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SUMMARY

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are noncoding RNAs that suppress translation of specific mRNAs. The miRNA machinery interacts with fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP), which functions as translational repressor. We show that miR-125b and miR-132, as well as several other miRNAs, are associated with FMRP in mouse brain. miR-125b and miR-132 had largely opposing effects on dendritic spine morphology and synaptic physiology in hippocampal neurons. FMRP knockdown ameliorates the effect of miRNA overexpression on spine morphology. We identified NMDA receptor subunit NR2A as a target of miR-125b and show that NR2A mRNA is specifically associated with FMRP in brain. In hippocampal neurons, NR2A expression is negatively regulated through its 3’ UTR by FMRP, miR-125b, and Argonaute 1. Regulation of NR2A 3’UTR by FMRP depends in part on miR-125b. Because NMDA receptor subunit composition profoundly affects synaptic plasticity, these observations have implications for the pathophysiology of fragile X syndrome, in which plasticity is altered.

INTRODUCTION

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are short (~22 nucleotide) noncoding RNAs that mediate posttranscriptional gene silencing (Filippowicz et al., 2008; Rana, 2007). miRNAs are loaded into effector proteins of the Argonaute family. Once loaded the Argonaute protein is said to be “programmed” with the miRNA, which guides the Argonaute protein to specific mRNA targets. miRNAs usually bind their target mRNAs through imperfect base pairing in the 3’ untranslated region (UTR) and impact protein expression by inhibiting mRNA translation or by promoting mRNA decay.

In mammals, several hundred distinct miRNAs have been discovered, including those selectively expressed in the brain (Cao et al., 2006). miRNAs play roles in early development (Stefani and Slack, 2008) and in diseases such as cancer (Esquela-Kerscher and Slack, 2006) and neurodegeneration (Eacker et al., 2009), yet only a small number of miRNA targets have been validated and shown to be functionally important in vivo (Schratt, 2009). In mammals, miR-132 regulates dendrite development by targeting p250GAP (Vo et al., 2005; Wayman et al., 2008) and miR-134 and 138 have been implicated in dendritic spine development through repression of LIMK1 and APT1 (Schratt et al., 2006; Siegel et al., 2009).

Fragile X syndrome (FXS), the most common inherited cause of mental retardation (Bagni and Greenough, 2005; Bassell and Warren, 2008), is usually due to a trinucleotide expansion in the FMR1 gene that results in transcriptional silencing of FMRP (fragile X mental retardation protein) expression. FMRP contains multiple RNA-binding domains and is widely thought to function as a translational suppressor of specific mRNAs, including MAP1b, CaMKIIa, and Arc (Bassell and Warren, 2008).

FMRP is biochemically and genetically linked to the miRNA pathway. FMRP interacts with proteins (e.g., Argonaute and Dicer) in the RNA interference silencing complex (RISC) and with miRNAs, but FMRP itself is not essential for RNAi-mediated mRNA cleavage (Bolduc et al., 2008; Caudy et al., 2002; Cheever and Ceman, 2009; Hock et al., 2007; Ishizuka et al., 2002; Jin et al., 2004b; Okamura et al., 2004; Plante et al., 2006). Heterozygous loss of AGO1 enhances the phenotype of heterozygous loss of FMRP in flies, suggesting AGO1 facilitates translational repression by FMRP (Bolduc et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2004b). One hypothesis is that specific miRNAs—such as part of the FMRP translation regulatory complex—could facilitate selection and/or suppression of target mRNAs by FMRP (Jin et al., 2004a). However, no specific example of such a functional association of FMRP, mRNA, and miRNA has been identified.

Although ~22 nucleotide RNA has been detected in the FMRP-complex (Caudy et al., 2002; Ishizuka et al., 2002; Jin et al., 2004b), it is unknown which specific miRNAs associate with FMRP in mammals. We hypothesized that such FMRP-associated miRNAs might regulate synaptic function and dendritic spine structure, given the well-established synaptic abnormalities found in FMR1 knockout (KO) mice that are also central to the pathogenesis of FXS in humans (Comery et al., 1997; Invernici et al., 2001). Here we report that two FMRP-associated miRNAs (miR-125b and miR-132) can affect dendritic spine...
morphology. For both miRNAs, we identified specific mRNA targets that are also associated with FMRP and encode key proteins involved in synaptic function.

RESULTS

FMRP-Associated miRNAs in Mouse Brain

To identify FMRP-associated miRNAs, we purified FMRP from mouse brain using FMRP antibodies and isolated the associated miRNAs following a protocol commonly used for the identification of mRNA targets of FMRP (Brown et al., 2001). As a control for specificity of miRNA association, we also used FMRP antibodies to immunoprecipitate from FMR1 KO brains (Figure 1A).

