ARCSECOND RESOLUTION MAPPING OF SULFUR DIOXIDE EMISSION IN THE CIRCUMSTELLAR ENVELOPE OF VY CANIS MAJORIS
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1. INTRODUCTION

Massive stars (\(M_\star \geq 8 M_\odot\)), enter a red supergiant (RSG) phase during which the star experiences mass loss at rates of \(M \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-3} M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (van Loon et al. 2005). The time variation of this mass-loss rate is not well constrained by theoretical studies (Yoon & Cantiello 2010). As a result, the total amount of mass lost over the course of the RSG phase remains uncertain for a given initial mass (Smith et al. 2009). Observations of mass-loss events have shown them to be sporadic and spatially anisotropic (de Wit et al. 2008).

VY Canis Majoris (VY CMa) is an oxygen-rich RSG with an estimated mass of \(M_\star \approx 25 M_\odot\) and a mass-loss rate estimated to be \(M \sim (2-4) \times 10^{-4} M_\odot\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Danchi et al. 1994; Smith et al. 2009). Optical images of this source show multiple discrete and asymmetric mass-loss events, ranging in age from 1700 to 157 years ago, that are distinct from the general flow of diffuse material (Humphreys et al. 2007). Detailed studies of millimeter/submillimeter molecular spectra of VY CMa have been carried out, revealing the chemical complexity in the envelope (Ziurys et al. 2007; Royer et al. 2010; Tenenbaum et al. 2010). Spatial structures in the visible and IR bands have also been obtained (Smith et al. 2001). High angular resolution Submillimeter Array (SMA) observations of VY CMa have produced maps of the spatial distribution of CO and SO (Muller et al. 2007).

Millimeter wavelength observations have long shown evidence of SO\(_2\) in the circumstellar envelopes (CSEs) of oxygen-rich RSGs (e.g., Guilloteau et al. 1986) and SO\(_2\) emission in VY CMa was first detected by Sahai & Wannier (1992). Later infrared observations have also shown the production of SO\(_2\) within several radii of O-rich asymptotic giant branch stars (in the “inner wind”; Yamamura et al. 1999). From a theoretical perspective, the presence of SO\(_2\) in CSEs has been predicted by isotropic, non-equilibrium models of stellar chemistry (Scalo & Slavsky 1980; Nejad & Millar 1988; Willacy & Millar 1997). This class of models assume an isotropic geometry of the CSE with specified values for the mass-loss rate and expansion velocity. The resulting model CSEs consist of an inner region where assumed parent species in the outflow are broken down, an intermediate region where chemical reactions lead to high abundances of daughter species, and an outer region where the daughter species are destroyed by photodissociation. SO\(_2\) in these models has been assigned as a daughter species, although it has since been shown to exist as a possible parent species created in the inner wind (Decin et al. 2010). Cherchneff (2006) studied the role of shocks in SO\(_2\) formation in the inner wind within a few stellar radii of the photosphere.

Observational works (e.g., Jackson & Nguyen 1988; Yamamura et al. 1999; Decin et al. 2010) have repeatedly shown SO\(_2\) abundance to be higher both in the extended CSE and the inner wind than expected from CSE and inner wind chemistry models (Willacy & Millar 1997; Cherchneff 2006). Local abundance of SO\(_2\) may be enhanced in the ISM by the passage of shocks (Hartquist et al. 1980), and shock chemistry has been proposed to explain the high observed SO\(_2\) relative abundances in CSEs (Jackson & Nguyen 1988). Alternatively, low model abundances of SO\(_2\) presented in Nejad & Millar (1988) compared to that of Scalo & Slavsky (1980) may be due to the latter’s lower assumed value for the photodissociation of SO\(_2\), which is the main mode of destruction of this molecule at large radii (Sahai & Wannier 1992).

Interferometric mapping of SO\(_2\) distribution in the CSE of an evolved star may contribute to the improved understanding of sulfur chemistry by directly constraining the relative abundances of sulfur-bearing species as a function of radius. Furthermore,
associations of SO2 enhancement with discrete outflow features may favor the idea of localized production and provide evidence for non-isotropic processes in CSEs (Jackson & Nguyen 1988).

