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Yang Yang and Stephen L. Buchwald*
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Abstract

An orthogonal set of catalyst systems has been developed for the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of 3,3-disubstituted and 3-monosubstituted allylboronates with (hetero)aryl halides. These methods allow for the highly selective preparation of either the α- or the γ-isomeric coupling product.

The broad spectrum of intriguing molecular architectures and biological activities of prenylated and reverse-prenylated natural products has spurred extensive efforts toward the development of efficient methods to prepare these compounds. In this context, the selective syntheses of both of these isomers based on a regiodivergent coupling methodology using a common prenyl-metal species and an aryl halide is very appealing (Scheme 1). Among various types of organometallic reagents, organoboron compounds are most frequently used due to their air and moisture stability, functional group compatibility, ready availability and low toxicity. However, the successful development of a coupling process involving 3-substituted allylboronates has been hampered by regioselectivity issues (Scheme 2). In principle, a linear σ-allylpalladium complex (5) could isomerize to the corresponding branched σ-allylpalladium species (6) through a π-allyl intermediate (7), thus producing mixtures of isomers (3 and 4) following reductive elimination. Moreover, transmetalation of a prenylboron reagent (2) with oxidative addition complex 1 could proceed through either an $S_{E2}$ or $S_{E2}'$ pathway, which may also contribute to the poor selectivity often observed.

Previously, Szabó and Miyaura have reported good regioselectivity for the formation of the branched product with 3-monosubstituted allylboron reagents. However, a general and practical γ-selective coupling of 3,3-disubstituted allylboronates to prepare branched products that bear a sterically demanding quaternary center (4), and in particular, a method for tert-prenylation, remains to be developed. To date, Organ’s well-tailored NHC-based catalyst remains the only β-selective system to access the linear product (3). However, this protocol necessitated the use of strong aqueous base at high temperature (5 M aq. KOH in refluxing THF for 24 h), thereby limiting the functional group tolerance. More importantly, a unifying regiodivergent method providing rapid access to both the γ and the α regioisomers employing a set of catalysts that are structurally similar but furnish orthogonal selectivities continues to be a daunting challenge. In addition, despite the fact that heterocycles are ubiquitous structural motifs in biologically active compounds, the regioselective allylation of heteroaryl halides has rarely been studied.

To overcome these challenges, we reasoned that the choice of ligand would influence the transmetallation mechanism, the rate of σ-π-σ interconversion and the rate of reductive elimination, and thus represent the key to achieving high regioselectivity. Over the past
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decade, our research group has been engaged in the design, development and utilization of bulky biarylphosphine ligands that have proven effective for a broad range of palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions.11,12 In general, the synthesis of these ligands is simple, flexible and allows rational tuning of their steric and electronic properties.13 Taken together, these features could greatly facilitate the development of highly α- and γ-selective allylation catalysts that are broadly applicable to a diverse array of functionalized aryl halides.

We commenced our study by examining palladium catalysts derived from dialkylbiarylphosphine ligands (Table 1). Although catalysts generated from SPhos (L1) and RuPhos (L2) provided good regioselectivity for the branched product (10b), only moderate conversion of the aryl bromide was observed using 2 mol% Pd. However, the yield of 10b could be increased dramatically using a catalyst derived from L3. Interestingly, replacing the methoxy group on the bottom naphthyl ring of L3 with an isoproxy group resulted in inferior selectivity (L4). At this stage, we hypothesized that the use of more sterically demanding ligands would destabilize and/or inhibit the formation of the branched σ–allylpalladium species 6, thus favoring the formation of the linear product (10a). Indeed, the regioselectivity could be reversed when bulkier di-tert-butylbiarylphosphine ligands were employed. In particular, the catalyst generated from t-BuXPhos (L6) was found to be highly selective for the production of α-isomer, but further increasing the size of the t-BuXPhos biaryl backbone (L7 and L8) led to less selective catalysts. After extensive optimization, biphasic MeCN/aq. K3PO4 was identified as the optimal reaction media for the α-selective coupling. Thus, under the optimized conditions, the t-BuXPhos-based catalyst afforded the linear product in 83% yield with excellent selectivity.

