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Summary

Background: Growth rate is determined not only by extracellular cues such as nutrient availability but also by intracellular processes. Changes in cell morphology in budding yeast, mediated by polarization of the actin cytoskeleton, have been shown to reduce cell growth.

Results: Here we demonstrate that polarization of the actin cytoskeleton inhibits the highly conserved Target of Rapamycin Complex 1 (TORC1) pathway. This downregulation is suppressed by inactivation of the TORC1 pathway regulatory Lm1 complex, which also regulates TORC1 during nitrogen starvation. We further demonstrate that attenuation of growth is important for cell recovery after conditions of prolonged polarized growth.

Conclusions: Our results indicate that extended periods of polarized growth inhibit protein synthesis, mass accumulation, and the increase in cell size at least in part through inhibiting the TORC1 pathway. We speculate that this mechanism serves to coordinate the ability of cells to increase in size with their biosynthetic capacity.

Introduction

When cells generate more cells (proliferation), they must not only duplicate and segregate their genomic content but also double in size and duplicate macromolecules and cellular organelles (cell growth). How growth and proliferation are coordinated is only partially understood. In most cells, commitment to proliferation depends on growth [1, 2]. The converse relationship—where intracellular proliferative events affect growth—has been described in fission yeast, budding yeast, and mammalian cells [3–5]. Budding yeast G1 cells grow quickly, but as cells enter the cell cycle the growth rate temporarily decreases. The decrease in growth rate coincides with the time when cells are growing in the most polarized (apical) manner [6, 7]. Polarization of growth is mediated by the asymmetric organization of the actin cytoskeleton (reviewed in [8]). In budding yeast such polarization occurs during bud emergence or mating-projection formation. How polarization of growth by the actin cytoskeleton reduces the growth rate of cells is not known.

Two highly conserved pathways, the RAS and Target of Rapamycin Complex 1 (TORC1) pathways, promote growth in budding yeast (reviewed in [9]). Their activities are primarily affected by nutritional cues. The RAS/PKA pathway is thought to be activated by glucose (reviewed in [9]). The TORC1 pathway, which gets its name from the TOR kinases, is inactivated during nitrogen or amino acid limitation or by various stresses [9, 10]. Budding yeast has two TOR kinases, Tor1 and Tor2, and either can function in the TORC1 complex (reviewed in [10]). TORC1 regulates transcription, translation, and growth through multiple pathways [10]. TORC1 regulates PP2A-like phosphatases [11, 12], transcription factors [13, 14], other kinases [15], and autophagy [16].

Identifying the signals that regulate the TORC1 pathway is essential for understanding how changes in growth, cell proliferation, and cell morphology are coordinated. In mammalian cells, the Rag family of small GTPases controls TORC1 activity in response to nutrient availability [17]. Similarly, Gtr1, a RagA/B homolog, has been proposed to control TORC1 in budding yeast, at least in part in response to the activity of amino acid tRNA synthetases [18, 19]. In addition, Npr2 and Npr3, which are components of the Lm1 complex [20], are required for proper inhibition of TORC1 during nitrogen depletion [21]. How these factors inhibit TORC1 is not known. Here we show that in budding yeast the status of the actin cytoskeleton, and thus the polarity of growth, regulates TORC1 pathway activity. We find that a polarized actin cytoskeleton inhibits growth and that this growth inhibition can be partially alleviated by constitutive activation of the TORC1 pathway or by inactivation of the negative regulator of TORC1, the Lm1 complex. We further show that the coordination of growth with changes in cellular morphology is essential for maintaining the ability of cells to resume proliferation after prolonged periods of polarized growth. This link between growth and changes in cell morphology could be a key aspect of the development and survival of highly polarized cells and tissues.

Results

Constitutive Activation of the TORC1 Pathway Partially Suppresses Growth Inhibition Caused by Pheromone Treatment

Our previous studies showed that mating pheromone (α-factor) reduces cell growth through polarization of the actin cytoskeleton [7]. To determine the mechanism whereby this occurs, we first tested whether constitutively active RAS or TORC1 pathways allowed pheromone-treated cells to grow at a faster rate. To this end we used temperature-sensitive cdc28-4 cells that at the restrictive temperature of 34 °C arrest in G1 with a depolarized actin cytoskeleton and a fast growth rate [7]. When pheromone is added to such arrested cells, their growth rate is greatly reduced [7, Figure 1A; see also Figure S1A in the Supplemental Information available online].

