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Abstract—In this paper, we develop a cooperative IMU/radiolocation-based navigation system, where each node tracks the location not only based on its own measurements, but also via collaboration with neighbor nodes. The key problem is to design a nonlinear filter to fuse IMU and radiolocation information. We apply the Rao-Blackwellized method by using a particle filter and parallel Kalman filters for the estimation of orientation and other states (i.e., position, velocity, etc.), respectively. The proposed method significantly outperforms the extended Kalman filter (EKF) in the simulations here.

Index Terms—Cooperative localization, navigation, inertial measurement unit (IMU), information fusion, particle filter.

I. INTRODUCTION

Navigation enables numerous emerging wireless applications in commercial, public and military operations [1]–[4]. Conventional techniques based on the global positioning system (GPS) often fail to provide reliable position information in harsh and indoor environments, due to the inaccuracy of GPS signals to penetrate many obstacles. For these reasons, GPS-less navigation using compact IMUs [5]–[8] and ultrawideband-based radiolocation [9]–[11] is of great current interest.

Inertial measurement units (IMU) have been widely adopted in assisting navigation when the GPS signal is severely attenuated and distorted. The IMU usually contains accelerometer and gyroscope sensors, measuring force and angular velocity, respectively. However, IMU-based navigation is accurate only for a short period of time due to the cubic error drift [12]. Recent work [12], [13] considered a foot-mounted IMU strategy which adopts step detection to correct the error. The drawback is that the step detection could introduce additional bias and drift, which degrades the navigation performance.

The accuracy of IMU-based navigation depends on the IMU model and filter design. In [14], a linear Gaussian drift model for IMU-derived position estimates is assumed by a Kalman filter. However, a more realistic IMU model is nonlinear due to coupling of orientation and acceleration measurements. The extended Kalman filter (EKF) is commonly used in such nonlinear applications and can generate divergent position/orientation estimates. Hence, the design of a more accurate, but computationally tractable nonlinear IMU filter remains a significant challenge.

In this paper, we develop a cooperative IMU-based navigation system, which fuses IMU measurement and radiolocation information to track the mobile node positions. The major contributions are summarized as follows:

• We design a Rao-Blackwellized particle filter (RB-PF) for IMU-based navigation. The proposed method significantly outperforms the EKF in the simulations here, and achieves much better convergence.

• We integrate the position information derived from IMU and radiolocation to achieve highly accurate navigation in both line-of-sight (LOS) and non-line-of-sight (NLOS) environments.

• We improve the navigation accuracy by using cooperative localization among the mobile nodes. The simulation results validate the importance of cooperation in navigation.

II. DYNAMIC AND MEASUREMENT MODEL FOR IMU

In this section, we describe the process model and the measurement model of the IMU. We define $\varphi_k(n)$ as the IMU orientation with respect to the reference frame, and $x_k(n)$ as a state vector containing position, velocity, acceleration, and the first-order derivative of orientation, given by

$$x_k(n) = [x_k(n), y_k(n), \dot{x}_k(n), \dot{y}_k(n), \ddot{x}_k(n), \ddot{y}_k(n), \varphi_k(n)]^T.$$  

The IMU process model can be written as

$$x_k(n+1) = F x_k(n) + G w_k(n)$$  

$$\varphi_k(n+1) = \varphi_k(n) + T \dot{\varphi}_k(n) + \frac{T^2}{2} w_{k,\varphi}(n)$$

where

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & T I_2 & T^2/2 I_2 & 0 \\ 0_2 & I_2 & T I_2 & : \\ 0_2 & 0_2 & I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{bmatrix} T^3/6 I_2 \\ T^2/2 I_2 \\ T I_2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the sample time $T$, and $I_n$ and $0_n$ denoting the $n \times n$ unit matrix and zero matrix, respectively. The noise vector

$w_k(n)$ is modeled as a zero mean Gaussian white noise with covariance $Q_k(n) = \sigma_e^2 I_7$.

This research was supported, in part, by the National Science Foundation under Grant ECCS-0901034, the Office of Naval Research under Grant N00014-11-1-0397, the Defense University Research Instrumentation Program under Grant N00014-08-1-0826, and the MIT Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies.

1We focus on the 2-D case, and the model can be extended to the 3-D case.
A. Particle Filter for Estimating

The IMU measurements include the angular velocity $\omega_k$ and the normalized force $f_k$, both with respect to the body frame given by

$$z_k(n) = \begin{bmatrix} \omega_k(n) \\ f_k(n) \end{bmatrix} = H(q_k(n))x_k(n) + v_k(n) \tag{3}$$

where

$$H(q_k(n)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \phi_k(n) & -\sin \phi_k(n) \\ 0 & \sin \phi_k(n) & \cos \phi_k(n) \end{bmatrix}$$

and the additive IMU noise $v_k(n) = \{v_k,\omega_k(n),v_k,f(n)\}^T$ is an i.i.d. Gaussian sequence with $v_k,\omega_k(n) \sim N(0,\sigma_{\omega_k}^2I_2)$ and $v_k,f(n) \sim N(0,\sigma_{\phi_k}^2I_2)$, respectively. The covariance matrix of $v_k(n)$ is denoted as $R_k$.

