Identification of a wide, low-mass multiple system containing the brown dwarf 2MASS J0850359+105716
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ABSTRACT

We report our discovery of NLTT 20346 as an M5+M6 companion system to the tight binary (or triple) L dwarf 2MASS J0850359+105716. This nearby (∼31 pc), widely separated (∼7700 AU) quadruple system was identified through a cross-match of proper motion catalogs. Follow-up imaging and spectroscopy of NLTT 20346 revealed it to be a magnetically active M5+M6 binary with components separated by ∼2″ (50–80 AU). Optical spectroscopy of the components shows only moderate He emission corresponding to a statistical age of ∼5–7 Gyr for both M dwarfs. However, NLTT 20346 is associated with the XMM-Newton source J085018.9+105644, and based on X-ray activity the age of NLTT 20346 is between 250 and 450 Myr. Strong Li absorption in the optical spectrum of 2MASS J0850+1057 indicates an upper age limit of 0.8–1.5 Gyr, favoring the younger age for the primary. Using evolutionary models in combination with an adopted system age of 0.25–1.5 Gyr indicates a total mass for 2MASS J0850+1057 of 0.07 ± 0.02 M⊙, if it is a binary. NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850+1057 joins a growing list of hierarchical systems containing brown dwarf binaries and is among the lowest binding energy associations found in the field. Formation simulations via gravitational fragmentation of massive extended disks have successfully produced a specific analog to this system.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Very low mass stars (VLMs) and brown dwarfs (M < 0.10 M⊙) are among the most populous constituents of the Galaxy, yet their origins remain controversial. Whether they form in a manner similar to higher mass stars or require additional or completely different processes is currently under debate (see Burgasser et al. 2007; Whitworth et al. 2007; Luhman et al. 2007, and references therein). One important characteristic that indicates a difference in formation is their binary frequency and multiplicity statistics (separation, mass ratio, eccentricities, etc.). Contrary to higher mass stars, VLM binaries are found tightly bound (ρ < 20 AU), in small frequency (10%–20%) and with high mass ratios (typically q ∼ 1—e.g., Bouy et al. 2003; Close et al. 2003; Burgasser et al. 2003; Ahmnic et al. 2007; Reid et al. 2008).

Over the past decade, theoretical and observational studies have converged on several competing mechanisms to explain the formation of VLM stars and brown dwarfs. Among the most prominent are (1) magnetoturbulent or gravoturbulent fragmentation (Padoan & Nordlund 2004; Bate et al. 2003; Goodwin et al. 2004a, 2004b, 2006), (2) ejection of a protostellar embryo from the natal core (Reipurth & Clarke 2001; Bate & Bonnell 2005), or (3) disk fragmentation (Whitworth & Stamatellos 2006; Stamatellos & Whitworth 2009a). Characteristics of multiple systems predicted by each have been used to differentiate which mechanism is most probable for the population. Early versions of the ejection model were favored in part because they predicted that brown dwarf binaries should have separations no greater than 10 AU (Bate et al. 2002). This was supported through early observational studies. However, recent findings of widely separated VLM systems (typically ρ > 100 AU) are contradictory and shed doubt on the viability of the ejection scenario (Luhman 2004; Allers et al. 2009; Close et al. 2007; Luhman et al. 2009; Billères et al. 2005; Caballero 2007; Artigau et al. 2007; Radigan et al. 2009). Updated simulations have successfully created a handful of wide brown dwarf binaries (Bate & Bonnell 2005; Bate 2009) but the growing number in the field indicates a fraction too high to be explained by just this mechanism. The existence and frequency of wide substellar pairs may not discount one mechanism versus another; rather multiple formation mechanisms may be at work in the field.

Recent work has shown that brown dwarfs widely separated from nearby stars have a higher frequency of also being tight multiples (Burgasser et al. 2005; Faherty et al. 2009). This has been explored in the disk fragmentation models of Stamatellos...
were obtained at each of the five dither positions. Observations were created from a dome flat lights on and lights off image. Bad pixel masks available software packages: e.g., WCSTOOLS (Mink2002). Utilizing a combination of IRAF routines as well as publicly & Whitworth (2009a) where similar high frequencies of brown dwarf multiples were produced when widely separated from a more massive companion. In this article, we report the identification of another widely separated brown dwarf binary (or triple; see Burgasser et al. 2011) companion, the NLTT 20346 and 2MASS J0850+1057 comoving system. In Section 2, we review the discovery and observational data used to characterize the system. In Section 3, we discuss the astrometric details of the system including a contaminating artifact that likely skewed previous parallax measurements for 2MASS J0850+1057. In Section 4, we analyze the details of the system including the activity and masses of each component. In Section 5, we discuss its importance among the population of VLM wide companion systems. Results are summarized in Section 6.

