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ABSTRACT: A search for CP violation in Cabibbo-suppressed $D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm$ and $D_s^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm$ decays is performed using $pp$ collision data, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb$^{-1}$, recorded by the LHCb experiment. The individual CP-violating asymmetries are measured to be

$$A_{CP}^{D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm} = (+0.03 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.14)\%$$
$$A_{CP}^{D_s^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm} = (+0.38 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.17)\%,$$

assuming that CP violation in the Cabibbo-favoured decays is negligible. A combination of the measured asymmetries for the four decay modes $D^\pm (s) \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm$ and $D_s^\pm (s) \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm$ gives the sum

$$A_{CP}^{D^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm} + A_{CP}^{D_s^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm} = (+0.41 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.26)\%.$$ 

In all cases, the first uncertainties are statistical and the second systematic. The results represent the most precise measurements of these asymmetries to date and show no evidence for CP violation.
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1 Introduction

Measurements of CP violation in charm meson decays offer a unique opportunity to search for physics beyond the Standard Model (SM). In the SM, CP violation in the charm sector is expected to be \( O(0.1\%) \) or below \([1]\). Any enhancement would be an indication of physics beyond the SM. Recent measurements of the difference in CP asymmetries between \( D^0 \to K^+ K^- \) and \( D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \) decays by the LHCb \([2-4]\), CDF \([5]\), Belle \([6]\) and BaBar \([7]\) collaborations are consistent with SM expectations. Further investigations in other charm decay modes are therefore important to provide a more complete picture of CP violation in the charm sector.

In this paper, CP violation in singly Cabibbo-suppressed \( D^\pm \to K_S^0 K^\pm \) and \( D_s^\pm \to K_S^0 \pi^\pm \) decays is investigated. In the SM, the magnitude of CP violation in these decays is expected to be small, \( O(10^{-4}) \), excluding the known contribution from \( K^0 \) mixing \([8]\). If processes beyond the SM contain additional weak phases, other than those contained in the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa formalism, additional CP-violating effects could arise \([8, 9]\).

Several searches for CP violation in \( D^\pm \to K_S^0 K^\pm \) and \( D_s^\pm \to K_S^0 \pi^\pm \) decays have been performed previously \([10-15]\). The CP asymmetry for \( D_s^\pm \to K_S^0 h^\pm \) decays is defined as

\[
A_{CP}^{D_s^\pm \to K_S^0 h^\pm} = \frac{\Gamma(D_s^+ \to K_S^0 h^+) - \Gamma(D_s^- \to K_S^0 h^-)}{\Gamma(D_s^+ \to K_S^0 h^+) + \Gamma(D_s^- \to K_S^0 h^-)},
\]

where \( h \) is a pion or kaon and \( \Gamma \) is the partial decay width. The most precise measurements of the CP asymmetries in the decay modes \( D^\pm \to K_S^0 K^\pm \) and \( D_s^\pm \to K_S^0 \pi^\pm \) are \( A_{CP}^{D^\pm \to K_S^0 K^\pm} = (-0.25 \pm 0.31)\% \) from the Belle collaboration \([14]\) and \( A_{CP}^{D_s^\pm \to K_S^0 \pi^\pm} = (+0.61 \pm 0.84)\% \) from the LHCb collaboration \([15]\), respectively. Both measurements are

\[\text{--- 1 ---}\]
consistent with CP symmetry. The measurement of $A_{CP}^{D^+ \to K^0_S \pi^\pm}$ by LHCb [15] was performed using data corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 1 fb$^{-1}$, and is superseded by the result presented here.