In this paper, we present results of high spatial resolution maps obtained from SMA observations of SO2 around VY CMa. We describe four spatially discrete sources of SO2 emission and use multiple transitions of SO2 and derive rotational temperatures and column densities (assuming local thermodynamical equilibrium). We perform non-local thermal equilibrium (LTE) radiative transfer analysis using the RADEx package (van der Tak et al. 2007) to derive local kinetic temperatures, H2 densities, and SO2 abundances of the SO2 emitting regions. We then compare our maps to published visible, IR, and submillimeter observations to evaluate their consistency with the above cited models of circumstellar chemistry.

2. OBSERVATIONS

VY CMa was observed with the SMA on 2009 February 18, with the array in extended configuration, offering baselines from 44.2 to 225.9 m. The projected baseline lengths were from 14 to 188 m. The frequency coverage was 234.36 GHz in the lower sideband and 244.36 to 246.34 GHz in the upper sideband. The phase center was at $\alpha(2000) = 07^h 22^m 58^s 27.7, \delta(2000) = -25^\circ 46\arcmin 03\arcsec 4$. The quasars 0730-116 and 0538-440 were observed every 20 minutes for gain calibration, and the spectral bandpass was calibrated using quasar 3C273. Flux calibration was done using recent SMA measurements of 0730-116 (2.75 Jy at 1 mm) and 0538-440 (3.96 Jy at 1 mm). Nominal flux calibration accuracy is 15%–20%, depending on the phase stability. In our observations, the uncertainty appears to be better than 10%, based on the good agreement with the ARO spectra (see Section 3.2 and Figure 3). The on-source integration time on VY CMa was 5.66 hr. $T_{\text{sys}}$ (SSB) varied approximately from 200 to 400 K during the track with an atmospheric zenith optical depth of $\sim 0.1$ at the standard reporting frequency of 225 GHz, measured at the nearby Caltech Submillimeter Observatory. The conversion factor between Kelvin and Jansky is $1.48 \times 10^3$ Jy at 1 mm.

The visibility data were calibrated using the MIR package in IDL and imaged with the MIRIAD software (Sault et al. 1995). The field of view (FWHM primary beam) varies from 53$\arcsec$ to 56$\arcsec$ whereas the largest angular extent of the source is expected to be about 5$. The synthesized beam size, representing the obtained spatial resolution, is $1.48 \times 1.03$. The adopted weighting mode for imaging is “natural” weighting (specified in the Miriad task INVERT). The final rms noise level is $\sim 60$ mJy beam$^{-1}$ per channel in the spectral line images and 3.9 mJy beam$^{-1}$ in the continuum map. Line emission was subtracted by visually examining the spectra in visibility amplitudes, and using the line-free channels specification to the Miriad task UVLIN.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Continuum Emission

We detected continuum emission in both the upper and lower sidebands, which is well described by a point source centered at R.A. = $07^h 22^m 58^s 33.6$, decl. = $-25^\circ 46\arcmin 03\arcsec 063$. A two-dimensional Gaussian fit in the image plane yields an integrated flux of $335.0 \pm 66$ mJy at 235.4 GHz and $359.3 \pm 72$ mJy at $4_{2,2}-3_{1,3}$.

Table 1: Summary of Observed SO2 Lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SO2 Transition</th>
<th>Frequency (MHz)</th>
<th>$E_u^a$ (K)</th>
<th>$S^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$4_{2,2}-3_{1,3}$</td>
<td>235151.7</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10_{b,7}-10_{a,8}$</td>
<td>245563.4</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$15_{14}-15_{15}$</td>
<td>248057.4</td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>5.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$16_{15}-16_{14}$</td>
<td>236216.7</td>
<td>130.7</td>
<td>6.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes.

1. $E_u$ is the upper rotational state energy.
2. $S$ is the line strength.

