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ABSTRACT

Heterocyclic nucleic acid bases and their analogs can adopt multiple tautomeric forms due to the presence of multiple solvent-exchangeable protons. In DNA, spontaneous formation of minor tautomers has been speculated to contribute to mutagenic mispairings during DNA replication, whereas in RNA, minor tautomeric forms have been proposed to enhance the structural and functional diversity of RNA enzymes and aptamers. This review summarizes the role of tautomerism in RNA biochemistry, specifically focusing on the role of tautomerism in catalysis of small self-cleaving ribozymes and recognition of ligand analogs by riboswitches. Considering that the presence of multiple tautomers of nucleic acid bases is a rare occurrence, and that tautomers typically interconvert on a fast time scale, methods for studying rapid tautomerism in the context of nucleic acids under biologically relevant aqueous conditions are also discussed.

Keywords: RNA; tautomerism; ribozymes; riboswitches

INTRODUCTION

Nucleic acid bases can exist in multiple tautomeric forms due to the presence of solvent-exchangeable protons. Tautomers are structural isomers that differ from one another based on the position of proton(s) and double bonds (Antonov 2014). The presence of multiple tautomers is expected to increase the structural and chemical diversity of nucleic acid bases, as shown in Figure 1. Structural diversification by tautomerism of canonical bases, their metabolic products and related analogs, can be utilized by many RNA enzymes and aptamers to execute some of their biological functions (Cochrane et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2007; Cochrane and Strobel 2008a; Thore et al. 2008; Gilbert et al. 2009; Wilcox et al. 2011; Singh et al. 2014). This review focuses on the importance of tautomerism in catalysis of small self-cleaving ribozymes and in the recognition of certain ligand analogs by the purine and TPP riboswitches. Additionally, this review also discusses methods that can be utilized for studying tautomerism under biologically relevant aqueous conditions, an area that has traditionally posed many experimental challenges, because typically, minor tautomeric forms of nucleic acid bases are rare and interconvert rapidly in aqueous solution.

The term tautomerism was first used by Laar in 1886 to describe a dynamic equilibrium between two compounds containing weakly bonded hydrogen atoms (Laar 1886). This type of tautomerism that occurs from repositioning or movement of protons is called prototropic tautomerism. Nucleic acid bases have carbonyl and amino functional groups, which contain solvent-exchangeable (weakly bonded) hydrogen atoms that can participate in keto–enol and amino–imino types of tautomerism (Fig. 1; Watson and Crick 1953; Topal and Fresco 1976). Specifically, adenine has the ability to adopt amino and imino tautomeric forms involving the exocyclic group at the 6-position; uracil and thymine have carbonyl functional groups that can participate in keto–enol tautomerism. Guanine and cytosine have both amino and carbonyl groups, thus they can exhibit both amino–imino and keto–enol types of tautomerism (Fig. 1). Of all possible tautomeric forms of the canonical nucleobases, the keto- and amino-forms predominate under physiological conditions, and are thus considered “major” tautomers. The imino- and enol forms are considered “minor” tautomers and are typically very rare. This review covers specifically the instances where the minor tautomers of nucleobases and base analogs have been shown to play critical roles in biochemical processes pertaining to, or involving RNA molecules.

Although all nucleic acid bases can potentially adopt minor tautomeric forms, only the tautomeric equilibria of guanine have been proposed to play essential roles in RNA biochemistry. Specifically, minor tautomeric and/or ionized forms of catalytic guanosines have been implicated in the acid–base catalysis of the autolytic cleavage reactions of self-cleaving ribozymes, such as hairpin, hammerhead, and...
Tautomerism has also been invoked as a molecular rationale for the interaction of certain base analogs with RNA aptamers. For example, the purine riboswitch (Gilbert et al. 2009), and the thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP) riboswitch (Thore et al. 2008; Gilbert et al. 2009; Singh et al. 2014) are proposed to bind to the minor tautomeric forms of their nonnative ligands, xanthine, and oxythiamine pyrophosphate (OxyTPP), respectively.

Studying tautomerism in aqueous conditions is challenging (Singh et al. 2014). While tautomerism of nucleic acid bases has been studied in aprotic solvents, gas phase or excited state conditions, the conclusions derived are less relevant for RNA biochemistry. Under these conditions, tautomeric equilibria are significantly altered, and the relative proportion of major and minor tautomeric forms is changed, even for the canonical nucleobases (Nir et al. 2002). Challenges of studying tautomerism in aqueous conditions include the fast rates of the tautomeric equilibria, the low abundance of minor tautomers, and the high chemical and structural similarity, between the corresponding minor and major tautomeric species. Traditional spectroscopic methods, although sensitive to certain chemical and structural properties, have been of limited use for studying tautomerism under aqueous conditions (Peng and Tokmakoff 2012; Singh et al. 2014). However, recent important developments in spectroscopic methods are beginning to overcome historical limitations and are starting to allow comprehensive characterization of tautomeric equilibria of base analogs free in solution or in the context of nucleic acids (Singh et al. 2014). Such methods (discussed later in this review) are based on variable temperature NMR and 2D IR spectroscopies, binding isotope effect measurements combined with theoretical calculations (Singh et al. 2014), and high-resolution crystallography (Bebenek et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2011; Demeshkina et al. 2012).

This review article examines the following topics: (i) the role of tautomerism of catalytic guanosines in the catalysis of hammerhead, hairpin, and glmS small self-cleaving ribozymes; (ii) the importance of ligand tautomerism in the recognition of xanthine by the purine riboswitch and OxyTPP by the TPP riboswitch; and (iii) current methods available for studying tautomerism of nucleobases and analogs free in solution and in RNA context under biologically relevant aqueous conditions. We also discuss the contribution of nucleobase tautomerism in creating structural and functional diversity in RNA biochemistry.

