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Abstract

A new air-stable nickel precatalyst for C-N cross-coupling is reported. The developed catalyst system displays a greatly improved substrate scope for C-N bond formation to include both a wide range of aryl and heteroaryl electrophiles and aryl, heteroaryl, and alkylamines. The catalyst system is also compatible with weak base, allowing the amination of substrates containing base-sensitive functional groups.

The development of a general, robust, and operationally simple catalyst system for Ni-catalyzed C-N cross-coupling reactions has remained a significant challenge despite of 16 years of extensive catalyst development. After our first report,1 many groups have expanded Ni-catalyzed C-N cross-coupling to include new electrophiles such as aryl tosylates, carbotamates, sulfamates, methyl ethers, phosphates, pivalates, and nitriles.2,3,4 However, many of these systems rely on air- and moisture-sensitive Ni(COD)2 as a catalyst precursor.1,3 While other catalyst systems have utilized air-stable Ni(II) sources, many of these systems required the use of an external reductant to generate the catalytically active Ni species.2,5 Additionally, the overall substrate scope of all Ni-catalyst systems reported to date has remained relatively limited, with only a few successful examples of substrates containing base-sensitive functional groups.6,7,8,9 To address these challenges we sought to develop an air-stable, highly active Ni(II) pre-catalyst for C-N cross-coupling reactions.

Ni(II)-(σ-aryl) complexes, first reported by Shaw in 1960, were shown to be robust compounds, stable to moisture and air.10 In 2007, Yang reported the first use of these complexes in C-N cross-coupling by demonstrating that (Ph3P)2Ni(1-nap)Cl used in...
combination with an N-heterocyclic carbene ligand (IPr•HCl) generated an effective catalyst system for the amination of aryl chlorides. Based on these precedents and our previous success using dppf as a supporting ligand in Ni-based catalysis, we sought to investigate the use of a dppf-ligated Ni(II)-(o-aryl) complexes of as a precatalyst in C-N bond-forming reactions.

For our study, we selected (dppf)Ni(o-tolyl)Cl (2) as a precatalyst for C-N bond formation (Scheme 1). The synthesis of 2 can be accomplished by exchanging the triphenylphosphine ligands on (Ph3P)2Ni(o-tolyl)Cl (1) with dppf in THF at room temperature, resulting in an 83% yield (Scheme 1). (Ph3P)2Ni(o-tolyl)Cl (1) can be easily prepared directly from commercially available (Ph3P)2NiCl2 or in two steps from NiCl2•6H2O. Similar to other Ni(II)-(o-aryl) complexes, 2 was found to be air-stable. A crystal structure of 2 shows a cis-ligated nickel dppf complex with square planar geometry (Figure 1).

Having prepared and characterized 2, we set out to investigate its use as a precatalyst in the amination of 4-n-butyrylchlorobenzene (3) with morpholine (4) (Table 1). Performing the reaction using 5 mol % of 2 and 5 mol % dppf as the catalyst with NaO(tBu) as the base in CPME for 15 minutes at 100 °C resulted in 10% yield (entry 1, Table 1). The use of LiO(tBu) as a base provided a similar result (9% yield), while the use of LiO(tBu) led to a marked improvement in reactivity, giving the coupled product (5) in 49% yield (entries 2 and 3, Table 1), demonstrating that the choice of counterion is crucial to the success of the reaction when using tert-butoxide bases. Previously, Hartwig has shown that the addition of benzonitrile improved the reactivity in Ni-based systems—potentially stabilizing the catalytically active Ni-species. Similarly, we found that the addition of 1 equivalent of acetonitrile greatly improved the reactivity of 2, producing the product 5 in 86% yield (entry 4, Table 1). Extending the reaction time to 45 minutes gave full conversion of the aryl chloride, and product 5 was isolated in 85% yield (entry 5, Table 1). The use of the acetonitrile additive with either NaO(tBu) or KOrBu, however, still resulted in low yields (entry 6 and 7, Table 1).

