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We present the results of an experimental investigation on the crystallography of the dimpled patterns obtained through wrinkling of a curved elastic system. Our macroscopic samples comprise a thin hemispherical shell bound to an equally curved compliant substrate. Under compression, a crystalline pattern of dimples self-organizes on the surface of the shell. Stresses are relaxed by both out-of-surface buckling and the emergence of defects in the quasi-hexagonal pattern. Three-dimensional scanning is used to digitize the topography. Regarding the dimples as point-like packing units produces spherical Voronoi tessellations with cells that are poly-disperse and distorted, away from their regular shapes. We analyze the structure of crystalline defects, as a function of system size. Disclinations are observed and, above a threshold value, dislocations proliferate rapidly with system size. Our samples exhibit striking similarities with other curved crystals of charged particles and colloids. Differences are also found and attributed to the far-from-equilibrium nature of our patterns due to the random and initially frozen material imperfections that act as nucleation points, the presence of a physical boundary which represents an additional source of stress, and the inability of dimples to rearrange during crystallization. Even if we do not have access to the exact form of the interdimple interaction, our experiments suggest a broader generality of previous results of curved crystallography and their robustness on the details of the interaction potential. Furthermore, our findings open the door to future studies on curved crystals far from equilibrium.

The classic design of a soccer ball, with its 20 hexagonal (white) patches interspersed with 12 (black) pentagons, the buckminsterfullerene C60 (1), virus capsules (2), colloidosomes (3), and geodesic architectural domes (4) are all examples of crystalline packings on spherical surfaces. In contrast with crystals on flat surfaces, these structures cannot be constructed from a tiling of hexagons alone. Instead, disclinations—non-hexagonal elements such as the 12 pentagons on a soccer ball—are required by topology (5, 6), which constrains how the crystal order must comply with the geometry of the underlying surface. For example, seeding a hexagonal crystal with a pentagon (fivefold disclination) disrupts the perfect hexagonal symmetry and introduces a localized stress concentrator, which can be relaxed through out-of-plane deformation with positive Gaussian curvature (7, 8). Likewise, a heptagon (sevenfold disclination) induces a disturbance with negative Gaussian curvature.

An example of a physical realization of curved crystals is found in experiments on colloidal emulsions, where equally charged particles self-organize at the curved interface of two immiscible liquids (3, 9–11). These experiments build upon a wealth of previous theoretical and numerical investigations, as reviewed by Bowick and Giomi (12). For small system sizes, similarly to the soccer ball above, the “simplest” spherical crystals have exactly 12, fivefold disclinations, located at the vertices of a regular icosahedron (13). When the number of particles is sufficiently large, additional defects known as dislocations (5–7 disclination dipoles, which are not required by topology) emerge and break the translational order and lower the energy of the crystal more efficiently than pentagons alone (14, 15). In spherical packings with large number of particles, dislocations typically connect into linear chains to form scars (16) (strings of dislocations attached to a pentagonal disclination) and pleats (10) (strings of dislocations), which in contrast with flat space, start and terminate within the crystal (16). It is therefore organized collections of dislocations, rather than disclinations or isolated dislocations, that predominantly screen curvature in large systems. Disclinations, dislocations, and chains of dislocations interact not only with each other (e.g., through elasticity of the crystal), but also with the curvature of the substrate by a geometric potential that depends on the particular type of defect (17). Their total number and arrangement is primarily dictated by energetics, in addition to the topological constraints on the number of excess disclinations. The challenge in rationalizing these systems is enhanced by the fact that the number of metastable states grows exponentially with system size (18).

Crystallography on curved surfaces has also been considered in the context of deformable elastic membranes with internal crystalline order (8, 12). Elastic stresses in membranes, adhered to curved substrates (19–21), can be relaxed either by (i) out-of-surface buckling through wrinkling for compliant substrates, or (ii) the in-surface proliferation of topological defects for rigid substrates. For example, out-of-plane deformations in free-standing graphene sheets have been directly linked to energy minimization in the neighborhood of topological defects (22).