**FIGURE 1.** Tautomers of (A) adenosine, (B) guanosine, (C) uridine, (D) xanthosine, (E) cytidine, and (F) oxythiamine.

glmS (Cochrane and Strobel 2008a).
ribozymes, artificial ribozymes have also been obtained through selection; such artificial ribozymes can catalyze a wide range of chemistries including carbon–carbon bond formation (Diels–Alder reaction) (Seelig and Jäschke 1999), isomerization (Prudent et al. 1994), redox chemistry (Tsukiji et al. 2003), and even small molecule biosynthesis (Huang et al. 2000). In addition, RNA molecules also play diverse regulatory roles in many biological processes. For example, RNA aptamers, riboswitches and T-box RNAs recognize their target ligands with high affinities (micromolar to picomolar) and specificities to regulate expression of genes involved in the biosynthesis and transport of their respective ligands. Additionally, the active site of the ribosome, which catalyzes the peptidyl transferase reaction, is entirely composed of RNA (Nissen et al. 2000).

To increase their chemical versatility, RNA enzymes and aptamers use multiple mechanisms. One such mechanism involves nucleobase tautomerism and/or nucleobase ionization. Many small-cleaving ribozymes and riboswitches are believed to utilize tautomerism and/or ionization to execute their functions. Another general mechanism involves covalent modifications of the RNA nucleotides with alkyl group and other functional groups, which greatly expand the chemical repertoire of the oligoribonucleotides. For example, tRNA molecules have been shown to feature over a hundred of different modified nucleosides (Su et al. 2014).

RNA aptamers or riboswitches also harness the chemical proficiency of cofactors such as flavin mononucleotide (Win- kler et al. 2002a), S-adenosylmethionine (Winkler et al. 2003), lysine (Sudarsan et al. 2003), glycine, thiamine pyrophosphate (TPP), and glucosamine-6-phosphate to perform their biochemical functions. In addition, divalent cations such as Mg$^{2+}$ and backbone phosphate oxygens also play key functional roles in catalysis of many ribozymes (Cochrane and Strobel 2008a; Donghi and Schnabl 2011). Biochemical roles of cofactors and metal ions in RNA biochemistry have been studied elsewhere (for review, see Cochrane and Strobel 2008b; Donghi and Schnabl 2011). Furthermore, as indicated above, chemical modifications of nucleobases (such as the ones observed in tRNA and rRNA) are also known to contribute to an increased chemical versatility of RNA, as detailed in Björk et al. (1999) and Czerwoniec et al. (2009). In contrast, tautomerism as a mechanism of chemical diversification in RNA has not been well studied.

MECHANISM OF TAUTOMERIZATION OF RNA BASES

In aqueous solution, the interconversion among different prototropic tautomers is acid–base catalyzed. Using model systems for nucleic acid bases, it has been shown that the mechanism involves water-mediated exchange of proton(s) between the donor and the acceptor atoms, with equilibrium being established on a nanosecond time scale (Peng and Tokmakoff 2012; Peng et al. 2013). Acid catalyzed interconversion involves formation of a cation intermediate through protonation, followed by deprotonation at a different location to generate another tautomeric form. Base catalyzed tautomeric interconversions involve deprotonation to form an anion, followed by protonation at another location to generate a neutral alternative tautomeric form. Ionized (cationic or anionic) states of nucleic acid bases have been well characterized in many RNA systems (Colominas et al. 1996; Bevilacqua et al. 2004; Das and Piccirilli 2005; Perrotta et al. 2006; Gong et al. 2007, 2011; Suydam and Strobel 2008; Viladoms et al. 2011; Wilcox et al. 2011; Viladoms and Fedor 2012; Wilcox and Bevilacqua 2013). Given the observed mechanism of tautomerization, such ionized states suggest the existence of tautomeric equilibria, as they can be intermediates in the acid- or base-mediated conversion of one tautomeric form to another.

Tautomeric equilibria are influenced by chemical and physical factors including the presence of metals, temperature (Peng et al. 2013; Singh et al. 2014) and pH (CS Peng, V Singh, BI Fedele, D Li, T Amariuta, JM Essigmann, and A Tokmakoff, in prep.). The effect of metals on nucleobase tautomerism has been reviewed previously (Lippert and Gupta 2009), while the influence of temperature on tautomeric equilibria is discussed later in this review. Given the acid–base catalytic mechanism of tautomerism in aqueous solutions, pH is expected to dictate the relative amounts of different tautomers at equilibrium. Therefore, the concentration of ionized intermediates that promote tautomerism, regardless of their charge state, is maximal when the pH is close to the pKå of the functional groups involved in tautomerism. Consequently, under physiological conditions (pH 7), compounds with pKå’s ~7 are expected to display a maximum diversity of tautomers. However, the functional groups in RNA bases have unperturbed pKå values that are either significantly lower than neutrality (pKå = 4.2 for N3 of cytidine and pKå = 3.5 for N1 of adenosine), or higher than the neutral pH (pKå = 9.2 for N1 of guanosine and pKå = 9.2 for N3 of uridine) (Bevilacqua et al. 2004). Therefore, under physiological conditions, the tautomeric equilibria are shifted toward the keto and amino forms, which are the predominant (major) tautomeric forms observed. As a result, the proportion of minor tautomers of canonical nucleic acid bases is small around physiological pH. The presence of only one major tautomeric form at physiological pH (pH ~7) is critical for maintaining the genomic information integrity during DNA and RNA replication and the structural integrity of RNA enzymes and aptamers.