In this paper, the CP asymmetries are determined from the measured asymmetries,

$$A_{meas}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^\pm} = \frac{N_{sig}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^+} - N_{sig}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^-}}{N_{sig}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^+} + N_{sig}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^-}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.2)$$

where $N_{sig}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^\pm}$ is the signal yield in the decay mode $D^+_s \to K^0_S h^\pm$. The measured asymmetries include additional contributions other than $A_{CP}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^\pm}$, such that, when the considered asymmetries are small, it is possible to approximate

$$A_{meas}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^\pm} \approx A_{CP}^{D^+_s \to K^0_S h^\pm} + A_{prod}^{h^\pm} + A_{det}^{h^\pm} + A_{K^0/R^0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.3)$$

where $A_{prod}^{h^\pm}$ is the asymmetry in the production of $D^+_s$ mesons in high-energy pp collisions in the forward region, and $A_{det}^{h^\pm}$ arises from the difference in detection efficiencies between positively and negatively charged hadrons. The asymmetry $A_{K^0/R^0}$ is the number of $K^0/R^0$ mesons produced, taken into account the detection asymmetry between a $K^0$ and a $R^0$ meson due to regeneration and the presence of mixing and CP violation in the $K^0/R^0$ system. The contribution from the neutral kaon asymmetries is estimated using the method described in ref. [4] and the reconstructed $D^+_s \to K^0_S h^\pm$ candidates selected in this analysis. The result $A_{K^0/R^0} = (+0.07 \pm 0.02)\%$ is included as a correction to the measured asymmetries as shown below.

The $D^+_s$ production and hadron detection asymmetries approximately cancel by constructing a double difference (DD) between the four measured asymmetries,

$$A_{DD}^{CP} = \left[A_{meas}^{D_s^+ \to K^0_S \pi^\pm} - A_{meas}^{D_s^+ \to K^0_S K^\pm}\right] - \left[A_{meas}^{D_s^+ \to K^0_S \pi^\pm} - A_{meas}^{D_s^+ \to K^0_S K^\pm}\right] - 2A_{K^0/R^0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.4)$$

Assuming that CP violation in the Cabibbo-favoured decays is negligible, $A_{DD}^{CP}$ is a measurement of the sum of the CP-violating asymmetries in $D^\pm \to K^0_S K^\pm$ and $D_s^+ \to K^0_S \pi^\pm$ decays,

$$A_{CP}^{D^+ \to K^0_S K^\pm} + A_{CP}^{D^+ \to K^0_S \pi^\pm} = A_{DD}^{CP}. \hspace{1cm} (1.5)$$

The quantity $A_{DD}^{CP}$ provides a measurement that is largely insensitive to production and instrumental asymmetries, even though the CP asymmetries in $D^\pm \to K^0_S K^\pm$ and $D_s^+ \to K^0_S \pi^\pm$ decays are expected to have the opposite sign.

The individual CP asymmetries for $D^\pm \to K^0_S K^\pm$ and $D_s^+ \to K^0_S \pi^\pm$ decays are also determined using the asymmetry measured in the Cabibbo-favoured decay $D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+$,

$$A_{CP}^{D^\pm \to K^0_S K^\pm} = \left[A_{meas}^{D^\pm \to K^0_S K^\pm} - A_{meas}^{D_s^+ \to K^0_S K^\pm}\right] - \left[A_{meas}^{D^\pm \to K^0_S \pi^\pm} - A_{meas}^{D_s^+ \to \phi\pi^+}\right] + A_{K^0/R^0}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.6)$$
and
\[ A_{\text{CP}}^{D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm} = A_{\text{meas}}^{D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm} - A_{\text{meas}}^{D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi \pi^+} - A_{K^0}. \]

Measurements of the sum \( A_{\text{CP}}^{D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm} \) and \( A_{\text{CP}}^{D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm} \), and the individual CP asymmetries, \( A_{\text{CP}}^{D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 K^\pm} \) and \( A_{\text{CP}}^{D_s^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^\pm} \), are presented in this paper.

2 Detector and software

The LHCb detector [16] is a single-arm forward spectrometer covering the pseudorapidity range \( 2 < \eta < 5 \), designed for the study of particles containing \( b \) or \( c \) quarks. The detector includes a high-precision tracking system consisting of a silicon-strip vertex detector surrounding the \( pp \) interaction region, a large-area silicon-strip detector located upstream of a dipole magnet with a bending power of about 4 Tm, and three stations of silicon-strip detectors and straw drift tubes placed downstream. The polarity of the dipole magnet is reversed periodically throughout data-taking. The combined tracking system provides a momentum measurement with relative uncertainty that varies from 0.4% at 5 GeV/c to 0.6% at 100 GeV/c, and impact parameter resolution of 20 \( \mu \)m for tracks with large transverse momentum, \( p_T \). Different types of charged hadrons are distinguished by information from two ring-imaging Cherenkov (RICH) detectors [17]. Photon, electron and hadron candidates are identified by a calorimeter system consisting of scintillating-pad and preshower detectors, an electromagnetic calorimeter and a hadronic calorimeter. Muons are identified by a system composed of alternating layers of iron and multiwire proportional chambers [18]. The trigger [19] consists of a hardware stage, based on information from the calorimeter and muon systems, an inclusive software stage, which uses the tracking system, and a second software stage that exploits the full event reconstruction.