We performed quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) to measure the amount of mature miRNAs communoprecipitated with anti-FMRP antibodies. A specific set of miRNAs was quantified: the brain-specific miRNAs 9, 124, 128; miRNAs 132 and 219, which are inducible by CREB, an important transcription factor implicated in learning and memory; and two miRNAs previously reported to regulate spine morphology, miRNAs 134 and 138 (Cao et al., 2006; Schratt et al., 2006; Siegel et al., 2009; Vo et al., 2005). Additionally, we looked for broadly expressed miRNAs of high (miRNAs 26a, 29a, 125b, 127, and let-7c), intermediate (miRNAs 22, and 125a), and low abundance (miRNAs 27b, 100, and 143) (Landgraf et al., 2007; Pena et al., 2009). Twelve of these candidate miRNAs were found to associate with FMRP (Figure 1B). That is, let-7c, miR-9, 100, 124, 125a, 125b, 127, 128, 132, 138, 143, and 219 were enriched 3- to 7-fold in FMRP immunoprecipitates from age-matched wild-type brains as compared with control immunoprecipitates from FMR1 KO brains. Expression levels for all tested miRNAs were indistinguishable between brains from wild-type and FMR1 KO mice (data not shown). In addition, a small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA-135) and five other miRNAs (miR-22, 26a, 27b, 29a, and 134) did not show significant coprecipitation with FMRP above the background seen in FMR1 KO brain, indicating that there is selectivity of miRNA association with FMRP (Figure 1B).

Effects of FMRP-Associated miRNAs on Spine Morphology

We asked whether specific miRNAs associated with FMRP might be involved in the regulation of spine morphogenesis. To determine the gain-of-function phenotype of the miRNAs, we transfected dissociated rat hippocampal neurons in culture with DNA plasmids that express the precursor-miRNA hairpin under the control of a β-actin promoter (Zeng et al., 2005). Overexpression of the mature miRNA in neurons was validated using EGFP-based miRNA-sensors containing artificial miRNA target sites in their 3’UTR (see Figure S1A available online).

Hippocampal neurons were transfected at 14 days in vitro (DIV14) with individual miRNAs and EGFP marker for 3 days (DIV14+3). Dendritic protrusions were differentially affected by miR-125b and miR-132. Overexpression of miR-125b resulted in longer and thinner protrusions (Figures 2A–2C). In neurons overexpressing miR-125b, ~20% of dendritic protrusions were very long (>3 μm), versus ~5%–10% in untransfected neurons or in neurons transfected with other miRNAs (Figure 2E). This difference was even more marked for protrusions >5 μm. Protrusion density was not affected. miR-125b, let-7c, miR-22, miR-124, miR-132, and miR-143 did not have a statistically significant effect on dendritic growth or arborization as measured by Sholl analysis (Figures 2A and S2).

In contrast, neurons overexpressing miR-132 were characterized by stubby and mushroom spines (Figure 2A). Overexpressed miR-132 caused an increase in average protrusion width, without affecting the average length (Figures 2B and 2C). In addition, average protrusion density fell significantly by ~15% (Figure 2D). The effects of miRNAs 125b and 132 on dendritic spine width appear to be specific, because overexpression of let-7c, miR-22, miR-124, miR-9, and miR-128 (Figure 2 and data not shown) had no significant effect on spine number or shape compared with vector control. Although miR-132 has been implicated in regulating neuron morphology (Siegel et al., 2009; Vo et al., 2005; Wayman et al., 2008), here we show a role for miR-125b in spine morphogenesis.

FMRP Is Required for the Effect of miR-125b and miR-132 on Spine Morphology

To test whether regulation of spine morphology by miR-125b and 132 is dependent on FMRP, we overexpressed the miRNA constructs in neurons either with FMRP-shRNA or control luciferase shRNA constructs (Zhang and Macara, 2006). In our experiments, FMRP knockdown (DIV14+3) by itself did not affect spine morphology (Figure 3). The lack of effect of FMRP...
suppression might be explained by the acute manipulation (compared with FMR1 KO mice) and by the fact that the effect of FMRP on spine morphology declines in neurons of this age (Nimchinsky et al., 2001). We validated FMRP-knockdown and specificity of two shRNA constructs (#1 and #2) in HEK293 cells and cultured hippocampal neurons (Figure S3). ShRNA FMRP #1 (and to a lesser extent #2) reduced expression of cotransfected EGFP-FMRP (Antar et al., 2004) and endogenous FMRP immunofluorescence.

Control Luc shRNA expression did not affect the differential spine phenotypes induced by miR-125 or 132 overexpression (Figures 2 and 3). Compared with miR-143, a miRNA that does not affect dendritic spines (Figure 2), miR-125b-overexpressing neurons had significantly longer and thinner dendritic protrusions, whereas miR-132 expression increased protrusion width and reduced spine density. In cells with knockdown of FMRP, however, the effects of miR-125 and 132 were abolished and spine morphology was not significantly different from miR-143 control. These data indicate that FMRP is required for miR-125b and 132 to alter spine morphology. Because FMRP is not needed for general RNAi function (Caudy et al., 2002; Hock et al., 2007), these findings support the idea that the FMRP-associated miRNAs 125b and 132 modulate dendritic spine morphology together with FMRP through common mRNA targets.

Sequestration of FMRP-Associated miRNA Affects Neuron Morphology

To investigate the loss-of-function phenotype of individual miRNAs on spine morphology, we applied the plasmid-based “sponge” method of Ebert et al. (2007). Overexpression of miRNA constructs containing multiple (five to seven) concatenated miRNA binding sites with central mismatches (that prevent AGO2-mediated mRNA cleavage) results in the sequestration of endogenous miRNAs that bind to these sequences (Figure S1B). Because the eight 5’-most nucleotides of a miRNA, the so-called “seed” region, is thought to be critical for target sequence recognition, this approach leads to cross-capture of related miRNA isoforms with identical seed regions (e.g., let-7a through let-7i, or miR-125a and 125b). In these cases, the sponge constructs are denoted according to the miRNA family sequenced (e.g., let-7 and miR-125 sponge). Specificity and efficacy of miRNA suppression was confirmed for each sponge in

Figure 2. Overexpression of FMRP-Associated miRNAs Differentially Affects Dendritic Spine Morphology

(A) Hippocampal neurons at DIV14 were cotransfected with plasmids expressing specific miRNAs (see Figure S1A) and EGFP to outline neuron morphology. Three days posttransfection (DIV14+3) neurons were fixed, immunostained for EGFP, and imaged by confocal microscopy to visualize dendritic arborization and spine morphology. Low-magnification images show transfected whole neurons, and higher-magnification images show representative dendritic segments of these cells. Scale bars represent 10 μm (upper and lower panels).

