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ABSTRACT

The supernova remnant Kes 17 (SNR G304.6+0.1) is one of a few but growing number of remnants detected across the electromagnetic spectrum. In this paper, we analyze recent radio, X-ray, and γ-ray observations of this object, determining that efficient cosmic ray acceleration is required to explain its broadband non-thermal spectrum. These observations also suggest that Kes 17 is expanding inside a molecular cloud, though our determination of its age depends on whether thermal conduction or clump evaporation is primarily responsible for its center-filled thermal X-ray morphology. Evidence for efficient cosmic ray acceleration in Kes 17 supports recent theoretical work concluding that the strong magnetic field, turbulence, and clumpy nature of molecular clouds enhance cosmic ray production in supernova remnants. While additional observations are needed to confirm this interpretation, further study of Kes 17 is important for understanding how cosmic rays are accelerated in supernova remnants.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Supernova remnants (SNRs) are believed to be important in both forming and regulating the multi-phase interstellar medium (ISM) found inside star-forming galaxies (e.g., McKee & Ostriker 1977), distributing metals produced in the progenitor explosion throughout the host galaxy, producing dust (e.g., Salpeter 1977), and accelerating cosmic rays up to energies \( E \approx 10^{15.5} \) eV (e.g., Arnett & Schramm 1973). However, direct observational evidence supporting this last assertion is rare. While several Milky Way SNRs are identified as cosmic ray producers, only one (Tycho’s SNR) shows evidence for electrons accelerated in the remnant. Its infrared (IR) emission is produced by dust and atomic and molecular gas inside and outside the SNR heated by shocks and higher energy emission. A remnant’s thermal X-ray emission traces both ISM material shocked by the forward shock and ejecta shocked by the reverse shock. Finally, its \( \gamma \)-ray emission traces relativistic electrons, and possibly hadrons (cosmic rays), accelerated in the SNR (e.g., Ackermann et al. 2013). Due to new observing capabilities at both IR wavelengths (e.g., Spitzer, AKARI, and Herschel) and \( \gamma \)-ray energies (e.g., Fermi, H.E.S.S., V.E.R.I.T.A.S., and MAGIC), the number of SNRs detected in all four wavebands is rapidly increasing. One such remnant is Kes 17 (SNR G304.6+0.1). In this paper, we analyze recent radio, IR, X-ray, and \( \gamma \)-ray observations of this remnant (Section 2) and use these results to determine the physical properties of this SNR and its surroundings (Section 3). Finally, we summarize our results and discuss their implications on the interaction between SNRs and their environments (Section 4).

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

In this section, we analyze recent radio (Section 2.1), IR (Section 2.2), X-ray (Section 2.3), and \( \gamma \)-ray (Section 2.4) observations of this source.

2.1. Radio

Kes 17 was first detected at 408 MHz and 5 GHz by Shaver & Goss (1970) who, based on the non-thermal spectrum implied by its flux at these two frequencies, classified this source as an SNR. This identification was supported by the detection of polarized 5 GHz emission (Milne & Dickel 1975) and the irregular shell-like morphology revealed by analysis of data taken during the Molonglo Observatory Synthesis Telescope (MOST) Galactic plane survey (Whiteoak & Green 1996). Analysis of the H1 spectrum using the standard H1 absorption method toward Kes 17 suggests a distance \( d > 9.7 \) kpc (Caswell et al. 1975).
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Figure 1. 1.4 GHz image of Kes 17. The total intensity image was made from the combined data described in Section 2.1 using uniform weighting, multi-frequency synthesis, and maximum entropy deconvolution. This image has an rms noise of 0.72 mJy beam$^{-1}$ and a resolution of 23.3$''$ × 18.4$''$ (size and orientation of beam represented by the ellipse in the lower left-hand corner.) The white contours indicate surface brightness levels of 10σ, 25σ, 50σ, 75σ, and 100σ. The dashed line indicates the region used to make the brightness profile shown in Figure 2.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Flux Density (Jy)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>Shaver &amp; Goss (1970)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Whiteoak &amp; Green (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.14</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>Shaver &amp; Goss (1970)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The lack of data with short $u$–$v$ spacing at 2.4 GHz precluded making a similar quality image and flux density measurement at this higher frequency.

2.2. Infrared

The first IR detection of Kes 17 was made with the Infrared Astronomical Satellite (IRAS), which revealed shell-like emission from this SNR (Arendt 1989). More recent Spitzer Infrared Array Camera (IRAC) observations from the GLIMPSE survey uncovered very bright emission in the 3.6–8.0 μm bands (Lee 2005; Reach et al. 2006) from a more diffuse SNR shell. The filamentary structure along the NW rim is particularly bright at 4.5 μm, suggesting that the emission originates from shocked H$_2$. Based on the colors and morphological similarities of the IRAC images, Reach et al. (2006) concluded that this emission is produced by molecular shocks.

Analysis of 5–38 μm spectroscopic follow-up observations by the Infrared Spectrograph (IRS) on board Spitzer revealed bright pure rotational lines of H$_2$, most likely indicating an interaction between the SNR and dense molecular material (Hewitt et al. 2009). Shock models suggest that the excitation of the observed H$_2$ lines requires two shock components: a slower 10 km s$^{-1}$ C-shock passing through denser clumps with $n_0 = 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, and a faster 40 km s$^{-1}$ C-shock passing through a lower density medium with $n_0 = 10^4$ cm$^{-3}$ (Hewitt et al. 2009). Analyses of the spectra also reveal atomic fine-structure lines of Fe II, Ne II, Ne III, S III, S I, and S II, whose relative emission line fluxes lead to densities in the 100–1000 cm$^{-3}$ range and shock velocities of 150–200 km s$^{-1}$ (Hewitt et al. 2009).

Most recently, the broadband mid-to far-IR emission from Kes 17 was detected by the Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS) at 24 μm (Lee et al. 2011; Pinheiro Gonçalves et al. 2011) and the AKARI satellite at 15, 24, 65, 90, 140, and 160 μm (Lee et al. 2011). Emission at these wavelengths is concentrated in the W and S shells, partially overlapping with the W radio rim. The broadband IR spectral energy distribution is well fit by two modified blackbodies with a mixture of carbonaceous and silicate dust grain compositions. The best fit temperatures are 79 ± 6 K and 27 ± 3 K with dust masses of 6.2 × 10$^{-4}$ $M_\odot$ for the hot component and 6.7 $M_\odot$ for the cold
component for a distance of 8 kpc (Lee et al. 2011). While this distance is modestly inconsistent with that estimated from the H I absorption spectrum of this SNR (Section 2.1), this discrepancy does not significantly change these masses.

2.3. X-Ray

X-ray emission from Kes 17 was first detected in an unpublished ∼11 ks ASCA observation (ObsID 57013000) on 1999 February 12. XMM-Newton then observed this SNR on 2005 August 12 (ObsID 0303100201) for ∼20 ks after the removal of background flares (Combi et al. 2010). Analysis of this observation revealed the X-ray emission was diffuse and extended, brightest inside the radio shell, and suggested the presence of both non-thermal and thermal X-ray emission components and spatial variations in its X-ray spectrum (Combi et al. 2010).