The data used in this analysis corresponds to an integrated luminosity of approximately 3 fb\(^{-1}\) recorded in \( pp \) collisions at centre-of-mass energies of \( \sqrt{s} = 7 \) TeV (1 fb\(^{-1}\)) and 8 TeV (2 fb\(^{-1}\)). Approximately 50% of the data were collected in each configuration (Up and Down) of the magnet polarity.

In the simulation, \( pp \) collisions are generated using PYTHIA 6.4 [20] with a specific LHCb configuration [21]. Decays of hadronic particles are described by EvtGen [22], in which final state radiation is generated using PHOTOS [23]. The interaction of the generated particles with the detector and its response are implemented using the GEANT4 toolkit [24, 25] as described in ref. [26].

3 Candidate selection

Candidate \( D_s^\pm \rightarrow K_S^0 h^\pm \) and \( D_s^\pm \rightarrow \phi \pi^\pm \) decays are reconstructed from combinations of charged particles that are well-measured, have information in all tracking detectors and are identified as either a pion or kaon, but not as an electron or muon. The primary \( pp \) interaction vertex (PV) is chosen to be the one yielding the minimum \( \chi^2_{\text{IP}} \) of the \( D_s^\pm \) meson, where \( \chi^2_{\text{IP}} \) is defined as the difference in \( \chi^2 \) of a given PV reconstructed with and
without the considered particle. The $\chi^2_{IP}$ requirements discussed below are defined with respect to all PVs in the event.

Candidate $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s h^\pm$ decays are reconstructed from a $K^0_s \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$ decay candidate combined with a charged (bachelor) hadron. The bachelor hadron is required to have $p > 5\text{ GeV}/c$, $p_T > 0.5\text{ GeV}/c$ and is classified as a pion or kaon according to the RICH particle identification information. The $K^0_s$ candidate is formed from a pair of oppositely charged particles, which have $p > 2\text{ GeV}/c$, $p_T > 0.25\text{ GeV}/c$, $\chi^2_{FD} > 40$, and are identified as pions. The $K^0_s$ is also required to have a good quality vertex fit, $p_T > 1\text{ GeV}/c$, $\chi^2_{IP} > 7$, a decay vertex separated from the PV by a distance greater than 20 mm, as projected on to the beam direction, and to have a significant flight distance by requiring $\chi^2_{FD} > 300$, where $\chi^2_{FD}$ is defined as the increase in the fit $\chi^2$ when the $K^0_s$ candidate is required to have zero lifetime. The $K^0_s$ mass is constrained to its known value $\cite{27}$ when the decay vertex is formed and the $D^+_{(s)}$ mass calculated. The electron and muon particle identification, flight distance and impact parameter requirements on the $K^0_s$ reduce backgrounds from semileptonic $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s \ell^+\nu_\ell$ ($\ell = e$ or $\mu$) and $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow h^+h^-h^+$ decays to a negligible level.

Candidate $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi\pi^\pm$ decays are reconstructed from three charged particles originating from a single vertex. The particles are required to have $\chi^2_{IP} > 15$ and a scalar sum $p_T > 2.8\text{ GeV}/c$. The $\phi$ candidate is formed from a pair of oppositely charged particles that are identified as kaons and have $p_T > 0.25\text{ GeV}/c$. The invariant mass of the $K^+K^-$ pair is required to be within $20\text{\ MeV}/c^2$ of the known $\phi$ mass $\cite{27}$. The bachelor pion is required to have $p > 5\text{ GeV}/c$, $p_T > 0.5\text{ GeV}/c$ and be identified as a pion.