To constitutively activate the RAS/PKA pathway, we employed a constitutive active allele of RAS2, RAS2-V19
The RAS2-V19 allele allowed cdc28-4 arrested cells to grow at an increased rate but did not improve the growth rate of cdc28-4 cells treated with pheromone (Figure 1A). Hyperactivating the RAS/PKA pathway by deleting BCY1 produced similar results (Figure S1B). This is best visualized by plotting cell size of pheromone-treated cells as a fraction of the volume of untreated cells (Figure S1C). Our results indicate that the RAS/PKA pathway is not the major target of pheromone-mediated growth inhibition, but they do not exclude the possibility that pheromone treatment affects the RAS/PKA pathway. Indeed, pheromone treatment causes a reduction in cAMP levels, an indication that the RAS/PKA pathway might be affected [23].

We next tested whether constitutive activation of the TORC1 pathway affected pheromone-mediated downregulation of growth. The recently described hyperactive allele of TOR1, TOR1-L2134M [24], did not have a measurable effect on the growth rate of pheromone-treated cells (data not shown). As an alternative approach, we generated a strain that partially mimics constitutively active TORC1 (for a diagram of the TORC1 pathway, see Figure S1D). We combined deletions of the negative regulators of the TORC1 pathway GAT1, GLN3, and TIP41 with constitutive alleles of SFP1 and SCH9, the major TORC1 effectors that stimulate protein synthesis and growth [12, 15, 25, 26]. To constitutively activate SFP1 and SCH9, we overexpressed SFP1 from the GAL1-10 promoter [25] and introduced a constitutively active allele of SCH9 (SCH9-2D3E) [15], respectively.

A strain harboring all these alleles (henceforth referred to as TORC1*) grows similarly to wild-type TORC1 cells in the absence of pheromone, at least for the first 4 hr, but noticeably better than cells with wild-type TORC1 in the presence of pheromone (Figures 1B and 1C; see also Figure S1E). This suppression is not due to a defect in the ability of TORC1* strains to respond to pheromone. The TORC1* strain undergoes the pheromone-induced morphological changes with kinetics similar to those of a wild-type strain (Figure S1F). We conclude that pheromone-mediated growth inhibition is partially antagonized by activation of the TORC1 pathway.

Pheromone Treatment Promotes Nuclear Export of Sfp1

Next, we investigated whether TORC1 pathway activity is regulated by pheromone. The transcription factor Sfp1 localizes to the nucleus in nutrient-rich medium to induce expression of ribosomal proteins and the Ribi regulon but is exported from the nucleus under starvation conditions [13, 27]. The TORC1 and the PKA pathways control the localization of Sfp1 [13].

We first arrested cells in G1 by using the ATP analog-sensitive allele cdc28-as1. Asynchronously grown cdc28-as1 cells arrest either as unbudded cells or as budded cells (if they had passed the G1/S transition at the time CDK inhibitor was added [28]). In both cases they arrest with a depolarized actin cytoskeleton and low CDK activity and are responsive to pheromone. We term this a “G1-like” state so that it is inclusive of budded cells. In cdc28-as1 cells treated with inhibitor for 90 min, Sfp1-GFP predominantly localized to the nucleus (Figure 2A). Pheromone addition did not cause a change in Sfp1-GFP protein levels (Figure 2B) but did cause Sfp1-GFP to leave the nucleus within 30 min of pheromone treatment (Figures 2A and 2C; see also Figure S2B). This is best seen when the ratio of nuclear to cytoplasmic Sfp1 is quantified (Figures S2A and S2B). Similar results were
obtained with cells harboring the temperature-sensitive cdc28-4 allele and with cells that were not treated with CDK inhibitor but that were treated with pheromone (Figures S2A and S2B). The latter observation indicates that the effects of pheromone on Sfp1-GFP localization are physiologically relevant and not a result of CDK inactivation. In cells treated with pheromone we also observed cellular areas that had increased Sfp1-GFP localization but that did not correspond to the nucleus (Figure 2A white arrows). The identity of these structures is at present unknown.

Because Sfp1 localization is affected by both TORC1 and RAS, we next determined whether modulating RAS/PKA pathway activity affects pheromone-induced Sfp1 nuclear export. We monitored the localization of Sfp1-GFP in a strain that harbors the constitutively active RAS2-V19 allele and found that pheromone treatment caused Sfp1 to exit the nucleus in such cells (Figure S2B). We conclude that Sfp1-GFP localization is affected by pheromone in a manner consistent with the TORC1 pathway’s being inactivated by this treatment.

A careful analysis of the sequence of events following pheromone addition showed that the export of Sfp1-GFP from the nucleus occurred concomitantly with pheromone-induced polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. Activation of the pheromone-signaling MAP kinases Fus3 and Kss1 occurred within 5 min of pheromone treatment (Figure 2D). Most polarization of the actin cytoskeleton occurred between 15 and 30 min (Figure 2E). Sfp1 exited the nucleus with similar kinetics (Figure 2C). We conclude that nuclear export of Sfp1 closely correlates with pheromone-induced polarization of the actin cytoskeleton.