More recently, Kes 17 was observed on 2010 September 3 by the Suzaku observatory for ∼100 ks (ObsID 505074010). We first reprocessed the Suzaku data using the new aepipeline task in the ftools v6.11 software package9 (Blackburn 1995), then used a circular region 4′ in radius centered on Kes 17 to extract its spectrum, determining the background spectrum using data from an annulus between 4′ and 7.4 in radius also centered on Kes 17. For consistency, we used the same source and background region for all three detectors. The spectra were created using xselect, as were the RMF and ARF of the source spectrum. We then fit the observed, background subtracted 0.5–10 keV spectrum to different emission models using the Sherpa (Freeman et al. 2001) software package, checking our results with XSpec (Arnaud 1996) since these packages use different algorithms to determine the best fit and errors on the model parameters.

Due to the arcminute angular resolution of Suzaku, our spectra are contaminated by unrelated objects in the source region. Our analysis of the previous XMM observation indicates three bright soft X-ray sources, most likely foreground stars, located within the Suzaku source region—one ∼30 times brighter than the other two combined. Our spectral analysis of their combined emission finds it is well reproduced by a Raymond–Smith plasma with \( N_{\text{HI}} \equiv 0 \) and solar (Anders & Grevesse 1989) abundances. Since emission from these sources are in the Suzaku spectra, we included such a component in all the spectral fits described below, with the temperature and normalization as free parameters. For each fit, the properties of this component are consistent with that measured for the brightest star in the XMM data—confirming the foreground origin of this emission.

As shown in Figure 3, there are several prominent lines in the X-ray spectrum of Kes 17, most notably Mg xi and possibly Mg xii at ∼1.5 keV, Si xiii and Si xiv at ∼2 keV, and S xv at ∼2.5 keV, indicative of thermal emission. For most thermal models, assuming the emitting plasma has solar abundances results in fits that underpredict the flux of these Mg lines and overpredict the flux of these S lines. To investigate if these discrepancies result from uncertain calibration of the xis1 detector around the Si K line, we re-fit the data excluding these channels assuming solar abundances. However, the same feature was observed in the residuals of xis0 and xis3 data. Therefore, we allowed the abundance of both Mg and S to vary in our fits.

As shown in Table 2, modeling the thermal X-ray emission with a non-equilibrium ionization model (e.g., the vnei model in XSpec and Sherpa) results in an excellent fit (reduced \( \chi^2 \approx 0.8 \)) for an electron temperature of \( kT_e \sim 0.7 \) keV, sub-solar abundance of S but a super-solar abundance of Mg, and a very large ionization timescale \( \tau (\tau \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{s} \text{ with } 90\% \text{ confidence}) \), close to the equilibrium ionization condition (Smith & Hughes 2010). A large \( \tau \) was also reported by Gok & Sezer (2012) in their independent analysis of this Suzaku observation. Not surprisingly, modeling the observed spectrum with the Raymond & Smith (1977) model for a diffuse hot plasma, which assumes ionization equilibrium, also provides a very good fit to the data for a similar electron temperature and abundances (Table 2). However, these models assume that the plasma has a constant uniform temperature and a single ionization state (i.e., all the plasma was shocked at the same time to the same temperature), which is unlikely to be true for an SNR. As a result, we also fit the observed X-ray spectrum of Kes 17 with more physically motivated models that allow for a range of temperatures but a single ionization state (vgsnei and vgsedov) or a single temperature but a range

---

9 Available at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/ftools/.
of ionization timescales (vpshock and vnpshock). Of these more physically motivated models, only vgnei was able to reproduce the observed spectrum (Table 2). This model does not require a super-solar abundance of Mg, and prefers an ionization timescale \( \tau \sim 3 \times 10^{11} \) s, significantly lower than that required by the other models (Table 2).

The success of purely thermal models in reproducing the observed X-ray spectrum stands in contrast to the past analyses of Gok & Sezer (2012) and Combi et al. (2010) which require substantial non-thermal emission. In their analysis of the same Suzaku data, Gok & Sezer (2012) reproduce the electron temperature and Mg and S abundances given in Table 2, but they require a power-law component with photon index \( \Gamma \sim 1.4 \). (They use a thermal model which assumes ionization equilibrium, consistent with the Raymond–Smith model described above.) The power-law component is motivated by an excess of emission below 1.5 keV resulting from fitting the observed spectrum with a single thermal model (Figure 3 in Gok & Sezer 2012). In our spectral fits, this energy range is dominated by the foreground component described above. The similarity between the properties of our foreground component and the X-ray spectral properties of these stars measured by our analysis of the XMM observation establishes a strong case for a purely thermal description of the Suzaku X-ray emission from Kes 17.

However, this does not explain the non-thermal X-ray emission claimed by Combi et al. (2010) in their analysis of the XMM data. These authors divided Kes 17 into three spatial regions (none of which included the foreground stars mentioned above) and required a significant power-law component with \( \Gamma \sim 1–3 \) to reproduce the observed emission >4 keV (Figure 2 in Combi et al. 2010) in each region. Our analysis of the XMM data following their procedure confirms this result. Even if one does not divide the observed X-ray emission of Kes 17 into three spatial regions, a non-thermal component is still needed to explain the X-ray spectrum measured by XMM—fitting the composite X-ray spectrum of Kes 17 as measured with XMM with a single absorbed Raymond–Smith plasma with non-solar Mg and S abundances10 systematically underpredicts the flux >4 keV. Adding a power-law component to this model improves the fit, reducing the \( \chi^2 \) to 1665.22 with 1605 degrees of freedom. This power-law component has a normalization \( K_{\text{PL}} = 2.0_{-0.3}^{+2.3} \times 10^{-2} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) keV\(^{-1}\) at 1 keV (errors denote the 90% confidence interval) and a photon index \( \Gamma = 7.5_{-3.6}^{+2.3} \). These are marginally consistent with the analysis of Combi et al. (2010), who report a total \( K_{\text{PL}} \sim 10^{-3} \) photons cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) keV\(^{-1}\) at 1 keV a photon index \( \Gamma = 3.1 \pm 0.3 \) in the northern region which they claim dominates the non-thermal X-rays emission. This photon index is significantly softer than the non-thermal X-ray emission detected from other SNRs (e.g., Reynolds 2008). However, according to this f-test, the improvement in \( \chi^2 \) by adding a power-law component has a \( ∼1 \)% chance of resulting from chance, and is therefore has \( <3 \sigma \) significance.