Utilizing both protocols, we examined the substrate scope with respect to the aryl halide component (Table 2). A wide variety of aryl bromides, bearing electron-donating or electron withdrawing substituents (11a-11l), could be effectively converted to the linear or the branched product with high level of regioselectivity. In addition to aryl bromides, aryl chlorides and triflates were also compatible substrates for this transformation (11f and 11l).

While various heteroaryl halides could be transformed (Table 2 (B)), we were unable, in most cases, to develop a set of regiodivergent conditions for these substrates.14 Still the examples shown represent the most general ones for transforming a variety of difficult heterocyclic substrates.

Next, we sought to extend the scope of allylboronate substrates that could be employed in the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling (Table 3). In general, unsymmetrical 3,3-disubstituted allylboronates (12a-12c) could be coupled with excellent regioselectivity. Starting from an E/Z mixture of farnesylboronate15 (12c), either the α or the γ-isomer could also be accessed under these conditions. Similarly, 3-monosubstituted allylboronates (12d and 12e) represented suitable coupling partners with both conditions. It is noteworthy that an α-selective coupling protocol for 3-monosubstituted allylboronates has not been previously described. Tethering the terminal methyl group together with a (CH2)3 spacer (12f) imposed no detrimental effects on regioselectivity. In addition, a cyclic allylboronate (12g) could be successfully applied in this reaction, maintaining both good yields and selectivities. Finally, 12g reacted smoothly to provide 14g with high diastereocontrol using the γ-selective system.
Although these coupling processes are highly regioselective, we observed scrambling of the olefin configuration when unsymmetrical 3,3-disubstituted allylboronates were subjected to system A (Table 3, entry 1-2). For example, geranylboronate (12a) and nerylboronate (12b) furnished the linear product with different degrees of isomerization of olefin geometry (13a and 13b). Interestingly, with catalyst system B, 12b delivered higher selectivity for the branched isomer than 12a (14a and 14b). Taken together, these results suggest the intermediacy of a post-transmetallation π-allylpalladium species (7); presumably, the two different (allyl)Pd(Ar)(L) species generated from the transmetallation of (Ar)Pd(X)(L) with 12a and 12b do not fully equilibrate via σ-π-σ interconversion prior to reductive elimination. To gain further insight into the origin of regioselectivity, tertiary allylboronate 15 was subjected to the coupling conditions. With the catalyst derived from L3, reaction of boronates 15 or 9 with 8 afforded drastically different results with regard to regioisomer distribution (Table 4, entry 1-2). Again, these results imply that σ-allyl palladium complexes 5 and 6 do not reach equilibrium via a π-allylpalladium intermediate before reductive elimination occurs. In contrast, using L6-based catalyst, both 15 and 9 provided excellent selectivity for the linear product (entry 3-4). Therefore, if transmetallation of (Ar)Pd(X)(L6) with 9 and 15 furnishes two different π-allylpalladium complexes (5 or 6), the rate of σ-π-σ interconversion is faster relative to reductive elimination to form 10b. Alternatively, the transmetallation of ArPd(X)(L6) with 9 and 15 proceeds via two different pathways, Sp2 and Sp2 respectively, to furnish the same π-allylpalladium complex (5), which does not undergo σ-π-σ isomerization at a rate that is competitive with reductive elimination to form 10a.

In summary, we have developed a complementary set of palladium-catalyzed regiodivergent protocols for the Suzuki-Miyaura coupling of 3-substituted allylboronates with aryl halides that allow for the rapid construction of allylated arene architectures. This method features excellent regioselectivity, enhanced operational simplicity and a broad scope of aryl halides. Notably, a number of allylated heteroaromatic compounds prepared by the current method would be difficult or tedious to synthesize by other means. Further mechanistic investigations aimed at determining the origin of this regiochemical dichotomy and broadening the application of this method in the context of natural product synthesis are ongoing topics in our laboratory.
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Scheme 1.
Prenylated Natural Products and Proposed Regioselective Allylation of Aryl Halides.
Scheme 2.
Proposed Mechanism.
### Table 1