Conducting the reaction without an additional equivalent of the dppf ligand led to a diminished yield of 5 (entry 8, Table 1), and lowering the catalyst loading had a similarly detrimental effect, with the reaction failing to reach full conversion even after extended reaction times (entries 9 and 10, Table 1).

In addition to the desired arylamine product 5, a small amount of the corresponding aminated o-tolyl product (2%) was also observed. This byproduct is likely the result of catalyst activation, and similar byproducts have been observed in other systems using Ni(II)-(o-aryl) complexes as precatalysts. We note that the corresponding aminated o-tolyl byproducts from 2 were not observed in many of the reactions using 2. In the instances when they were formed, the small amounts (0.5 – 5%) were easily separated during purification.

With the conditions for amination identified, we investigated the scope of this reaction with aryl and heteroaryl chlorides. The catalyst system was found to tolerate both cyclic and acyclic secondary alkylamines, which were coupled in high yield (6a and 6b, Scheme 2). Primary anilines, including the bulky, ortho-substituted 2-aminobiphenyl, were readily arylated in excellent yield (6c and 6f, Scheme 2). Diphenylamine also underwent facile cross-coupling with 2-chloro-4,6-dimethoxypyrimidine in 82% yield, although the reaction required increased catalyst loading and higher temperatures to achieve full conversion (6d, Scheme 2). The arylation of indoline with 1-chloronaphthalene suffered from significant reduction of the aryl halide presumably due to competing β-hydride elimination, but the desired product was still isolated in 60% yield (6e, Scheme 2).

Although our catalyst system proved to be highly effective for amination of aryl and heteroaryl chlorides using LiO(tBu), we were interested in expanding the scope of the reaction...
to include substrates bearing base sensitive functional groups. Due to their lower pKa values relative to alkylamines, we felt that anilines would be readily deprotonated during the transmetallation step (amine binding and deprotonation) under weakly basic conditions. Thus, by changing the solvent to tBuOH and employing K$_3$PO$_4$ as the base, we found that a wide variety of primary and secondary anilines could be efficiently arylated (Scheme 3). These conditions were found to tolerate base-sensitive functional groups as well as ortho-substitution on the aryl halide and the amine nucleophiles, including anilines containing an ester substituent in the ortho position (Scheme 3).

Having established the conditions for the arylation of anilines using K$_3$PO$_4$, we sought to expand the reaction scope further to include phenol-derived aryl electrophiles. While the amination of aryl sulfamate electrophiles is well-established,$^{2c,3d,g}$ the amination of aryl mesylates with Ni-catalyst systems remains unknown.$^{25,26}$ Furthermore, only one successful example of Ni-catalyzed amination of an aryl triflate has been reported.$^{27}$ As aryl mesylate and some aryl triflate electrophiles are known to be sensitive to strong bases,$^{24a,28}$ we felt that application of the developed weak base conditions would enable the successful amination of these electrophiles. However, the use of tBuOH proved to be detrimental to the reaction, resulting in modest yields of the desired product. By using CPME as the solvent and performing the reaction at a slightly lower concentration a dramatic improvement of the product yields was observed. As with the aryl chloride substrates, these conditions were able to tolerate base sensitive functional groups as well as ortho-substituents on either the electrophile or the nucleophile (Scheme 4).

In summary, we have developed a highly active, dpf-ligated nickel precatalyst (2) for use in C-N cross-coupling reactions. This robust precatalyst can be easily prepared from readily available Ni(II) sources and is air-stable. Furthermore, this catalyst system has been demonstrated to cross-couple a wide array of amine nucleophiles efficiently with aryl and heteroaryl electrophiles, including substrates containing base sensitive functional groups.
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Figure 1.
X-ray crystal structure of (dppf)Ni(α-toly)Cl (2) (representation from two different angles). THF molecule and hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.
Scheme 1.
Synthesis of (dpff)Ni(o-tolyl)Cl (2)

\[
(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Ni(o-tolyl)Cl} \quad 1
\]
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{dppf} \\
\text{THF, rt} \\
83\%
\end{array}
\]
\[
(\text{dpff})\text{Ni(o-tolyl)Cl} \quad 2
\]
air-stable, yellow solid
Scheme 2.
Amination of Aryl Chlorides using LiO\textsubscript{t}Bu