In the two sidebands of $\sim 4$ GHz bandwidth, we detected a total of 14 lines, which show emissions from CS, H2O, PN, SiS, and SO2. In Figure 1, we present the spectra and maps of the SiS $J = 13-12$ and the PN $J = 5-4$ lines. These lines are representative of a relatively compact emission that is centered on the peak of the continuum emission, hence they allow us to estimate the systemic velocity of the star. The SiS spectrum shows the distinctive triple peak morphology as described by Ziurys et al. (2007) and Tenenbaum et al. (2010) that is interpreted as the signature of a slowly expanding shell and a pair of faster outflows nearly collimated with the line of sight. We use the fitted velocity of the central peak of these lines to find the systemic velocity of the star $v_{\text{LSR}} = 19.5$ km s$^{-1}$. This value is consistent with other results from millimeter and submillimeter observations (Ziurys et al. 2007; Muller et al. 2007) and is adopted as the star’s rest-frame velocity in the following sections.

For the remainder of this work we focus only on the SO2 lines, which are summarized in Table 1. The excitation energies of the four identified SO2 lines vary from 19 to 130 K. All four of these lines were detected in the spectral line survey of Tenenbaum et al. (2010). Our interferometric observations reveal the spatial distribution of the SO2 emission for the first time. The spectra and integrated maps of all four lines are shown in Figure 2. Figure 3 compares the spectra of two SO2 lines with single dish data from the Submillimeter Telescope of the Arizona Radio Observatory, while channel maps of all four lines are presented in Figures 4–7.

Maps of SO2 line maps show well-resolved spatially extended emission over an area of $3^\prime \times 3^\prime$, in which we distinguish four distinct components, hereafter designated as sources A, B, C, and D (see Table 2). Figure 8 shows all four sources in a position–velocity space diagram of the 245563.4 MHz line. Source positions, orientations, and intensities were fitted to two-dimensional Gaussians using the MIRIAD routine IMFIT. Source A is a very strong blueshifted source with $v_{\text{LSR}} < -18$ and $+10$ km s$^{-1}$. It is spatially offset to the east of the stellar position by $\sim 0\arcsec 7$. Of similar intensity to source A is source B, an elongated source offset by $\sim 0\arcsec 8$ to the west. Source B has a broader spectral profile than source A and is heavily redshifted with $v_{\text{LSR}} > +26$ and $+66$ km s$^{-1}$. Source C
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is a strong source with a similar radial velocity as source B. In contrast to sources A and B, however, it is heavily offset to the west by \( \sim 2^\circ.5 \).

Source D is significantly weaker than sources A, B, and C. In velocity space, it is centered on the star’s frame of motion (12 km s\(^{-1}\) < \(v_{\text{LSR}}\) < 26 km s\(^{-1}\)) with a distinctly narrower spectral profile and similar spatial dimensions as the other sources. It is also spatially centered at the star’s location.

Assuming LTE, we can use the integrated intensities measured in the detected SO\(_2\) lines in each of the four identified SO\(_2\) sources to constrain their respective column density \( N \) and rotational temperature \( T_{\text{rot}} \), using the expression from Friedel et al. (2005)

\[
\ln \left( \frac{3c^2I_{\text{beam}}}{16\pi^2 B\theta_a\theta_b v^3 S\mu^2} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{N}{Z} \right) - \frac{E_u}{T_{\text{rot}}},
\]

where \( I_{\text{beam}} \) is the integrated flux in Jy km s\(^{-1}\) beam\(^{-1}\), \( \theta_a\theta_b \) is the beam size, and \( B \) is the beam filling factor varying from 0.8 to 0.95 for the four distinct sources, as derived from Snyder et al. (2005): \( \Theta_{\text{source}}/(\Theta_{\text{beam}} + \Theta_{\text{source}}) \). \( Z \) is the rotational partition function (Müller et al. 2005), \( S \) is the line strength, \( \mu \) is the dipole moment (1.63 Debye for SO\(_2\)), \( E_u \) is the line’s upper rotational state energy in K taken from the JPL spectroscopic database (Pickett 1991), and \( \Theta \) is the solid angle.