Because Sfp1 localization is affected by both TORC1 and RAS, we next determined whether modulating RAS/PKA pathway activity affects pheromone-induced Sfp1 nuclear export. We monitored the localization of Sfp1-GFP in a strain that harbors the constitutively active RAS2-V19 allele and found that pheromone treatment caused Sfp1 to exit the nucleus in such cells (Figure S2B). We conclude that Sfp1-GFP localization is affected by pheromone in a manner consistent with the TORC1 pathway’s being inactivated by this treatment.

A careful analysis of the sequence of events following pheromone addition showed that the export of Sfp1-GFP from the nucleus occurred concomitantly with pheromone-induced polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. Activation of the pheromone-signaling MAP kinases Fus3 and Kss1 occurred within 5 min of pheromone treatment (Figure 2D). Most polarization of the actin cytoskeleton occurred between 15 and 30 min (Figure 2E). Sfp1 exited the nucleus with similar kinetics (Figure 2C). We conclude that nuclear export of Sfp1 closely correlates with pheromone-induced polarization of the actin cytoskeleton.

Figure 2. The TORC1 Target Sfp1-GFP Is Exported from the Nucleus after Pheromone Treatment

(A–E) cdc28-as1 SFP1-GFP NLS-mCherry cells (A27149) were treated with NM-PP1 (5 μM) for 90 min. Cultures were split and treated as indicated (20 μM pheromone for 30 min; 1 μM rapamycin and 0.33mg/ml cycloheximide for 15 min).

(A) Fluorescence microscopy of cells before (upper panels) or after (lower panels) 30 min of pheromone treatment.

(B) Sfp1-GFP amounts in the presence or absence of pheromone. Pgk1 was used as a loading control.

(C) Quantification of Sfp1-GFP nuclear localization.

(D) MAPK phosphorylation after pheromone treatment. Note that the antibody recognizes both phospho-Fus3 and phospho-Kss1.

(E) Polarization of actin structures was determined by phalloidin staining (n > 100).

(F) cdc28-as1 SCH9-3HA cells (A26485) were grown as described in (A) so that the phosphorylation state of Sch9 could be determined.

(G) cdc28-as1 NPR1-3HA cells (A23200) were treated with CDK inhibitor (5 μM) split at the time of inhibitor addition and either treated with pheromone (20 μg/ml) or left untreated. An asterisk indicates the hypophosphorylated form of Npr1.

Pheromone Treatment Affects the Phosphorylation State of TORC1 Pathway Targets

The protein kinase Sch9 is a direct target of TORC1. TORC1 phosphorylates the protein at the C terminus on at least five sites, T723, S726, T737, S758, and S765 [15]. Changes in migration on SDS-PAGE gel as a result of phosphorylation of Sch9 are detectible but subtle when the full-length protein is analyzed (Figure S2C), but chemical cleavage of the protein allows for better resolution of the phosphorylated and unphosphorylated species [15]. Inactivation of TORC1 by rapamycin causes the more slowly migrating phosphorylated forms of Sch9 to decline. Conversely, treatment of cells with the protein-synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide leads to Sch9 hyperphosphorylation, presumably because of the increase in amino acid concentration as a result of the inhibition of protein synthesis ([15]; Figure 2F and Figure S2C, lower panel). Pheromone treatment led to a loss of the more slowly migrating form of Sch9 within 20 min of pheromone addition (Figure 2F).

To further characterize the effects of pheromone on Sch9 phosphorylation, we investigated the phosphorylation status of a specific residue, T737, which is dephosphorylated upon rapamycin treatment [15, 24]. During the course of these experiments, we observed that the CDK inhibitor alone transiently reduced the phosphorylation on T737 of Sch9 even in strains not carrying the inhibitor-sensitive cdc28-as1 allele (data not shown). The relevance of this observation is not clear. Pheromone treatment did not cause dephosphorylation of T737 as effectively as rapamycin treatment, but it might affect the phosphorylation of T737 only subtly. In contrast, the mobility of full-length Sch9 significantly increased in pheromone-treated cells, consistent with the idea that pheromone treatment affects the overall phosphorylation of Sch9 phospho-sites (Figure 2F; see also Figure S2C). Thus, pheromone
treatment probably affects the phosphorylation status of multiple Sch9 residues.