Candidate $D^+_{(s)}$ mesons in all decay modes are required to have $p_T > 1\text{ GeV}/c$, $\chi^2_{IP} < 9$ and vertex $\chi^2$ per degree of freedom less than 10. In addition, the $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s h^\pm$ ($D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi\pi^\pm$) candidates are required to have $\chi^2_{FD} > 30$ (125), a distance of closest approach of the decay products smaller than 0.6 (0.5) mm, and a cosine of the angle between the $D^+_{(s)}$ momentum and the vector between the PV and the $D^+_{(s)}$ vertex greater than 0.999. The $D^+_{(s)}$ mass is required to be in the range $1.79 < m(K^0_s h^\pm) < 2.03\text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $1.805 < m(K^+K^-\pi^\pm) < 2.035\text{ GeV}/c^2$ for the $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s h^\pm$ and $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi\pi^\pm$ decays, respectively.

Figures 1 and 2 show the mass distributions of selected $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s h^\pm$ and $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi\pi^\pm$ candidates for data taken in the magnet polarity $Up$ configuration at $\sqrt{s} = 8\text{ TeV}$. The mass distributions for the magnet polarity $Down$ configuration are approximately equal.

Three categories of background contribute to the selected $D^+_{(s)}$ candidates. A low-mass background contributes at low $D^+_{(s)}$ mass and corresponds to decay modes such as $D^+ \rightarrow K^0_s \pi^+\pi^0$ and $D^+ \rightarrow K^+K^+\pi^+\pi^0$, where the $\pi^0$ is not reconstructed, for $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s h^\pm$ and $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi\pi^\pm$ decays, respectively. A cross-feed background contributes to $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s h^\pm$ decays and arises from $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s K^\pm$ decays in which the bachelor pion (kaon) is misidentified as a kaon (pion). Simulation studies show that the misidentification of the bachelor pion in $D^+ \rightarrow K^0_s \pi^\pm$ decays produces a cross-feed background that extends under the $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s K^\pm$ signal peak, and that the bachelor kaon in $D^+ \rightarrow K^0_s K^\pm$ decays produces a small complementary cross-feed background that extends under the $D^+ \rightarrow K^0_s \pi^\pm$ signal peak. A combinatorial background contribution is present in both $D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_s h^\pm$ and
Figure 1. Invariant mass distributions for the a) $D^+_s \to K^0_S \pi^+$, b) $D^+_s \to K^0_S \pi^-$, c) $D^+_s \to K^0_SK^+$ and d) $D^-_s \to K^0_SK^-$ decay candidates for data taken in the magnetic polarity $Up$ configuration at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV. The data are shown as black points and the total fit function by a blue line. The contributions from the signal and the low-mass, cross-feed and combinatorial backgrounds are indicated by red (dotted), green (full), magenta (dash-dotted) and black (multiple-dot-dashed) lines, respectively. The bottom figures are the normalised residuals (pull) distributions.

$D^\pm_s \to \phi\pi^\pm$ decay modes. Background from $\Lambda_c^\pm$ decays with a proton in the final state, and $D^\pm_s$ mesons originating from the decays of $b$ hadrons are neglected in the fit and considered when assessing systematic uncertainties.

4 Fit method

The yields and asymmetries for the $D^+_s \to K^0_S \pi^+$, $D^+_s \to K^0_SK^+$, and $D^+_s \to \phi\pi^+$ signal channels and the various backgrounds are determined from a likelihood fit to the respective binned invariant mass distribution. For each final state, the data are divided into four independent subsamples, according to magnet polarity and candidate charge, and a simultaneous fit is performed. The $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 8 TeV data sets are fitted separately to take into account background rate and data-taking conditions.
Figure 2. Invariant mass distributions for the a) \( D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi \pi^+ \) and b) \( D^-_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi \pi^- \) decay candidates for data taken in the magnet polarity \( Up \) configuration at \( \sqrt{s} = 8 \) TeV. The data are shown as black points and the total fit function by a blue line. The contributions from the signal and the low-mass and combinatorial backgrounds are indicated by red (dotted), green (full) and black (multiple-dot-dashed) lines, respectively. The bottom figures are the normalised residuals (pull) distributions.