To determine if the non-thermal X-ray emission reported by Combi et al. (2010) is consistent with our analysis of the significantly deeper Suzaku data, we used XSpec to simulate the expected spectrum of the foreground component and an absorbed Raymond–Smith plus power-law component with a given photon index \( \Gamma \) and normalization \( K_{\text{PL}} \), and then fit it using the Raymond–Smith model + foreground component described above. The resultant upper limit on \( K_{\text{PL}} \) is the highest value of \( K_{\text{PL}} \) for which our purely thermal model was able to fit the simulated spectrum with a reduced \( \chi^2 < 2 \). Since Combi et al. (2010) claim that the photon index \( \Gamma \) of the power-law emission varies between \( \Gamma \sim 2–3 \) in different regions, we determined the upper limit on \( K_{\text{PL}} \) for both \( \Gamma = 2 \) and \( \Gamma = 3 \). For \( \Gamma = 2 \), we require \( K_{\text{PL}} < 1.5 \times 10^{-4} \), while for \( \Gamma = 3 \), \( K_{\text{PL}} < 5 \times 10^{-4} \). Both upper limits are inconsistent with the results of Combi et al. (2010), whose fits to the southern, central, and northern regions

---

10 The best fit parameters are a \( N_H = 3.3_{-0.1}^{+0.4} \times 10^{22} \) cm\(^{-2}\) and \( kT = 0.8_{-0.03}^{+0.03} \) keV (errors denote the 90% confidence interval), and this fit had a \( \chi^2 = 1674.91 \) in 1607 degrees of freedom.
of Kes 17 required a combined $K_{pshock} \sim 10^{-3}$. Due to the fairly low statistical significance of the non-thermal component in the composite SNR spectrum extracted from the $XMM$ data, and its about two to five times higher flux than allowed in the spectrum extracted from the $Suzaku$ observation which detected about three times more photons from Kes 17 than $XMM$, we conclude that there is no significant non-thermal X-ray emission detected from Kes 17.

While $Suzaku$ does not have the angular resolution to directly detect spatial variations in the X-ray emission of Kes 17, it is possible to use this data set to test such claims (Combi et al. 2010). If correct, modeling the observed $Suzaku$ spectrum with the three absorbed $pshock + \text{power-law}$ models used by Combi et al. (2010) plus the foreground component discussed above should result in a better fit than the single thermal models used above. This was not the case. However, despite having fewer degrees of freedom, the resulting fit had a $\chi^2$ worse than the spectral fits reported in Table 2, even when we fixed the values of $N_H$, $kT$, abundance, $\tau$, and $\Gamma$ of each $pshock + \text{power-law}$ component to those reported by Combi et al. (2010; we allowed the normalizations to vary to account for the different size extraction regions). Therefore, we conclude that the spatial variations in the X-ray spectrum of Kes 17 reported by Combi et al. (2010) are inconsistent with the global spectrum of this remnant, and are likely the result of a combination of the different spatial and spectral resolutions of $XMM$ and $Suzaku$ and systematic and statistical uncertainty in the $XMM$ background.

2.4. $\gamma$-Rays

Kes 17 is also detected at GeV $\gamma$-ray energies (Wu et al. 2011). To determine its properties, we analyzed 39 months (from 2008 August until 2012 February) of data collected by the Fermi Gamma-Ray Space Telescope Large Area Telescope (Fermi-LAT). We only include events belonging to the Pass 7 V6 $Source$ class, which reduces the residual background rate (A. A. Abdo et al., in preparation), in this analysis. We also use the updated (Pass7 version 6; Rando & for the Fermi LAT Collaboration 2009; A. A. Abdo et al., in preparation) instrument response functions (IRFs), and reduce the contribution from terrestrial albedo $\gamma$-rays by setting a maximum zenith angle for incoming photons to 100° (Abdo et al. 2009b). We used the Fermi Science Tools v9r23p1,11 and employed the maximum likelihood fitting technique to analyze the morphological and spectral characteristics of the $\gamma$-ray source (Mattox et al. 1996). We model the diffuse background emission in $\text{gtlike}$ with a Galactic component resulting from interactions of cosmic rays with both the ISM and photons, and isotropic components accounting for extragalactic and residual backgrounds. The mapcube file $\text{gal}_2\text{yearp7v6_v0.fits}$ is used to describe the $\gamma$-ray emission from the Milky Way, and the isotropic component is modeled using the $\text{iso}_p7v6source.txt$ table.

The spatial characteristics of the $\gamma$-ray emission in the field of Kes 17 were studied using photons between 2 and 200 GeV converted in the $front$ section of the LAT. For this subset of the $\gamma$-ray data, the 68% containment radius angle for normal incidence photons is $\lesssim 0.3$. We constructed test statistic12 (TS) maps accounting for the Galactic and isotropic backgrounds

### Figure 4.
Smoothed Fermi-LAT TS map of $front$ converted events in the range 2 to 200 GeV of the $0.6 \times 0.6$ region, centered on SNR Kes 17. The pixel binning is $0.01$, and the maps are smoothed with Gaussians of width $0.2$. Green contours represent the radio emission (0.843 GHz) from MOST observations. Test statistics are shown as white contours (81-100-121).

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
using *gtismap* and used this map to determine the statistical significance, position, and possible extent of the source. As shown in Figure 4, an $\sim 11.1\sigma$ (peak TS $\approx 124$) unresolved (95% confidence radius $= 4/2$) $\gamma$-ray source with centroid ($\alpha_{2000.0}, \delta_{2000} = 13^h 06^m 05^s, -62^\circ 42^\prime 54^\prime$) is coincident with the radio emission. The residual TS map, built by modeling a point source at the best-fit centroid of emission, shows no evidence that the source is spatially extended since residual TS values are $< 3\sigma$ within $1^\circ$ of the centroid.

We determined the spectral energy distribution (SED) of the $\gamma$-ray source associated with Kes 17 using data from photons with energy between 0.2 and 204.8 GeV converted in both the front and back sections. We excluded photons below 200 MeV since, in this energy range, the effective area of the instrument changes rapidly and there are large uncertainties related to the Galactic diffuse model. We used *gtlike* to model the flux in each energy bin and estimated the best-fit parameters via the maximum likelihood technique. To model the background in the likelihood fits, we include sources from the 24 month *Fermi*-LAT Second Source Catalog (Nolan et al. 2012). The “Pass7 version 6” IRFs we used have energy dependent systematic uncertainties in the effective area: 10% at 100 MeV, decreasing to 5% at 560 MeV, and increasing to 20% at 10 GeV (Abdo et al. 2009a; A. A. Abdo et al., in preparation and references therein). We also approximated the effect of an uncertain underlying Galactic diffuse level by artificially varying the normalization of the Galactic background by $\pm 6\%$ from the best-fit value at each energy bin, similar to the analysis of Castro & Slane (2010). As shown in Figure 5, for energies $< 800$ MeV and $> 51.2$ GeV only flux upper limits are determined from the data. The resultant SED is well-described by a power law with a spectral index of $\Gamma = 2.0 \pm 0.3$ and an integrated photon flux above 100 MeV of $F_{\gamma, 100}$ $\approx 1.6 \times 10^{-8}$ photons cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$—similar to that measured by Wu et al. (2011).

3. INTERPRETATION

As described in Section 1, by studying the broadband emission from an SNR, it is possible to determine the properties of both the material inside the remnant and in the surrounding ISM. We first analyze the non-thermal emission observed from Kes 17 to determine the physical origin of its $\gamma$-ray emission (Section 3.1), and then use those results to estimate the age of this SNR and the nature of its environment (Section 3.2). Since the shell-like radio morphology of Kes 17 suggests this emission originates at or near the forward shock (Section 2.1, Figure 1), we assume that this SNR has a radius of $R_{\text{sn}} \approx 10d_{10}$ kpc for a distance $d = 10d_{10}$ kpc.