Npr1 is a protein kinase involved in amino acid transport. It is (directly or indirectly) phosphorylated in a TORC1-dependent manner [12]. Npr1 was dephosphorylated after pheromone treatment (Figure 2G). More quickly migrating forms appeared 20 min after pheromone addition. An extremely quickly migrating species of Npr1 became apparent after ~60 min of growth in the presence of pheromone (Figure 2G) as a result of near complete dephosphorylation of the protein (Figure S2D).

To test whether pheromone-induced Npr1 dephosphorylation is the result of the known Npr1 regulation by TORC1, we deleted SAP155 and TIP41, which encode negative regulators of TORC1 signaling [12]. Deletion of TIP41 had very little effect on Npr1 dephosphorylation. In contrast, deletion of SAP155 markedly reduced Npr1 dephosphorylation after pheromone treatment but only slightly dampened the effects of rapamycin (Figure S2E). Inactivating TIP41 did not enhance the effects of deleting SAP155 in our genetic background (Figure S2E). The mild effect of sap155Δ and tip41Δ on rapamycin-induced dephosphorylation is most likely due to the more potent TORC1 inhibition caused by the high concentrations of rapamycin that were used. We were not able to assess the effects of SAP155 and TIP41 on Npr1 phosphorylation because the TAP42-TAP42 carbon copy allele is synthetic lethal with the cdc28-as1 allele in our strain background. We conclude that changes in Npr1 mobility in response to pheromone are consistent with changes in TORC1 pathway activity.

Par32 phosphorylation increases in response to downregulation of TORC1 by rapamycin treatment [29]. Pheromone treatment also caused an increase in the phosphorylation of Par32, but to a lesser extent than rapamycin (Figure S2F). Thus, multiple known TORC1 pathway targets undergo changes in their phosphorylation state in response to pheromone treatment.

Finally, we conducted a quantitative phospho-proteomics analysis to assess the effects of pheromone on TORC1 pathway signaling. As expected, we identified increases in the phosphorylation state of 27 proteins involved in pheromone signaling (enrichment of “conjugation” GO terms, p = 1 × 10−4). We also detected changes in the phosphorylation of 187 proteins involved in macromolecular synthesis and growth (“regulation of macromolecular synthesis” GO term enrichment p = 4.6 × 10−15); among these were proteins that are known or proposed TORC1 targets (Table 1; see also Tables S1 and S2). For example, we detected a decrease in phosphorylation of Sch9 at T723, a change that has been observed in growth-inhibitory effects of pheromone [7]. We thus tested whether pheromone-mediated TORC1 inhibition is also dependent on the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. We prevented morphological changes in pheromone-treated cells by deleting the gene encoding the formin Bni1, which is required for the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton [7, 8]. Deletion of BN1 alleviated the growth inhibition by pheromone (Figure S3A) and prevented the exit of Sfp1-GFP from the nucleus in response to pheromone treatment (Figures 3A and 3B). Importantly, cells lacking BN1 responded normally to rapamycin treatment, as evidenced by the fact that Sfp1 exited the nucleus in the presence of rapamycin (Figure 3A). Deletion of BN1 also largely abolished the pheromone-induced dephosphorylation of Sch9 and Npr1 (Figures 3C–3E). We conclude that pheromone treatment inhibits the TORC1 pathway through growth polarization induced by the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. We furthermore note that unlike in mammals, where the microtubule cytoskeleton affects TORC1 pathway activity [31], microtubule depolymerization did not affect the growth rate in apically or isotropically growing yeast (Figure S3B).

Pheromone-Mediated Inhibition of TORC1 Pathway Activity Depends on Polarization of the Actin Cytoskeleton

Polarization of the actin cytoskeleton is responsible for the growth-inhibitory effects of pheromone [7]. We thus tested whether pheromone-mediated TORC1 inhibition is also dependent on the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. We prevented morphological changes in pheromone-treated cells by deleting the gene encoding the formin Bni1, which is required for the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton [7, 8]. Deletion of BN1 alleviated the growth inhibition by pheromone (Figure S3A) and prevented the exit of Sfp1-GFP from the nucleus in response to pheromone treatment (Figures 3A and 3B). Importantly, cells lacking BN1 responded normally to rapamycin treatment, as evidenced by the fact that Sfp1 exited the nucleus in the presence of rapamycin (Figure 3A). Deletion of BN1 also largely abolished the pheromone-induced dephosphorylation of Sch9 and Npr1 (Figures 3C–3E). We conclude that pheromone treatment inhibits the TORC1 pathway through growth polarization induced by the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. We furthermore note that unlike in mammals, where the microtubule cytoskeleton affects TORC1 pathway activity [31], microtubule depolymerization did not affect the growth rate in apically or isotropically growing yeast (Figure S3B).