All signal and background mass shapes are determined using simulated data samples. The \( D^\pm_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_{s} h^\pm \) signal shape is described by the parametric function,

\[
  f(m) \propto \exp \left[ \frac{-(m - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2 + (m - \mu)^2\alpha_{L,R}} \right],
\]

which is parametrised by a mean \( \mu \), width \( \sigma \) and asymmetric low- and high-mass tail parameters, \( \alpha_L \) (for \( m < \mu \)) and \( \alpha_R \) (for \( m > \mu \)), respectively. The means and widths of the four \( D^\pm_{(s)} \) signal peaks are allowed to vary in the fit. In addition, 3 tail parameters are included in the fit. All the \( D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_{s} \pi^\pm \) signal peaks are described by two common \( \alpha_L \) and \( \alpha_R \) tail parameters, whereas for the \( D^+_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_{s} K^\pm \) signal peaks \( \alpha_L \) and \( \alpha_R \) are set to be equal and a single tail parameter is used. The widths and tail parameters are also common for the two magnet polarities.

The low-mass background is modelled by a Gaussian function with a fixed mean (1790 MeV/c^2 and 1810 MeV/c^2 for \( D^\pm_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_{s} \pi^\pm \) and \( D^\pm_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_{s} K^\pm \), respectively) and width (10 MeV/c^2), as determined from simulation. The cross-feed components are described by a Crystal Ball function [28] with tail parameters fixed to those obtained in the simulation. Since the cross-feed contribution from \( D^\pm_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_{s} K^\pm \) is very small compared to the \( D^\pm \rightarrow K^0_{s} \pi^\pm \) signal, the width and mean of this contribution are also taken from simulation. The cross-feed contribution from \( D^\pm \rightarrow K^0_{s} \pi^\pm \) to \( D^\pm_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_{s} K^\pm \) candidates extends under the signal peak to low- and high-mass. The mean and width of the Crystal Ball function are allowed to vary in the fit with a common width for the two magnet polarities. The combinatorial background is described by a linear term with a slope free to vary for all mass distributions.
The $D^{\pm}_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi \pi^\pm$ signal peaks are described by the sum of eq. (4.1) and a Crystal Ball function. The means and widths of the four $D^{\pm}_{(s)}$ signal peaks and a common Crystal Ball width are allowed to vary in the fit. In addition, five tail parameters are included in the fit. These are $\alpha_L$ for the $D^{\pm}$ and $D^{\pm}_{s}$ signal peaks and a single offset $\Delta \alpha \equiv \alpha_L - \alpha_R$, and two Crystal Ball tail parameters. The widths and tail parameters are common for the two magnet polarities. The low-mass background is modelled with a Gaussian function and the combinatorial background is described by a linear term with a slope free to vary for all mass distributions.

To reduce any bias in the measured asymmetries due to potential detection and production asymmetries arising from the difference in the kinematic properties of the $D^{\pm}_{(s)}$ or the bachelor hadron, the $p_T$ and $\eta$ distributions of the $D^{\pm}_{(s)}$ candidate for the $D^{\pm}_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^\pm$ and $D^{\pm}_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi \pi^\pm$ decay modes are weighted to be consistent with those of the $D^{\pm}_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm$ candidates. To further reduce a potential bias due to a track detection asymmetry, an unweighted average of the asymmetries measured using the two magnet polarity configurations is determined.

The total fitted signal yields for all decay modes and the measured and calculated $CP$ asymmetries are summarised in table 1 and table 2, respectively. Since the correlation between the measured asymmetries is negligible, the $CP$ asymmetries are calculated assuming they are uncorrelated.

### Table 1. Signal yields.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay mode</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$D^{\pm} \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^\pm$</td>
<td>4834 440 $\pm$ 2555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^{\pm}_{s} \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^\pm$</td>
<td>120 976 $\pm$ 692</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^{\pm} \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm$</td>
<td>1013 516 $\pm$ 1379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^{\pm}_{s} \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm$</td>
<td>1476 980 $\pm$ 2354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^{+} \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$</td>
<td>7020 160 $\pm$ 2739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D^{+}_{s} \rightarrow \phi \pi^+$</td>
<td>13144 900 $\pm$ 3879</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Systematic uncertainties

The values of the $CP$ asymmetries $A^{DD}_{CP}$, $A^{D^{\pm} \rightarrow K^0_S K^\pm}_{CP}$ and $A^{D^{\pm}_{s} \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^\pm}_{CP}$ are subject to several sources of systematic uncertainty arising from the fitting procedure, treatment of the backgrounds, and trigger- and detector-related effects. A summary of the contributions to the systematic uncertainties is given in table 3.