2. OBSERVATIONS

2.1. Targets

2MASS J0850359+105716 (hereafter 2MASS J0850+1057) was first identified as a single object in the Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS; Skrutskie et al. 2006) by Kirkpatrick et al. (1999). It was subsequently assigned to be the prototype for the L 6 spectral subclass before being resolved as an 0′16 binary system by Reid et al. (2001). Bouy et al. (2008) confirmed the binarity of 2MASS J0850+1057 through common proper motion detected in multiple epoch Hubble Space Telescope (HST) data. The magnitude difference between components of 2MASS J0850+1057 in HST images indicates that the secondary is a late-type L dwarf (Reid et al. 2001; Bouy et al. 2008). Burgasser et al. (2011) report template fitting to the combined light spectrum of 2MASS J0850+1057 and conclude component spectral types of L 7 and L 6.5. They also speculate that the brighter but later-type primary may itself be a closely separated, unresolved pair, making this system one of a handful of candidate brown dwarf triples (e.g., Gliiese 569, Simon et al. 2006; DENIS J0205-1159, Bouy et al. 2005; Kelu 1, Stumpf et al. 2009).

NLTT 20346 was identified as a high proper motion star in Luyten (1979) and subsequently in Lépine et al. (2002). Luyten (1979) assigned it a spectral class of K using color information, but little else was known about NLTT 20346 prior to this work.

2.2. ISPI Imaging

We observed the field containing 2MASS J0850+1057 and NLTT 20346 at the Cerro Tololo 4.0 m Blanco telescope six times between 2008 March 9 and 2010 April 8 as part of an ongoing astrometric program. The Infrared Side Port Imager (ISPI) was used and the target was observed through the J-band filter (van der Bliek et al. 2004). ISPI has a nominal plate scale of 0.3 pixel−1. Five images of 60 s exposures with four co-adds were obtained at each of the five dither positions. Observations were made under clear conditions with seeing ranging from ∼0′7 to 1′0.

Dark frames and lights on/off dome flats were obtained at the start of each evening. The subsequent reduction procedures were based on the prescriptions put together by the ISPI team12 utilizing a combination of IRAF routines as well as publicly available software packages: e.g., WCSTOOLS (Mink 2002). J-band flats were created by median combining the lights on and lights off images then subtracting the two. Bad pixel masks were created from a dome flat lights on and lights off image.

12 http://www.ctio.noao.edu/instruments_ir_instruments/ispi/

Figure 1. SpeX J-band image of the 6 × 6 arcsec field around NLTT 20346 where we resolved the system into two M dwarf components. The angular separation is ∼2″ (or 50–80 AU) and the J-band magnitude difference is 1.13 ± 0.02. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Individual frames were flat-fielded and corrected for bad pixels with the resultant calibration images. All images were flipped to orient north up and east to the left using the IRAF routine osiris in the cirred package. Finally, the IRAF routine xdimsum was used to perform sky subtractions and mask resultant holes from bright stars.

In close examination of an ISPI image from 2009 November 30 (seeing conditions ∼0″7), we noted an elongated point spread function (PSF) for NLTT 20346 in the J band after a 1 s exposure, indicating a visual binary. Subsequent images taken with the Cont-203 filter (and J, H, K filters on the SpeX guider camera—see Section 2.3.2) resolved a companion separated by ∼2″0 (see Figure 1).

2.3. Spectroscopy

2.3.1. MagE

Optical spectra for the components of the NLTT 20346 visual binary were obtained with the Magellan Echellette Spectrograph (MagE; Marshall et al. 2008) on the 6.5 m Clay Telescope at Las Campanas Observatory on 2008 November 26 and 2010 March 9 (see Figure 2). MagE is a cross-dispersed optical spectrograph, covering 3000–10000 Å at medium resolution (R ≈ 4100). Our observations employed a 0″7 slit aligned at the parallactic angle. Observations were made under clear conditions with an average seeing of ∼0″7. The separation between the primary and secondary is ∼2″, therefore minimal contamination (<1%) was expected. A 300 s integration was obtained for each component followed by a ThAr lamp spectrum for wavelength calibration. The spectrophotometric standard GD 108 or EGIS 274 was observed for flux calibration. Ten Xe-flash and Quartz lamp flats as well as twilight flats were taken at the start of the evening for pixel response calibration. The data were reduced using the MagE Spectral Extractor pipeline (MASE; Bochanski et al. 2009) which incorporates flat fielding, sky subtraction and flux calibration IDL routines.
2.3.2. Near-infrared Spectroscopy and Imaging with SPEX

