of electroweak-symmetry breaking, suggesting that the top quark may play a special role in this phenomenon, either in the SM or in new physics processes beyond the SM [1,2]. After the Higgs-boson discovery by the ATLAS and CMS experiments [3,4], precise measurements of $M_{\text{top}}$ are critical inputs to global electroweak fits that assess the self-consistency of the SM [5], and are crucial for determining the stability of the vacuum [6].

In $p\bar{p}$ collisions at 1.96 TeV center-of-mass energy, top quarks are produced predominantly in pairs ($t\bar{t}$), with each top quark decaying into a $W$ boson and a bottom quark with a probability of nearly 100% [7]. For this analysis candidate events are selected in which both $W$ bosons decay to a quark-antiquark pair ($t\bar{t} \rightarrow W^+ b W^- \bar{b} \rightarrow q_1 \bar{q}_2 b q_3 \bar{q}_4 \bar{b}$). This final state, the all-hadronic channel, comprises 46% of all $t\bar{t}$ final states, which is larger than the probabilities of all other individual $t\bar{t}$ decay channels. However, it suffers from large multijet background due to quantum chromodynamics (QCD) production, which exceeds $t\bar{t}$ production by 3 orders of magnitude. The principal advantage of this analysis channel, though, is that a full kinematic reconstruction of the $t\bar{t}$ state is possible, as there are no undetected particles. In this paper, we present a measurement of the top-quark mass using the full data set collected by the CDF experiment in 2002–2011, with the same event selection as in Ref. [8]. Apart from the nearly twofold increase in integrated luminosity, additional improvements come from the use of a new Monte Carlo generator, the POWHEG [9], a next-to-leading-order generator in the simulated samples used for the signal are now produced [9], while simultaneously ($E_T$) satisfies $E_T/\sqrt{\sum E_T} < 3 \text{ GeV}$, where $\sum E_T$ is the sum of the transverse energy of all jets. Of these events, less than 16,000 are expected to originate from $t\bar{t}$ signal. The signal purity is improved through an artificial neural network, which takes as input a set of kinematic and jet-shape variables [12].

The data correspond to the full integrated luminosity of 9.3 fb$^{-1}$. Events are selected with a multijet trigger [12], and retained only if they have no well-identified energetic electron or muon. A jet is identified as a cluster of calorimeter energies contained within a cone of radius $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.4$, where $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ are the distances in pseudorapidity [13] and azimuthal angle between a tower center and the cluster axis. Jet energies are corrected for a number of effects that bias their measurement [14].

A total of about $11.4 \times 10^6$ events are selected in data having six to eight jets, each with a transverse energy of at least 15 GeV and satisfying a pseudorapidity requirement of $|\eta| \leq 2.0$. Events with neutrinos in the final state are suppressed by the requirement that the missing transverse energy $E_T$ [13] is small with respect to its resolution, and satisfies $E_T/\sqrt{\sum E_T} < 3 \text{ GeV}$, where $\sum E_T$ is the sum of the transverse energy of all jets. Of these events, less than 16,000 are expected to originate from $t\bar{t}$ signal. The signal purity is improved through an artificial neural network, which takes as input a set of kinematic and jet-shape variables [12].

The dominant backgrounds to the all-hadronic final state come from the QCD production of heavy-quark pairs ($b\bar{b}$ and $c\bar{c}$) and from events with incorrectly tagged jets associated with light quarks or gluons. In the strong-interaction coupling interfaced with PYTHIA [10] for parton shower evolution and hadronization, the CDF II detector consists of high-precision tracking systems for vertex and charged-particle track reconstruction, surrounded by electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters for energy measurement. Muon subsystems are located outside the calorimeter for muon detection. A detailed description can be found in Ref. [11]. The data correspond to the full integrated luminosity of 9.3 fb$^{-1}$. Events are selected with a multijet trigger [12], and retained only if they have no well-identified energetic electron or muon. A jet is identified as a cluster of calorimeter energies contained within a cone of radius $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.4$, where $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ are the distances in pseudorapidity [13] and azimuthal angle between a tower center and the cluster axis. Jet energies are corrected for a number of effects that bias their measurement [14].