By performing linear fits of the rotational temperature diagram shown in Figure 9, we find that the three faster outflows (sources A, B, and C) show variations in rotational temperature. Sources A, B, and C have rotational temperature of 61\(^{+39}_{-17}\) K (one \( \sigma \) error), 110\(^{+63}_{-29}\) K, and 69\(^{+34}_{-17}\) K, respectively. The column densities \( N \) of all three sources are similar (A: 9\(^{+2}_{-1}\) \( \times \) 10\(^{16}\) cm\(^{-2}\); B: 1.5\(^{+0.8}_{-0.6}\) \( \times \) 10\(^{16}\) cm\(^{-2}\); C: 1.1\(^{+0.7}_{-0.4}\) \( \times \) 10\(^{16}\) cm\(^{-2}\)). The rotational temperature of the close-in source D is much higher at 240\(^{+91}_{-52}\) K, while its column density is likely lower than that of the others at 7.6 \( \pm \) 1.0 \( \times \) 10\(^{15}\) cm\(^{-2}\).

The derived parameters for source D are uncertain since a significant portion of flux in its velocity range was missed by our interferometric observations due to the lack of short spacing data (our shortest projected baseline 14 m). Comparison with single dish data from the Arizona Radio Observatory shows that features as large as 4\(^\prime\) are fully recovered (Figure 3). Features much larger than 4\(^\prime\) may be subject to an underestimation of its flux, although 4\(^\prime\) is a highly conservative estimate. To address this deficiency, we repeated the rotational temperature diagram analysis for source D using a single dish observation made by the Arizona Radio Observatory 10 m Submillimeter Telescope (Tenenbaum et al. 2010). Assuming a source size of 4\(^\prime\) \( \times \) 4\(^\prime\), we derive a lower rotational temperature of 97\(^{+84}_{-31}\) K and a column density of 1.9\(^{+2.9}_{-1.8}\) \( \times \) 10\(^{15}\) cm\(^{-2}\). Because this column density represents an average over our assumed source size, it cannot be directly compared to our derived column densities, which represent the values at the center of each source.
averaged column density also represents an upper limit due to our assumption of the smallest possible angular size.

The validity of the LTE approximation can be assessed by checking the linearity of the data points in the rotational temperature diagram. Deviation from a linear trend suggests non-LTE conditions in the source or line misidentification. In the case of source D, deviation from linearity is very small compared to the error. We are therefore confident that LTE is a valid assumption for this source. For the outflow sources A through C, a systematic concave-up shape is noted in the rotation temperature diagram, suggesting departure from LTE conditions.

These findings justify the need to consider a non-LTE radiative transfer model to interpret the measured fluxes. We perform this analysis using the RADEX package (van der Tak et al. 2007), which calculates expected line intensities for a given column density of SO\(_2\), kinetic temperature (\(T_{\text{kin}}\)), and volume density of H\(_2\). For each source, we assume spatially homogenous kinetic temperature and volume density. The SO\(_2\) column density is fixed for each source and its value is drawn from the LTE analysis, which gives 10\(^{16}\) cm\(^{-2}\) for sources A, B, and C and 10\(^{15}\) cm\(^{-2}\) for source D. Varying column density one order of magnitude in each direction does not significantly affect the results. We perform calculations for a wide range of values for the kinetic temperature and the H\(_2\) density. We then evaluated the resulting ratios between the flux of each line and that of the 235151.7 MHz transition for the outflow sources A, B, and C. Due to the lack of emission from the 235.151 GHz line comes close to being optically thick, for source D. The constraints on the temperature and H\(_2\) density in source D are much weaker. However, the best-fit values of \(T_{\text{kin}}\) span the 130 K to 330 K range, which brackets the value of \(T_{\text{rot}} = 240\) K obtained from the LTE analysis. This agreement between \(T_{\text{rot}}\) and \(T_{\text{kin}}\) is consistent with LTE conditions in source D. Our RADEX analysis also allows us to evaluate our assumption of optically thin SO\(_2\) lines necessary for the LTE analysis performed above. Although for lower H\(_2\) densities (\(\lesssim\)10\(^7\) cm\(^{-3}\)), the 235.151 GHz line comes close to being optically thick, for the inferred H\(_2\) densities, all four SO\(_2\) have optical thickness below 10\(^{-2}\).