Polarized Growth during Budding Inhibits TORC1 Pathway Activity

Cells defective in the SCF ubiquitin ligase, such as the temperature-sensitive cdc34-2 mutant, accumulate the B-type cyclin Sic1, causing cells to arrest with a 1N DNA content, high G1 cyclin levels, and highly polarized buds [32, 33]. TORC1 pathway activity was also inhibited in this mutant. Sfp1-GFP was found in the cytoplasm in 91% of cdc34-2 arrested cells (Figures 4A–4C). Overexpression of Sic1 revealed similar results (data not shown). Furthermore, Sch9 was dephosphorylated in cdc34-2 cells but less so in cdc34-2 cells, in which polarization of the actin cytoskeleton was prevented by the inhibition of CDK activity (Figure 4D). We conclude that polarization of growth by the actin cytoskeleton inhibits TORC1 activity not only in response to pheromone treatment but also during apical bud growth.

The Iml1 Complex Affects Growth Inhibition in Response to Polarized Growth

How does polarization of growth inhibit TORC1 pathway activity? Several regulators of the TORC1 pathway have been described in yeast. The GTPase Rho1, activated by its GEF Rom2, inhibits the TORC1 pathway [34]. rom2Δ cells grew faster than wild-type cells when arrested in G1 but responded to pheromone treatment in the same manner as wild-type cells (Figures S4A and S4B). Gtr1 and Gtr2 also regulate TORC1 [18]. A GTR1 mutant that mimics the GTP-bound state of the protein (GTR1-Q65L) increases TORC1 activity during amino acid limitation, a condition that normally inactivates TORC1 [18]. Although expression of the GTR1-Q65L allele caused cells to grow more slowly, it nevertheless subtly improved the ability of cells to grow in the presence of pheromone (Figures S4C and S4D).

The Iml1 complex negatively regulates TORC1 pathway activity [21]. Deletion of the genes encoding the Iml1 complex components Im1, Npr2, or Npr3 had very little effect on the growth of G1-arrested cells but caused a significant improvement in the ability of G1-arrested cells to grow in the presence of pheromone (Figure 5A). Combining NPR2 and IML1
deletions did not lead to better growth than each single deletion (Figure S5), indicating that the proteins function in the same pathway. Importantly, inactivation of the Iml1 complex did not interfere with pheromone signaling or polarization of the actin cytoskeleton. Phosphorylation of the pheromone-induced MAP kinases Fus3 and Kss1 and actin polarization were the same in $\text{IML1}$ and $\text{iml1}^{-}$ cells (Figures 5B and 5C).

Thus, the Iml1 complex acts either downstream of or in parallel to polarized growth to affect TORC1 pathway function.

Next, we wanted to corroborate our cell-volume measurements by an alternative technique. We employed the SMR (suspended microchannel resonator [35]) to measure the buoyant mass of single cells. In this particular experiment the $\text{cdc28-4 iml1}^{-}$ double mutant grew slightly more slowly than the $\text{cdc28-4}$ single mutant, as observed from cell volume (data not shown) and buoyant mass (Figures 5D and 5E; untreated samples). However, pheromone treatment reduced the buoyant mass of $\text{cdc28-4}$ cells to a greater extent than it reduced that of $\text{cdc28-4 iml1}^{-}$ cells (Figures 5D and 5E). We conclude that the Iml1 complex is required for pheromone-induced growth inhibition.

The Iml1 complex also affects TORC1 inhibition caused by hyperpolarization of the actin cytoskeleton during budding. Deleting $\text{IML1}$ improved the growth of both $\text{GAL-SIC1}$ and $\text{cdc53-1}$ mutant cells (Figures 6A and 6B). The Iml1 complex component Npr2 is an SCF target [36]. The slow-growth phenotype of SCF mutants could thus have been due to Npr2 accumulation rather than to a hyperpolarized actin cytoskeleton. This was not the case, however. Preventing the polarization of growth either by the introduction of a conditional $\text{cdc42-6}$ allele (Cdc42 is required for polarization of the actin cytoskeleton [8]) or by CDK inactivation caused SCF mutants cells to grow as fast as $\text{cdc42-6}$ or CDK single mutants, respectively (Figures S5B and S5C). We conclude that the