A total of about $11.4 \times 10^6$ events are selected in data having six to eight jets, each with a transverse energy of at least 15 GeV and satisfying a pseudorapidity requirement of $|\eta| \leq 2.0$. Events with neutrinos in the final state are suppressed by the requirement that the missing transverse energy $E_T$ [13] is small with respect to its resolution, and satisfies $E_T/\sqrt{\sum E_T} < 3 \text{ GeV}$, where $\sum E_T$ is the sum of the transverse energy of all jets. Of these events, less than 16,000 are expected to originate from $t\bar{t}$ signal. The signal purity is improved through an artificial neural network, which takes as input a set of kinematic and jet-shape variables [12].

The data correspond to the full integrated luminosity of 9.3 fb$^{-1}$. Events are selected with a multijet trigger [12], and retained only if they have no well-identified energetic electron or muon. A jet is identified as a cluster of calorimeter energies contained within a cone of radius $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2} = 0.4$, where $\Delta \eta$ and $\Delta \phi$ are the distances in pseudorapidity [13] and azimuthal angle between a tower center and the cluster axis. Jet energies are corrected for a number of effects that bias their measurement [14].

A total of about $11.4 \times 10^6$ events are selected in data having six to eight jets, each with a transverse energy of at least 15 GeV and satisfying a pseudorapidity requirement of $|\eta| \leq 2.0$. Events with neutrinos in the final state are suppressed by the requirement that the missing transverse energy $E_T$ [13] is small with respect to its resolution, and satisfies $E_T/\sqrt{\sum E_T} < 3 \text{ GeV}$, where $\sum E_T$ is the sum of the transverse energy of all jets. Of these events, less than 16,000 are expected to originate from $t\bar{t}$ signal. The signal purity is improved through an artificial neural network, which takes as input a set of kinematic and jet-shape variables [12].
derived for the signal from variables sensitive to the true values of $M_{\text{top}}$ and JES. The chosen templates correspond to the top-quark mass $m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec}$ and the $W$-boson mass $m_W^\text{rec}$, obtained from a kinematical reconstruction of the final state. The JES is a multiplicative factor that, applied to the raw energy of a reconstructed jet, returns a corrected energy that is designed to give the best estimate of the energy of the associated parton. The uncertainty on the JES value to be applied in simulated events results in a large uncertainty on the measurements of $M_{\text{top}}$. A maximum likelihood fit is then performed to find the $M_{\text{top}}$ and JES values that best match the distributions observed in the data.

In this analysis the applied JES is expressed as a function of the dimensionless parameter $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$, which measures the shift $\Delta_{\text{JES}} \cdot \sigma_c$ with respect the CDF default value. The latter is based on a combination of instrumental calibration and analysis of data control samples [14], and $\sigma_c$ represents here its uncertainty.

For each selected event, mass combinations are generated [12] assigning in turn each one of the six highest-$E_T$ jets to one of the final-state six quarks. Then, for each combination, two triplets of jets are associated with the two top quarks, each triplet including a pair of jets (corresponding to the $W$ boson) and a $b$-tagged jet. The number of possible combinations is reduced by assigning $b$-tagged jets to $b$ quarks only, resulting in 30, 6, or 18 permutations for events with one, two, or three tagged jets, respectively.