We obtained low-resolution near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy for both the primary and secondary components of NLTT 20346 using the SpeX spectrograph (Rayner et al. 2003) mounted on the 3 m NASA Infrared Telescope Facility (IRTF) on 2009 December 3 UT (see Figure 3). We used the spectrograph in prism mode with the 0.5″ slit aligned to the parallactic angle. This resulted in $R \equiv \lambda/\Delta\lambda \approx 120$ spectral data over the wavelength range 0.7–2.5 μm. Conditions were clear and the seeing was 0.46″ at K. The stars were separated sufficiently that we were able to obtain 12 individual exposure times of 30 s (total exposure time of 6 minutes) in an ABBA dither pattern along the slit. Immediately after the science observations we observed the A0V star HD 43607 at a similar air mass for telluric corrections and flux calibration. Internal flat-field and Ar arc lamp exposures were acquired for pixel response and wavelength calibration, respectively. All data were reduced using the SpeXtool package version 3.4 (Cushing et al. 2004; Vacca et al. 2003) using standard settings.

In addition to NIR spectroscopy, we used the SpeX guider camera to image NLTT 20346 and resolve the two components with a signal-to-noise ratio of >50 for each. The resolution is 0.12″ per pixel with a 60′′ × 60′′ field of view. We used the JHK filters and the camera oriented with north up and east to the left. Exposure times were 5 s each in an ABBA dither pattern, which was then shifted 10″ to the east and repeated (see Figure 3). A sky image was created from the eight images then subtracted from individual frames before relative photometry was performed.

3. ASTROMETRIC DETAILS

3.1. Contaminant of 2MASS J0850+1057

2MASS J0850+1057 was targeted in the astrometric programs of Vrba et al. (2004) and Dahn et al. (2002), resulting in two published but discrepant parallax values. The Vrba et al. (2004) work used a near-IR imager with either J or H filters (H in the case of 2MASS J0850+1057) and the Dahn et al. (2002) work used an optical CCD with a wide-I interference filter for astrometric measurements. There were seven objects in common between the two programs, five of which had parallax measurements that matched better than 4 mas. 2MASS J0850+1057 was discrepant by 12.9 ± 5.5 mas (CCD-IR), resulting in a 2.4σ difference in parallactic angle and a 3.4σ difference in proper motion position angle. Vrba et al. (2004) investigated whether orbital motion between the resolved components of the binary could account for the disagreement but ruled it out due to the
short timespan between the two programs compared to the predicted ~51 year orbit.

We noticed on recent ISPI images that there was a second fainter object resolved in the J band ~4″0 from the L dwarf that could have skewed prior astrometric measurements (see Figure 4). The Vrba et al. (2004) parallax measurement was based on 1.86 years of data, 13 images and a mean epoch of 2001.791. The Dahn et al. (2002) parallax measurement was based on 3.3 years of data and 30 images. Since no mean epoch is given, we assume observations were conducted between 1999 and 2002 shortly after the discovery and before the Dahn et al. (2002) publication. Both astrometric programs have comparable resolutions to ISPI. Tracing the position of 2MASS J0850+1057 back to the Vrba et al. (2004) and Dahn et al. (2002) positions using the proper motion calculated in this work reveals that 2MASS J0850+1057 would have been blended with the contaminant. Over the lifetime of the two astrometric programs, the significant proper motion would lead to an “elongation” of the PSF, which is a likely explanation for discrepant parallax measurements between the two programs.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 4. ISPI J-band image of the 10 × 10 arcsec field around the target 2MASS J0850+1057. The point source at the far left is ~2 mag fainter at J but clearly resolved at the 2009 ISPI position. Overplotted with filled circles are the mean epoch positions from 2MASS, Dahn et al. (2002), and Vrba et al. (2004). Tracing back the ISPI position to the Vrba et al. (2004) and Dahn et al. (2002) positions using the proper motion calculated in this work reveals that 2MASS J0850+1057 would have been blended with the contaminant. Over the lifetime of the two astrometric programs, the significant proper motion would lead to an “elongation” of the PSF, which is a likely explanation for discrepant parallax results.