Here, we study a macroscopic model system in which a curved crystal arises from the wrinkling of a hemispherical shell bound to an equally curved compliant substrate (schematic in Fig. 14). The dimpled pattern (Fig. 1B) self-organizes from an originally smooth surface when the sample is compressed and eventually buckles to relax the stress induced by depressurizing an undersurface cavity. Profilometry through laser scanning provides access to the topography of the patterns (Fig. 1D). From the positions of dimple centers, we construct spherical Voronoi tessellations (Fig. 1E) and find a striking agreement between the
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Wrinkling on Curved Surfaces: Our Experiments

We have found (23) that the curvature of the substrate leaves the wrinkling length scale unchanged, compared with that predicted for flat infinite substrates (25),

$$\lambda = 2h \left( \frac{1 - \nu^2}{1 - 2\nu} \right)^{1/3} E_t$$

where $h$ is the thickness of the film, and $E_t$, $\nu$ and $E_s$, $\nu_s$ are the Young’s moduli and Poisson’s ratios of the film and substrate, respectively. However, curvature of the substrate (23, 29, 30) and the level of overstress (29, 31) can affect the pattern selection mode. For $h/R \leq 0.01$ (and low overstress) labyrinthine patterns were found (23). On the other hand, for $h/R \geq 0.01$ we observed dimpled patterns that pack in a hexagonal-like crystal structure (Fig. 1B). From here on, we focus exclusively on these dimpled patterns to characterize and analyze their crystallographic structure.

Three-Dimensional Scanning and Spherical Voronoi Construction

The full 3D surface profile of the samples was digitized using a laser scanner (Fig. 1C). In Fig. 1D, we present the resulting topographic map of the radial surface depth $d$ (measured from the outer spherical surface) for a representative fully developed dimpled pattern. Dimples (blue regions) are crater-like depressions, separated by ridges (red regions). Using an image processing algorithm developed in-house (Materials and Methods), we identify the spherical coordinates of the centers (local minima of $d$) of all of the dimples in a sample (yellow markers superposed in Fig. 1E). With the coordinates of the dimple locations at hand, we then construct a spherical Voronoi tessellation (black lines superposed in Fig. 1E).

It is remarkable that the skeleton provided by the Voronoi construction accurately delineates the underlying network of ridges of the experimental pattern (Fig. 1F), suggesting that each dimple is well represented by the corresponding Voronoi cell. As such, the dimples can be regarded as quasi-particles with characteristic interparticle distance $\lambda$ (given by Eq. 1). Because the system is under compression, these quasi-particles repel one another through an elastic potential, the precise characterization of which would require a detailed theoretical description that goes beyond the scope of our experimental work. We regard our dimpled patterns as self-organized tilings of a well-defined individual unit—the dimple—that packs into a quasi-hexagonal arrangement constrained by the underlying curved surface. In Fig. 1F, we show an example of the output of our procedure: a Voronoi tiling, where each dimple is replaced by the corresponding Voronoi cell. This representation will be used extensively below, to analyze our patterns.

Crystallization of the Dimpled Patterns

We first turn to the process of nucleation and then describe the structure of the fully developed crystalline patterns.

Nucleation. In Fig. 2A–H we present snapshots (top views) of one of our samples during a loading and unloading cycle, starting from a spherical (undeformed) configuration at $\Delta p = 0$ kPa, loading it up to a maximum of $\Delta p = 76.4$ kPa, and then unloading to $\Delta p = 6.5$ kPa (the experimental uncertainty of all pressure measurements is $\pm 0.12$ kPa). This particular sample has radius $R = 20.0$ mm and a characteristic dimple size of $\lambda = 4.40 \pm 0.60$ mm (set by $E_t = 2.10 \pm 0.11$ MPa, $E_s = 0.23 \pm 0.01$ MPa, $\nu = \nu_s \approx 0.5$, and $h = 0.48 \pm 0.07$ mm that is determined from Eq. 1, Materials and Methods).