3.1. Origin of the $\gamma$-Ray Emission from Kes 17

Determining the properties of electrons and protons accelerated by this SNR requires modeling the broadband spectral characteristics of its non-thermal emission. We assume that the non-thermal radio emission is electron synchrotron radiation, while the GeV $\gamma$-ray emission is a combination of inverse-Compton (IC) scattering of ambient photons by energetic electrons, non-thermal (NT) bremsstrahlung, and the decay of $\pi^0$s produced in collisions between high energy hadrons (primarily protons) and lower energy protons.

---

13 The data for the 1873 sources in the *Fermi*-LAT Second Source Catalog are made available by the Fermi Science Support Center at http://fermi.gsfc.nasa.gov/ssc/data/access/lat/2yr_catalog/.

---

**Figure 5.** Spectral energy distribution of the $\gamma$-ray source coincident with Kes 17. The arrows represent the 95% confidence intervals at these energies. The black error bars represent statistical uncertainties (1σ estimates based on inverse-Hessian at the optimum of the log-likelihood surface). The red error bars represent systematic uncertainties, which are the sum in quadrature of the uncertainty related to the instrument response functions (IRFs), which we get from the instrument team (as cited in Section 2.4) and the uncertainty related to variations of the galactic diffuse background intensity, derived by changing (and fixing) the normalization of the galactic background component in the source library for the fit to 94% and 106% of the best fit value obtained from the fit to the data at a given energy bin. (A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Fitting the observed flux densities $S_\nu$ at different radio frequencies (Table 1) to a power law ($S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$) suggests a radio spectral index $\alpha \approx -0.6$. We further constrain our fits using the upper limits on non-thermal X-ray emission derived in Section 2.3. We consider three scenarios for the origin of the observed GeV $\gamma$-rays, each with a different dominant emission mechanism: IC emission, NT bremsstrahlung, or $\pi^0$-decay. We assume the spectral distribution $dN_{p,e}/dE$ of particles accelerated in Kes 17 is:

$$dN_{p,e}/dE = a_{p,e} E^{-\Gamma_{p,e}} \exp \left[ -E/E_{0,p,e} \right],$$

where $E_{0,p,e}$ is the proton/electron energy cutoff (e.g., Reynolds 2008; Castro & Slane 2010). The electron to proton ratio to relativistic energies is given by the normalization coefficients of the distributions of these particles, $K_{e,p} \equiv a_e/a_p$. These coefficients are obtained by setting the total integrated energy in accelerated particles inside the SNR shell equal to $E_{\text{cr}} = \eta_{\text{cr}} E_{\text{sn}}$, where $\eta_{\text{cr}}$ is the average efficiency of the shock in depositing energy into cosmic ray protons and $E_{\text{sn}}$ is the initial kinetic energy of the supernova ejecta. In all models, we assume $E_{\text{sn}} = 10^{51}$ erg, $\Gamma_p = \Gamma_e = 2.0$ (both the index predicted by basic Fermi acceleration and the value derived from fitting the observed $\gamma$-ray spectrum with a power law model; Section 2.4), and the number density of electrons $n_e = 1.23\bar{n}$ (which corresponds to material with solar abundances), where $\bar{n}$ is the volume-averaged number density of protons in the ISM surrounding Kes 17.

We model emission from $\pi^0$ decay using the work of Kamae et al. (2006), which includes a scaling factor of 1.85 for Helium and heavier nuclei (Mori 2009) as described by Castro & Slane (2010). The synchrotron and IC emission components follow
the models presented by (Baring et al. 1999 and references therein), and the NT bremsstrahlung emission is modeled using the prescription presented by Bykov et al. (2000). We assume the dominant photon field for IC scattering is the cosmic microwave background (CMB; \(kT_{\text{CMB}} = 2.725 \text{ K}\)). We also assume Kes 17 is in the Sedov phase of its evolution, in which the shocked material is compressed by a factor of 4. If the swept-up material in Kes 17 is radiatively cooling, then it will be compressed by a factor \(\gg 4\). This with significantly increase the density of ambient cosmic ray protons swept-up by the expanding SNR, enhancing their \(\gamma\)-ray emission (Chevalier 1999), as well as the density of swept-up ambient cosmic ray electrons, possibly enhancing their \(\gamma\)-ray emission as well. However, the ages estimated in Section 3.2 suggest that this is not the case. We built scenarios where each possible \(\gamma\)-ray emission mechanism (IC, NT bremsstrahlung, and \(\pi^0\)-decay emission) dominates by adjusting the values of \(K_{\text{sp}}\), \(\bar{n}\), \(E_{\text{be}}\), and the post-shock magnetic field strength \(B_2\), and then fit the observed broadband spectrum. As shown in Figure 6, all three dominant GeV \(\gamma\)-ray emission mechanisms can reproduce the broadband spectrum of this SNR given the representative model parameters are listed in Table 3. However, our model requires \(K_{\text{sp}} \gtrsim 0.1\) to reproduce the observed radio flux density if IC radiation (A1) or NT bremsstrahlung (A2) dominates the \(\gamma\)-ray emission—inconsistent with the local cosmic-ray-measured value of \(K_{\text{sp}} \sim 0.01\) (Yoshida 2008). Therefore, we conclude that \(\pi^0\) decay is primarily responsible for GeV \(\gamma\)-ray emission detected from Kes 17. Note that we assume \(K_{\text{sp}} = 0.01\) for the \(\pi^0\) decay scenario; similar results are obtained for lower values of \(\eta_{\text{x}}\) and \(K_{\text{sp}}\) and higher values of \(\bar{n}\) since \(\eta_{\text{x}} \propto \bar{n}^{-1}\) in this \(\pi^0\)-decay emission model (Drury et al. 1994). As a result, our modeling requires that \(\bar{n} \gtrsim 9 \text{ cm}^{-3}\) and \(\eta_{\text{x}} \lesssim 0.4\) for \(\pi^0\) decay to dominate the \(\gamma\)-ray emission from Kes 17.

\(\pi^0\) decay is the dominant \(\gamma\)-ray emission mechanism even if the claims of non-thermal X-ray emission by Combi et al. (2010) or Gok & Sezer (2012) are correct. Modeling the observed broadband spectra for the non-thermal X-ray fluxes reported in these papers again requires values of \(K_{\text{sp}}\) considerably higher than measured locally if IC and/or NT bremsstrahlung emission dominate at GeV energies. These fluxes also require a higher value of \(E_{\text{be}}\), which subsequently changes \(\bar{n}\), \(B_2\), and \(\eta_{\text{x}}\), but, due to degeneracies between these parameters, the net effect is uncertain.

Neglecting background photon fields other than the CMB (energy density \(u_{\text{CMB}} = 0.260 \text{ eV cm}^{-3}\)) can lead us to overestimate \(K_{\text{sp}}\) when considering IC-radiation-dominated \(\gamma\)-ray emission. The location of Kes 17 in the Galactic plane suggests the presence of more energetic photon fields, whose inclusion would decrease the required value of \(K_{\text{sp}}\). While IR emission is detected from Kes 17 itself (Section 2.2; Lee et al. 2011), its energy density \(^{14}\) is far too low to significantly modify the value of \(K_{\text{sp}}\).