3.2. Proper Motion

2MASS J0850+1057 was imaged as part of the Brown Dwarf Kinematics Project (BDKP) parallax program which targets nearby brown dwarfs. We used the Carnegie Astrometric Planet Search software (from hereon ATPa) to extract all point sources in the six epochs of ISPI data and solve for the parallax and proper motion (Boss et al. 2009). The highest quality image was used as the template to which all other images were transformed. In the 2MASS J0850+1057 field, there were 25 well-behaved (elongated, saturated, spurious sources were removed) reference stars between all epochs. Using these sources, a linear transformation was applied to each epoch point source catalog to constrain the field rotation, plate scale and match all reference sources to the template. Higher order transformations were tested but demonstrated negligible difference from linear solutions. The apparent trajectory of each star was fit to a standard astrometric model included in the ATPa software. The algorithms follow the astrometric solution prescriptions laid out in the Hipparcos (Perryman et al. 1997) and Tycho Catalog (Hog et al. 2000) descriptions. We corrected the data from apparent parallax to absolute parallax following the same procedure described in Vrba et al. (2004). A full detailed description of the astrometric pipeline used to solve for the parallax and proper motion of 2MASS J0850+1057 is provided in J. K. Faherty et al. (2011, in preparation). As noted in Vrba et al. (2004) the proper motion and parallax of the system will not be affected by the orbital motion since the images were taken over a ~2 year period whereas the orbit is ~50 years with components separated by 0″16.

To determine the new proper motion for NLTT 20346, we used positions available through USNO-A2.0, USNO-B1.0, GSC 2.2, 2MASS, and an ISPI image taken on 2010 April 8 (Monet et al. 2003; Monet 1998; Lasker et al. 2008; Cutri et al. 2003). The total baseline between the first and final epoch used was ~59 years. NLTT 20346 is not resolved in any of the epochs as the 2″ separation, seeing conditions and plate scale of each detector caused the A and B components to appear blended. We simulated the PSF for the A and B components of NLTT 20346 on each of the detectors to estimate the uncertainty due to blending. We added this additional uncertainty in quadrature to the catalog uncertainties and used these in the proper motion measurement. The absolute astrometric position from each catalog (with corresponding epochs) were used to solve for the proper motion using a least squares weighted solution. There is an SDSS image taken on 2006 January 6 and an ISPI image taken on 2010 February 27 with subarcsecond seeing where both components are resolved. Using these two epochs with a ~4 year baseline, we calculated the proper motion of each component. These resolved values are consistent with the motion calculated from the blended source along a 59 year baseline. This indicates that orbital motion is not affecting the total motion of the system.

For NLTT 20346 we calculated $\mu_\alpha = -172.8 \pm 8$ mas yr$^{-1}$ and $\mu_\delta = -50 \pm 6$ mas yr$^{-1}$ (using all catalog positions), and for 2MASS J0850+1057 we calculated $\mu_\alpha = -144 \pm 6$ mas yr$^{-1}$ and $\mu_\delta = -38 \pm 6$ mas yr$^{-1}$. NLTT 20346 has two published proper motion values in the Lepine-Shara Proper Motion North (LSPM-N) and New Luyten (NLTT; Luyten 1979) catalogs and, as stated in Section 3.1, 2MASS J0850+1057 has previous

13 http://www.rssd.esa.int/SA/HIPPARCOS/docs/vol1_all.pdf
our astrometric results are consistent with typically required to be within 2σ of the BDKP catalog (Faherty et al. 2009) and the LSPM-2MASS J0850+1057 through a common proper motion search were required to determine common proper motion candidates. Along with the predicted distance measurement of the primary (see Section 4.1 below), and the new parallax measurement for 2MASS J0850+1057 of 35 ± 8 mas or 29 ± 7 pc, this system is a strong wide companion common proper motion candidate.

### 3.3. Likelihood of Companionship

NLTT 20346 was identified as a potential companion to 2MASS J0850+1057 through a common proper motion search of the BDKP catalog (Faherty et al. 2009) and the LSPM-N and Hipparcos catalogs (Lépine et al. 2002; Perryman et al. 1997). Several other systems were detected and detailed analysis was presented in Faherty et al. (2009). In that work, an angular separation of up to 10 arcmin and a proper motion match criterion of better than 2σ in both right ascension (R.A.) and declination (decl.) between the system components were required to determine common proper motion candidates. The average uncertainty for objects in the BDKP catalog is 15 mas yr⁻¹ (in both directions) so proper motion agreement was typically required to be <30 mas yr⁻¹ between the stellar companion and ultracool dwarf (UCD). Faherty et al. (2010) also required a distance match between components of better than 2σ or typically better than 10 pc.

The system containing NLTT 20346 was not investigated in Faherty et al. (2010) because proper motion components were slightly outside of the 2σ requirement. However, after follow-up imaging and re-analysis of the astrometry of both components we found strong evidence for companionship (see Section 3.2).

To quantify the possibility that NLTT 20346 might be a chance alignment with 2MASS J0850+1057, we ran a Monte Carlo simulation of all stars in the LSPM-N and Hipparcos catalogs that shared a common proper motion, but not necessarily distance or position, with the brown dwarf (to within 2σ—see Faherty et al. 2009 for details). There were 156 stars in the Hipparcos catalog and 632 stars in the LSPM-N catalog with matching proper motion components. After 10,000 iterations we found the likelihood that NLTT 20346 is a chance coincidence with 2MASS J0850+1057 (at an angular separation of 248°) is <1.0%.