Assuming that the extent of the sources in the line-of-sight direction is similar to that in the plane of the sky, and that the density is homogenous, we estimate the fractional abundance of SO\(_2\), \(f_{\text{SO}_2}\) in each source. These are tabulated in Table 3.

### 4. DISCUSSION

#### 4.1. Source Properties

We begin by discussing the positions of the four identified SO\(_2\) sources. Source C, offset 2\(^\circ\).5 to the west, is clearly an isolated
body with no antipodal companion to the east. Its existence was suspected in Ziurys et al. (2007), but was not treated as a distinct source. On the other hand, sources A and B are found to be offset in opposite directions relative to the star position with similar blueshift and redshift velocities relative to the stellar frame. SMA observations of the CO and SO analogs of sources A and B were interpreted to be antipodal companions oriented 15° from the line of sight by Muller et al. (2007). On the other hand, Ziurys et al. (2009) found that single dish observations of CO and other molecules are best explained by a blueshifted and a redshifted source at 20° and 45° from the line of sight. Similarly, visible and IR Hubble Space Telescope observations (Humphreys et al. 2007; Smith et al. 2001) have found no evidence of antipodal structure around VY CMa. A careful inspection of sources A and B in our observation shows that the faster (in radial velocity relative to the star’s reference frame) sections of both bodies are offset toward the southwest, while the slower sections are offset toward the northeast. This observation argues against a bipolar geometry, in which antipodal subsections of the two outflows should have similar velocities. We therefore treat sources A, B, and C as three distinct outflows probably unrelated to the symmetry axis of the star. These sources do not seem to correspond to any visible or IR features. Our maps show that all SO2 outflow sources are too close to the star to be identified as the “curved nebulous tail” or the numbered arcs presented in Smith et al. (2001), which are located ~3°5 from the star.

We identify sources A and B with the blueshifted and redshifted outflows of the previous authors (Ziurys et al. 2007; Muller et al. 2007; Ziurys et al. 2009). The P–V space morphology of these sources match closely with outflows of both CO and SO lines mapped by Muller et al. (2007) (Figure 8). These bodies are hypothesized to have originated in an episode of anomalously high mass loss at uncorrelated locations on the stellar surface (Smith et al. 2001). Assuming that the ages of the outflows are similar and adopting the ~500 year age found by Muller et al. (2007), we can attempt to derive their locations in three dimensions. We find that the deprojected radii of sources A and B are 4.2 × 1016 and 4.8 × 1016 cm and that both are situated at 22° from the line of sight. Their deprojected star-frame velocities of 28 and 30 km s−1 fall within the range of measured outflow velocities from the multi-epoch observations of Humphreys et al. (2007). If we assume the same age as for sources A and B, then source C is found at a similar radius from the star (6.9 × 1016 cm), but it is much faster at 44 km s−1 and is situated 54° from the line of sight. SO2 abundance at large radii is expected to be controlled by a balance between the rates of production, expansion, and photodissociation (Scalo & Slavsky 1980). Outflows with faster expansion velocity are expected to maintain high SO2 abundance out to greater radii, as in the case of our source C.

Source D is elongated and centered at the stellar position, with a resolved minor and major radii of 1′ and 1′6, corresponding to 2.2 × 1016 and 3.5 × 1016 cm. The minor axis radius corresponds to between 180 and 540 stellar radii, depending on the adopted stellar radius (Monnier et al. 1999; Massey et al. 2006). A significant proportion of source D flux is missing from our observation when compared to single dish results (Figure 3). The source we observe therefore appears to represent the warm
core of a larger extended envelope found at the systemic velocity with lower average column density. This extended source is analogous to the spherical wind described in previous millimeter wavelength observations of VY CMa by Ziurys et al. (2007), Ziurys et al. (2009), Muller et al. (2007), and Tenenbaum et al. (2010); however, only the first and last of these works observed SO$_2$ and did not identify it in the spherical wind. These previous works have found a relatively low expansion velocity of between 15 and 20 km s$^{-1}$, which is high given the narrow velocity range of source in our channel maps (Figures 4–7). However, this discrepancy may be due to missing source D flux in our observations. The rotational temperatures derived from SMA and ARO data indicate the existence of a thermalized compact region with diameter $\approx 3 \times 10^{16}$ cm. In the sections below, we refer to this inner region as the core of source D.