Ligand Evaluation.$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>$\varphi$</th>
<th>yield of 10a</th>
<th>yield of 10b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.1</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L.2</td>
<td>1:99</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>L.3</td>
<td>&lt;1:99</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L.4</td>
<td>17:83</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>L.5</td>
<td>90:10</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>L.6</td>
<td>98:2</td>
<td>68% (84%)$^b$</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>L.7</td>
<td>88:12</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>L.8</td>
<td>46:64</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Reactions with L.1-L.4 were carried out with 1 mol% [(allyl)PdCl]$_2$ and 4 mol% L at 40 °C; reactions with L.5-L.8 were carried out with 1.5 mol% [(allyl)PdCl]$_2$ and 6 mol% L at 70 °C. Yields were determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture.$^b$Reaction was performed in MeCN.
Table 2
Substrate Scope of Aryl and Heteroaryl Halides.\textsuperscript{a}

| (A) Aryl halides |  
|------------------|------------------|
| ![Aryl halide 11a](image) | ![Aryl halide 11b](image) | ![Aryl halide 11c](image) | ![Aryl halide 11g](image) | ![Aryl halide 11h](image) | ![Aryl halide 11i](image) |
| ![Aryl halide 11d](image) | ![Aryl halide 11e](image) | ![Aryl halide 11f](image) | ![Aryl halide 11j](image) | ![Aryl halide 11k](image) | ![Aryl halide 11l](image) |

| (B) Heteroaryl halides |  
|------------------|------------------|
| ![Heteroaryl halide 11m](image) | ![Heteroaryl halide 11n](image) | ![Heteroaryl halide 11o](image) | ![Heteroaryl halide 11s](image) | ![Heteroaryl halide 11t](image) | ![Heteroaryl halide 11u](image) |
| ![Heteroaryl halide 11v](image) | ![Heteroaryl halide 11w](image) | ![Heteroaryl halide 11x](image) |

\textsuperscript{a}Yields are of isolated product, see Supporting Information for details; $\alpha/\gamma$ ratio and yields in parentheses were determined by $^1$H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

\textsuperscript{b}L5 was used instead of L6.

\textsuperscript{c}60 °C.
Table 3

Substrate Scope of Allylboronates.\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>allylboronate</th>
<th>catalyst system A</th>
<th>catalyst system B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>12a, E-geometry (geranyl)</td>
<td>13a, 74%</td>
<td>14a, 83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12b, Z-geometry (neryl)</td>
<td>13b, 76%</td>
<td>14b, 91%(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12c (farnesyl), E/Z = 72:28</td>
<td>13c, 60%</td>
<td>14c, 85%(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12d, E-geometry</td>
<td>13d, 80%</td>
<td>14d, 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12e, Z-geometry</td>
<td>13e, 80%</td>
<td>14e, 95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12f</td>
<td>13f, 77%</td>
<td>14f, 84%(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12g</td>
<td>13g, 70%</td>
<td>14g, 84%(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Yields are of isolated product, see Supporting Information for details; \(\Delta\)\(\gamma\) ratio was determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture; E/Z ratio was determined by GC analysis.

\(^b\)Yield is the average of two experiments.

\(^c\)Yield is the average of three experiments.
b 60 °C.

c Stereochemistry was confirmed by NOESY NMR spectroscopy.
# Table 4

## Mechanistic Insights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>boronate</th>
<th>( \Delta \Delta )</th>
<th>( \Delta )</th>
<th>yield of 10a</th>
<th>yield of 10b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>L3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>25:75</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>L6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>98:2</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>L6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>99:1</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* aReaction was carried out in THF/aq. K\(_2\)PO\(_4\) at 40 °C for 12 h.

bReaction was carried out in MeCN/aq. K\(_3\)PO\(_4\) at 70 °C for 12 h; yield and \( \Delta \) \( \Delta \) ratio were determined by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy of the crude reaction mixture using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.