(B–D) The length (B), width (C), and density (D) of dendritic protrusions were manually measured using MetaMorph software. Data were normalized to neurons transfected with empty vector (vec). Statistical analysis by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett’s post test: *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01; n = 26–72 neurons for each group. Error bars denote SEM.

(E) Percentage of protrusions with length >3 μm or length >5 μm. See also Figure S2.
hippocampal neurons, indicating sufficient sequestration and functional suppression of targeted endogenous miRNAs. For example, transfection of a sponge construct for miR-124, the most abundant miRNA in brain, upregulated expression of a sensor containing target sequences for miR-124 by ~5-fold, but had no effect on other sensors containing unrelated miRNA target sites (Figure S1C).

The effects of individual miRNA sponges on neuron morphology were examined in hippocampal neurons 3 days after transfection (DIV14+3) (Figure 4). Loss-of-function of the abundant neuronal miRNAs let-7 and miR-124, and of the less abundant miR-125 and miR-132 (Landgraf et al., 2007), caused a marked pruning of the dendritic arbor, as quantified by Sholl analysis (Figures 4A and 4E). Primary dendrites ramified less and the dendritic arbor covered a smaller area. By contrast, sponges targeting miR-22 and miR-143 had little effect on dendrite complexity (Figures 4A and 4E).

Conversely to miR-125b gain-of-function, which reduced spine width (Figure 2C), miR-125 loss-of-function significantly increased protrusion width (Figure 4C). Moreover, neurons transfected with sponges for let-7, miR-22 and miR-143 also showed a modest but significant increase in protrusion width. Only the let-7 sponge significantly increased protrusion length (Figure 4B) and none of the sponge constructs tested affected the density of protrusions (Figure 4D). The lack of effect of sponging of miR-132 and miR-143 could be explained by an activity-dependent role for miR-132 and low basal expression of miR-132 and miR-143 (Landgraf et al., 2007). Because overexpression and sponging of miR-125b had opposite effects on protrusion width, these data corroborate the idea that miR-125b is important for regulating spine morphology.

### miR-125b and miR-132 Differentially Modify Synaptic Strength

Spine size often correlates with synaptic strength. We therefore measured AMPA receptor-mediated miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents (mEPSCs) in cultured hippocampal neurons transfected with miR-125b and miR-132 constructs (Figure 5). Overexpression of miR-125b resulted in a significant 25% drop in mean mEPSC amplitude (Figures 5A and 5C). The weakening of synaptic transmission is consistent with the long thin protrusions and the reduced spine width induced by miR-125b (Figure 2C). In neurons overexpressing miR-125b, mEPSC frequency fell relative to control neurons transfected with empty vector, but this did not reach the level of statistical significance (Figure 5C). Suppressing endogenous miR-125b activity with the miR-125 sponge had no significant effect on mEPSC amplitude or frequency (Figures 5A and 5C), consistent with the modest effect of miR-125b sponging on spine morphology (Figure 4).

In contrast to miR-125b, overexpression of miR-132 led to an increase in mean mEPSC amplitude (Figures 5B and 5D), consistent with the wider spines induced by miR-132 (Figure 2C). Miniature EPSC frequency was also considerably elevated by miR-132 overexpression (Figure 5D), even though spine density was decreased by this miRNA (Figures 2D and 3D). This could reflect a greater number of miniature events detected with the increased amplitude of mEPSC. The miR-132 sponge did not affect either amplitude or frequency of mEPSCs. Overall, these
electrophysiological findings are consistent with and corrobo-
rate the effects of miR-125b and miR-132 on the structure of
dendritic spines.

mRNAs Encoding for Synaptic Proteins Are Targeted
by FMRP-Associated miRNAs

We asked which target genes might mediate the bidirectional
effects of miR-125b and miR-132 on synaptic physiology.
shRNA-mediated knockdown of the known miR-132 target
p250GAP was recently found to increase dendritic spine size
(Nakazawa et al., 2008; Vo et al., 2005; Wayman et al., 2008).
This is in accord with the spine enlargement upon miR-132
expression in our experiments (Figure 2).

We focused on miR-125b target mRNAs predicted by Tar-
tScan (Lewis et al., 2005) that might contribute to the induction
of long thin protrusions and altered synaptic function. Target
prediction mainly relies on the presence of a seed match to a
miRNA in the 3’UTR of an mRNA that is conserved between
species. For example, the 3’UTR of the NR2A subunit of
NMDA receptors contains such a conserved sequence comple-
mentary to the seed region of miR-125b (Figure 6A).

To validate candidate miRNA targets, we fused the 3’UTRs of
the specific mRNAs to the coding sequence of firefly luciferase
(FF-luc). The FF-luc reporter construct was then cotransfected
with plasmids expressing individual miRNAs in HEK293 cells,
along with a plasmid encoding Renilla reniformis luciferase
(RR-luc) for normalization. miRNA expression was validated
using FF-luc reporters containing perfect-match miRNA target-
sites (Figure S4).