A few dimples first emerge, nonuniformly (Fig. 2A, $\Delta p = 6.5$ kPa). These are small regions of the initially smooth shell that buckle inward and eventually act as nucleation sites from which the rest of the pattern progressively grows with $\Delta p$ (e.g., Fig. 2B and C, $\Delta p = 13.1$ kPa and 23.4 kPa, respectively). The front of the crystalline phase spreads into the undimpled portions of the shell, until full coverage of the hemisphere is attained (Fig. 2D,
\[ \Delta p = 40.3 \text{ kPa} \]. Beyond this point, and up to \( \Delta p = 76.4 \text{ kPa} \), the maximum depressurization explored (Fig. 2E), there is no rearrangement of the dimples. The unloading path is, however, qualitatively different. Gradually decreasing the differential pressure from \( \Delta p = 76.4 \text{ kPa} \) results in patterns whose morphology remains approximately unchanged (Fig. 2E–G). Back at \( \Delta p = 6.5 \text{ kPa} \), the configuration in Fig. 2H is remarkably different from that of Fig. 2B, which is significant of hysteresis.

In Fig. 2F, we quantify this hysteretic behavior by plotting the average depth of dimples \( d \) as a function of \( \Delta p \). For \( \Delta p < 40.3 \text{ kPa} \) (partial coverage of the sample), there are three distinct paths in the mechanical response: one for the first loading ramp and the other two for subsequent unloading and loading cycles. All paths converge above \( \Delta p \approx 40 \text{ kPa} \) (beyond which the full sample is crystalline), and up to the maximum \( \Delta p \approx 75 \text{ kPa} \) explored. Note that our system is elastic, the viscosity of the elastomers we use is negligible, and there is no delamination between the film and substrate.

As such, we attribute this hysteretic behavior to the series of multiple snap-buckling events that must occur on the initially smooth spherical shell for each of the individual dimples to form.

We highlight that the position of each dimple remains fixed after nucleation and throughout the evolution of the pattern (Fig. 2A–D, 0 < \( \Delta p \)/[kPa] < 40.3). Moreover, repeating the experiments with the same sample leads to identical patterns. The loci of nucleation occur presumably at regions of “frozen” material imperfections (e.g., due to small air bubbles trapped in the elastomer during curing). The appearance of subsequent dimples propagates from these nucleation sites, which we therefore refer to as anchor dimples, until the full surface crystallizes. Interestingly, the depth of these anchor dimples does not differ significantly (< 5%) from the average dimple depth once the pattern is fully developed. The mechanism by which anchor dimples emerge is still uncertain. However, based on the work of Paulose and Nelson (32), we speculate that frozen imperfections may generate small soft regions on the cap, which can snap-buckle.

**Structure of the Crystallized Dimpled Patterns.** We now make use of the Voronoi representation introduced above to further analyze the experimental patterns. In Fig. 3A–F we show a series of examples of Voronoi tilings superimposed on top of the scanned data for samples with increasing values of \( R/\lambda \), as a measure of the relative system size, attained by changing the shell thickness in the range \( 0.23 < h/[\text{mm}] < 0.88 \) (which modifies \( \lambda \) through Eq. 1), while keeping all other parameters fixed (\( R = 20.0 \text{ mm}, r = 9.5 \text{ mm}, \) and \( E_r/E_s = 9.13 \)). Note that larger values of \( R/\lambda \) correspond to thinner shells because \( \lambda \sim h \). Regions shaded in gray represent bands of dimples which were taken into account for identifying the coordination number (i.e., number of neighbors) of the dimples inside the solid green line, but otherwise omitted from further quantitative analysis. The coordination numbers of these border dimples are undetermined and they cannot be interpreted under our framework of packing of point-like units. The domain of interest is thus reduced from a hemisphere to a spherical cap.

For all samples in Fig. 3A–F, the most prominent cells are hexagonal (in blue) as expected from crystallinity. As \( R/\lambda \) is increased, pentagonal and heptagonal defects (yellow and red, respectively) become more prominent. The “simplest” lattice structure is found for \( R/\lambda = 2.21 \) (Fig. 3A), representative of small system sizes, with seven hexagons and three isolated pentagonal disclinations. For \( R/\lambda = 3.75 \) (Fig. 3B), series of disclination defects (\( 5–7 \) disclination dipoles) appear, in addition to hexagonal and isolated pentagons. This pattern is conferred on the isolated pentagonal disclination, two isolated dislocations, and two strings of dislocations which resemble a scar and a pleat. Note, however, that a true scar and pleat would start and terminate in the interior of the curved crystal (33), but in our case they often do so at the boundary. Still, the overall scenario in our experimental patterns is analogous to that found in other curved crystals (9–11). For even larger sample sizes (see Fig. 3D–F, for \( R/\lambda > 4.71 \)), there is a proliferation of more complex arrangements of defects with clusters, as well as strings of dislocations that are increasingly longer and branched. By contrast, branching of linear arrays of dislocations, as well as isolated dislocations in large system sizes, would not occur in crystals at equilibrium.