Our derived values of $T_{\text{rot}}$ and $T_{\text{kin}}$ distinguish between the lower temperatures of sources A, B, and C and a much hotter core of source D. In comparison to previous works, our temperatures for source A, B, and C bracket the range of temperatures derived by Muller et al. (2007) and Ziurys et al. (2009) (57 K and 85 K, respectively). This may be expected, as the preceding authors adopted the same best-fit power-law temperature profile for both outflows, which are assumed to be at the same radius. As such, these previous results may represent an average of the temperatures of the outflows.

Our inferred H$_2$ densities from RADEX radiative transfer analysis are higher than the values found in previous studies. Ziurys et al. (2009) adopted an isotropic H$_2$ density profile based on an assumed mass-loss rate that gives a value of $\sim 1 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ at $10^{16}$ cm radius. Muller et al. (2007) use a similar procedure to arrive at a lower value of $4.5 \times 10^5$ cm$^{-3}$ at the same location. A higher density of $3 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ is assumed for the inner wind region in Tenenbaum et al. (2007).

Part of this discrepancy between our values for H$_2$ density and that of previous authors may be explained by the latter's assumption of isotropic mass flow, which does not account for density concentration in the spatially confined outflow regions. However, this reason alone may not be able to account for the more than two orders of magnitude difference. More significantly, our derived densities of between $5 \times 10^6$ and $2 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ may be due to the presence of SO$_2$ in regions of local density enhancement above the expected values from an isotropic model. We speculate that such high-density regions are the result of shocks, and their existence around VY CMa can be inferred from the observations of OH masers, which overlap with sources A and B of SO$_2$ emission (Bowers et al. 1983). The activation of the observed 1612 MHz maser line requires H$_2$ densities of between $1 \times 10^6$ and $3 \times 10^7$ cm$^{-3}$ at 100 K.

---

**Figure 4.** Channel maps of SO$_2$ 4(2,2)–3(1,3) emission at 235151.7 MHz toward VY CMa. In each panel, emission is integrated over a 10 km s$^{-1}$ wide velocity range centered on the velocity indicated on the top right corner. Contour levels are the same as in Figure 2.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)
(Pavlakis & Kylafis 1996). The upper end of this range is similar to our inferred value of minimum H$_2$ density for sources B and C, while that of source A is much higher. However, OH masers may still be active in our source A despite its high density since its temperature of $\sim 55$ K is cooler than that assumed in Pavlakis & Kylafis (1996).

4.2. Sulfur Chemistry in the CSE

For the remainder of the discussion, we address the implications of our observations for circumstellar chemistry by comparing SO$_2$ distribution with those of the OH and SO molecules. SO$_2$ in CSEs is formed via the following reaction (Scalo & Slavsky 1980; Nejad & Millar 1988; Willacy & Millar 1997; Cherchneff 2006):

$$\text{SO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{SO}_2 + \text{H}.$$ 

The radial abundance of SO$_2$ is therefore expected to reflect that of the two reactant molecules. A striking similarity between the spectral profiles of SO$_2$ and OH masers has already been noted by Ziurys et al. (2007). Maps of the 1612 MHz OH maser line show that it coincides with SO$_2$ in sources A and B, but it is weak or undetectable in the outflow source C or the spherical wind source D. The lack of OH maser detection in source C (or perhaps a very weak detection; see Bowers et al. 1983) may be explained by anisotropic nature of maser radiation. Assuming that the velocity of outflows is oriented radially away from the star, relative velocities between different clumps of gas along a photon’s line of travel are smallest when the path is parallel or antiparallel to the gas expansion velocity. The most efficient pumping of a masering state is then achieved when photons travel radially inward or outward from the star. Therefore, the strongest maser emissions are observed from sources along our line of sight (Elitzur et al. 1976). This condition is nearly met for sources A and B (15° to 22° from the line of sight). On the other hand source C, found at a line-of-sight angle of 54°, may also produce the 1612 MHz OH maser, but its signal is weak along the line of sight.