Most of the tested FF-luc 3’UTR reporters of predicted target
genes were unaffected by miRNA coexpression (Figure S5). We
found slight suppression of GluR2 (by miR-124a) and Eph
receptor A4 (EphA4, by let-7c, miR-22 and possibly miR-125b).
Only the NR2A 3’UTR reporter was strongly inhibited by coex-
pression of miR-125b (~45%), consistent with the conserved
seed match (Figures 6A and 6B). By contrast, coexpression of
let-7c, miR-22 and mir-124 had no effect on NR2A reporter
expression, and no seed matches to these miRNAs exist in the
NR2A 3’UTR. FF-luc reporter constructs containing the 3’UTR
of the other NMDA receptor subunits NR1 and NR2B (containing
no such seed region) were not affected by the tested miRNAs
(Figure 6B).
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To corroborate the regulation of NR2A by miR-125b in a loss-of-function experiment in neurons, we coexpressed FF-luc reporter constructs together with miRNA sponges in hippocampal neurons. The NR2A 3′UTR FF-luc reporter was strongly and specifically upregulated (~2.5-fold) by sponging of endogenous miR-125 (Figure 6C). Deleting the putative miR-125b target site in the 3′ UTR (NR2A Δ125) removed more than 80% of the induction of NR2A reporter expression by miR-125 sponge, indicating that this site mediates the majority of the inhibitory effect of miR-125. A second, noncanonical potential miR-125b target site was observed in the NR2A 3′UTR (UCUCAGG), which might account for the minor residual effect of miR-125 sponging. Reporters containing NR1 or NR2B 3′UTR were unaffected by miR-125 inhibition. Together these data indicate that neuronal miR-125 can specifically regulate NR2A reporter expression.

NR2A Is a Target of miR-125 in Neurons

To validate NR2A as a bona fide miR-125b target in neurons, we transduced hippocampal neurons in culture (DIV4+4) with lentiviral vectors expressing either miRNA precursor hairpins or concatenated miRNA binding sites (Figure S1B) and analyzed NMDAR subunit expression by immunoblotting (Figure 7A). We used miR-143 as a negative control, because it did not affect spine morphology and NR2A reporter expression (Figures 2–6).

Overexpression of miR-125b reduced NR2A expression by ~60% compared with control neurons infected with miR-143 expression virus, but had no significant effect on the expression levels of NR1 and NR2B, PSD-95 or β3-tubulin. Conversely, sequestering endogenous miR-125 by sponging specifically enhanced endogenous NR2A levels by ~60% compared with miR-143 sponging (Figure 7A). These data extend the findings using FF-luc reporters (Figure 6) and demonstrate that miR-125b specifically regulates endogenous NR2A in neurons.

During postnatal brain development, NR2A expression rises while NR2B falls (Monyer et al., 1994; Sheng et al., 1994). In hippocampal cultures, NR2A mRNA levels increased ~4-fold within the first 14 days in vitro, while NR2B mRNA tapered to a plateau after an initial peak (Figure S6A). During this period miR-125b levels dropped ~50% (Figure S6B), which may contribute to the induction of NR2A protein expression at the post-transcriptional level (Yashiro and Pioli, 2010).

NR2A-containing NMDA receptors (NMDARs) have faster deactivation kinetics than NR2B-containing NMDA receptors. Could miR-125b affect the subunit composition of NMDA receptors and result in a functional change in NMDAR channel kinetics? Evoked NMDAR-EPSC kinetics were measured in pairs of transfected and untransfected neighboring CA1 neurons of organotypic hippocampal slice culture transfected with miRNA-expressing constructs. miR-125b transfected cells showed prolonged EPSC half-width relative to untransfected neighboring cells, consistent with relative loss of synaptic NR2A-containing NMDARs (Figure 7B). Control miR-143 overexpression had no significant effect on NMDAR-EPSC kinetics (Figure 7B). In contrast, sequestering endogenous miR-125b using sponge transfection accelerated the NMDAR-EPSC kinetics consistent with increased NR2A expression. Furthermore, cotransferring an NR2A construct lacking most of the 3′UTR including the miR-125b target region reversed the effect of miR-125b overexpression on the NMDAR-EPSC half-width. The overall NMDAR-EPSC amplitude was not significantly changed in miRNA-overexpressing neurons (data not shown). Together these data indicate that endogenous miR-125 directly and specifically regulates NR2A expression and affects NMDA receptor function accordingly.

Regulation of NR2A by FMRP

In the experiments above, we found that miR-125b is associated with FMRP, and that miR-125b regulates the expression of NR2A. So is the NR2A transcript an mRNA regulated by FMRP? To address this question, we performed quantitative RT-PCR to measure the amount of NR2A mRNA that was coimmunoprecipitated with FMRP. NR2A mRNA was 2.3-fold more...
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Figure 7. NR2A Is a miR-125b Target in Neurons
(A) Cultured hippocampal neurons (DIV4+4) were infected with miR-143 (−) or 125b (+) overexpressing or sponging viral vectors. Immunoblots show NMDA receptor subunits expression. Immunoblot signals were quantified by densitometry and normalized to total protein amounts and miR-143 expressing controls. Student’s t test: **p < 0.01. ***p < 0.001. n = 6. Error bars denote SEM.