In Fig. 3G, we present the cap ratio \( \alpha \), the ratio between the area of the spherical cap that is analyzed and the area of the corresponding full sphere \( 4\pi R^2 \), as a function of \( R/\lambda \). As expected, \( \alpha \) increases with \( R/\lambda \); the relative size of the dimples decreases, and the required exclusion band is increasingly smaller. The sharp drop in \( \alpha \) for \( R/\lambda \gtrsim 6.5 \), however, is due to a technical difficulty in our 3D scanning procedure that forced us to only acquire top-view scans for these samples with smaller dimples (instead of the full hemispherical surface obtained from the stitching of multiple perspectives, for \( R/\lambda \lesssim 6.5 \)). The white annuli in Fig. 3 E and F represent the portions of the samples that were not scanned.

**Polydispersity and Topology of Dimples.** We proceed by quantifying the area of the Voronoi cells that underlies the crystalized dimpled patterns, as well as the topology of their tilings. For this, we consider statistical ensembles of the individual cells associated with each dimple, whose coordination number allows for their classification as pentagons, hexagons, or heptagons. By way of example, we focus on a set of nine samples (\( R = 20.0 \text{ mm}, \) \( E_r/E_s = 9.13 \), and \( 2R = 3.07 \pm 0.10 \text{ mm}; \) the uncertainty is the SD of the mean of the set), each with \( \sim 180 \) dimples.

Polydispersity is measured using the ratio \( \lambda' = A/A_{\text{hex}} \), where \( A_{\text{hex}} \) is the area of each Voronoi cell, and \( A_{\text{hex}} = \sqrt{3}R^2/2 \) is the area

![Fig. 2. Crystallization of the dimpled patterns. (A–H) Top-view snapshots of the surface profile during a loading-unloading cycle. (A–E) Loading with increasing \( \Delta p = 6.5, 13.1, 23.4, 40.3 \text{ kPa} \). (E–H) Unloading at the same values of \( \Delta p \). Anchor dimples in A are marked with circles. (I) Experimental measurements of the average dimple depth \( d \) as a function of \( \Delta p \). Three loading paths (increasing \( \Delta p \)) and two unloading paths (decreasing \( \Delta p \)) are shown. Solid lines are guides to the eye and the labeled data points correspond to the patterns in A–H. The shell thickness is \( h = 0.48 \pm 0.07 \text{ mm} \) and other parameters identical to Fig. 1.](image-url)
of a regular Euclidean hexagon with a distance $\lambda$ (defined in Eq. 1) between the parallel sides. A constant value of $A^* = 1$ for all cells would correspond to a perfectly monodisperse hexagonal pattern, which is, however, unattainable in a curved system. In Fig. 4A, we present the probability density function (PDF), $P(A^*)$, of all Voronoi cells in the ensemble. We find that $P(A^*)$ is well described by a Gaussian distribution, $P(A^*) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(A^* - \bar{A})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$, with a mean $\bar{A} = 0.98$ and SD $\sigma = 0.12$. The near-unity value of $A^*$ is indicative of the hexagonal crystalline packing but the significant SD conveys that the dimples are polydisperse. We have also classified the area of each cell according to its coordination number, $A_5^*$, $A_6^*$, and $A_7^*$, for heptagons, hexagons, and pentagons, respectively. The corresponding relative PDFs, normalized such that $\int_0^\infty P_{d_5}dA_5^* + \int_0^\infty P_{d_6}dA_6^* + \int_0^\infty P_{d_7}dA_7^* = 1$ are also Gaussian distributed (see fits in Fig. 4A). Whereas $P_{d_7}(A_7^*)$ is still peaked near unity, $(\bar{A}_7, \sigma_7) = (0.99, 0.11)$, there is a splitting for the mean areas for heptagons and pentagons, $(\bar{A}_5, \sigma_5) = (1.07, 0.12)$ and $(\bar{A}_6, \sigma_6) = (0.88, 0.10)$, respectively. Note that this splitting occurs nearly symmetrically from $\bar{A}$. The high coefficients of variation, $\% CV > 11\%$, indicate a high degree of polydispersity for all families of cells.