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R\textsubscript{2}NH</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6a\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reaction conditions: aryl chloride (1.0 mmol), amine (1.5 mmol), LiO\textsubscript{t}Bu (1.5 mmol), 2 (5 mol %), dppf (5 mol %), MeCN (1.0 mmol), CPME (2 mL), 100 \degree C, 1 h. Yields are of the isolated product, average of two runs. \textsuperscript{b}Reaction time 16 h. \textsuperscript{2} (10 mol %) and dppf (10 mol %), 130 \degree C, 16 h.
Scheme 3.
Amination of Aryl Chlorides using K$_3$PO$_4$\textsuperscript{a}
\textsuperscript{a}Reaction conditions: aryl chloride (1.0 mmol), amine (1.5 mmol), K$_3$PO$_4$ (3 mmol), 2 (5 mol %), dipp (5 mol %), MeCN (1.0 mmol), tBuOH (2 mL), 3 Å MS (300 mg), 110 °C, 16 h. Yields are of the isolated product, average of two runs. \textsuperscript{b}In cases where using the standard conditions do not give full conversion, omission of the 3 Å MS or using 6 mmol of K$_3$PO$_4$ were found to allow the reaction to reach completion. \textsuperscript{c}K$_3$PO$_4$ (6 mmol), Dioxane (4 mL).
Scheme 4.
Amination of Aryl Mesylates, Triflates, and Sulfamates

\[
\text{ArX} + \text{R}_2\text{NH} \xrightarrow{5 \text{ mol \% 2, 5 mol \% dpff}} \text{MeCN, K}_3\text{PO}_4 \xrightarrow{\text{CPME, 110 °C, 3 Å MS, 16 h}} \text{Ar-NR}_2
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Reaction Conditions</th>
<th>Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8a\textsuperscript{b}</td>
<td>X = OMs, 90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8b</td>
<td>X = OSO\textsubscript{2}NM\textsubscript{e}\textsubscript{2}, 91%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8c</td>
<td>X = OTf, 93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8d\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>X = OMs, 93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8e</td>
<td>X = OSO\textsubscript{2}NM\textsubscript{e}\textsubscript{2}, 93%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8f</td>
<td>X = OTf, 98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Reaction conditions: aryl chloride (1.0 mmol), amine (1.5 mmol), K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (3 mmol), 2 (5 mol %), dpff (5 mol %), MeCN (1.0 mmol), CPME (4 mL), 3 Å MS (300 mg), 110 °C, 16 h. Yields are of the isolated product, average of two runs. \textsuperscript{b}In cases where using the standard conditions do not give full conversion, using 6 mmol of K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} were found to allow the reaction to reach completion. \textsuperscript{c}2 (2.5 mol %), dpff (2.5 mol %), 4 h.
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### Table 1

#### Optimization of Reaction Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>mol % 2</th>
<th>additive</th>
<th>base</th>
<th>conversion $^b$</th>
<th>yield $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NaO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>KO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LiO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>LiO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5$^c$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>LiO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>NaO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>KO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>&lt;4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8$^d$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>LiO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>LiO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10$^e$</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>MeCN</td>
<td>LiO$_{t}$Bu</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Reaction conditions: 3 (0.25 mmol), 4 (0.375 mmol), base (0.375 mmol) 2 (2.5 – 5 mol %), dppf (2.5 – 5 mol %), additive (0.25 mmol), CPME (0.5 mL), 100 °C, 15 min.

$^b$ Determined by GC using dodecane as the internal standard.

$^c$ Reaction time was 45 min. Isolated yield was 85%, 1 mmol scale, average of two runs.

$^d$ No additional dppf was added.

$^e$ Reaction time was 1 h.

CPME = cyclopentyl methyl ether.