(B) NMDA-receptor EPSC half-width measured in CA1 pyramidal cells in organotypic hippocampal slice culture transfected with miR-143 (ctrl), miR-125b alone (with empty vector), miR-125b together with NR2A or miR-125b sponge (DIV11+4). Paired recording of transfected and untransfected neighboring neurons in the same slice, labeled + and −, respectively. Paired Student’s t test: *p < 0.05. n = 12 to 14 pairs.

NR2B mRNA was also enriched in FMRP immunoprecipitates from wild-type mouse brains (~4-fold over the background level from FMR1 KOs), even though NR2B is not a predicted target of any of the miRNAs we tested in this study. NR2B may be regulated by other miRNAs or associate with FMRP independent of miRNAs.

In contrast to NR2A and NR2B, the NR1 mRNA was not associated with FMRP by the coimmunoprecipitation assay (Figure 8A), nor was there coprecipitation of GAPDH mRNAs, a commonly used negative control that is believed not to be regulated by FMRP (Brown et al., 2001). However, we found p250GAP (which is reported to be regulated by miR-132) to be significantly associated with FMRP (Figure 8A), p250GAP had not been implicated in FXS previously.

To rule out artificial association between FMRP and mRNAs during immunoprecipitation, we utilized a novel combing assay that confirms interaction in the intact brain. We homogenized wild-type rat brain together with ~5-fold excess FMR1 KO mouse brain and determined the species distribution of mRNAs in FMRP immunoprecipitates using diagnostic restriction analysis of cloned cDNA fragments (Figures 8B and S7). Thus, only rat FMRP protein, but both rat and mouse mRNAs are present during immunoprecipitation. For mRNAs interacting with FMRP in vivo, mainly rat mRNA should be found in the immunoprecipitation of FMRP. For GAPDH and NR1, which were not enriched in FMRP immunoprecipitates (Figure 8A), the ratio of rat versus mouse cDNA clones was not significantly different in FMRP immunoprecipitates and input material,
indicating nonspecific contamination with these mRNAs (Figure 8B). In contrast, for the positive control MAP1B and the putative FMRP-target genes NR2A, NR2B, and p250GAP, the fraction of rat cDNA clones was much higher in the FMRP immunoprecipitates than in the mixed rat/mouse brain extract. Thus, “species-tagging” confirmed that the interaction of MAP1B, NR2A, NR2B, and p250GAP mRNA with FMRP occurred prior to homogenization in vivo.

Among the tested genes only NR2B showed a small change in mRNA level in the FMR1 KO (133 ± 10% compared with 100 ± 5% in the wild-type, p < 0.05), which is in keeping with the belief that FMRP mainly regulates the translation of its target genes rather than mRNA abundance. We therefore measured the protein levels of NMDA receptor subunits in hippocampus of FMR1 KO mice at 7 and 14 days after birth. Consistent with previous reports (Giuffrida et al., 2005), we found no significant difference in NR2A, NR2B, or p250GAP total protein levels between wild-type and KO (Figure S8).

Inappropriate development or compensatory mechanisms (e.g., on the level of transcription or degradation) might obscure dysregulation of NR2A in FMR1 KO mice (Yashiro and Philpot, 2008). Thus we used acute knockdown of FMRP in hippocampal neurons and FF-luc 3'UTR reporters to circumvent these confounding factors. Acute knockdown of FMRP using shRNA #1 strongly stimulated the expression of the NR2A 3'UTR reporter (by ~2.5-fold) compared with control shRNAs targeting zinc transporter 3 (ZnT3) or EGFP (Figure 8C). In contrast, FMRP knockdown did not affect the NR1 reporter and even slightly reduced NR2B reporter expression. These data suggest that FMRP has a suppressive action on the 3'UTR of NR2A in neurons. Because Argonaute proteins interacting with FMRP may contribute to mRNA-dependent translational repression of FMRP target genes, we asked whether knockdown of Argonaute 1 (AGO1) also affects the NR2A 3'UTR reporter. AGO1, which is highly expressed in the central nervous system, lacks endonuclease activity but supports translational repression of mismatch-containing miRNA targets (Filipowicz et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2005). Therefore we took advantage of an shRNA target sequence with validated specificity for AGO1 (Meister et al., 2004b). AGO1 knockdown increased expression of the NR2A 3'UTR reporter by ~70%, but had no effect on the NR2B reporter (Figure 8C). There was also a slight increase in NR1 3'UTR reporter expression with AGO1 suppression.

To test whether the NR2A 3'UTR is regulated by FMRP in a miR-125b-dependent manner, we compared regulation of NR2A reporter constructs containing or lacking the miR-125b target site. Basal translation of the NR2A Δ125 reporter was ~4-fold higher than wild-type, consistent with derepression due to loss of miR-125 binding. In fold terms, the NR2A Δ125 reporter was significantly less induced by FMRP knockdown than wild-type NR2A (Figure 8D), implying that miR-125 contributes in part to FMRP-dependent NR2A regulation. We attribute the differential effect of FMRP knockdown on NR2A wild-type versus NR2A Δ125 to a functional interaction between FMRP and miR-125 on the control of NR2A 3'UTR. Other mechanisms independent of miRNAs or dependent on other miRNAs likely exist to regulate NR2A expression. A second shRNA (FMRP #2) that is less effective in knocking down FMRP also induced the NR2A 3'UTR reporter dependent on the miR-125b target site, but to a weaker extent than shRNA FMRP #1 (Figures S3 and 8D). Together these data indicate that the 3'UTR of NR2A (but not NR1 or NR2B) is normally suppressed by FMRP and AGO1 as well as by miR-125, and these mechanisms show partial functional interaction.
DISCUSSION

FMRF-Associated miRNAs in Brain
The interaction of FMRF with Argonaute proteins led to the idea that miRNAs could facilitate the selection and/or silencing of mRNA targets by FMRF (Caudy et al., 2002; Ishizuka et al., 2002; Jin et al., 2004b). We found that many brain miRNAs (12 out of 17 tested) are associated with FMRF. So far, enrichment of specific miRNAs (including miR-125) had only been reported in FMRF immunoprecipitates from fly ovaries (Yang et al., 2009).