If the pKå’s of nucleic acid bases, however, are perturbed toward physiological pH, tautomerism is expected to play a more significant role in the biochemistry of nucleic acids. An example of a nucleoside analog with a pKå ~7.0 is 5-aza-5,6-dihydro-2’-deoxyctydine (KIPI212) (Li et al. 2014; CS Peng, V Singh, BI Fedele, D Li, T Amariuta, JM Essigmann, and A Tokmakoff, in prep.), an anti-HIV agent currently in phase-Ila clinical trials. KP1212 has been shown to exist in as many as five different tautomeric forms (Li
et al. 2014). One of the consequences of having multiple tautomeric forms is ambiguous base-pairing, as different tautomeric forms are expected to have different and distinct base-pairing preferences. Indeed, K1212 is a mutagenic compound, causing G to A and A to G mutations, with this property being successfully exploited as an antiviral strategy (Li et al. 2014). Considering that pKₐ shifts of up to ~4 units toward the physiological pH have been observed in RNA enzymes (Legault and Pardi 1997; Ravindranathan et al. 2000; Gong et al. 2007; Wilcox and Bevilacqua 2013), formation of minor tautomers of nucleic acid bases is expected to occur to a significant extent and play a functional role in these systems.

Besides the prototropic tautomerism that stems from proton repositioning, other types of prototropic tautomerism exist including annular (Minkin et al. 2000; Alkorta et al. 2006), valence (Sato et al. 2007) and ring-chain (Baker et al. 1924) tautomerism. The annular tautomerism explores a quantum mechanical property of a proton in which the proton can simultaneously reside on multiple positions on a heterocycle, such as a purine or pyrimidine. Valence tautomerism involves electronic exchanges without the repositioning of protons and is more common in transition metal complexes (Sato et al. 2007). The ribose or deoxyribose in nucleosides can also participate in ring-chain tautomerism (Jones 1963), a type of tautomerism in which one of the tautomeric forms is cyclic and the interconversion is mediated by the transfer of a proton. An example of ring-chain tautomerism enables mutarotation of sugars (Baker et al. 1924). As the functional importance of valence, annular and ring-chain tautomerism in nucleic acids remains to be established, this review only focuses on examples of prototropic tautomerism that arises from the repositioning of protons in the context of RNA systems.

ROLE OF TAUTOMERISM IN RNA BIOCHEMISTRY

Self-cleaving ribozymes such as hammerhead, hairpin, and glmS are proposed to utilize minor tautomeric or ionized forms of catalytic guanosines to perform their catalytic function (Figs. 4, 5, below; Cochrane and Strobel 2008a). Tautomerism has also been proposed to influence recognition of xanthine and OxyTPP by the purine and TPP riboswitches, respectively (Thore et al. 2008; Gilbert et al. 2009; Singh et al. 2014). Riboswitches are structured RNA regulatory elements found in the noncoding region of many bacterial mRNAs (Roth and Breaker 2009; Breaker 2011). They bind to small molecules to regulate the expression of the downstream genes. Below we review studies where specific minor tautomeric forms of xanthine and OxyTPP ligands are proposed to be recognized preferentially by the purine and the TPP riboswitches, respectively.

Role of tautomerism in recognition of ligands by riboswitches

Structural and biochemical studies have predicted that purine and the thiamine pyrophosphate riboswitches bind to their target ligand analogs by preferentially recognizing their minor tautomeric forms (Thore et al. 2008; Gilbert et al. 2009; Singh et al. 2014). Riboswitches are structured RNA regulatory elements found in the noncoding region of many bacterial mRNAs (Roth and Breaker 2009; Breaker 2011). They bind to small molecules to regulate the expression of the downstream genes. Below we review studies where specific minor tautomeric forms of xanthine and OxyTPP ligands are proposed to be recognized preferentially by the purine and the TPP riboswitches, respectively.

Recognition of xanthine by the purine riboswitch

The purine riboswitch regulates the expression of genes involved in the metabolism of adenine and guanine (Mandal et al. 2003; Mandal and Breaker 2004). In addition to binding to normal purines, this riboswitch has been shown to also bind purine metabolites (hypoxanthine, xanthine) and synthetic analogs (such as 2,6-diaminopurine) (Gilbert et al. 2009). Structural and biochemical studies of xanthine bound to the purine riboswitch indicated that the heterocyclic base binds as the 2-enol minor tautomer (Gilbert et al. 2009). Crystal structures of the riboswitch with various ligands show that a single pyrimidine at the 74-position is critical for determining ligand specificity (Fig. 2A; Batey et al. 2004; Serganov et al. 2004; Gilbert et al. 2006a, 2009). The xanthine ligand forms a Watson–Crick base pair with C74 (Gilbert et al. 2009). Conserved residues at the U51, U47, and U22 positions in the aptamer form part of the binding pocket and contribute to ligand recognition (Fig. 2). The carbonyl oxygens (O2) of C74 and U51 form hydrogen bonds with the 2-amino functional group of the native ligand guanine (Serganov et al. 2004). Hypoxanthine does not have the 2-amino group, thus binding to the purine riboswitch with almost 200-fold less affinity compared with guanine (Batey et al. 2004). Besides the 2-amino functional group, the 6-keto, and N1 groups are also recognized by the riboswitch to form a Watson–Crick type of base-pairing (Batey et al. 2004; Serganov et al. 2004; Gilbert et al. 2006b).