Table 1. Phosphoproteomic Analysis of Cells with Polarized Actin Cytoskeleton Reveals an Overlap between Rapamycin and Pheromone Treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORF$^a$</th>
<th>Protein Name</th>
<th>Regulation by Rapamycin$^b$</th>
<th>Regulation by Pheromone$^c$</th>
<th>Sites Affected by Pheromone$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YBL054W</td>
<td>Tod6</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBL103C</td>
<td>Rtg3</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>&lt;1.5-fold</td>
<td>S455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YDR005C</td>
<td>Maf1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YDR169C</td>
<td>Stb3</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YER040W</td>
<td>Gln3</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>down S276, S287; up S689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFL021W</td>
<td>Gat1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S300, T369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YER169W</td>
<td>Rph1</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S412, S430, S552, S561, S698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIL038C</td>
<td>Not3</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S344, T440, S442, S446, S450, T454, T555, S565, S569, T571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YER088C</td>
<td>Dot6</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBR181C</td>
<td>Rps6b</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>Y7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YGR162W</td>
<td>Tif4631</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S176, S213, T276, T442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOR204W</td>
<td>Ded1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPL090C</td>
<td>Rps6a</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPR041W</td>
<td>Tif5</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S170, S189, T317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YDR028C</td>
<td>Reg1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>T286, S344, S429, S773, S778, Y780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHR082C</td>
<td>Ksp1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S416, S419, T521, S529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YHR205W</td>
<td>Sch9</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YNL183C</td>
<td>Npr1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMR216C</td>
<td>Sky1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>down S360, S453; up T66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YNL076W</td>
<td>Mks1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>&lt;1.5-fold</td>
<td>down S104, S267, S288, S725; up S255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPL180W</td>
<td>Tco89</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>S454, S461, S462, S456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YDR345C</td>
<td>Hxt3</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YJR001W</td>
<td>Avt1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YNL321W</td>
<td>Vnx1</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>T26, S110, S121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YML035C</td>
<td>Amd1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMR205C</td>
<td>Pfk2</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S41, S42, S170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOL061W</td>
<td>Prs5</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YBL051C</td>
<td>Pir4</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>T465, S466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YQL01C</td>
<td>Gbp2</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YCR077C</td>
<td>Pat1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S279, S457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YDL051W</td>
<td>Lhp1</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDL173W</td>
<td>Par32</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>down S241, S249, S250; up S36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YDR348C</td>
<td>Syg1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YIL047C</td>
<td>Vhs2</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>&lt;1.5-fold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YL257W</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S129, T159, S197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMR196W</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S984, S1081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YMR275C</td>
<td>Bul1</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>S252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YNL004W</td>
<td>Hrb1</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>S355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YNL265C</td>
<td>Ist1</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOL060C</td>
<td>Mam3</td>
<td>down</td>
<td>down and up</td>
<td>down S614, T617; up S439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YOR322C</td>
<td>Ldb19</td>
<td>up</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Yeast cells were arrested in G1 with or without pheromone. Total protein was extracted, labeled, and processed by mass spectrometry and phospho-mass spectrometry. The complete data set is shown in Table S1. Genes are ordered by functional categories as previously presented [29].

$^b$ Indicates whether a protein was dephosphorylated (down) or phosphorylated (up) after rapamycin treatment as reported in [29].

$^c$ Indicates whether at least a single phospho peptide within that protein changed abundance more than 1.5-fold between samples with or without pheromone. “up” or “down” denote phosphorylation and dephosphorylation, respectively. NA indicates that no phospho peptides were recovered.

$^d$ Sites that are affected at least 1.5-fold in the indicated direction. Underlined sites were also reported as rapamycin sensitive [29].
Iml1 complex is required for growth inhibition in response to the polarization of growth by the actin cytoskeleton. The Iml1 Complex Affects How TORC1 Pathway Activity Is Modulated in Response to Pheromone

Next we determined whether deleting *IML1* modulates how TORC1 pathway activity responds to pheromone. Upon pheromone addition, Sfp1-GFP exit from the nucleus was delayed and occurred less efficiently in *iml1*Δ cells than in wild-type cells (Figure 6C). Deletion of *IML1* also delayed the dephosphorylation of Sch9 after pheromone treatment (Figure 6D). It is worth noting that there seems to be more phosphorylated Sch9 (upper band) in the *iml1*Δ mutant prior to pheromone addition (Figure 6D, time 0 min), indicating that the Iml1 complex might be a general inhibitor of TORC1, although *IML1* deletion does not suppress all methods of inactivating TORC1, e.g., rapamycin or very high temperature [21, 24]. We conclude that the Iml1 complex is required for pheromone-mediated inactivation of the TORC1 pathway.