\(^{14}\) We calculate \(u \sim 8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV cm}^{-3}\) for the \(T \approx 70 \text{ K}\), \(L \approx 1500 L_{\odot}\) modified blackbody and \(u \sim 6.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV cm}^{-3}\) for \(T \approx 27 \text{ K}\), \(L \approx 12500 L_{\odot}\) modified blackbody.

---

**Table 3**

Results from Fitting to the Broadband Spectrum of Kes 17 When Assuming Different Dominant GeV \(\gamma\)-Ray Emission Mechanisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>(K_{\text{sp}}) (cm(^{-3}))</th>
<th>(\bar{n}) (cm(^{-3}))</th>
<th>(B_2) ((\mu\text{G}))</th>
<th>(\eta_{\text{x}})</th>
<th>(E_{\text{be}}) (TeV)</th>
<th>(E_{\text{sp}}) (TeV)</th>
<th>(F_{\pi}) (10^{-9}) (\text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>(F_{\text{IC}}) (10^{-9}) (\text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>(F_{\text{brem}}) (10^{-9}) (\text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})</th>
<th>(F_{\text{\pi^0}}) (10^{-9}) (\text{ph cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IC Dominated (A1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT Brems. Dominated (A2)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\pi^0) Decay Dominated (A3)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes.** \(K_{\text{sp}}\) is the electron to proton ratio at relativistic energies, \(\bar{n}\) is the average density of the surrounding ISM, \(\eta_{\text{x}}\) is the efficiency of cosmic ray acceleration, \(B_2\) is the magnetic field immediately behind the shock, \(E_{\text{be}}\) is the cut-off energy of accelerated electrons, and \(F_{\pi}, F_{\text{IC}}, F_{\text{brem}}, F_{\text{\pi^0}}\) are respectively the flux of inverse-Compton, non-thermal bremsstrahlung, and \(\pi^0\) decay emission \(> 100 \text{ MeV}\).
Other possible photon fields are ambient starlight ($T \sim 5000$ K) and emission from warm dust ($T \sim 25$ K). To determine if they could allow IC to dominate the observed $\gamma$-rays, we estimate the photon energy density required for IC scattering of relativistic electrons in the SNR off these photons to be primarily responsible for the bulk of the $\gamma$-ray emission if $K_{e\gamma} = 0.01$. Since the required photon energy density decreases for larger energy in relativistic electrons $E_{\text{elec}}$, we use the maximum electron energy allowed by the data. A cosmic ray acceleration efficiency $\eta = 0.4$ (as suggested by the $\pi^0$ decay model) suggests $E_{\text{cr}} = 0.4 \times 10^{32}$ erg. For $K_{e\gamma} = 0.01$ and the electron cut off energies given in Table 3, the total energy in relativistic electrons is $E_{\text{elec}} = 3.4 \times 10^{48}$ erg. By choosing such a high cosmic ray acceleration efficiency, we likely overestimate the true energy in relativistic electrons, and therefore our analysis underestimates the required energy density.

If the background photons are dominated by emission from warm dust, it must have an energy density $\rho_{\text{dust}} \gtrsim 40 \rho_{\text{MB}}$. If the background photons are dominated by starlight, it must have an energy density $\rho_{\text{starlight}} \gtrsim 500 \rho_{\text{MB}}$. Since a combination of the two is likely, we also fit for the required energy density of starlight assuming $\rho_{\text{dust}} = 20 \rho_{\text{MB}}$. In this case, the required energy density of both dust emission and starlight is significantly higher than the values estimated from modeling the observed diffuse $\gamma$-ray emission of the Milky Way (Strong et al. 2000). As a result, photons from starlight and warm dust incident on Kes 17 are unlikely to have the high energy densities needed for IC emission to be the dominant $\gamma$-ray emission mechanism. Therefore, we conclude that $\pi^0$ decay is likely responsible for the bulk of the $\gamma$-ray emission observed from Kes 17.

If correct, then Kes 17 is one of few ($\lesssim 10$) SNRs with direct observational evidence for acceleration protons to high energies. In Table 4, we compare the physical properties of Kes 17 with those other such remnants. For many of these SNRs, the broadband spectral modeling also assume that $K_{e\gamma} \sim 0.01$. Due to the lack of spectral information at TeV energies, we can only constrain $E_{0,p} > 500$ GeV for Kes 17 (Table 3)—higher than the observed value for SNR IC 443, but consistent with the measured value of other SNRs (Table 4). The strength of the post-shock magnetic field, $B_{\text{sh}}$, is also within the range spanned by cosmic-ray producing SNRs—though closer to the value inferred for the older ($t_{\text{age}} \gtrsim 4000$ yr) SNRs (e.g., IC 443, W51C, W28) than the younger ($t_{\text{age}} < 1000$ yr) SNRs (e.g., Cas A and Tycho’s SNR) among this group. The allowed average density $\bar{n}$ is within the range spanned by this group, though the average cosmic-ray acceleration efficiency $\eta_{\text{cr}}$ required for the lowest allowed value of $\bar{n}$ is quite high: roughly five times higher than that of the younger SNRs and about two times higher than any of the older cosmic-ray producing SNRs. Only by determining the physical properties of the environment surrounding Kes 17, as we do in Section 3.2, can we determine if this SNR is an especially efficient producer of cosmic rays.

### 3.2. Environment of Kes 17

As discussed in Section 3.1, a primarily hadronic origin for the GeV $\gamma$-rays detected from Kes 17 requires this SNR to expand into an ISM with a volume-averaged density of $\bar{n} \gtrsim 9 [\eta_{\text{cr}} / 0.4]^{-1}$ cm$^{-3}$ (Section 3.1, Table 3). In this section, we wish to determine if this environment is consistent with the radius (Section 2.1), dust mass (Section 2.2), and electron temperature (Section 2.3) observed from this remnant. This analysis also allows us to infer the basic properties, e.g., its age $t_{\text{age}}$ and current expansion velocity $v_{\text{snr}}$, needed to understand the underlying particle acceleration mechanism (e.g., Reynolds & Keohane 1999; Reynolds 2008).

The $\gtrsim 3$–11 $M_{\odot}$ of dust in the SNR shell inferred from IR observations (Section 2.2; Lee et al. 2011) is likely dominated by pre-existing dust swept up by the expanding ejecta or dust formed inside the remnant. If Kes 17 is expanding into a medium with a volume average density $\bar{n} = 10 n_{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (where $n_{10} \gtrsim 1$, Section 3.1), then the mass of material swept-up by the expanding supernova ejecta $M_{\text{sw}}$ is:

\[ M_{\text{sw}} = \frac{4}{3} \pi R_{\text{snr}}^3 \bar{n} m_p \]

\[ \approx 1300 n_{10}^{-3/2} M_{\odot}. \]
estimated from observations. If there is considerably more mass at lower temperatures (Lee et al. 2011), this does not indicate that the additional dust was formed inside the SNR, but likely indicates $n_{10} > 1$ and/or a higher dust-to-gas mass ratio in the surrounding medium, which is possible if Kes 17 is expanding into a molecular cloud. In fact, the detection of OH (1720 MHz) maser (Section 2.1) and molecular shock (Section 2.2) emission indicates this SNR is expanding inside a molecular cloud (Yusef-Zadeh et al. 2003). This implies that the clumps observed in the IR are likely the result of dense material inside the cloud swept-up and shocked by the expanding ejecta (Section 2.2).