The carbonyl oxygens of C74 and U51 surround the 2-position of ligands to create a highly negatively charged binding pocket. Therefore, the riboswitch strongly prefers ligands that have hydrogen bond donor groups at their 2-position, such as the amine in guanine or 2,6-diaminopurine. Xanthine, with an oxygen at the 2-position was not expected to bind to the riboswitch, yet it binds albeit weakly with a KD of 32 µM (Gilbert et al. 2009). The crystal structures of the
riboswitch bound to xanthine or guanine reveal no significant structural differences between guanine and xanthine binding (Fig. 2; Gilbert et al. 2009). To rationalize the biochemical basis of xanthine recognition, it was proposed that the 2-enol minor tautomeric form of xanthine would partially alleviate the repulsive interactions that exist due to the presence of three negatively charged oxygens in close proximity. This proposal was also consistent with the biochemical observation that xanthine binds the riboswitch at pH 6 \((K_D = 33 \mu M)\), but no binding is detected at pH 8.5, because enol tautomers are expected to be more stable at lower pH (Gilbert et al. 2009). On the basis of these observations, it was speculated that the purine riboswitch preferentially binds to the 2-enol tautomer of xanthine (Gilbert et al. 2009). However, no direct evidence exists showing the presence of minor tautomeric forms of xanthine either in isolation or bound to the riboswitch. The tautomeric equilibria of the unbound form of xanthine could also be studied using 2D IR and variable temperature NMR methods. We applied a combination of these methods to characterize the tautomeric form(s) of oxythiamine in the context of the TPP riboswitch, as described in the next section (Singh et al. 2014).

**Recognition of oxythiamine pyrophosphate by the thiamine pyrophosphate riboswitch**

Our work on the tautomerism of OxyTPP in the context of the TPP riboswitch utilized a combination of spectroscopic, biochemical, and theoretical techniques to identify all three tautomeric forms of oxythiamine (OxyT) in the unbound form and the tautomeric form of oxythiamine pyrophosphate (OxyTPP) in the bound form (Singh et al. 2014). The TPP riboswitch binds to TPP, providing negative regulation of the expression of genes involved in the biosynthesis and transport of this essential vitamin (Winkler et al. 2002b, 2004). Crystal structures of the TPP riboswitch from thale cress \(\textit{Arabidopsis thaliana}\) in complex with various ligands have been solved showing that the G28 within the riboswitch is critical for determining ligand specificity (Thore et al. 2006, 2008). The X-ray structure of the riboswitch with the TPP ligand shows that the amino group at the 4′-position of TPP acts as a hydrogen bond donor to the N3 position of G28 (Fig. 3A; Thore et al. 2006). OxyTPP, a presumably natural metabolite of TPP, features a keto group instead of the 4′-amino functionality and could play a role in maintaining TPP homeostasis via its interaction with the TPP riboswitch (Singh et al. 2014). Structural studies of OxyTPP with the TPP riboswitch indicate that, under crystalline conditions, its hydrogen bonding interactions to
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**FIGURE 2.** (A) The 2Fo−Fc omit map of xanthine bound to the purine riboswitch (coloring scheme: carbons, green; nitrogens, blue; and oxygens, red). (B) Proposed interactions for the 2-enol tautomer of xanthine bound to the purine riboswitch. (C) Proposed interactions for the 2-keto tautomer of xanthine bound to the purine riboswitch.
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**FIGURE 3.** (A) Interactions of thiamine and oxythiamine pyrophosphate (TPP and OxyTPP, respectively) with the G28 of the TPP riboswitch (generated using the pdb 3D2X: coloring scheme; nitrogens are shown in blue, carbons in white, oxygens in red, and sulfur in yellow). (B) (Top) Tautomeric forms of oxythiamine (OxyT), as identified using 2D IR and variable temperature NMR spectroscopy (shown in Fig. 6B); (bottom) tautomeric form of OxyTPP bound to the TPP riboswitch as determined using binding isotope effects and DFT calculations (shown in Fig. 6B). (C) Proposed interactions for the keto and enol forms of OxyTPP with the G28 of the TPP riboswitch.
G28 are almost identical to those of TPP (Fig. 3A; Thore et al. 2008). Since the 4′-position of OxyTPP can only act as a hydrogen bond donor in its enol form, it was speculated that OxyTPP binds to the riboswitch as an enol tautomer (Thore et al. 2008).

Spectroscopic approaches based on variable temperature NMR and 2D IR spectroscopies were used to show that OxyT, under physiologically relevant aqueous conditions, exists in three possible tautomeric forms: 4′-keto-N1′H-OxyT, 4′-keto-N3′H-OxyT, and 4′-enol-OxyT (Fig. 3B; Singh et al. 2014). Application of these methods also allowed quantitation of the relative distribution of tautomers at equilibrium. The spectroscopic data showed that 4′-keto-N1′H-OxyT is the predominant tautomeric state in the unbound form followed by 4′-keto-N3′H-OxyT and 4′-enol-OxyT (Fig. 6B, below). Experimental binding isotope effects (BIE) measured under aqueous conditions, combined with DFT calculations showed that the TPP riboswitch actually binds to OxyTPP in its keto tautomeric form, namely 4′ that the TPP riboswitch actually binds to OxyTPP in its keto aqueous conditions, combined with DFT calculations showed

The presence of similar hydrogen bonding interactions for the keto form of OxyTPP and TPP ligands with the G28 of the TPP riboswitch suggested that the N3 of G28 is protonated in its interaction with OxyTPP (Fig. 3C; Singh et al. 2014). Protonation of N3 of G28 would either generate an ionized cationic form or a neutral minor tautomeric form produced through subsequent deprotonation of the cationic G28 elsewhere on the base. Further work is needed to determine whether these forms (cationic or minor tautomeric) of G28 exist and/or interact with the 4′-keto-OxyTPP ligand. The elucidation of the details of this interaction will significantly enhance our understanding of the role played by the structural diversification through tautomerism/ionization of the canonical nucleic acid bases.