How do miRNAs interact with FMRF? The lack of a canonical miRNA/siRNA binding domain in FMRF suggests that miRNAs are indirectly associated with FMRF, possibly through Argonaute proteins that contain a miRNA binding domain and interact with FMRF in an RNA-independent manner (Caudy et al., 2002; Ishizuka et al., 2002). We found that AGO1 knockdown enhanced NR2A reporter expression similar to FMRF knockdown, which is consistent with the genetic interaction between FMRF and Argonaute found in flies (Bolduc et al., 2008; Jin et al., 2004b). Direct interaction with miRNA has been reported for purified FMRF in vitro (Plante et al., 2006). It is possible that miRNA and mRNA together could act as the “kissing” RNA structure proposed to bind the KH2 domain (Bassell and Warren, 2008; Darnell et al., 2005a). Our data cannot tell whether miRNAs associate with FMRF directly or indirectly (e.g., through independent binding of miRNA and FMRF to the same mRNA complex), nor do they reveal the molecular mechanism by which miR-125b and FMRF collaborate to suppress NR2A 3’UTR-regulated translation.

The data that suggest a functional interaction of FMRF and specific miRNAs in neurons can be summarized as follows. First, knockdown of FMRF prevented the very different effects of overexpression of FMRF-associated miRNAs 125b and 132 on spine morphology. Second, removing the miR-125b target site from the NR2A 3’UTR dampened regulation of the latter by FMRF, implying that miR-125b assists FMRF in regulating NR2A. We emphasize that miRNAs 125b and 132 could also have multiple effects in neurons that are independent of FMRF.

Regulation of Synapse Structure and Function by miR-125b and miR-132
Remarkably, miR-125b and miR-132 showed largely opposing effects on dendritic spines. Overexpression of miR-125b induced long narrow spines, which correlated with a reduction in mEPSC amplitude. Conversely, sponging of endogenous miR-125 increased the average width of dendritic protrusions. These results provide strong evidence that miR-125b regulates synapse structure and function. Interestingly, endogenous miR-125b levels are highest in young neurons (DIV3), when filopodia-like protrusions are predominant. Recent studies using gene ontology analysis of putative miR-125 targets suggests a role for this miRNA in neuronal differentiation and cytoskeletal organization (Chi et al., 2009; Le et al., 2009).

We identified NR2A as a target gene of miR-125b, but unlike NR1 and NR2B, this NMDAR subunit has so far not been linked to spine morphogenesis (Alvarez et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2005; Uttari et al., 2007). NR2A overexpression did not rescue the effect of miR-125b overexpression on spine morphology (data not shown), suggesting NR2A downregulation is not a major cause of altered spine morphology. In reporter assays the EphA4 3’UTR was modestly repressed by miR-125b (Figure S5). Because loss of EphA4 promotes the formation of filopodia-like protrusions in hippocampal neurons (Murai et al., 2003), it is possible that the suppression of EphA4 (together with other unidentified targets) contributes to the miR-125b overexpression phenotype.

In contrast to miR-125b, overexpressing miR-132 hippocampal neurons increased dendritic protrusion width and increased mEPSC amplitude. Recently, Siegel et al. (2009) reported that miR-132 inhibition caused a slight reduction in spine volume. In our hands, sponging of miR-132 had little effect on dendritic spines, but caused a significant reduction in dendritic complexity. This is consistent with the positive effect of miR-132 on dendrite branching through repression of p250GAP, which has been described in younger neurons (Vo et al., 2005; Wayman et al., 2008). Knockdown of p250GAP has recently been shown to enlarge dendritic spines in mouse neurons (Nakazawa et al., 2008), which could help explain the increased spine width observed upon miR-132 overexpression.

The fact that miR-125b and miR-132 associate with FMRF and affect dendritic spine morphology does not necessarily mean that these miRNAs play a key role in the spine phenotype of FXS. The complexity of this issue is highlighted by the opposing effects of miR-125b and miR-132 on spine size and shape. Indeed it is unlikely that a single or a few FMRF-associated miRNAs (or mRNAs) can fully explain the morphological and functional features of FXS.

Regulation of NR2A by miR-125b and FMRF
The 3’ UTR of NR2A contains an evolutionarily conserved site that matches the miR-125b target sequence and that largely mediates suppression by endogenous miR-125b in neurons. We confirmed regulation of NR2A upon miR-125b overexpression and sponging by use of luciferase reporters, immunoblotting of endogenous protein levels and NMDAR-EPSC recordings. In addition to being controlled by miR-125, the NR2A 3’UTR reporter was also upregulated by knockdown of FMRF or AGO1. Importantly, deleting the major miR-125b target site within the NR2A 3’UTR impairs upregulation by FMRF knockdown, suggesting that miR-125b participates in FMRF regulation of NR2A. Consistent with NR2A mRNA being a target of FMRF, we found NR2A mRNA to be associated with FMRF in rodent brain. Together, these data suggest that NR2A translation may be regulated by miR-125b and FMRF in vivo.