For each combination, a value of $m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec}$ is obtained through a constrained fit based on the minimization of a $\chi^2$-like function defined as

$$\chi_i^2 = \frac{(m_{jj}^{(1)} - M_W)^2 c_i^4}{\Gamma_W^2} + \frac{(m_{jj}^{(2)} - M_W)^2 c_i^4}{\Gamma_W^2} + \frac{(m_{jjb}^{(1)} - m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec})^2 c_i^4}{\Gamma_i^2} + \frac{(m_{jjb}^{(2)} - m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec})^2 c_i^4}{\Gamma_i^2} + \frac{6 \sum_{i=1}^6 (p_T^{\text{fit}} - p_T^{\text{meas}})^2}{\sigma_i^2},$$

where $m_{jj}^{(1,2)}$ represent the invariant masses of the two pairs of jets assigned to light-flavor quarks, while $m_{jjb}^{(1,2)}$ represent the invariant masses of the triplets including one light-flavor pair and one jet assigned to a $b$ quark. The quantities $M_W = 80.4$ GeV/$c^2$ and $\Gamma_W = 2.1$ GeV are the known measured mass and width of the $W$ boson [7], while $\Gamma_i = 1.5$ GeV is the estimated natural width of the top quark [17]. In the fit, the transverse momenta of the jets $p_T^{\text{fit}}$ are constrained to their measured values $p_T^{\text{meas}}$ within their known resolutions $\sigma_i$. Among all combinations, the one that gives the lowest value for the minimized $\chi^2_i$ is selected along with the value of $m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec}$ determined by the fit. An additional fit is introduced for the reconstruction of $m_W^\text{rec}$, by defining a specific $\chi^2$ function, $\chi_W^2$, where the known $W$-boson mass is replaced by $m_W^\text{rec}$ and left free to vary. Independent distributions for events with exactly one or with two or three tags are built from the $m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec}$ and $m_W^\text{rec}$ values.

Signal templates are formed using simulated events with top-quark masses ranging from 167.5 to 177.5 GeV/$c^2$, in steps of 1.0 GeV/$c^2$, and with $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ between $-2$ and $+2$, in steps of 0.5. Background templates are obtained applying the fitting technique to the events passing the neural-network selection, but before the $b$-tagging requirement ("pretag" sample) [12]. The distributions are formed assigning to each value of $m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec}$ and $m_W^\text{rec}$ a weight that is given by the probability of the event to be from background and to contain tagged jets, as evaluated from the jet tag rates. The signal presence in the pretag sample is accounted for.

At this stage, two requirements are imposed on the events: $N_{\text{out}} \geq 0.97$ (0.94) and $\chi^2_i \geq 2$ for 1 ($\geq 2$) tag events. The events that survive these selection criteria comprise the $S_{\text{JES}}$ sample, which is used primarily to constrain the statistical uncertainty on the $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ measurement. A subset of the $S_{\text{JES}}$ sample ($S_{\text{JES}}$) is obtained by additionally requiring $\chi^2_i \leq 3$ (4) for 1 ($\geq 2$) tag events; the $S_{\text{JES}}$ sample is the primary set of events used to extract the top-quark mass. The $N_{\text{out}}$, $\chi^2$, $\chi^2$ thresholds have been optimized to minimize the statistical uncertainty on the $M_{\text{top}}$ measurement based on simulations. The corresponding signal and background events are then used to populate the $m_W^\text{rec}$ and $m_{\text{top}}^\text{rec}$ templates for the $S_{\text{JES}}$ and $S_{\text{JES}}$ subsets, respectively.

Table I summarizes the event selection for events with one tag and with two or three tags, separately.

The measurement of $M_{\text{top}}$ and the simultaneous calibration of JES are performed by maximizing an unbinned extended-likelihood function. The function, defined in detail in Ref. [8], is divided into three parts, $L = L_{\text{1 tag}} \times L_{\geq 2\text{tags}} \times L_{\Delta_{\text{JES,cons}}}$, where $L_{\Delta_{\text{JES,cons}}}$ is a Gaussian term constraining the JES to the nominal value (i.e., $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ to 0) within its uncertainty. The two terms $L_{\text{1 tag}}$ and $L_{\geq 2\text{tags}}$ are in turn defined as

$L_{\geq 2\text{tags}} = L_{\Delta_{\text{JES}}} \times L_{M_{\text{top}}} \times L_{\text{evts}},$

where $L_{\Delta_{\text{JES}}} = \exp\left(-\frac{\chi^2_{\Delta_{\text{JES}}}}{2}\right)$.

### Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$N_{\text{obs}}$</th>
<th>Expected $\bar{t}t$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 tag</td>
<td>$S_{\text{JES}}$</td>
<td>7890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_{M_{\text{top}}}$</td>
<td>4130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\geq$ 2 tags</td>
<td>$S_{\text{JES}}$</td>
<td>1758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$S_{M_{\text{top}}}$</td>
<td>901</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
where $L_{\text{evts}}$ gives the probability to observe simultaneously the number of events selected in the $S_{\text{JES}}$ and the $S_{\text{M_{top}}}$ data samples, given the expected signal and background yields. Unlike the analysis in Ref. [8], the background yields are allowed to vary unconstrained in the fit. The two terms $L_{\Delta_{\text{JES}}}$ and $L_{\Delta_{\text{M_{top}}}}$ represent the likelihoods, based on the signal and background templates, to observe the sets of $m_{\text{W}}^{\text{rec}}$ and $m_{\text{top}}^{\text{rec}}$ values in the two data sets $S_{\text{JES}}$ and $S_{\text{M_{top}}}$. For each signal template, the probability density function (PDF) is represented as a sum of gamma and Gaussian functions, whose parameters are in turn linear functions of the fit parameters $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$. In Fig. 1, examples of signal and background $m_{\text{W}}^{\text{rec}}$ templates for the sample with two or three tags are shown, with the corresponding PDFs superimposed.

The possible presence of biases in the values returned by the likelihood fit is investigated and taken into account.

Pseudoexperiments (PEs) are performed assuming specific values for $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ and “pseudodata” are extracted from the corresponding signal and background templates and subjected to the likelihood maximization procedure. The results of these PEs are compared to the input values, and linear calibration functions are defined to obtain, on average, a more accurate estimate of the true values and uncertainties. The average shift in top-quark mass due to the calibration is about 200 MeV/$c^2$.

The likelihood fit is applied to the data, and after applying the calibration corrections, the values returned by the fit are

$$M_{\text{top}} = 175.07 \pm 1.19(\text{stat}) \pm 0.97(\text{JES}) \pm 0.41(\text{fit}) \text{ GeV}/c^2,$$

and

$$\Delta_{\text{JES}} = -0.282 \pm 0.255(\text{stat}) \pm 0.207(M_{\text{top}}) \pm 0.040(\text{fit}) ,$$

where the fit uncertainties are those arising from the variation in the fitted signal and background yields, to which the additional systematic uncertainties described below will be added in quadrature. The correlation between $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ amounts to $-0.63$. The best-fit values of $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ are shown in Fig. 2, along with the negative log-likelihood contours whose projections correspond to one, two, and three $\sigma$ uncertainties on the values of $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$. The fit returns, for the $S_{\text{M_{top}}}$ sample, a signal yield of 1244 ± 114 (420 ± 38) events with one (two or three) tag(s).

The distributions of $m_{\text{W}}^{\text{rec}}$ and $m_{\text{top}}^{\text{rec}}$ for the data and the comparison with the expectation from the sum of background and signal for $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ corresponding to the

**FIG. 1 (color online).** Templates of $m_{\text{W}}^{\text{rec}}$ for events with two or three tags and corresponding probability density functions superimposed. (a) The signal PDF $P_s$ for various values of $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}} = 0$. (b) The background PDF $P_b$. **FIG. 2 (color online).** Negative log-likelihood contours for the likelihood fit performed for the $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ measurement, before calibration, for events with one, two, or three tags. The minimum is shown along with the contours whose projections correspond to one, two, and three $\sigma$ uncertainties on the $M_{\text{top}}$ and $\Delta_{\text{JES}}$ measurements.
measured values are shown in Fig. 3. The contributions from events with one, two, or three tags are summed together and the signal and background yields are normalized to the yields returned by the best fit.