**Tautomerism in small autolytic ribozymes catalysis**

Small self-cleaving (autolytic) ribozymes catalyze nucleolytic intramolecular self-scission reactions. Well-studied examples of small self-cleaving ribozymes include hammerhead, hairpin, *glmS*, Varkud Satellite (VS), Hepatitis delta virus (HDV), HDV-like, and twister ri-

FIGURE 4. (A) The active site of the *glmS* ribozyme in the presence of glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN6P), showing the N1 of catalytic guanosine (G33) in close proximity to the 2′-OH nucleophile (generated using pdb 2NZ4). (B) Schematic of the autolytic reaction catalyzed by the *glmS* ribozyme. (C) Guanosine and its tautomeric or ionized forms in which the N1 position is not protonated.
N1 is unprotonated is anticipated to be more nucleophilic, and thus chemically capable of activating the 2′-hydroxyl nucleophile. Moreover, it is possible that the pKₐ of N1 would be perturbed in a minor tautomeric form, because of different electronic distribution around N1.

As explained earlier, tautomerization and/or ionization of G to generate a form with unprotonated N1 would occur to a greater extent at pKₐ’s around the physiological pH. Significant alterations in pKₐ’s are not uncommon and changes of up to 4 units have been observed in RNA enzymes (Legault and Pardi 1997; Wilcox and Bevilacqua 2013). Furthermore, in the context of RNA, minor tautomeric forms of nucleosides are expected to be more stable than the ionized (anionic) forms. Formation of minor tautomer through protonation at an alternative site would stabilize the active (unprotonated N1) anionic form of the catalytic guanosine, by removing the negative charge of an anionic intermediate, which in a polyanionic system like RNA would be unfavorable. The next section discusses specific experimental evidence suggestive of the involvement of the N1 of catalytic G, through ionization or tautomerism, in the chemistry of small self-cleaving ribozymes.

**Potential for tautomerism in the glmS ribozyme-catalyzed nucleolytic reaction**

The glmS riboswitch/ribozyme binds to glucosamine-6-phosphate (GlcN6P) to regulate expression of the gene that encodes for glutamine-fructose-6-phosphate amidotransferase, the biosynthetic enzyme for GlcN6P (Milewski 2002; Winkler et al. 2004; Collins et al. 2007; McCown et al. 2012). The glmS riboswitch is also a ribozyme that utilizes the self-cleavage reaction as a mode of genetic regulation. The reaction is ligand-dependent, the ligand participating in the reaction as a general acid (McCarthy et al. 2005; Cochrane et al. 2007). Its reaction mechanism is similar to RNase A and involves an attack of the vicinal 2′-OH on the scissile phosphate to generate two fragments of RNA, one containing the 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate and the other having a free 5′-OH group (Klein and Ferré-D’Amaré 2006; Cochrane et al. 2007; Cochrane and Strobel 2008a). Structural and biochemical analysis supports a mechanism in which the transphosphorylation reaction is initiated by the base-catalyzed activation of the vicinal 2′-hydroxyl nucleophile by the N1 of a catalytic guanine at the 33-position (G33) (Klein and Ferré-D’Amaré 2006; Cochrane et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2007). The amine of GlcN6P, through fine tuning of its pKₐ (Davis et al. 2011; Gong et al. 2011; Wilcox et al. 2011), participates in the transesterification reaction as a general acid by donating a proton to the 5′-oxygen of the leaving group RNA fragment (Klein and Ferré-D’Amaré 2006; Cochrane et al. 2007; Viladoms and Fedor 2012). Bronsted coefficient of 0.7 measured for the 5′-oxygen supports a late transition state in which its protonation is rate-limiting (Viladoms and Fedor 2012).

The G33 residue of glmS is a critical residue for the glmS catalyzed self-cleavage reaction (Cochrane et al. 2007; Klein
et al. 2007; Cochrane and Strobel 2008a), because the N1 position of G33 is structurally in close proximity to the 2′-OH nucleophile (Fig. 4A). Replacement of the G33 with any other canonical nucleobase significantly reduces the rate of the self-cleavage reaction by a factor of $10^{3}$ to $10^{5}$ (Cochrane et al. 2007; Klein et al. 2007). Given the functional importance of G33 in the cleavage reaction and the structural proximity to the 2′-hydroxyl group, it was proposed that the N1 of G33 directly activates the 2′-OH nucleophile (Cochrane et al. 2007). However, as mentioned earlier the N1 of guanine has a $pK_a$ ∼10, making it a poor base to abstract a proton from the 2′-OH, with a $pK_a$ of 13. Deprotonation of the N1 of G33 through formation of either the minor tautomeric form (6-enol) or the anionic form, as shown in Figures 4C and 5A, could generate a form of G33 more suitable to participate in the glmS catalyzed reaction as a general base (Cochrane et al. 2007; Cochrane and Strobel 2008a). Deprotonation of N1 of G either through the formation of an anionic state or a minor tautomeric form would however require significant alteration in the $pK_a$ of the G33. Given that ionized states of catalytic guanosines have been identified (Wilcox et al. 2011), we suspect that minor tautomers are also formed and play a role in the mechanism of self-cleaving ribozymes; however, identifying such minor tautomers in the context of RNA has been experimentally challenging. Further work is needed to characterize the functional state of the catalytic G33 guanosine in the glmS ribozyme. Methods based on binding isotope effects, which are described later in this review, could be useful to characterize the functional state of the G33 catalytic guanosine.