Reduction of Growth during Polarization Promotes Cell Recovery from Pheromone Arrest

We hypothesized that the restriction of growth during mating-projection formation could be important for promoting recovery after prolonged pheromone arrest as the result of a failed mating. To address this possibility, we examined the ability of cells to return to vegetative growth after a 6 hr pheromone exposure. Pheromone treatment improved the ability of *cdc28*-4 cells to form colonies after removal of the G1 block (Figures 6E and 6F). The improved capacity to resume proliferation depended on the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton because deleting *BN11* prevented the pheromone-induced cell survival of *cdc28*-4 G1-arrested cells (Figure 6E). Deleting *IML1* had similar effects (Figure 6F). The effects of deleting...
IML1 or BNI1 were not due to changes in the ability of cells to reenter the cell cycle after the pheromone arrest, as evidenced by the fact that both mutants resumed budding after pheromone removal with kinetics similar to those of cdc28-4 single mutants (Figure S6). Thus, defects in cell-cycle processes after budding are most likely responsible for the proliferation defects of large cells. Our observations indicate that reducing the growth capacity of pheromone-arrested cells is critical for maintaining the ability of cells to resume proliferation when mating fails.

Discussion

Prolonged apical growth, caused by the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton, leads to a downregulation of cell-mass accumulation. The inhibition of growth is alleviated either by mutations that mimic active TORC1 or by mutations in Iml1 complex, the negative regulator of the TORC1 pathway. None of the individual alleles comprising the TORC1* mutant can alone suppress the growth-inhibitory effects of pheromone, indicating that the observed decrease in growth is caused by the inactivation of many, if not all, downstream TORC1 effectors. It is also important to note that neither TORC1* nor mutations in the Iml1 complex suppress the growth-inhibitory effects of the polarization of growth as completely as deleting BNI1. We propose that in addition to inactivating TORC1, polarization of growth limits the ability of cells to grow in size simply by restricting the surface area available for vesicle fusion (see below). Our observations support the hypothesis that TORC1 integrates multiple inputs, including nutritional status and the status of intracellular events and processes, such as changes in cell morphology, and that it coordinates them with growth rate.

Coordination of Cell-Cycle Transitions and TORC1 Pathway Activity—The Geometric-Restriction Model

The increase in cell size of eukaryotic cells is mediated by lipid vesicles traveling on actin cables in yeast, and on microtubules in mammals, and fusion of these vesicles with the plasma membrane [8]. During G1, vesicle deposition occurs throughout the cell as actin cables are evenly dispersed, and macromolecule biosynthesis occurs at an accordingly high rate. When vesicle deposition is restricted to a small cell surface area, as occurs during highly polarized or apical growth, macromolecule synthesis must be attenuated accordingly; otherwise, too many vesicles would start to accumulate within the cell. Indeed, vesicle build-up has previously been reported to occur early in pheromone-treated or small budded cells, and the accumulation dissipates with time [37, 38]. Our results indicate that cells coordinate cell-surface growth and macromolecule biosynthesis by making TORC1 pathway activity responsive to the status of the actin cytoskeleton. We speculate that when vesicles build up due to growth restriction during polarized growth, the TORC1 pathway is inactivated so that cells can match protein synthesis and membrane expansion.

Two observations support this idea. Mutations in the secretion machinery cause a dramatic downregulation of the expression of ribosomal proteins [39], an effect similar to TORC1 inhibition [15]. Furthermore, treatment of cells with the secretion inhibitor Brefeldin A causes Sfp1 to exit from the nucleus [13], an effect consistent with TORC1 and/or PKA inhibition. It is important to note that lack of an intact actin cytoskeleton is not equivalent to isotropic growth because vesicle transport requires actin cables. Indeed, treatment of cells with the actin-depolymerizing drug Latrunculin A or the expression of a dominant-negative form of the actin motor Myo2 strongly inhibits increases in cell size [7, 40].

During an unperturbed cell cycle the transient decrease in vesicle secretion and volume growth at the time of budding [6, 7] might be too short lived to cause a dramatic downregulation of protein synthesis. This could explain why fluctuations in protein synthesis have not been previously observed with
synchronized cells or in single-cell assays [41–43]. If protein synthesis is not attenuated during bud emergence, a temporary uncoupling of macromolecule biosynthesis and cell-surface expansion should ensue, resulting in a transient increase in cell density at the time of budding. Indeed, multiple groups have observed this predicted variation in cell density during the cell cycle [44, 45]. We propose that the regulation of TORC1 by polarized growth might be a feedback mechanism that keeps membrane growth and protein synthesis in balance. During an unperturbed cell cycle a brief uncoupling of cell-surface growth and bulk macromolecular biosynthesis can occur without great impact on cell survival. However, when actin cytoskeleton polarization is prolonged, as occurs during pheromone arrest or when the morphogenesis checkpoint is activated, TORC1 pathway activity must be attenuated. Indeed, when this feedback mechanism is disrupted, as in cells lacking \textit{BNI1} or \textit{IML1}, cells lose the ability to resume proliferation after prolonged pheromone arrest (Figure 6F).