In addition to polydispersity, we quantify the morphology of the Voronoi cells by measuring their shape factor, $\zeta = C_2/(4\pi A_4)$, where $C_2$ and $A_4$ are the perimeter and surface area of each cell, respectively (34, 35). This quantity accounts for both the topology and the level of distortion of each Voronoi cell. The shape factor is $\zeta = 1$ for a circle and $\zeta > 1$ for all other shapes. For example, regular pentagons, hexagons, and heptagons have $\zeta = n/\tan(\pi/n)$ with $n = 5, 6, \text{and } 7$, respectively.

In Fig. 4B, we plot the PDF for shape factor of all cells, $P(\zeta)$. Similarly to $P(A^*)$ above, we also superpose the relative PDFs for the families of heptagons, hexagons, and pentagons, normalized such that $\int_0^\infty P_{d_5}d\zeta_5 + \int_0^\infty P_{d_6}d\zeta_6 + \int_0^\infty P_{d_7}d\zeta_7 = 1$. The probability of finding a particular polygon peaks sharply after the value of shape factor that corresponds to its regular shape: $\zeta \approx 1.073, 1.103, \text{and } 1.156$ for regular heptagons, hexagons, and pentagons, respectively. After these peaks, the corresponding probabilities decrease but remain finite for an extended range of $\zeta$. Moreover, the PDF for all cells $P(\zeta)$ is nonzero in the broad interval of shape factors $1.073 < \zeta < 1.299$, meaning that the tilings of our dimpled patterns consist of irregular Voronoi cells. To illustrate this spread, we show in Fig. 4C representative examples of cells obtained by sampling the PDFs at specific values of $\zeta$, for each of the polygon families. For example, at $\zeta = 5/\tan(\pi/5)$, the value for a regular pentagon, distorted hexagons, and heptagons are also found. Likewise, for a specific family, increasing $\zeta$ corresponds to increasingly more distorted polygons.

Quantification of the Defect Structure

Thus far, we have learned that the tilings of our dimpled patterns consist of polydisperse Voronoi cells, with a distribution of distorted polygons, away from their regular shapes. Whereas previous studies focused on more monodisperse systems (9, 10, 16), Euler’s packing theorem is applicable to general tilings. As such, we follow an approach similar to that of refs. 9, 10 and quantify the defect structure versus system size $R/\lambda$ for 32 samples in the range $2.09 < \lambda < 8.01$ (0.23 < $h$ < 0.88, while fixing $R = 20$ mm and $E_f/E_s = 9.13$).

Net Defect Charge. The topological charge $q = \pi s/3$ is commonly used to quantify defects of curved crystals (12), where the disclination charge,
is the deviation of the coordination number $Z$ from that of a perfect hexagonal packing: $s = +1$ for a pentagon and $s = -1$ for a heptagon, i.e., $q = +\pi/3$ and $q = -\pi/3$, respectively. From the Gauss–Bonnet and Euler theorems (5, 6), it follows that, for any triangulation over a spherical surface, there exists a fixed topological constraint on the sum of these discrete charges (+12 on a sphere). We define the net topological charge for an ensemble of $N_c$ lattice units on a spherical cap with area $4\pi R^2 a$ (the area ratio $\alpha$ was quantified in Fig. 3G for our samples) as