While molecular clouds have a very complicated density structure (e.g., Williams et al. 1995), one can approximate this environment as a collection of clumps with an average density $\bar{n}_{\text{clump}}$ and volume filling factor $f_{\text{clump}}$ embedded in a uniform interclump medium with a density $n_{\text{ic}}$ and volume filling factor $1 - f_{\text{clump}}$ (Chevalier 1999). In this model, $\bar{n}$ is

$$\bar{n} = \bar{n}_{\text{clump}} f_{\text{clump}} + n_{\text{ic}} (1 - f_{\text{clump}}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

As noted in Section 3.1, the acceleration efficiency $\eta_{\text{ac}} \propto \bar{n}^{-1}$, and $\eta_{\text{ac}} \sim 10\%$ (the value inferred for other remnants; Table 4) requires $\bar{n} \sim 90$ cm$^{-3}$. These parameters ($\bar{n}$, $n_{\text{ic}}$, $\bar{n}_{\text{clump}}$, $f_{\text{clump}}$) have been measured for a few molecular clouds, which find that typically $n_{\text{ic}} \leq 10$ cm$^{-3}$, $f_{\text{clump}} \sim 10\%$, $\bar{n} \sim 20$ cm$^{-3}$, and $\bar{n}_{\text{clump}} \sim 200$–1000 cm$^{-3}$ with considerable variation between clouds (e.g., Blitz 1993; Williams et al. 1995). From the analysis of the thermal X-ray spectrum of Kes 17 presented in Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, we estimate $n_{\text{ic}} \lesssim 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$. If $\bar{n} \sim 9$ cm$^{-3}$, $\bar{n}_{\text{clump}} = 200$ cm$^{-3}$, and $n_{\text{ic}} \sim 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$, then $f_{\text{clump}} \sim 4\%$—consistent with the observed values. However, if $\bar{n} \sim 90$ cm$^{-3}$, then $f_{\text{clump}}$ is an extremely high $\sim 45\%$ for these values of $\bar{n}_{\text{clump}}$ and $n_{\text{ic}}$. But, if $\bar{n}_{\text{clump}} \sim 1000$ cm$^{-3}$, as measured around H ii region NGC 2244 which is inside a molecular cloud (Williams et al. 1995), then $f_{\text{clump}} \sim 10\%$ for $\bar{n} \sim 90$ cm$^{-3}$ and $n_{\text{ic}} \sim 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$. The range of clump densities ($\bar{n}_{\text{clump}} \sim 100$–1000 cm$^{-3}$ up to $n_{\text{ic}} \sim 10^2$–$10^6$ cm$^{-3}$) inferred from analysis of the IR spectrum of Kes 17 (Section 2.2) suggests $\bar{n}_{\text{clump}} \sim 1000$ cm$^{-3}$ is plausible.

Interpreting the radius and X-ray temperature of Kes 17 requires understanding its dynamical evolution. A supernova ejects material of mass $M_{\text ej}$ and initial kinetic energy $E_{\text{kin}}$ into its surroundings. Initially, the ejecta expands supersonically relative to its environment, driving a shock called the “forward shock” into its surroundings. At this shock, the swept-up ambient material is accelerated, compressed, and heated to a pressure significantly higher than that of the expanding ejecta. As a result, the shocked ambient material drives a shock wave, called the “reverse shock,” into the expanding ejecta which decelerates, compresses, and heats this material. In the standard evolutionary model for SNRs (e.g., Chevalier 1977; Truelove & McKee 1999 and references therein), at early times the forward shock expands with a roughly constant velocity, such that the radius of the forward shock $R_{\text{fr}} \propto t$. Since it expands with constant velocity, the ejecta lose little kinetic energy during this phase. This “free expansion” phase ends when the reverse shock has passed through all of the ejecta, approximately when the mass swept-up by the forward shock $M_{\text{swe}} \approx M_{\text ej}$. During this phase, commonly referred to as the “Sedov-Taylor phase,” adiabatic losses are expected to dominate the energy evolution of the ejecta, and the SNR expands as $R_{\text{fr}} \propto t^{2/3}$ (e.g., Chevalier 1977; Truelove & McKee 1999 and references therein). When the radiative cooling time of the shocked gas is comparable to the age of the SNR, radiative losses dominate, significantly changing its dynamical evolution (Blondin et al. 1998).

However, the evolution of Kes 17 will be significantly different due to its expansion into a clumpy molecular cloud (e.g., Chevalier 1999) and its efficient acceleration of cosmic rays (e.g., Ellison et al. 2007, 2010; Ferrand et al. 2010; Castro et al. 2011). Observational evidence that Kes 17 has evolved differently is its “mixed morphology” nature (Combi et al. 2010), defined by the observed combination of steep-spectrum radio shell and interior thermal X-ray emission (Rho & Petre 1998). The non-Sedov density and temperature profile suggested by its center-filled thermal X-ray morphology can modify the growth of the SNR (e.g., White & Long 1991). Currently, the two leading physical explanations for mixed-morphology SNRs are that thermal conduction drives gas heated at the forward shock to the center (e.g., Cui & Cox 1992; Chevalier 1999) or that dense clumps are evaporating inside the remnant (e.g., White & Long 1991). While neither model accurately reproduces the observed temperature and X-ray surface brightness profiles of all mixed-morphology SNRs (e.g., Slane et al. 2002), we will interpret the radius of electron temperature of Kes 17 using these models to roughly estimate its age and environment.

### 3.2.1. Thermal Conduction

If heat conduction is primarily responsible for the thermal X-rays observed in the center of Kes 17, then its evolution is likely similar to the “standard” sequence outlined above. As before, we approximate the molecular cloud environment as a collection of discrete, small, high density clumps embedded in low, constant density interclump gas (Chevalier 1999). The expanding ejecta will shock both the interclump gas and the clumps, but, for the clump densities inferred from IR observations ($n_{\text{ic}} \sim 10^2$–$10^6$ cm$^{-3}$; Section 2.2), the transmitted shock is too slow to heat this material to X-ray emitting temperatures. Therefore, the mass of the X-ray emitting gas in Kes 17 $M_X$ should not exceed the mass of swept-up interclump material $M_{\text{ic}}$ ($M_X \leq M_{\text{ic}}$).