### 4. SYSTEM PARAMETERS

#### 4.1. Binary Components of NLTT 20346

From the MagE data for NLTT 20346, we classified the brighter component as an M5 ± 0.5 and the fainter as an M6 ± 0.5 by comparing to active M dwarf templates (see Figure 2) in Bochanski et al. (2007). SpeX imaging of NLTT 20346 allowed us to measure the relative magnitude difference between components (ΔJ = 1.13 ± 0.02) and subsequent J-band magnitudes of 11.61 ± 0.04 and 12.74 ± 0.08 for the M5 and M6, respectively. From the SpeX spectrum, we classify the brighter of the two components as an M4 ± 1 and the fainter as an M5 ± 1 by comparing to the near-IR spectra of the M4 optical standard Gl 213 and the M5 optical standard Gl 51, respectively (see Figure 3). This is consistent within uncertainties with the optical spectral types. In the absence of a parallax measurement we computed a spectrophotometric distance to each component using the spectral type, individual J-band magnitudes, and the spectrophotometric J-band relation from D. A. Golimowski et al. (2011, in preparation). We calculated component distances of 28 ± 5 pc and 33 ± 5 pc for the M5 and M6, respectively, resulting in a mean distance value to NLTT 20346 of 31 ± 7 pc.

#### 4.2. Activity and Age of NLTT 20346

The optical spectra of both components of NLTT 20346 show moderate Hα emission. We measured an Hα equivalent width of 4.20 ± 0.06 Å and 3.64 ± 0.08 Å from the MagE data for the M5 and M6, respectively. The upper Balmer series showing Hβ through H8 as well as Ca ii K and H+He are also seen in emission in both components (see Figure 2). Combining the Hα equivalent width with the χ parameter from Walkowicz et al. (2004) yields the log(L_Hα/L_bol), a metric of magnetic activity and a statistical proxy for age. West et al. (2008) have found mean log(L_Hα/L_bol) values for active M5 and M6 dwarfs within 100 pc of the Sun (see Figure 5) of −3.9 ± 0.2 and −4.0 ± 0.3, respectively. NLTT 20346A and B have log(L_Hα/L_bol) values of −3.94 ± 0.02 and −4.20 ± 0.02, respectively, both within 1σ of
typical active M dwarfs. West et al. (2008) estimate an activity lifetime for M5 and M6 dwarfs of 7.0 ± 0.5 Gyr. Using the age–activity relation from West et al. (2009)14 yields consistent component ages of 6.3 ± 1.0 and 6.5 ± 1.0 Gyr for the M5 and M6, respectively.

The NLTT 20346 system is an X-ray source and the X-ray activity indicates a younger age than that calculated from the Hα activity. 2XMMJ085018.9+105644 (from the X-ray Multi Mirror Mission (XMM)-Newton 2nd Incremental Source Catalogue) is 2″ from the position of the source. We computed the X-ray flux ($f_X$) by combining the 0.2–0.5 keV, 0.5–1.0 keV, and 1.0–2.0 keV ranges in order to compare to equivalent X-ray detections of M dwarfs in the Röntgen Satellite (ROSAT; Voges et al. 1999) catalog (∼0.2–2.4 keV). We used the $f_X/f_J$ relation defined in Agüeros et al. (2009): $\log(f_X/f_J) = \log(f_J) + 0.4J + 6.30$ to compute $\log(f_X/f_J)$ for NLTT 20346 of −2.5. Note that the 2MASS J-band magnitude for the combined light of the system was used to calculate $\log(f_X/f_J)$ since the components were not resolved in the XMM-Newton data. Figure 6 compares this estimate to Hyades, Pleiades, young (<300 Myr), and thin-disk field M stars (Shkolnik et al. 2009).

14 Equation (3.1) in West et al. (2009) contains an error. The functional form should be $\log\left(L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{bol}}\right) = \frac{\alpha}{1 + 10^b} - b$. The objects labeled Field Ms are from the Hünsch et al. (1999) study. Several of these objects demonstrate large X-ray flux although they are regarded in Shkolnik et al. (2009) as normal field objects (typically > 1 Gyr). Possible explanations include flaring M dwarfs (Hilton et al. 2010) and a flare duty cycle for late M dwarfs of as much as 3%, or undetected spectroscopic binaries (Shkolnik et al. 2009) found a 16% contamination rate of spectroscopic binaries in their sample).