$$Q_{\alpha} = Q_{a} = \sum_{i} q_i = 4\pi a\alpha. \quad [3]$$

We now analyze our data following a procedure recently used for curved colloidal crystals (10). For a given sample, we measure the net defect charge $Q_{\alpha}$ of spherical “patches” of variable area (defined by the ratio $\beta$ of their area with that of a sphere; Fig. 5A, Inset) up to the maximum possible cap allowed for that sample (Fig. 3G), such that $0 < \beta \leq \alpha$. Such a measurement includes both the effects of isolated disclinations and the polarization charge due to the nonuniform distribution of disclination dipoles [by analogy with electrostatics (10)]. In this procedure, the contribution to the polarization charge is accounted for by the cumulative counting when the boundary of the analyzed patches with increasing sizes dissects a pleat or a dislocation and adds toward the total topological charge, which would not occur for a full sample. In Fig. 5A, we plot this net defect charge as a function of the integrated Gaussian curvature, $\int G dA = 4\pi \beta$, of the patches ($G = 1/R^2$ in our spherical case) for multiple samples with different values of $R/\lambda$. The data are consistent with a linear relation between defect charge and integrated Gaussian curvature, with unit slope, that was likewise previously observed on curved crystals, albeit with a significant level of scatter that is also consistent with the experiments in ref. 10. It is striking that, despite the differences in the underlying physics of the two curved systems, our macroscopic wrinkling patterns and colloidal packings can be analyzed and interpreted similarly.

**Average Coordination Number.** Given the scatter in $Q_{\alpha}$, we turn to the average coordination number $Z = (1/N)\sum_{i} Z_i$ for a tiling with $N$ dimples. However, before quantifying $Z$, we step back and consider the number of dimples $N_c$ in our samples, as a function of $R/\lambda$. For large systems, where $R$ is large compared with $\lambda$ and the hexagonal cells far outnumber disclinations, we assume that the area of each dimple is $A_i \approx (\sqrt{3}/2) R^2$. This is supported by the above finding that $A \approx 1$ in Fig. 4A. In turn, the total number of dimples on a spherical cap is $N_c \approx 4\pi R^2 \alpha / A_i$, which yields

$$N_c \approx \frac{8\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)^2. \quad [4]$$

In Fig. 5B, we plot the experimental measurements for $N_c/\alpha$ (extrapolated to a sphere), which are in excellent agreement with Eq. 4 (solid line).

Toward determining $Z$, the average net topological charge per lattice unit is $Q_{\alpha}/N_c = (1/N)\sum_{i} q_i = 4\pi \alpha / N_a$, through Eq. 3. Combining this result with the definition of $q$ and making use of Eqs. 2 and 4 gives

$$Z \approx \left[1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4\pi} \left(\frac{R}{\lambda}\right)^{-2}\right]. \quad [5]$$

A more general version of Eq. 5 was provided by Nelson (7) but, for completeness, we have reproduced the argument applied specifically to our system. In Fig. 5C, we plot $Z$ measured directly from our samples, as a function of system size, finding that the data are in very good agreement with Eq. 5. A perfect hexagonal packing (e.g., on a plane or a cylinder) would have $Z = 6$, but the presence of defects forces $Z < 6$. Moreover, the deviations from the planar result (horizontal dashed line in Fig. 5C, at $Z = 6$) become more pronounced for smaller systems, as the relative importance of pentagonal disinclination increases.

**Number of Dislocations.** Further, we quantify the total number of dislocations $N^d/\alpha$ for our dimpled patterns. In Fig. 5D, we plot $N^d/\alpha$ (experimental value for the spherical cap extrapolated to a sphere) as a function of $R/\lambda$. We find that the number of dislocations grows linearly with system size, $N^d/\alpha \sim (R/\lambda)$, with a slope $m = 28.3 \pm 2.8$ and an intercept with the horizontal axis, $(R/\lambda)_c = 3.2 \pm 0.7$. This finite value of $(R/\lambda)_c$ is significant of a threshold system size for the onset of dislocations, below which only isolated, topologically required disclinations are found. Through Eq. 4, this translates into a sample with a threshold number of dimples, $N_c \approx 150$ (after extrapolating to a sphere using $\alpha$).

Remarkably, this scenario is qualitatively identical to that of Bausch et al. (9), who found $(R/\lambda) \approx 5$, for a system of colloidal particles on the surface of spherical oil droplets which had previously been predicted by theory (16, 33), and a critical system size of $N_c \approx 360$ particles. These similarities are despite the fact that the system of Bausch et al. (9) was microscopic, whereas ours is macroscopic, and in the measurement procedure for the number of dislocations, they took the average number of dislocations per chain, detached from the boundary, whereas we used all chains because they regularly emanate from the boundary.