**Possible role of tautomomerism in catalysis of hammerhead ribozyme reaction**

The hammerhead ribozyme is a subcategory of satellite RNAs originally found in the genomes of plant viruses, but now known to be present in many eukaryotic genomes (McKay 1996; Hammann et al. 2012). It participates in catalyzing the cleavage and ligation of RNA as part of the rolling circle replication to resolve the replicated products (McKay 1996), and may contribute to RNA processing such as RNA-catalyzed ligation and trans-cleavage (Hammann et al. 2012).

Structural and biochemical studies of the hammerhead ribozyme are consistent with the observation that the N1 of the catalytic guanosine G12 is in close proximity to the 2′-hydroxyl nucleophile and plays an important role in its activation (Fig. 5C; McKay 1996; Martick and Scott 2006; Thomas and Perrin 2008). The N1 of the catalytic guanosine is within hydrogen bonding distance (3.5 Å) from the 2′-OH nucleophile (Martick and Scott 2006). G12 exhibits a log-linear trend of activity with pH that does not plateau even at the highest pH, indicating general base catalysis (Han and Burke 2005). Replacement of G12 with any other canonical nucleobase results in $10^2$- to $10^5$-fold decrease in the reaction rate (Ruffner et al. 1990) with maximal reduction of $10^5$-fold observed for the adenine substitution (Chi et al. 2008). Structural studies have shown that substitution of G12 to A does not perturb its structure, suggesting that the decrease in the rate of cleavage is primarily from the $pK_a$ shift, which changes from ∼9.5 to ∼3.5 upon G12A substitution (Chi et al. 2008). In addition, even though the A12 (with unprotonated N1) is a much weaker base than G12 (protonated N1) because of its lower $pK_a$, G12A substitution results in a better alignment of N1 of the purine with the 2′-OH nucleophile (3.5 Å for G versus 2.5 Å for A), indicating the preference for the deprotonated N1 as a general base catalyst (Chi et al. 2008). Furthermore, substituting G12 with analogs that specifically disrupt the $pK_a$ of N1 of G, such as inosine, diaminopurine, or 2-aminopurine, affects the overall rate of the reaction with maximum reduction of $10^3$ observed with 2-aminopurine (Han and Burke 2005), which suggests a direct role of the N1 of G in the chemistry of hammerhead ribozyme. In addition, replacement of the nucleophilic 2′-hydroxyl group with the electrophilic 2′-bromoacetamide group results in alkylation of the N1 position of G12 in a pH and $Mg^{2+}$-dependent manner that is consistent with the direct involvement of the N1 of G12 in the cleavage reaction (Thomas and Perrin 2008).

Taken together, the biochemical and structural data are consistent with a mechanism in which the N1 of the G12 residue directly participates as a general base in the hammerhead ribozyme-catalyzed self-cleavage reaction. Similarly to the mechanism discussed earlier for glmS ribozyme, the unprotonated form of N1 is more suitable to participate in the reaction as a general base, which can be an anionic G form, generated through deprotonation at N1, or a minor tautomer of G, generated by the subsequent reprotonation of anionic G at another site. It remains to be determined the extent to which the anionic form or the minor tautomeric form of the catalytic guanosine participate in the catalytic step of the cleavage reaction.

**Possible tautomomerism in hairpin ribozyme catalysis**

The hairpin ribozymes like hammerhead constitute a subset of RNA satellites in the genomes of RNA viruses and are thought to catalyze processes that precede genomic RNA replication (Fedor 2000). They catalyze reversible cleavage of sequence-specific regions of RNA to form a 2′,3′-cyclic phosphate and a 5′-OH terminus.

Similar to hammerhead and glmS ribozymes, the first step in the hairpin ribozyme-catalyzed cleavage reaction involves activation of a 2′-OH nucleophile by a catalytic guanosine (G8 for hairpin) (Fedor 2000; Bevilacqua and Yajima 2006). The N1 of G8 is within hydrogen bonding distance from the 2′-hydroxyl nucleophile (Fig. 5B; Rupert and Ferré-D’Amaré 2001). The G8 residue is essential for catalysis, replacement of G8 with an abasic site resulting in a $10^5$-fold reduction in the rate of cleavage reaction (Kuzmin et al. 2004). Perturbation of $pK_a$ of the N1 of G8 through its substitution
with either inosine or diaminopurine changes the pH profile of the self-cleavage reaction (Pinard et al. 2001), suggesting a direct role of the N1 of G8 in the cleavage reaction (Pinard et al. 2001). However, the decrease in rate observed with the abasic substitution did not influence the pH dependence of the reaction, indicating that the apparent pK_a could be due to another source (Kuzmin et al. 2004). While the catalytic role of the G8 residue, as a general base, in catalysis of hairpin ribozyme has been established, further studies are needed to examine whether G8 participates in the reaction as a minor tautomer or in its anionic form.