Preibisch & Feigelson (2005) investigated the age–activity trend for low-mass stars. Comparing X-ray activity for stars in the mass range 0.1 < $M_\odot$ < 0.4 belonging to nearby associations or clusters with ages spanning 1–100 Myr (comparison samples were ONC, NGC 2264, Chamaeleon, Pleiades, and Hyades) they found only a mild decrease in X-ray luminosity over the 1–100 Myr range. However, for the same mass range they found that significant decay was detected over longer timescales (such as from Hyades age objects to field—several Gyr—age objects). Unfortunately, no relation is derived for obtaining an age for the slightly older population of M dwarfs based on X-ray luminosity. In Figure 6, the X-ray activity of NLTT 20346 places it with the most active Hyades stars or the least active Pleiades stars showing similar colors. It also has X-ray activity levels comparable to the young M dwarf sample from Shkolnik et al. (2009) where the estimated age of the sample was <300 Myr (with objects well above the X-ray activity level of the Hyades having ages <150 Myr). To estimate the age from the X-ray activity level we assume a Skumanich-like decay from the mean $\log(f_X/f_J)$ of the Pleiades ($−2.3 ± 0.3$) with an assumed age of ∼120 Myr. The resulting age range for NLTT 20346 is 250–450 Myr, consistent with the young field M dwarf sample for which it has comparable activity levels. We note that there are a handful of M dwarfs in the Hünsch et al. (1999) sample which show comparable activity levels, indicating that we cannot rule out that NLTT 20346 is a flare star or spectroscopic binary (explanations that could account for the high X-ray levels).

The ~2″ or 50–80 AU separation of the components of NLTT 20346 is safely outside the distance where tidal interactions would affect stellar rotation and hence the chromospheric age of the system (Meibom et al. 2007). However, Armitage & Clarke
(1996) find that binary systems with separations <100 AU can suffer accelerated mass-accretion from star–disk interactions early in their lifetimes which could lead to variations in the rotation rate. The NLTT 20346 system is close to the border where disk interactions become significant, so the X-ray age above might be skewed to a slightly younger age.

We cross-correlated the MagE spectra of NLTT 20346A and B with the M5 and M6 templates from Bochanski et al. (2007) using the xcorl package in IDL (Mohanty & Basri 2003; West & Basri 2009) to measure consistent radial velocities (RVs) of 25 ± 7 km s⁻¹ and 26 ± 7 km s⁻¹, respectively. Combining the mean RV value of 26 ± 9 km s⁻¹ with the proper motion, position, and spectrophotometric distance leads to (U,V,W) values of (21 ± 5, -10 ± 4, -1 ± 4) km s⁻¹ (corrected for solar motion with U positive toward the Galactic center). While individual space motions cannot be used to date objects, the calculated Galactic space motion for NLTT 20346 is consistent with thin disk membership (age ≲ 3 Gyr), in agreement with the chromospheric diagnostics (Eggen & Iben 1989; Eggen 1989).

Discrepancies between various age diagnostics are not surprising. Previous studies have shown similar inconsistencies when determining ages for individual objects using different techniques (e.g., isochronal ages, gyrochronology, chromospheric activity, lithium abundances; see Soderblom 2010). Some possible explanations for the differing age values of this system are that (1) we could have observed the components of NLTT 20346 when the system was in a low state as variations of more than 30% have been seen in Hα measurements of M dwarfs (e.g., Berger et al. 2009), (2) Preibisch & Feigelson (2005) show the X-ray levels of objects <500 Myr versus field age objects with median ages of a few Gyr; however, very little is known of what to expect for intermediary or juvenile age objects. In this work, we favor the younger age for the system as this shows consistency with the presence of strong lithium absorption in 2MASS J0850+1057 (see Section 4.3), the X-ray activity in NLTT 20346, and the kinematics of the system.

4.3. Age of 2MASS J0850+1057

The optical spectrum of 2MASS J0850+1057 from Reid et al. (2001) exhibits deep Li absorption (15.2 Å equivalent width), indicating component masses below ~0.06 M☉ and an upper age limit between 0.80 and 1.5 Gyr (Rebolo et al. 1992; Magazzu et al. 1993). The strong Li absorption is consistent with the X-ray activity and subsequent younger age of NLTT 20346. Coupled with the matching proper motion components and distance measurements, this further confirms the likelihood of companionship.

We choose two age ranges for further analysis of this system: (1) 0.25–1.5 Gyr based on a lower limit constrained by the X-ray activity of the primary and an upper limit based on the Li detection in the secondary and (2) 5–7 Gyr based on the Hα activity of the primary.