We could not detect significant changes in total protein levels of NR1, NR2A, or NR2B in the hippocampus of FMR1 KO mice (Figure S8). This is perhaps not surprising, given that FMR1 KO usually has subtle or no overt effects even on expression of “established” FMRF target genes (Bassell and Warren, 2008; Darnell et al., 2005b; Muddashetty et al., 2007). Moreover, FMRF may regulate its target mRNAs only at a specific subcellular location without altering total protein levels. Given that the NR2A/NR2B ratio appears to be an important determinant of NMDA receptor signaling, even subtle effects on NR2A expression by miRNAs might influence synaptic plasticity (Barria and Malinow, 2005; Kim et al., 2005; Philpot et al., 2007). It should be pointed out, however, that miR-125b and FMRF impact...
multiple targets and pathways, and altered expression of NR2A per se is unlikely to be the key mediator of miR-125b and FMRP effects on synapse structure and function.

What is known about NMDA receptor function in FMR1 KO mice? Loss of FMRP enhances NMDAR function in young mice (Pfeiffer and Huber, 2007; Pilpel et al., 2009) but not in adult mice (Pilpel et al., 2009; Zhao et al., 2005). Moreover, several forms of NMDA-receptor-dependent plasticity are impaired by loss of FMRP. LTP is abolished in cortex and amygdala (but not hippocampus), the threshold for spike-timing-dependent plasticity is increased, and ocular dominance plasticity is altered (Desai et al., 2006; Dolen et al., 2007; Li et al., 2002; Meredith et al., 2006; Pfeiffer and Huber, 2007; Pilpel et al., 2009) but not in adult hippocampus (seeTable S1). After ligation, the appropriate size concatemers were PCR amplified annealed oligonucleotides containing a bulged-match miRNA binding site inside of two linkers, each containing restriction sites for cloning into pCR4 vector (Invitrogen). After transformation the inserts from 48 independent constructs were verified by DNA-sequencing.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Antibodies**

NR1 (mouse, BD Bioscience), NR2A (rabbit, Covance), NR2B (mouse, Neuro-mab), PSD-95 (mouse, Neuro-mab), FMRP (rabbit, ab17722, Abcam), β-galactosidase (rabbit, ICN; mouse, Promega), EGFP (rabbit, MBL), β3-tubulin (mouse, Sigma), MAP1B (mouse, Santa Cruz), EphA4 (rabbit, Santa Cruz), and p250GAP antibody (Nakazawa et al., 2003) were used.

**DNA Constructs**

For miRNA expression in neurons, we cloned the complete miRNA precursor hairpin with ten nucleotide genomic context extending to the 5’ and 3’ side of the stem in each direction (to allow proper processing) using synthetic oligonucleotides (Table S1) into a vector containing the β-actin promoter (Zeng et al., 2005). These constructs were used in Figures S1, S2, 2, 3, 5, and 7B. A second generation of miRNA expression constructs based on the FhSynW vector (Nakagawa et al., 2008) allowed robust expression in HEK293 cells and lentiviral packaging. Genomic miRNA precursor sequences (165 to 376 nucleotide fragments) were amplified by PCR and cloned into the 3’UTR of mCherry (Shaner et al., 2004) driven by the human synapsin 1 promoter. These constructs were used for transfection (Figures S4, S5, and S6B) and lentiviral expression (Figure 7A). Viral particles were packaged using a third-generation system (Tiscornia et al., 2006). For single-cell analysis, EGFP-based miRNA sensors were constructed by cloning several perfect match miRNA target sites into the 3’UTR of a TK promoter-driven EGFP, mOrange (Shaner et al., 2004) was expressed from the same plasmid to identify transfected cells regardless of EGFP expression level. For quantification using luciferase assays, the miRNA target sites were subcloned into the 3’UTR of FF-luc (driven by human synapsin 1 promoter).

Specific miRNA sponges (Ebert et al., 2007) were constructed by concatenate-merizing annealed oligonucleotides containing a bulged-match miRNA binding site inside of two linkers, each containing restriction sites for cloning (see Table S1). After ligation, the appropriate size concatemers were PCR amplified and cloned (NotI-Sall) into the 3’UTR of mCherry cDNA driven by β-actin promoter.

3’UTRs fragments of putative miRNA targets (Table S1) were cloned from rat brain cDNA into a modified pGL3-control vector (Promega). In neurons, NR1, NR2A, and NR2B, 3’UTR FF-luc reporter were expressed under the control of human synapsin 1 promoter to boost FF-luc activity.

shRNA targeting FMRP (rat and mouse, #1 GTGATGAATTTGCAAGTTTA and #2 CCACCAATTCGTACAGATA), AGO1 (rat and human, GAGAAGGGTCT CAGAAG) (Meister et al., 2004a), Luc (CCTAGCGGGATACCTTCGA) (Zhang and Macara, 2006), ZnT3 (rat and mouse, GCCATCATCCTCGGGTATT, gift from J. Jaworski) and EGFP (GCAAGAGCCCAACGGAGA, gift from J. Jaworski) at the indicated sites were cloned into pSUPER (Oligoengine). All constructs were verified by DNA-sequencing.