Varkud Satellite (VS) ribozyme catalysis

The Varkud Satellite (VS) ribozyme is the largest auto-nucleolytic ribozyme found in the mitochondrial satellite plasmid of Neurospora species, playing a role in the processing and resolution of replication intermediates (Griffiths 1995; Lilley 2004). It catalyzes reversible site-specific cleavage and ligation reactions (Saville and Collins 1990). Since the crystal structure of VS ribozyme is not yet available, the mechanism of VS ribozyme catalysis remains uncertain. Biochemical data suggest the involvement of a site-specific adenosine (A756) and guanosine (G638) in acid–base catalyses. Substitution of A756 with any other base results in a 300-fold or more reduction of the catalytic activity of the VS ribozyme, whereas G638 to a substitution results in a 10^4-fold reduction in rate (Lafontaine et al. 2001; Sood and Collins 2002). Complete removal of A756 causes 900-fold reduction in activity, without affecting ribozyme folding suggesting that it directly participates in the ribozyme catalysis (Lafontaine et al. 2001, 2002). Biochemical cross-linking experiments using 4-thiouridine also demonstrated a direct interaction between A756 and the cleavage site (Hiley et al. 2002). Nucleotide analog interference mapping (NAIM) studies, using a series of ionization sensitive adenosine and cytosine analogs, indicated that ionization of A756 plays a direct role in the VS ribozyme-catalyzed ligation reaction (Jones and Strobel 2003). Although NAIM studies are consistent with the ionization of A756 during catalysis, systematic studies to structurally identify the ionized or tautomeric form of A756 have been lacking. Methods based on BIEs could be adapted to study amino–imino tautomerism of A756 in the VS ribozyme. The VS ribozyme is particularly suitable for studying amino–imino tautomerism in RNA, as it uses adenosine in its catalysis. For this purpose, double labeled adenosines, [6-N15, 1′-C14] and [6-N14, 1′-H3], can be used to characterize the tautomeric form A756 in the active site of the VS ribozyme by using a strategy that is briefly described later in this review.

Methods for studying tautomerism in nucleic acids

Because tautomers are structurally similar and interconvert on less than a nanosecond time scale in aqueous conditions (Bensaude et al. 1977; Colominas et al. 1996; Peng et al. 2013), it is challenging to directly observe and quantitate tautomeric distributions using conventional spectroscopic methods. It is especially difficult to study tautomerism under aqueous equilibrium conditions, because tautomerization is mediated by water molecules (Sayle 2010; Singh et al. 2014).

NMR spectroscopy, although sensitive to tautomerization, is challenging to use for identifying tautomers under physiologically relevant aqueous conditions. At room temperature in aqueous solution, the proton exchange rates between tautomers are faster than the NMR time scale (Kühne et al. 1979). Low (subzero) temperature conditions are required to decrease the rate of tautomerization to NMR time scale to allow separation of chemical shifts from individual tautomers (Fig. 6B; Singh et al. 2014). These conditions, however, require the use of nonaqueous aprotic solvents, such as dimethylformamide, ethylacetate, or acetone, that remain liquid up to −61°C, −83°C, and −95°C, respectively. An additional caveat of nonaqueous solvents with low dielectric constants is that they often stabilize minor tautomeric forms even for naturally occurring nucleic acid bases (Jaworski 1990; Mons et al. 2002; Bakker et al. 2004); in contrast, under aqueous conditions, canonical nucleobases exist predominantly in their keto- and amino major tautomeric forms (Peng et al. 2011).

Theoretical quantum mechanical calculations are relevant for predicting distribution of tautomers based on their relative thermodynamic stability (Brown et al. 1989; Colominas et al. 1996). However, for a given set of conditions (i.e., gas phase or implicit solvent), predictions of calculated energies can vary significantly, as much as 5 kcal/mol, depending on the level of theory (Moreno and Miller 1990; Mata et al. 2010) and basis sets. Moreover, depending on the system, the correlation between theoretical and experimental values may not have been fully tested. Nevertheless, theoretical calculations are useful in formulating hypotheses, which can then be experimentally tested.

Vibrational 1D FTIR and Raman spectroscopies are sensitive to identifying tautomeric forms of nucleic acid bases under aqueous conditions. Using Raman spectroscopy on single RNA crystals (Raman crystallography) affords excellent signal-to-noise ratios, and because the measurements are carried out at room temperature, in nascent crystals that contain up to 70% solvent, they capture many of the biologically relevant properties of RNA in solution (Gong et al. 2009). However, unambiguous peak assignments from different tautomers, in 1D spectra, are often challenging due to the congested nature of the 1D FTIR or Raman spectra. Nevertheless, FTIR has been used for studying tautomerism under aqueous conditions. Using variable temperature FTIR, the presence of tautomeric equilibria of OxyT was established under aqueous conditions (Fig. 6B; Singh et al. 2014). Tautomerism equilibria are also dependent on the temperature; therefore, the change in intensity of a vibrational mode is indicative of the presence of multiple tautomeric forms corresponding to that mode. For example, the change
in the intensity of the carbonyl stretching mode with temperature is indicative of the presence of tautomeric forms (e.g., enols) that are in equilibrium with the keto form. Although variable temperature FTIR is useful for identifying the presence of tautomeric equilibria, and thus the presence of multiple tautomeric forms, relative quantification of individual tautomers using 1D methods have proven challenging because the 1D IR spectra are often congested, different tautomeric forms typically featuring many overlapping vibrational modes.