Remark 1: The IMU measurement model in (3) is a nonlinear function of both the orientation $q_k(n)$ and state vector $x_k(n)$.

III. RAO-BLACKWELLED PARTICLE FILTER FOR IMU-BASED NAVIGATION

Due to the nonlinearity in the orientation in (3), we decompose the navigation problem using a separate nonlinear model of $q_k(n)$, and adopt particle filters for orientation estimation. Once the particle streams are specified, the remaining estimation problems become linear Gaussian solvable by parallel Kalman filters. Such a method is referred to as Rao-Blackwellization [17], [18].

In the RB-PF, the estimated states are determined by

$$\hat{q}_k(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i^k(n)\phi_i(n)$$

and $\hat{x}_k(n)$ is the estimated state vector given cumulative measurements $z^n_k = \{z_k(j), j = 0, \cdots, n\}$.

A. Particle Filter for Estimating $\phi_k(n)$ and $w_i^k(n)$

We consider a particle filter with $N$, particle streams defined by

$$\phi_i^k(n) \triangleq \{\phi_i(n), j = 0, \cdots, n\}, \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, N.$$  

The particles $\phi_i^k(n)$ are generated as random samples from the importance sampling density $q(\phi_i^k(n)|\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k)$, which is derived as follow:

$$q(\phi_i^k(n)|\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k) = \frac{p(\phi_i^k(n)|\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k)}{p(\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k)} \tag{4}$$

$$= N(\phi_i(n)|\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k)$$

$$= N(\phi_i(n)|\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k) = T^2 P_{\phi_i^k,n-1}(n-1) + T^2 4/4\sigma_x^2 \tag{5}$$

2The force is normalized by the constant mass of the IMU.

3We choose the importance density by assuming the orientation, described by the IMU process model in (2), is a linear Gaussian process [18], [19].

where (4) is an approximation of $p(\phi_i^k(n)|\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k)$ due to the computability issue, and $P_{\phi_i^k}(n|n-1)$ in (5) is the covariance matrix of $\phi_i^k(n)$.

Then the weight is derived as follow:

$$w_i^k(n) = \frac{p(\phi_i^k(n)|z^n_k)}{q(\phi_i^k(n)|z^n_k)} = \frac{p(\phi_i^k(n), z^n_k)}{p(\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k)} \cdot \frac{1}{q(\phi_i^k(n)|z^n_k)}$$

$$= p(z_k(n)|\phi_i^k(n), z^n_k) \cdot 1 \cdot w_i(n-1) \tag{6}$$

$$= w_i(n-1) \cdot N(\zeta_k(n); H(\phi_i^k(n))\hat{x}_k(n)|n-1),$$

$$H(\phi_i^k(n))P_i^k(n|n-1)H(\phi_i^k(n))^T + R_k \tag{7}$$

where the approximation $q(\phi_i^k(n)|z^n_k) \approx q(\phi_i^k(n)|z^n_k)$ is made in (6) again for computability [20].

The particle filter contains a resampling procedure when the effective sample size [20] falls below a threshold [18], [21]. We choose the systematic resampling (Algorithm 2 in [20]) for simplicity of implementation.

B. Kalman Filter for Estimating $\hat{x}_k^i(n)$

The non-orientation states including position, velocity, acceleration, and angle derivative, can be modeled as linear Gaussian, once the orientation is specified. Hence, the Kalman filter is optimal for estimating $x_k^i(n)$ given the particle stream $\phi_i(n)$. Specifically, we use $N_s$ parallel Kalman filters to estimate $x_k^i(n)$, $i = 1, \cdots, N_s$.

The Kalman filter gain is derived as

$$K_k^i(n) = P_k^i(n|n-1)H(\phi_i^k(n))$$

$$\cdot \left( H(\phi_i^k(n))P_k^i(n|n-1)H(\phi_i^k(n)) + R_k \right)^{-1} \tag{8}$$

The correction steps are given by

$$\hat{x}_k^i(n|n) = \hat{x}_k^i(n|n-1)$$

$$+ K_k^i(n) \left( z_k(n) - H(\phi_i^k(n))\hat{x}_k^i(n|n-1) \right) \tag{9}$$

$$P_k^i(n|n) = \left( 1 - K_k^i(n)H(\phi_i^k(n)) \right) P_k^i(n|n-1). \tag{10}$$

The prediction steps are given by

$$\hat{x}_k^i(n+1|n) = F\hat{x}_k^i(n|n) \tag{11}$$

$$P_k^i(n+1|n) = FP_k^i(n|n)F^T + GQ_kG^T. \tag{12}$$

The overall RB-PF for IMU-based navigation is described in Algorithm 1.