The systematic uncertainty due to the fit procedure is evaluated by replacing the description of the $D^{\pm}_{(s)} \rightarrow K^0_S h^\pm$ and $D^{\pm}_{(s)} \rightarrow \phi \pi^\pm$ signal, combinatorial background and low-mass background in the fit with alternative parameterizations. The systematic uncertainty is calculated by comparing the asymmetries after each change in the fit function to
\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Asymmetry & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{$\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$} & \multicolumn{2}{c|}{$\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$} & \\
 & Up & Down & Up & Down & Total \\
\hline
$D_{\text{meas}} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $-1.04 \pm 0.19$ & $-0.74 \pm 0.16$ & $-0.88 \pm 0.08$ & $-1.04 \pm 0.08$ & $-0.95 \pm 0.05$
\hline
$D_{\text{meas}} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $+2.55 \pm 1.34$ & $-0.56 \pm 1.09$ & $-0.46 \pm 0.78$ & $-0.66 \pm 0.77$ & $-0.15 \pm 0.46$
\hline
$D_{\text{meas}} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $-0.47 \pm 0.59$ & $-0.23 \pm 0.50$ & $-0.11 \pm 0.32$ & $+0.38 \pm 0.31$ & $+0.01 \pm 0.19$
\hline
$D_{\text{meas}} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $+0.28 \pm 0.34$ & $+0.84 \pm 0.28$ & $-0.69 \pm 0.18$ & $+1.02 \pm 0.17$ & $+0.27 \pm 0.11$
\hline
$D_{\text{meas}} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $-1.02 \pm 0.09$ & $+0.24 \pm 0.07$ & $-0.71 \pm 0.05$ & $-0.48 \pm 0.05$ & $-0.41 \pm 0.05$
\hline
$A_{DD} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $+2.71 \pm 1.46$ & $-1.04 \pm 1.18$ & $+0.86 \pm 0.82$ & $-0.39 \pm 0.81$ & $+0.41 \pm 0.49$
\hline
$A_{CP} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $-0.80 \pm 0.53$ & $-0.17 \pm 0.44$ & $+0.69 \pm 0.27$ & $-0.14 \pm 0.27$ & $+0.03 \pm 0.17$
\hline
$A_{CP} \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ & $+3.51 \pm 1.35$ & $-0.87 \pm 1.09$ & $+0.17 \pm 0.78$ & $-0.25 \pm 0.77$ & $+0.38 \pm 0.46$
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Measured asymmetries (in %) for the decay modes $D^+ \rightarrow K_0^{*+} \pi^\pm$, $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_0^{*+} \pi^\pm$, $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ and $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_0^{*+} \phi \pi^+$ and the calculated $CP$ asymmetries. The results are reported separately for $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ data and the two magnetic polarities (Up and Down). The combined results are given in the final column. The quoted uncertainties are statistical only.}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Source & $A_{DD}$ & $A_{CP}$ & $A_{CP}$ & $A_{CP}$ & $A_{DD}$ & $A_{CP}$ & $A_{CP}$ & $A_{DD}$ & $A_{CP}$ & $A_{CP}$ \\
& & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
Fit procedure & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.11 & 0.07 & 0.05 & 0.01 & \\
\hline
Cross-feed bkgd. & 0.03 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.01 & – & 0.01 & \\
\hline
Non-prompt charm & 0.01 & – & – & 0.01 & – & – & \\
\hline
Kinematic weighting & 0.08 & 0.06 & 0.13 & 0.05 & 0.07 & 0.12 & \\
\hline
Kinematic region & 0.10 & 0.06 & 0.04 & 0.19 & 0.02 & 0.17 & \\
\hline
Trigger & 0.13 & 0.13 & 0.07 & 0.17 & 0.17 & 0.09 & \\
\hline
$K^0$ asymmetry & 0.03 & 0.02 & 0.02 & 0.04 & 0.02 & 0.02 & \\
\hline
Total & 0.23 & 0.18 & 0.19 & 0.27 & 0.19 & 0.22 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Systematic uncertainties (absolute values in %) on the $CP$ asymmetries for $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV data. The total systematic uncertainty is the sum in quadrature of the individual contributions.}
\end{table}

those obtained without the modification. The overall systematic uncertainty due to the fit procedure is calculated assuming that the individual contributions are entirely correlated.