4.4. Component Masses

We determined masses for all components of the new system using the two age ranges discussed above (0.25–1.5 Gyr and 5–7 Gyr), Lbol values (described in this section), and the Burrows et al. (1997) evolutionary models (see Figure 7). To compute Lbol values for 2MASS J0850+1057 we combined our new parallax measurement with the resolved near-IR photometry and spectral type components reported in Burgasser et al. (2011), and the bolometric correction calculated from Dupuy & Liu (2009). We determine log(Lbol/Lsun) values of −4.43 ± 0.20 and −4.82 ± 0.20 for the primary and secondary, respectively. Using evolutionary models and the younger age range of 0.25–1.5 Gyr, the calculated component masses for 2MASS J0850+1057 are 0.04 ± 0.02 M⊙ and 0.035 ± 0.015 M⊙ yielding a total brown dwarf binary mass (Mtot) of 0.075 ± 0.025 M⊙.

Using the older age of 5–7 Gyr the component masses are significantly higher: 0.076 ± 0.02 M⊙ and 0.072 ± 0.03 M⊙ for the primary and secondary, respectively, yielding a total brown dwarf binary mass (Mtot) of 0.148 ± 0.040 M⊙.
Konopacky et al. (2010) calculated the total mass of this system to be 0.2 ± 0.2 M_☉ based on Keck AO observations and orbital fitting. The large uncertainty of the dynamical mass measurement precludes a useful comparison with our result; however, they also calculate the mass from the evolutionary models of Burrows et al. (1997) and Chabrier et al. (2000). Based on an interpolation over a grid of temperature, luminosity, mass, and age provided by the evolutionary models (the Tucson models from Burrows et al. 1997 and the DUSTY models from Chabrier et al. 2000) they conclude Mtot to be 0.08 ± 0.06 M_☉, consistent with our derived value from the younger age range. However, Konopacky et al. (2010) also conclude that objects of spectral type late M through mid L tend to have their masses underpredicted by current evolutionary models (see also Dupuy et al. 2008). With refined uncertainties on the mass in combination with the age information that can be gathered from NLTT 20346, 2MASS J0850+1057 will be a useful system for testing this result.

We also calculated the component masses of NLTT 20346 using the evolutionary tracks of Burrows et al. (1997). Using the Lbol values for an M5 and M6 from Reid & Hawley (2005) combined with the age described above, yields component masses of 0.16 ± 0.01 M_☉ and 0.13 ± 0.01 M_☉ or a total mass for NLTT 20346 of 0.29 ± 0.01 M_☉. This is in agreement with Delfosse et al. (2000) where the masses of an M5 and M6 are calculated to be 0.14 ± 0.1 M_☉ and 0.11 ± 0.1 M_☉ (assuming a 10% uncertainty), respectively, using a fourth degree polynomial fit to parallax data. Combining the mass results for 2MASS J0850+1057 using the younger age range with those of NLTT 20346 yields a total mass for this quadruple of 0.36 ± 0.02 M_☉. Table 2 lists all system parameters.

### 5. DISCUSSION

We have added NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850+1057 to the growing list of VLM (Mtot < 0.2 M_☉) multiples widely separated from a more massive companion. Table 3 is a selected compilation of these widely separated multiples and demonstrates a range in both mass and separation of components. Within this list, NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850+1057 has a significantly lower binding energy and is one of the lowest total mass triple, quadruple, and/or quintuple systems known. Figure 8 shows the binding energy versus total mass of systems gathered from the literature^15 and demonstrates that this new system falls well below the binding energy limitation set by known tight low-mass (Mtot < 0.2 M_☉) multiples (see Close et al. 2007, 2003 and Burgasser et al. 2003). For comparison, Pinfield et al. (1998) use the virial theorem to obtain the gravitational binding energy of the Pleiades cluster. Their derived value (~5.3 x 10^46 erg) is smaller than the estimated binding energy for the NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850+1057 system (and smaller than the lowest binding energy systems found to date), although it is unclear how long this association will remain bound. An interesting investigation would be to search for stars in the vicinity of NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850+1057 as the hierarchical nature of this system combined with the common kinematics, potential youth, and wide separation between the M dwarf and brown dwarf systems might be indicative of its own moving group.

Figure 9 shows the Mtot versus separation for the same companion systems. The studies of Reid et al. (2001) and Burgasser et al. (2003) suggested an empirical limit for the stability of VLM multiples based on objects that were known at the time of the respective works. Neither cutoff seems appropriate for NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850+1057 or the collection of slightly more massive widely separated systems plotted in Figure 9 (see discussions in Faherty et al. 2010 and Dhillon et al. 2010). Dhillon et al. (2010) found a log-normal limitation on the separation of binaries in their catalog of 1342 wide (>500 AU) low-mass (majority had Mtot > 0.3 M_☉) systems and this cutoff does encompass NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850AB and all low-mass objects in Figure 9.