The weak OH maser emission in the stellar velocity frame may be attributed to the high kinetic temperature of gas in this region. For a given volume density of gas, temperatures above a certain threshold tend to induce thermal equilibrium in the emitting body, undoing the population inversions responsible for maser emissions (Pavlakis & Kylafis 1996). For temperatures of $\sim 200$ K, the maximum allowable H$_2$ density for the 1612 MHz OH maser is $3 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$, which is more than an order of magnitude lower than our inferred density for source D. The highly linear trend of source D data in the rotational temperature diagram (Figure 9) corroborates the prevalence of LTE conditions in source D.

We therefore find that OH and SO$_2$ distributions are generally similar and that their differences are reconcilable.
Finally, we address the discrepancies between the SO$_2$ and SO distributions of Muller et al. (2007), who have mapped the distribution of SO around VY CMa at a similar resolution to ours using a single rotational line of SO ($J = 65–54; E_u = 35$ K). SO was found in all four source regions described in this work, although the redshifted SO emitter is not fragmented into two discrete sources as in the case of SO$_2$. Because of the strongly contrasting values of H$_2$ density adopted in Muller et al. (2007) and this work, direct comparisons between column densities are more instructive than comparisons between fractional abundances. For column density to act as a valid proxy for abundance, we must assume that the line-of-sight dimension of the corresponding SO and SO$_2$ sources are similar and that the distribution of the each molecules within each source is homogeneous. While we cannot be certain that the line-of-sight thickness of the SO and SO$_2$ sources are equal, their plane of sky dimensions are similar ($\sim$30% discrepancies). The combined column density of both SO outflows and the inner wind where they overlap along the line of sight was found to be $\sim 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$. This value reflected the combined column density from both outflows and the spherical wind (corresponding to our sources A, B, and D). Given that the three regions contribute approximately equal amounts to the total SO column density, the value of $N_{SO}$ in each region is on the order of a few $10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$. In contrast, we find column density of SO$_2$ to be 1 to $2 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-2}$ in each outflow source. The column density of SO$_2$ in the outflow sources A and C is therefore $\geq 3$ times greater than that of SO in the same regions. This statement likely applies as well to outflow source C, given its similar SO$_2$ column density compared to the other outflows and the lack of a discrete SO source at its location. Furthermore, even with missing flux, the column density of SO$_2$ in the compact core of source D is within error as that of the outflow sources, implying that $N_{SO_2} > N_{SO}$ in the core of the spherical wind $< 3 \times 10^{16}$ cm from the star.

Non-equilibrium CSE chemistry models (Scalo & Slavsky 1980; Nejad & Millar 1988; Willacy & Millar 1997) make differing predictions about the ratio of SO$_2$ to SO abundance ($f_{SO_2}/f_{SO}$). The Scalo & Slavsky (1980) (SS) model adopts a reaction rate for SO$_2$ formation that is fast compared to the rate of SO$_2$ destruction via photodissociation. Under these conditions, SO is quickly converted into SO$_2$, which becomes the primary S-bearing species. SO$_2$ therefore reaches maximum abundance at a greater radius than SO. SS has predicted the radius of this transition where $f_{SO_2}/f_{SO} > 1$ to be around several times $10^{15}$ cm.