The systematic uncertainty due to the $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ cross-feed in the $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_0^{*+} \pi^\pm$ fit is determined by repeating the fit with the cross-feed component yields fixed to those from an estimation based on particle identification efficiencies determined from a large sample of $D^* \rightarrow D \pi^\pm$ decays, where $D$ is a $D^0$ or $\bar{D}^0$ meson [29]. In the $D_s^+ \rightarrow K_0^{*+} K_{-}^0$ fit, the $D^\pm \rightarrow K_0^{0} \pi^\pm$ cross-feed shape tail parameters are allowed to vary. The systematic uncertainty is taken as the shift in the central values of the $CP$ asymmetries.
The systematic uncertainty due to the presence of charm backgrounds, such as $A_{c}^{\pm} \rightarrow A^{0}h^{\pm}$ and $A_{c}^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}p$, which have a proton in the final state, is investigated by applying a proton identification veto on all final state tracks in the $D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}h^{\pm}$ data sample. The effect is to reduce the total number of $D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}h^{\pm}$ candidates, without a significant shift in the asymmetries. This source of systematic uncertainty is therefore considered negligible.

In the selection of $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ candidates, the $\chi_{IP}^{2}$ requirement on the $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ removes the majority of background from secondary $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ mesons originating from the decay of a $b$ hadron. The remaining secondary $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ mesons may introduce a bias in the measured CP asymmetries due to a difference in the production asymmetries for $b$ hadrons and $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ mesons. In order to investigate this bias, the $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ production asymmetries in eq. (1.3) for $D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}h^{\pm}$ decays, and similarly for $D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi\pi^{\pm}$ decays, are modified using

$$A_{prod}^{D_{(s)}^{\pm}(corr)} = \frac{A_{prod}^{D_{(s)}^{\pm}} + f A_{prod}^{B}}{1 + f},$$

where $f$ is the fraction of secondary $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ candidates in a particular decay channel and $A_{prod}^{B}$ is the corresponding $b$-hadron production asymmetry. The fraction $f$ is estimated from the measured $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$, $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ and $b$ hadron inclusive cross-sections [30, 31], the inclusive branching fractions $B(b \rightarrow D^{\pm}X)$ and $B(b \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{\pm}X)$, where $X$ corresponds to any other particles in the final state [27], the exclusive branching fractions $B(D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}h^{\pm})$ and $B(D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi\pi^{\pm})$ [27], and the efficiencies estimated from simulation. The resulting values of $f$ lie in the range $1.3 - 3.2\%$. The $b$-hadron production asymmetry $A_{prod}^{B}$ is taken to be $(-1.5 \pm 1.3)\%$, consistent with measurements of the $B^{+}$ and $B^{0}$ production asymmetries in $pp$ collisions in the forward region [32]. The effect of the uncertainty on $A_{prod}^{B}$ is negligible. The systematic uncertainty is evaluated by using the modified $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ production asymmetries from eq. (5.1) for each of the decay modes and recalculating the CP asymmetries.

The effect on the CP asymmetries of weighting the $D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow K_{S}^{0}\pi^{\pm}$ and $D_{(s)}^{\pm} \rightarrow \phi\pi^{\pm}$ candidates using the $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ kinematic distributions compared to the unweighted results is assigned as a systematic uncertainty. The effect of the weighting procedure on the bachelor hadron kinematic distributions is also investigated by comparing the bachelor $p_{T}$ and $\eta$ distributions before and after weighting. The results show excellent agreement and no further systematic uncertainty is assigned.