The stability of binary systems will be a function of age and total mass (see Weinberg et al. 1987). While NLTT 20346/2MASS J0850AB is fit by the cutoff limitation of higher mass companions, it is significantly different from other known slightly less massive higher order multiples so we investigate one possible mechanism for its formation. Recent work by Stamatellos &

---

Whitworth (2009b) has been successful in accounting for widely separated VLM binaries using simulations of gravitational fragmentation of massive extended disks. In their smooth particle hydrodynamic simulations, a system with $M_{\text{DISK}} = 0.7 M_{\odot}$, $R_{\text{DISK}} = 400$ AU, and $M_{\text{star}} = 0.7 M_{\odot}$ is evolved for up to 20 kyr followed by an N-body dynamical evolution for up to 200 kyr. After 12 simulations, 96 stars are formed with brown dwarf or low-mass secondaries and among those companions, 9 are tight VLM multiples. The characteristics of the triple systems were listed in Stamatellos & Whitworth (2009b) and one was found to have a total secondary mass of 0.148 $M_{\odot}$, a close brown-dwarf–brown-dwarf binary separation of $7700 \text{ AU}$ projected, and an X-ray source and has an age of $250–450 \text{ Myr}$ based on X-ray activity.

We report a new parallax measurement for 2MASS J0850+1057 based on multiepoch ISPI imaging. Two prior measurements were discrepant from one another, showing a $2.4 \sigma$ difference in parallactic angle and a $3.4 \sigma$ difference in proper motion of 0.01 $\mu$as.

We have presented evidence that NLTT 20346 is an M5+M6 binary, and it forms a comoving wide ($\sim 7700 \text{ AU}$ projected separation) companion system with 2MASS J0850+1057 at a distance of $\sim 31 \text{ pc}$ from the Sun. NLTT 20346 has moderate chromospheric emission and a statistical age based on H$\alpha$ activity of $\sim 5–7 \text{ Gyr}$ for both components. However, it is also an X-ray source and has an age of $250–450 \text{ Myr}$ based on X-ray activity. 2MASS J0850+1057 shows strong Li absorption indicating an upper age limit between 0.8 and 1.5 Gyr, favoring the younger age for the primary. Assuming an age of 0.25–1.5 Gyr for the quadruple, we calculate a total mass for 2MASS J0850+1057 of $0.07 \pm 0.02 M_{\odot}$ and a total mass for NLTT 20346 of $0.29 \pm 0.01 M_{\odot}$. The new age will be an important parameter for refining dynamical mass calculations of 2MASS J0850+1057 (Konopacky et al. 2010), so this object can be used as further evidence for or against the conclusion that evolutionary models are underestimating the masses for L dwarfs.

### 6. SUMMARY

We have presented evidence that NLTT 20346 is an M5+M6 binary, and it forms a comoving wide ($\sim 7700 \text{ AU}$ projected separation) companion system with 2MASS J0850+1057 at a distance of $\sim 31 \text{ pc}$ from the Sun. NLTT 20346 has moderate chromospheric emission and a statistical age based on H$\alpha$ activity of $\sim 5–7 \text{ Gyr}$ for both components. However, it is also an X-ray source and has an age of $250–450 \text{ Myr}$ based on X-ray activity. 2MASS J0850+1057 shows strong Li absorption indicating an upper age limit between 0.8 and 1.5 Gyr, favoring the younger age for the primary. Assuming an age of 0.25–1.5 Gyr for the quadruple, we calculate a total mass for 2MASS J0850+1057 of $0.07 \pm 0.02 M_{\odot}$ and a total mass for NLTT 20346 of $0.29 \pm 0.01 M_{\odot}$. The new age will be an important parameter for refining dynamical mass calculations of 2MASS J0850+1057 (Konopacky et al. 2010), so this object can be used as further evidence for or against the conclusion that evolutionary models are underestimating the masses for L dwarfs.

We report a new parallax measurement for 2MASS J0850+1057 based on multi-epoch ISPI imaging. Two prior measurements from Dahn et al. (2002) and Vrba et al. (2004) were discrepant from one another, showing a $2.4\sigma$ difference in parallactic angle and a $3.4\sigma$ difference in proper motion.
position angle. Our new measurement is too uncertain to differentiates between the two previous works but a contaminating object located on our recent astrometric follow-up images may provide an explanation for the differing distance measurements.

The binding energy for this new quadruple is among the lowest known for a wide companion system. Stamatellos & Whitmore (2009b) presented an analogous system to NLT1 20346/2MASS J0850+1057 formed by the gravitational fragmentation of a massive extended disk. In that work, they create a significant population of wide ($\rho \gg 100$ AU) systems containing low-mass ($M_{\text{secondary}} < 50 M_J$) secondaries analogous to wide companion systems now being found. While dynamical evolution of their systems ended after just 200 kyr, the majority of the wide systems found to date are field aged, indicating that such systems remain stable for several Gyr.
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