IV. INTEGRATED IMU/RADIOLOCATION NAVIGATION

In this section, we develop a cooperative navigation algorithm, which fuses the RB-PFs for IMU measurements and the Kalman filter for cooperative radiolocation.

$4$It is difficult to directly compute $p(\phi_i^k(n)|\phi_i^{k,n-1}, z^n_k)$, since both $\phi_i^k(n)$ and $P_{\phi_i^k}(n|n)$ depend on $\phi_i^k(n)$, which is not available.
Algorithm 1: Rao-Blackwellization Algorithm for the IMU- based Navigation

Require: ϕ_k^i(n-1), ̂x_k^i(n|n-1), P_k^i(n|n-1) and the measurement z_k(n)
1: for i = 1, · · · , N_s do
2: Generate particle ϕ_k^i(n) ∼ N(ϕ_k^i(n-1) + T ̇ϕ_k^i(n|n-1), T^2P_k^i(n|n-1) + T^4/4σ_e^2)
3: Update the weight w_k^i(n) via (7)
4: Update ̂x_k^i(n|n) and P_k^i(n|n) via (8)–(10)
5: Predict ̂x_k^i(n+1|n) and P_k^i(n+1|n) via (11)–(12)
6: end for
7: Normalize the weights by ̂w_k^j(n) = w_k^j(n) / ∑_{i=1}^{N_s} w_k^i(n), ∀i = 1, · · · , N_s
8: Calculate effective sample size ̂N_{eff} = 1 / ∑_{i=1}^{N_s} (̂w_k^i(n))^2
9: if ̂N_{eff} ≤ N_T then
10: Systematical resampling (cf. [20]) of ϕ_k(n), and w_k^i(n) = 1/N_s
11: end if
12: Calculate the estimated states: ̂ϕ_k(n) = ∑_{i=1}^{N_s} w_k^i(n)ϕ_k^i(n) and ̂x_k(n) = ∑_{i=1}^{N_s} w_k^i(n) ̂x_k^i(n|n).

Fig. 1: Cooperative radiolocation and navigation system.

The system diagram is shown in Fig. 1. For radiolocation, a steepest descent random start (SDRS) positioning algorithm [14] is adopted to estimate the node’s position based on inter-node range measurements. A local navigation filter treats the SDRS estimates and IMU measurements separately to update the final position estimate. We assume that new ranging and SDRS algorithm position estimates are computed after every N_{SDRS} IMU measurements. Specifically, the IMU measurements are treated as nonlinear Gaussian measurements z_k,IMU(n) by the RB-PF when n mod N_{SDRS} ≠ 0; the SDRS estimates are treated as linear Gaussian measurements z_k,RL(n) by a Kalman filter when n mod N_{SDRS} = 0. A detailed description of the radiolocation Kalman filter is given in [14].
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Rao-Blackwellization uses multiple particles to estimate the angle, which results in better convergence compared with a single EKF.

In Fig. 4, we investigate the performance with different extents of node cooperation. Once the mobile nodes move beyond the anchor radio range, the radiolocation relies on inter-mobile range measurements and the IMUs. We consider two settings of mobile-to-mobile radio range: 30 m which results in partial cooperation beyond the middle of the corridor, and 45 m which generally yields full cooperation. We first simulate the urban corridor with buildings (Fig. 2) where both LOS and NLOS conditions exist. In Fig. 4a the 30 m and 45 m range shows that the estimation errors are similar, and the position error with the larger 45 m range is only slightly reduced by 2 m at the end. In Fig. 4b, we removed the two buildings so that LOS signals are always available. The result is a more significant improvement using the 45 m range/full cooperation, i.e., the position error is reduced by 5 m close to the end of the corridor. Hence, the simulation results here support the hypothesis that cooperation among mobile nodes improves the navigation accuracy, especially in the LOS environment.

VI. Conclusion

In this paper, we proposed a cooperative IMU-based navigation algorithm using RB-PFs. The IMU measurements and radiolocation information are integrated to improve the navigation accuracy. An urban corridor simulation shows that RB-PFs reduce the position error up to ten meters compared with EKF. Moreover, a significant improvement in angle estimation by a factor of six is observed using the proposed method. The results also demonstrate the importance of node cooperation in navigation, especially in the LOS environment.

VII. Relation to Prior Work

This paper has focused on non-GPS navigation, which fuses IMU and radiolocation information. Previous work on IMU-based navigation, e.g., [12], [13], employ a foot-mounted IMU strategy and require step detection to correct the error, which could introduce additional bias and drift. Moreover, most prior research [5], [13]–[16] was limited to Kalman filter or EKF for navigation, which are not as well suited as PFs for the nonlinear IMU model. In this paper, we designed RB-PFs for IMU-based navigation, integrating the radiolocation information, which yields significant improvement on the navigation accuracy.
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