Due to a small intrinsic left-right detection asymmetry, for a given magnet polarity, an excess of either positively or negatively charged bachelor hadrons is detected at large $\eta$ and small $p$, where $p$ is the component of momentum parallel to the LHCb beam-axis [33]. This excess leads to charge asymmetries, which may not completely cancel in the analysis when the average of the $Up$ and $Down$ magnet polarity asymmetries is calculated. To investigate this effect, $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ candidates, whose bachelor hadron falls within the above kinematic region, are removed and the resulting asymmetries compared to those without the selection criterion applied. The kinematic region excluded is the same as that used in refs. [33, 34] and removes $\sim 3\%$ of the $D_{(s)}^{\pm}$ candidates. The difference between the asymmetries is taken to be the systematic uncertainty.
Detector related systematic uncertainties may also arise from the variation of operating conditions between data-taking periods, and data not taken concurrently with the two magnet polarities. A consistency check is therefore performed by dividing the data into 12 subsamples with similar size, corresponding to data-taking periods and magnet polarity changes, and the analysis is repeated for each subsample. The asymmetries obtained are consistent and no further systematic uncertainty is assigned.

Potential trigger biases are studied using a large sample of \( D^\pm \rightarrow K^{\mp} \pi^+ \pi^\pm \) decays with the \( D_{(s)}^\pm \rightarrow \phi \pi^\pm \) selection criteria applied. The data are divided into subsamples, corresponding to various hardware trigger configurations, and the asymmetries for the individual subsamples measured. A systematic uncertainty is assigned, which corresponds to the maximum deviation of a \( CP \) asymmetry from a single subsample compared to the mean asymmetry from all subsamples, assuming there is no cancellation when the \( CP \) asymmetries are remeasured.

In \( D_{(s)}^\pm \rightarrow K_s^0 h^\pm \) decays, the \( K_s^0 \) meson originates from the production of a neutral kaon flavour eigenstate (\( K^0 \) or \( K^0_s \)) in the decay of the \( D_{(s)}^\pm \) meson. The neutral kaon state evolves, via mixing and \( CP \) violation, and interacts with the detector material creating an asymmetry in the reconstruction before decaying. The overall effect is estimated using simulation, as described in ref. [4], and a correction is applied to the calculated asymmetries as shown in eqs. (1.5)—(1.7). The full uncertainty of the estimated effect is assigned as a systematic uncertainty.

6 Results and summary

A search for \( CP \) violation in \( D^\pm \rightarrow K^0_SK^\pm \) and \( D_{(s)}^\pm \rightarrow K^0_S\pi^\pm \) decays is performed using a data sample of \( pp \) collisions, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 3 fb\(^{-1}\) at centre-of-mass energies of 7 TeV (1 fb\(^{-1}\)) and 8 TeV (2 fb\(^{-1}\)), recorded by the LHCb experiment. The results for the two centre-of-mass energies are combined using the method described in ref. [35], assuming all the systematic uncertainties are correlated. The individual \( CP \)-violating asymmetries are measured to be

\[
\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{D^\pm \rightarrow K^0_SK^\pm} = (+0.03 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.14) \%
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{D^\pm \rightarrow K^0_S\pi^\pm} = (+0.38 \pm 0.46 \pm 0.17) \%,
\]

assuming that \( CP \) violation in the Cabibbo-favoured decay is negligible. The measurements are consistent with previous results [14, 15], and \( \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{D^\pm \rightarrow K^0_S\pi^\pm} \) supersedes the result reported in ref. [15], which used a subsample of the present data.

A combination of the measured asymmetries for the four decay modes \( D_{(s)}^\pm \rightarrow K_s^0 K^\pm \) and \( D_{(s)}^\pm \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^\pm \) gives the sum

\[
\mathcal{A}_{CP}^{D^\pm \rightarrow K_s^0 K^\pm} + \mathcal{A}_{CP}^{D^\pm \rightarrow K_s^0 \pi^\pm} = (+0.41 \pm 0.49 \pm 0.26) \%,
\]
and provides a measurement that is largely insensitive to production and instrumental asymmetries. In all cases, the first uncertainties are statistical and the second are systematic. The results represent the most precise measurements of these quantities to date and show no evidence for CP violation.
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