**FMRP-IP, RNA Extraction, Reverse Transcription, and Quantitative PCR**

We followed the standard procedure for FMRP immunoprecipitation used to identify miRNA targets (Brown et al., 2001). Mouse brains were homogenized in 2 ml ice-cold lysis buffer (10 mM HEPES [pH 7.4], 200 mM NaCl, 30 mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 U/μl SUPERase inhibitor, protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail 1:100). After removing the nuclear fraction (3000 g, 10 min), the NaCl concentration was raised to 400 mM to dissociate FMRP from ribosomes and insoluble material was removed (70,000 g, 20 min). After adding 100 μg/ml yeast tRNA (Sigma) to block nonspecific RNA binding, the extracts were precleared with Protein A-Sepharose (30 min at 4°C). One part of the extract was saved and ten parts were used for immunoprecipitation with FMRP antibodies (15 μg with 40 μl Protein A-Sepharose slurry) for 2 hr at 4°C. Beads were washed five times with lysis buffer containing 0.1 U/ml SUPERase inhibitor and 10 μg/ml yeast tRNA (for the first four washes only). Bound RNA was extracted with mirVana miRNA isolation kit (Applied Biosystems) and subjected to real-time RT-PCR using TaqMan assays to quantify mature miRNAs (Applied Biosystems) and SYBR green PCR (Applied Biosystems) for miRNA quantification (see Table S1 for oligonucleotides). For the latter, RNA was reverse transcribed using random hexamer primers with the Message Sensor kit (Applied Biosystems). miRNA recovery was calculated as the ratio of miRNA found in FMRP-immunoprecipitation compared with brain extracts and normalized to spliceosomal RNA U6 (also quantified using a TaqMan assay) to compensate for unspecific RNA pulldown. miRNA recovery was calculated accordingly and normalized to PGK1, all quantified by SYBR green PCR.

**Analysis of “Species-Tagged” miRNA in FMRP Immunoprecipitates**

Half a rat brain (containing FMRP-bound miRNA) was homogenized together with four FMR1 KO mouse brains (lacking FMRP). An aliquot of the resulting lysate and the FMRP immunoprecipitate (prepared as above) was subjected to RNA extraction and reverse transcription. Specific gene fragments were PCR amplified until saturation using bispecific primers matching rat and mouse miRNAs (50 cycles using tale, Sigma). PCR products were TOPO cloned into pcCR4 vector (Invitrogen). After transformation the inserts from 48 individual colonies were PCR amplified (with backbone-specific primers CACA CAGGGAAACACGTATGACCATG and GACGTTGCCAGGAACGGCCAGTGG). Five microliters of the PCR reaction was analyzed using species-specific restriction enzymes for GAPDH (rat MboI, mouse HindIII), MAP1B (rat BanII, mouse MboII), NR1 (rat BanII, mouse PstII), NR2A (rat MboI, mouse BsrBI), NR2B (rat MspI, mouse BsaBI), or p250GAP (rat MboI, mouse HinP1I).

**Neuron Culture, Transfection, and Immunostaining**

Hippocampal neurons were cultured from embryonic day 19 rat embryos as described previously (Tada et al., 2007). After transfection (Lipofectamine 2000, Invitrogen) neuron morphology was visualized by cotransfected EGFP or β-galactosidase. Confocal microscopy and analysis of neuron morphology were as described previously (Tada et al., 2007). Image acquisition and analysis were performed blind to the experimental conditions.

**Electrophysiology**

Miniature synaptic events from sister cultures of hippocampal neurons co-transfected with EGFP and miRNA overexpression or sponge constructs were recorded and analyzed as described previously (Seeburg et al., 2008). Organotypic hippocampal slice cultures from postnatal day 8 rats were prepared, cultured, and biologically transanted (DIV11+4) as described elsewhere (Futai et al., 2007). Evoked NMDA receptor currents from CA1 pyramidal neurons were recorded at room temperature (Futai et al., 2007).

**Luciferase Assays**

HEK293 cells were cotransfected with FF-luc 3’UTR reporters and constructs expressing RR-luc, miRNA, and EGFP at a DNA ratio of 40:40:80:10 using Lipofectamine 2000. All experiments were performed in 96-well plates with six replicates for each condition. Two days after transfection luciferase activity was quantified using Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay (Promega). Relative expression of reporter constructs was determined by normalizing the ratio of FF-luc and RR-luc activity to a control miRNA (let-7c or miR-124) and the effect of each miRNA on a control FF-luc reporter (not containing the heterologous...
3’UTR). Similarly, hippocampal neurons (DIV4) were cotransfected with plasmids expressing shRNA or sponges, FF-luc reporters, RR-luc, and EGFP at a DNA ratio of 60:40:40:10 using Lipofectamine 2000 and analyzed 3 days later as above.

**FMR1 KO Mice and Quantitative Immunoblotting**

*FMR1* KO mice (Dutch-Belgian Fragile X Consortium, 1994) in C57/B6 background were obtained from S. Tonegawa. Mouse housing and euthanasia were performed in compliance with federal and institutional guidelines. For each experiment age-matched male mice, if possible from the same litter, were used. Hippocampi were homogenized in 0.32 M sucrose, 4 mM HEPES (pH 7.4) together with protease and phosphatase inhibitor mix (Sigma). Immunoblots were quantified by densitometry and normalized to loaded protein (SYPRO Ruby, Invitrogen).

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Supplemental Information includes eight figures and one table can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2010.01.005.
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