**Discussion and Conclusion.** We have introduced a macroscopic experimental model system where a curved crystalline pattern of dimples self-organizes from the wrinkling of an originally smooth thin elastic shell. The system
relaxes stresses both by out-of-surface buckling through the formation of arrays of dimples, and by simultaneously developing defects as nonhexagonal dimples in the otherwise hexagonal patterns. Direct parallels were established between the structure of defects in our system and recent studies on the packing of charged particles on curved surfaces (14–16) and curved colloidal crystals (9, 10), despite the differences in the underlying physics. These similarities include the ability to treat the dimples as point-like units, the use of Voronoi tessellation to characterize their packing, as well as the presence of disclinations and, above a threshold system size, the prominent growth of the number of dislocations to screen the underlying curvature. There are however few important distinctions. We observe dislocations that form branched arrays and clusters and propagate more rapidly than in curved colloidal crystals (9, 16), and with a different threshold value of system size. We speculate that these differences may be attributed to the fact that we have a different repulsive potential and our system is far from equilibrium due to the random and initially frozen material imperfections that nucleate the pattern, as well as the inability for the dimples to rearrange during crystallization. Consequently, these constraints prevent our system from exploring phase space and lead to additional frustration that increases disorder.

The interaction potential between neighboring dimples in our system is still unknown. However, Bowick et al. (33) find that potentials of the form $1/r^2$, with $0 < c < 2$, lead to similar defect structures. This provides a possible explanation as to why, despite the different nature of the interdimples elastic potential in our wrinkling system, we still find many of the general features of other 2D curved crystals. We hope that our experimental results will instigate further studies of curved crystallography in more complex geometries (e.g., on a torus) and in instances of far from equilibrium (e.g., with anchoring imperfections), which remain largely unexplored.

Materials and Methods

Fabrication of Samples. We manufactured 32 hemispherical samples, made of silicone-based elastomers, polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and vinylpolysiloxane (VPS), for the film and the substrate, respectively, using a protocol that was described previously (23). First, a thin outer shell was made by coating a previously vacuum-formed polysiloxene mold with the desired radius. The coating process included wetting the surface of the mold and then draining the excess polymer by gravity. A balance between gravity, viscosity, surface tension, and crystallization rate yielded a thin shell of constant thickness (to within ~10% variation). This process could be repeated multiple times to obtain thicker shells.

Next, pouring the VPS into the mold (now containing the fully polymerized thin shell) produced the soft foundation. Immediately after the elastomer was cast, the mold was covered with an acrylic plate containing a spherical 3D printed part, which produced the undersurface cavity. Upon curing and demolding, the samples were stored in a ventilated area for 1 wk to fully cure before the experimental tests were performed.

Material and Geometric Properties. The mechanical properties of PDMS and VPS were measured on cylindrical specimens subjected to uniaxial compression using a material testing machine (Zwick). We found a linear stress-strain response of these materials within the levels of compression relevant to our experiments. The ratio between the Young moduli of the film and substrate could be controlled within the range $1.0 \leq E / E_f \leq 162.0$ (for different mixtures of the base and curing agents), whereas the Poisson ratios of the film and the substrate were $\nu_f = 0.5$. The thickness of the shell was varied in the range $0.23 < h/2\mathrm{mm} < 0.88$, while fixing the radii of shell, $R = 20.0$ mm, and the cavity, $r = 9.5$ mm.

Three-Dimensional Scanning and Image Analysis. The surface topography of the dimpled patterns on the hemispherical samples was digitized using a 3D laser scanner (NextEngine) and the resulting cloud of points was postprocessed using MATLAB. The Cartesian coordinates $(x_{\phi}, y_{\phi})$ of each point were converted into spherical coordinates $(\rho, \theta, \phi)$, where $\rho$ is the radial distance from each point to the centroid of the sphere, that best fits the outer surface of the sample. In our analysis we used a stitched combination of $(\phi_{\rho}, \rho)$ and $(x_{\rho}, y_{\rho})$ coordinate representation to address the distortion of the $(\rho, \phi, \theta)$ map at small polar angles. A gray-scale image using $\rho$ as the field variable was thresholded into black and white binaries, corresponding to valleys of the dimples and the ridges, respectively. Determining the centroids of all black blobs yielded the coordinates of the centers of all dimples, from which the final Voronoi tessellation was constructed.
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