2D IR spectroscopy is an emerging, powerful method that has been successfully used to characterize tautomeric distributions of small molecules under aqueous equilibrium conditions (Hamm and Zanni 2011; Peng and Tokmakoff 2012b; Peng et al. 2013). 2D IR spectroscopy, an optical analog of 2D NMR spectroscopy, uses sequences of ultrafast IR pulses to characterize vibrational couplings, which appear as cross-peaks in 2D IR spectra (Hamm and Zanni 2011). The distinct cross-peaks originating from different tautomers in 2D IR spectra enable unambiguous peak assignments that are often not possible in the congested 1D FTIR spectra. Furthermore, the intrinsic picosecond time-resolution means that these measurements can potentially characterize the time scale of tautomer exchange processes (Peng and Tokmakoff 2012b). For example, time-resolved 2D IR spectroscopy has been used to determine that keto–enol tautomeric equilibria in nucleobase models and nucleoside analogs establish on nanosecond time scales in aqueous solutions (Peng and Tokmakoff 2012; Singh et al. 2014; CS Peng, V Singh, BI Fedeles, D Li, T Amariuta, JM Essigmann, and A Tokmakoff, in prep).

Spectroscopic approaches such as 2D IR and low temperature NMR spectroscopy were recently applied to characterize the tautomeric forms of oxythiamine under aqueous conditions (Fig. 6B; Singh et al. 2014). These methods are directly useful for studying tautomerism of naturally occurring nucleic acid bases and their analogs, free in solution. However, complex RNA systems such as ribozymes and riboswitches are currently outside the realm of application of these methods. In large RNA systems, it is challenging to unambiguously isolate the signal from a particular base in the background of many bases with same/similar chemical properties; additionally minor tautomers are expected to be present in small amounts.
X-ray crystallography is a powerful technique for structural characterization of biomolecules and, at high resolution, it can be used effectively for studying tautomerism in RNA biosystems. Using a high-resolution crystal structure of the Dpo4 DNA polymerase bound to a double stranded oligonucleotide template containing a mismatched base pair, it was recently shown that the structure of the mismatched base pair is consistent with the presence of a minor tautomer (Fig. 6A; Wang et al. 2011). Similarly, such mismatched base pairs that could involve minor tautomeric forms have been observed in a crystal structure of DNA polymerase λ (Bebenek et al. 2011), and in the codon–anticodon interaction inside the 70S ribosome (Demeshkina et al. 2012). At typical resolutions, however, it is challenging to unambiguously assign the positions of protons to distinguish between various tautomeric forms. Also, the position of a tautomeric equilibrium may be different inside a crystal than in aqueous solution.

Binding isotope effects (BIEs) combined with DFT calculations are useful for studying tautomerism of a ligand bound to an RNA aptamer (Singh et al. 2014). BIEs are suited for studying tautomerism because, like vibrational spectroscopy, they are also influenced by alterations in vibrational frequencies between the bound and the unbound states of a ligand (Schramm 2007). This approach has been used for characterizing the tautomeric forms of OxyTPP bound to the TPP riboswitch (Fig. 6C; Singh et al. 2014). BIEs can potentially be used for studying both amino–imino and keto–enol tautomerism of any ligand bound to its target by using an appropriately labeled ligand; 15N BIEs are useful for studying amino–imino tautomerism, while 18O BIEs can be used for characterizing keto–enol tautomeric forms (Singh et al. 2014). Using the methods described in Singh et al. (2014) to study the tautomeric forms of OxyTPP bound to TPP riboswitch, one can envision an experimental setup to study the tautomeric forms of catalytic guanosines in ribozymes using BIEs. Specifically, an abasic site is introduced in the ribozyme in place of the catalytic guanosine and a mixture of labeled guanines containing heavy (15N or 18O) and light (14N or 16O) isotopes are added separately. By quantitating the ratio of light (14N or 16O) to heavy (15N or 18O) isotopes in the bound and the unbound forms of the guanine mixture, BIEs can be obtained. The 18O or the 15N BIEs measured experimentally can then be correlated with BIEs obtained computationally using the ISOEFF98 software, from all the 3N − 6 vibrational modes obtained from DFT calculations performed using Gaussian software, for all possible tautomeric forms of guanines.

RNA systems are complex and given the challenges associated with studying tautomerism, currently no one technique can comprehensively address the question of tautomerism in every system. As it was shown recently (Singh et al. 2014), a combination of methods based on 2D IR, FTIR, variable temperature NMR, BIEs, and DFT calculations were needed to provide full characterization of the tautomeric forms of OxyT in solution and OxyTPP bound to the TPP riboswitch. These methods would be even more powerful if combined with other techniques such as high-resolution X-ray crystallography and other kinds of spectroscopies to address the question of tautomerism in RNA enzymes and aptamers.

**CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVE**

Significant structural and biochemical evidence exists to suggest that tautomerism plays an important role in the biochemistry of many self-cleaving ribozymes and riboswitches. Given that tautomerism is an intrinsic property of ribonucleotides, one can imagine that other biological processes involving RNA could also be influenced by the structural diversity generated by tautomeric equilibria. Additionally, tautomerism is important in other areas of nucleic acid biochemistry. Spontaneous formation of minor tautomeric forms of nucleic acid bases, either in the nucleotide pool or in the template strand, has been proposed to generate mutations, owing to suspected noncanonical base-pairing properties of minor tautomers. Tautomeric nucleoside analogs also have therapeutic applications as antiviral drugs because of their ability to induce lethal mutagenesis (Li et al. 2014), increasing the viral mutation rates above the error catastrophe limit of a virus. Furthermore, because small self-cleaving ribozymes and riboswitches are considered as evolutionary remnants that have persisted in the modern genomes (Ferre-D’Amare and Scott 2010), biochemical versatility of RNA enhanced by tautomerism may have also played a crucial role in the ancestral RNA World (Gilbert 1986). Tautomerism of nucleic acid bases is perhaps also relevant to the origin of life question, and pertinent for understanding why Nature selected A, T, G, C, and U as nucleic acid bases for encoding the genetic information of all life forms.
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