It is possible to estimate $M_X$ from the fits to thermal X-ray spectrum presented in Section 2.3. The mass of the X-ray emitting gas is equal to:

$$M_X = \frac{4\pi}{3} R_X^3 f_X n_{\text{H},X} m_p,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $m_p$ is the mass of the proton, $n_{\text{H},X}$ is the density of the X-ray emitting gas, and $f_X$ is the fraction of the SNR’s volume filled with the X-ray emitting plasma. We can estimate $n_{\text{H},X}$ from the normalization $K$ of the thermal X-ray emission models used in Section 2.3, since (Arnaud 1996):

$$K = \frac{10^{-14}}{4\pi d^2} \int n_{\text{e},X} n_{\text{H},X} dV$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$= 0.3 f_X \mu^{-1} n_{\text{H},X}^2 d\theta_{\text{snr}}^3 \times 10^{-14}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\mu$ is the number ratio of electrons to protons in the plasma ($n_{\text{H},X} = n_{\text{e},X}/\mu$; $\mu = 1.23$ for solar abundance), $d$ is the distance to the source in cm, and $\theta_{\text{snr}}$ is the angular radius of Kes 17 in radians. For the measured values of $K$ (Table 2, Section 2.3), $n_{\text{H},X}$ is approximately:

$$n_{\text{H},X} \sim 0.4 f_X^{-1} d_{10}^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-3}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
For a standard SNR, $f_X \approx 1/12$, but a mixed morphology SNR likely has $f_X$ greater than this value. Since $1/12 \leq f_X \leq 1$, we therefore estimate:

$$n_{H,X} \sim (0.4 - 1.4)d_{10}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (9)$$

Relating $M_X$ to $M_{ic}$ requires estimating the cooling time of the X-ray emitting gas $t_{cool}$. If Kes 17 is significantly older than the cooling time, then $M_X \ll M_{ic}$, while if Kes 17 is younger than the cooling time, then $M_X \approx M_{ic}$. The cooling time can be approximated as

$$t_{cool} \approx \frac{E_{thermal}}{L_{thermal}}, \quad (10)$$

where $E_{thermal}$ is the thermal energy of this gas and $L_{thermal}$ is its thermal luminosity. The thermal energy is roughly

$$E_{thermal} = \frac{4}{3} \pi R_{snr}^3 n_{H,X} f_X k_B T_X, \quad (11)$$

where $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant and $T_X$ is the X-ray temperature, while the thermal luminosity $L_{thermal}$ is

$$L_{thermal} = \frac{4}{3} \pi R_{snr}^3 \Lambda \quad (12)$$

where, for solar abundances and temperature $kT_X \sim 1$ keV (the average electron temperature and approximate chemical composition suggested by our modeling; Section 2.3), $\Lambda$ is (Raymond et al. 1976)

$$\Lambda \sim 5n_{e,X} n_{H,X} \times 10^{-23} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3} \quad (13)$$

$$\sim 5\mu n_H^2 \times 10^{-23} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (14)$$

This suggests that:

$$t_{cool} \approx \frac{k_B T_e}{5\mu n_{H,X} \times 10^{-23}} f_X \quad (15)$$

$$\approx 2 f_X \times 10^6 \text{ yr} \quad (16)$$

$$\sim (0.6–2) \times 10^6 \text{ yr} \quad (17)$$

for the range of $f_X$ argued above. This is considerably higher than the age of the other SNRs identified as efficient cosmic ray accelerators (Table 4). Therefore, in this scenario, it is likely that $M_X \approx M_{ic}$.

The mass of the interclump gas swept-up by the expanding ejecta is

$$M_{ic} = \frac{4}{3} \pi R_{snr}^3 (1 - f_{clump}) n_{ic} m_p. \quad (18)$$

For $M_X \approx M_{ic}$, we have

$$n_{ic} \approx \frac{f_X}{1 - f_{clump}} n_{H,X} \quad (19)$$

$$\approx 0.4 f_X (1 - f_{clump})^{-1} d_{10}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (20)$$

If $f_{clump} \sim 10\%$, as suggested by observations (e.g., Blitz 1993; Williams et al. 1995), the allowed range of $f_X$ favors $n_{ic} \sim 0.1–0.4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

As mentioned above, in this scenario the evolution of Kes 17 should be similar to that of the “standard” SNR. Therefore, its observed radius $R_{snr}$ suggests an age (e.g., Lozinskaya 1992):

$$t_{age} \approx \left( \frac{R_{snr}}{1.15} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{n_{ic} \mu m_p}{E_{snr}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\Lambda} \text{ yr}, \quad (21)$$

$$\approx 4200 d_{10}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{n_{ic}}{0.4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} E_{51}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ yr}, \quad (22)$$

where $E_{snr} = 10^{51} E_{51}$ erg. For the values of $n_{ic}$ estimated above, Kes 17 is only $t_{age} \sim 2000–4200$ yr old. This is considerably lower than the cooling timescale $t_{cool}$ calculated above, consistent with our assumption that $M_X \approx M_{ic}$. While this age estimate ignores the effect of cosmic ray acceleration, numerical studies suggest that this analysis underestimates the age by $\sim 20\%$ in the case of extremely efficient particle acceleration ($n_{sr} \sim 40\%$; e.g., Castro et al. 2011). Therefore, in this scenario we estimate that Kes 17 is $t_{age} \sim 2000–5200$ yr old.

If correct, Kes 17 is currently expanding with a speed $v_{snr}$ (e.g., Lozinskaya 1992; Truelove & McKee 1999):

$$v_{snr} \approx 0.43 \left( \frac{E_{snr}}{n_{ic} \mu m_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} R_{snr}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (23)$$

$$\sim 570 \left( \frac{n_{ic}}{\text{cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\text{km}}{\text{s}}. \quad (24)$$

If $n_{ic} \sim 0.1–0.4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ as derived above, then $v_{snr} \sim 900–1800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. Such a shock is expected to heat electrons to a temperature $T_e$

$$kT_e \approx \frac{3}{16} m_e v_{snr}^2 \quad (25)$$

$$\sim 0.9–3 \text{ eV}, \quad (26)$$

substantially lower than the $kT_e \sim 0.8 \text{ keV}$ inferred from our modeling of the observed X-ray spectrum (Section 2.3). However, ions are heated to a temperature $T_i$

$$kT_i \approx \frac{3}{16} m_p v_{snr}^2 \quad (27)$$

$$\sim 1.5–6 \text{ keV}, \quad (28)$$

higher than the observed electron temperature. However, many electron heating mechanisms operate inside an SNR. Observations suggest that, at a forward shock expanding with $v_{snr} \sim 900–1800 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, electrons will be heated to a temperature of $T_e \sim (0.1–0.8) T_i$ at the forward shock for this range of shock velocities (Ghavamian et al. 2007), with this process possibly enhanced by efficient particle acceleration at the forward shock (Castro et al. 2011). Additionally, inside the SNR, ions heat the electrons through Coulomb collisions. The high ionization timescale inferred from our modeling of the observed thermal
X-ray spectrum suggests at least rough thermal equilibration between electrons and ions in this remnant. Therefore, this scenario is consistent with the observed electron temperature.

In summary, if thermal conduction is the dominant mechanism responsible for the mixed morphology nature of Kes 17, then this remnant is $\sim$2000–5000 yr old and is expanding into a clumpy medium with an interclump density of $\sim$0.1–0.4 cm$^{-3}$.

3.2.2. Clump Evaporation

Alternatively, the mixed morphology nature of Kes 17 could result from dense clumps swept up by the expanding ejecta evaporating inside the remnant and then being heated to X-ray temperatures by the hot interclump gas shocked at the forward shock. In this case, we expect $M_X > M_{ic}$. Repeating the analysis of Section 3.2.1, this requires that

$$n_{ic} \lesssim 0.4 f_X^\frac{1}{5}(1 - f_{clump})^{-1} d_{10}^{-\frac{3}{5}} \text{ cm}^{-3}. \quad (29)$$

Since $f_X < 1$, in this scenario $n_{ic} < 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$.