How does the actin cytoskeleton affect TORC1 activity? It is possible that actin cables nucleated by formins or that formins themselves directly impact TORC1 activity, but we consider an indirect mode of regulation to be more likely. Genetic screens have firmly linked TORC1 to vesicle trafficking [13, 46].

### Figure 5. Inactivation of the Iml1 Complex Partially Alleviates the Growth Inhibition Caused by Polarization of the Actin Cytoskeleton

(A) \textit{cdc28-4} (A17132, black symbols), \textit{cdc28-4 iml1\Delta} (A33017, gray symbols; left graph), \textit{cdc28-4 npr2\Delta} (A31347, gray symbols; middle graph), or \textit{cdc28-4 npr3\Delta} (A24515, gray symbols; right graph) cells were shifted to 34°C. One half were treated with pheromone (20 \(\mu\)g/ml, open symbols), and the other half were grown in the absence of pheromone (closed symbols). Cell volume was determined at the indicated times. (B and C) \textit{cdc28-4} (A17132, black symbols) and \textit{cdc28-4 iml1\Delta} (A33017, gray symbols) cells were arrested in G1 for 90 min by incubation at 34°C. Pheromone was added (20 \(\mu\)g/ml), and Fus3/Kss1 Y-phosphorylation (B) and polarization of the actin cytoskeleton (C; \(n > 100\)) were determined. (D and E) \textit{cdc28-4} (A17132, D) and \textit{cdc28-4 iml1\Delta} (A33017, E) cells were shifted to 34°C, and half of the culture was treated with pheromone (20 \(\mu\)g/ml). After 4 hr, buoyant mass was determined.
TORC1 activator and RagA/B homolog Gtr1 promotes vesicle traffic to the plasma membrane [18, 47]. The Iml1 complex is thought to share homology with the HOPS and CORVET complexes, which are involved in vesicle trafficking to and from the vacuole [20]. We speculate that the TORC1 pathway could be sensitive to the dynamics of vesicle traffic within the cell. Because vesicle movement depends on actin dynamics, we propose that the polarization of the actin cytoskeleton impacts TORC1 activity indirectly by affecting vesicle-movement dynamics and/or direction.

The TORC1 Pathway Response Is Tailored to the Input

Previous studies have established that nitrogen starvation impacts TORC1 signaling differently than treatment with rapamycin. TOR1 alleles that cause resistance to rapamycin (TOR1-1) are still responsive to starvation [48]. Conversely, starvation-resistant mutants, such as npr2Δ and npr3Δ mutants, are still sensitive to rapamycin [21]. Even different types of nitrogen-starvation regimes elicit different responses from the TORC1 pathway [26]. The TORC1 pathway’s response to the polarization of growth shares features with the nitrogen-starvation response: it causes Sfp1 to exit the nucleus and Sch9 and Npr1 to become dephosphorylated in an IML1-dependent manner. However, in contrast to nitrogen starvation, only a fraction of Npr1 is completely dephosphorylated in response to pheromone-induced polarization of growth. One interpretation of these findings is that different treatments might inhibit TORC1 to different degrees, i.e., that the difference is merely quantitative. We favor the idea that the TORC1 responses are qualitatively different. One example that supports this hypothesis is that Pat1 was dephosphorylated in response to rapamycin treatment on Ser457 [29], but it was more phosphorylated on the same residue in response to pheromone treatment.

Growth polarization mediated by changes in the cytoskeleton determines a cell’s shape and is thus an integral aspect of the biology of many cell types and tissues. Interestingly, another TOR complex, TORC2, regulates actin polarization, largely by regulating sphingolipid biosynthesis. The crosstalk between the two TOR complexes remains to be described, but it will be an interesting venue for future investigation. Given the high degree of conservation of basic cellular processes among all eukaryotes, we suspect that changes in cell growth patterns during morphogenesis will affect macromolecule biosynthesis by modulating TORC1 pathway activity and will thus be a universal aspect of growth control in eukaryotes.

Experimental Procedures

Strain Construction and Growth Conditions

All strains used are derivatives of W303 and are listed in Table S3. Gene deletions and epitope tags were generated by a single step gene replacement method [49]. Growth conditions are indicated in the figure legends.

Methods

Volume increase of arrested cells was measured as previously described [7]. Western blots were performed as described in Goronov et al. [7] but with modifications. Measurements of cell buoyant mass were performed as described in Burg et al. [35] but with modifications. Detailed procedures are described in the Supplemental Information.
Supplemental Information

Supplemental Information includes Supplemental Experimental Procedures, six figures, and three tables and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.05.035.
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