Our observations suggest that $f_{SO_2}/f_{SO} > 1$ for the outflow regions ($> 4 \times 10^{16}$ cm from star) and in the compact region within $3 \times 10^{16}$ cm of the star. If there does exist a transitional radius at which $f_{SO_2}/f_{SO} = 1$, it must be less than the latter radius, making our study consistent with the SS model. On the other hand, models that predict $f_{SO_2}/f_{SO} < 1$ at all radii are inconsistent with our data (Nejad & Millar 1988;
Figure 7. Same as in Figure 4 for SO$_2$ 15(1,15)–15(2,14) emission at 236216.6 MHz.
(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 8. Left: a position velocity cut along east–west at declination offset of 0″ in the SO$_2$ line emission at 245563.4 MHz, at position angle 105°. The contours correspond to 10% of the peak emission. Sources A, B, C, and D are clearly separated.
Figure 9. Rotational temperature diagram of the four SO2 emission sources. Red, blue, and black curves and points indicate sources A, B, and C, respectively. Orange represents the spherical wind (source D). Variable “L” on the vertical axis represents the left-hand side of Equation (1). The dashed orange line represents the spherical wind based on ARO single dish data (Tenenbaum et al. 2010). The errors plotted are set to 3σ. Horizontal coordinates of the plotted points have been staggered for clarity.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Willacy & Millar 1997). In addition, the SS model predicts a steady increase in the value of \( f_{SO2}/f_{SO} \) outward from the \( f_{SO2}/f_{SO} = 1 \) transition radius at \( \sim 2 \times 10^{15} \) cm. The value of \( f_{SO2}/f_{SO} \) increases by more than an order of magnitude by radius \( 10^{16} \) cm. Observations of the outflow sources A, B, and C do not support this view, as \( f_{SO2}/f_{SO} \) in on the order of 3 for all four sources. More precise comparisons between SO2 and SO abundance in each source is hindered by the lack of more detailed interpretations of SO maps (the Muller et al. 2007 observation included only one SO line). Therefore, quantitative comparison between model radial distribution of the two species and our results is elusive and we regard our support of the SS model as only qualitative.

Other uncertainties remain in our understanding of sulfur chemistry in the CSE of VY CMa. Our observations cannot be used to constrain whether the SO2 originates in the CSE, as assumed by the cited models, or in shocks in an “inner wind” within a few stellar radii of the photosphere as modeled by Cherchneff (2006) and observed by Decin et al. (2010) and Yamamura et al. (1999). Furthermore, as proposed earlier by Jackson & Nguyen (1988) and Willacy & Millar (1997), shock events similar to those that occur in the inner wind may be the source of SO2 enrichment in the outflow lobes. Indeed, comparison of SO2 and maps of SO, PN, and SiS (Muller et al. 2007; Figure 1, this work) shows that SO2 exhibits a more fragmented distribution with discrete sources in the redshifted outflow. Unlike these other molecules, the redshifted SO2 outflow is partitioned into two regions of high local abundance (sources B and C), suggesting that local effects may participate in the formation of SO2. However, CSE chemistry models that include the effect of shocks (similar to Cherchneff 2006) remain to be done.

A further uncertainty involves the very high mass loss rate of VY CMa, which is, for example, about two orders of magnitude faster than the asymptotic giant branch star IK Tau (Olofsson et al. 1998). Varying the mass-loss rate in CSE chemistry models does not significantly affect the radial abundance profiles of chemicals species while it does result in globally larger envelopes for higher mass-loss rates (Willacy & Millar 1997). Therefore, the discussions of the \( f_{SO2}/f_{SO} \) profile in this work are also relevant to stars with lower mass-loss rates, while the actual measured radii of peak SO2 abundance around VY CMa are unique to this object.

4.3. Summary

1. Four rotational lines of the SO2 molecule are mapped with \( \sim 1'' \) resolution around VY CMa. SO2 is found in four discrete sources, three of which are fast (28 to 44 km s\(^{-1}\)) outflows far from the star and one is a slower spherical wind near the star. No symmetrical relationship among the faster outflows or visible and IR features are found.
2. The three fast outflows are found at similar distances from the star and probably originated around 500 years ago.
3. Comparison between our SO2 maps and those of the 1612 MHz OH maser line suggests that the two species are strongly correlated and that the OH maser detection may be limited by high temperature and density in the spherical wind.
4. SO2 is more abundant than SO in all three outflow sources, supporting the non-equilibrium chemistry model of Scalo & Slavsky (1980). It is inconsistent with models that predict \( f_{SO2}/f_{SO} < 1 \) for all radii (e.g., Nejad & Millar 1988; Willacy & Millar 1997). The distribution of SO2 in discrete clumps when compared to other molecules may point to the
role of localized effects, such as shocks, in the enhancement of SO$_2$ abundance.
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