The measurements of \( M_{\text{top}} \) and \( \Delta_{\text{JES}} \) are affected by various sources of systematic uncertainties, summarized in Table II. These uncertainties can be divided into four categories: (1) the modeling of signal events, including the choice of Monte Carlo generator and parton distribution function, the amount of initial and final state radiation, and the effects of color reconnections; (2) the measurement method, including the dependence on the other free parameters of the fit, the size of the samples used to build the reference templates, the variables used to perform calibration PEIs like the \( t\bar{t} \) production cross section and the integrated luminosity of the data, and the trigger simulation; (3) the background modeling, the \( b \)-tagging efficiency, the effects of multiple hadron interactions (pileup) related to the instantaneous luminosity; and (4) the jet energy scale calibration. The largest contribution comes from the jet energy scale calibration, given the large number of jets representing a typical feature of the all-hadronic channel. With respect to Ref. [12] we add in this analysis the uncertainties related to the background shape (and not to its normalization) to the \( t\bar{t} \) cross section and to the integrated luminosity. In general, the uncertainties are evaluated by performing PEIs based on templates made with specific variations of the original signal samples, taking the differences in the average values of \( M_{\text{top}} \) and \( \Delta_{\text{JES}} \) with respect to the pseudoexperiments performed with default templates. Finally, possible residual biases remaining after the calibration and uncertainties on the parameters of the calibration functions are taken into account.

In summary, a measurement of the top-quark mass using top-quark pairs decaying into a fully hadronic final state is presented, using \( p\bar{p} \) collision data corresponding to the full integrated luminosity of 9.3 fb\(^{-1}\) collected by the CDF experiment in Run II. The large background affecting this channel is strongly suppressed through an optimized event selection, based on a neural network and the requirement of one, two, or three jets originating from \( b \) quarks. The simultaneous calibration of the jet energy scale allows us to reduce the systematic uncertainty due to this source to 0.97 GeV/\( c^2 \). The measured value of the top-quark mass is \( M_{\text{top}} = 175.07 \pm 1.19 \text{(stat)} +1.55\text{(syst)} \text{ GeV/} c^2 \), with a total uncertainty of approximately 2.0 GeV/\( c^2 \),
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corresponding to a 1.1% relative uncertainty. This final result in the all-hadronic channel is complementary to the most recent measurements obtained in other channels by the CDF Collaboration [19,20], and consistent with the CMS measurement in the same channel [21].

We thank the Fermilab staff and the technical staffs of the participating institutions for their vital contributions. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy and National Science Foundation; the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare; the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan; the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; the National Science Council of the Republic of China; the Swiss National Science Foundation; the A. P. Sloan Foundation; the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung, Germany; the Korean World Class University Program, the National Research Foundation of Korea; the Science and Technology Facilities Council and the Royal Society, United Kingdom; the Russian Foundation for Basic Research; the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, and Programa Consolider-Ingenio 2010, Spain; the Slovak R&D Agency; the Academy of Finland; the Australian Research Council (ARC); and the EU community Marie Curie Fellowship Contract No. 302103.

[13] We use a cylindrical coordinate system where θ is the polar angle with respect to the proton beam direction (z axis), φ is the azimuthal angle about the beam axis, and the pseudorapidity is defined as η = − ln tan(θ/2). The transverse momentum, p_T, and transverse energy, E_T, of jets are given by |p| sin θ and E sin θ, respectively. The missing E_T vector, ~E_T, is defined by ~E_T = − sum_i E_T i h_T i where h_T i is the unit vector in the x-y plane pointing from the primary interaction vertex to a given calorimeter tower i, and E_T i is the E_T measured in that tower. Finally E_T = |~E_T|.