The evaporation of clumps inside the SNR can significantly impact the dynamics of the forward shock, which is now expected to expand as

$$R_{str} = \left[ \frac{25(\gamma + 1)\kappa E_{snr}}{16\pi n_{ic} m_p} \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma} t_{\text{age}}^\frac{1}{\gamma}, \quad (30)$$

where $\gamma = 5/3$ is the adiabatic index of the surrounding material and $\kappa$ is the ratio of thermal to kinetic energy in the SNR (White & Long 1991). Therefore, the age of Kes 17 is

$$t_{\text{age}} = \left[ \frac{25(\gamma + 1)\kappa E_{snr}}{16\pi n_{ic} m_p} \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\kappa E_{\text{snr}}}{n_{ic}} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma} R_{str}^3 \quad (31)$$

$$\approx 6000\kappa^{-\frac{1}{5}} E_{51}^{-\frac{1}{5}} n_{ic}^{-\frac{1}{5}} \text{ yr} \quad (32)$$

for $d_{10} = 1$, where $\kappa$ can vary between 0.01 and 1 (White & Long 1991). Setting $\kappa = 0.01$ and $n_{ic} = 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$ suggests that $t_{\text{age}} < 40000$ yr old. While this analysis ignores the effect of efficient particle acceleration on the evolution of the forward shock (White & Long 1991), the resulting $\approx 20\%$ error suggested by simulations (e.g., Castro et al. 2011) is considerably less than the uncertainty resulting from the unknown values of $\kappa$ and $n_{ic}$.

In this scenario, $v_{str}$ is given by:

$$v_{str} = 2 \left[ \frac{25(\gamma + 1)\kappa E_{snr}}{16\pi n_{ic} m_p} \right]^\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{\kappa E_{\text{snr}}}{n_{ic}} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma} t_{\text{age}}^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad (33)$$

$$\approx 120,000 \left( \frac{\kappa E_{51}}{n_{ic}} \right)^\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{t_{\text{age}}}{1 \text{ yr}} \right)^{-\frac{1}{\gamma}} \text{ km/s} \quad (34)$$

By considering the “maximum age” case above ($\kappa = 0.01$, $n_{ic} = 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$, and $t_{\text{age}} = 40000$ yr), we find that currently $v_{str} \gtrsim 100$ km s$^{-1}$. From Equations (25) and (27), this minimum velocity is too slow to currently heat either electrons or ions to the measured electron temperature $kT_e \sim 0.8$ keV (Section 2.3).

This can be rectified by Kes 17 either being younger than the maximum age estimated above, suggesting $\kappa > 0.01$ and/or $n_{ic} < 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$, or the emitting material was heated at an earlier time when the SNR was expanding faster. This is plausible since the time required for the X-ray emitting gas to cool ($t_{\text{cool}} \gtrsim 6 \times 10^5$ yr; Section 3.2.1, Equation (15)) is longer than the maximum age of Kes 17 in this scenario. Therefore, it is plausible that material heated at earlier times would still radiate today.

In summary, if clump evaporation is the dominant cause of the mixed morphology nature of Kes 17, it is expanding into a medium with an interclump density $n_{ic} < 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$ and is $<40000$ yr old.

4. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we analyze and interpret recent observations of SNR Kes 17 across the electromagnetic spectrum (Section 2). Our analysis indicates this SNR has a partial radio shell with a diameter of $\sim 7.5$, which translates to a physical radius of $R \sim 10d_{10}$ pc at a distance $d = 10d_{10}$ kpc. The detection of OH 1720 MHz maser emission and the IR spectrum of Kes 17 suggest that this SNR is expanding into a molecular cloud, and our analysis of a recent Suzaku observation of this SNR suggests the observed X-ray emission is predominantly thermal, emitted by a plasma with a density of $n_{H, X} \sim 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$, roughly solar abundances except for an under-abundance of S, and comprised of gas with an electron temperature $kT_e \approx 0.8$ keV in roughly thermal equilibrium (Sections 2.3 and 3.2; Table 2). Finally, our analysis of Fermi observations of this field strongly suggests GeV $\gamma$-ray emission coincident with this remnant that is almost certainly from the SNR shell (Section 2.4).

By modeling the broadband non-thermal emission of Kes 17, we determined that the GeV $\gamma$-rays are predominantly the result of cosmic ray protons accelerated at the SNR’s forward shock colliding with swept-up material inside the SNR, producing $\pi^0$’s that decay into $\gamma$-rays (Section 3.1). This explanation requires that this SNR is expanding into medium with an average density $\bar{n} \gtrsim 9$ cm$^{-3}$ (Section 3.1), consistent with the molecular cloud environment implied by the OH maser emission and considerable dust mass inferred from its IR spectrum (Section 3.2). The age of Kes 17 and density of the interclump medium inside the cloud $n_{ic}$ depends on whether thermal conduction or evaporation of dense clumps is primarily responsible for the mixed morphology nature of this remnant. If thermal conduction is responsible, then Kes 17 is expanding into an environment with $n_{ic} \sim 0.1$–0.4 cm$^{-3}$ and is only $\sim 2000$–5000 yr old (Section 3.2.1). However, if the evaporation of density clumps is primarily responsible, then Kes 17 is expanding inside an environment with $n_{ic} < 0.4$ cm$^{-3}$ and can be as much as $\sim 40000$ yr old (Section 3.2.2). If the cosmic ray efficiency $n_{ct}$ of Kes 17 is similar to that other SNRs believed to be accelerating cosmic rays (Table 4), then it is expanding in an environment with $\bar{n} \sim 90$ cm$^{-3}$ (Section 3.2). This requires the surrounding clumps have an average density of $n_{clump} \sim 1000$ cm$^{-3}$ for a reasonable clump mass fraction of $f_{clump} \approx 10\%$ (Section 3.2). Such an average clump density has been observed in some molecular clouds (Blitz 1993) as well around massive stars which have formed a stellar wind bubble or Hii inside a molecular cloud (Williams et al. 1995).

The possible high cosmic ray acceleration efficiency inferred in Kes 17 is very interesting—especially given its likely expansion into a clumpy medium. Much about the particle acceleration mechanism inside SNRs, particularly how its efficiency depends on its surroundings, is unknown. Recent theoretical work suggests that expansion into a turbulent, clumpy, strongly magnetized environment enhances cosmic ray acceleration (e.g., Bykov et al. 2000; Zhang et al. 2009), and further study of Kes
17 would test these results. This requires better understanding the environment of Kes 17, specifically the clumpiness of its surroundings. Additional observations are also needed to measure the properties of the accelerated cosmic rays in order to test models of the acceleration mechanism. This can be accomplished in a variety of ways, e.g., measuring the TeV γ-ray spectrum will allow us to determine the maximum energy of cosmic rays accelerated in the SNR $E_{\text{max}}$, mapping CO emission around Kes 17 will allow us to measure the average density $\bar{n}$ of its environment (e.g., Williams et al. 1995), and a deeper X-ray observation will allow us use the observed thermal X-ray emission (e.g., Ellison et al. 2010) to constrain the cosmic ray acceleration efficiency $\eta_c$, better constrain the energetics of any cosmic ray electrons accelerated in this SNR, and determine the origin of its mixed morphology appearance. In any case, future study of Kes 17 is extremely important for understanding how energetic particles are accelerated in SNRs.
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