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G-protein metallochaperones ensure fidelity during cofactor assembly for a variety of metalloenzymes, including adenosylcobalamin (AdoCbl)-dependent methylmalonyl-CoA mutase and hydrogenase, and thus have both medical and biofuel development applications. Here, we present crystal structures of IcmF, a natural fusion protein of AdoCbl-dependent isobutyryl-CoA mutase and its corresponding G-protein chaperone, which reveal the molecular architecture of a G-protein metallochaperone in complex with its target protein. These structures show that conserved G-protein elements become ordered upon target protein association, creating the molecular pathways that both sense and report on the cofactor loading state. Structures determined of both apo- and holo-forms of IcmF depict both open and closed enzyme states, in which the cofactor-binding domain is alternatively positioned for cofactor loading and for catalysis. Notably, the G protein moves as a unit with the cofactor-binding domain, providing a visualization of how a chaperone assists in the sequestering of a precious cofactor inside an enzyme active site.

Metallocofactors are ubiquitous in biology and essential for many cellular processes. Their use comes at a price, however, because metallocofactors are expensive to biosynthesize and transport, can have associated toxicity, and must be correctly assembled into their target enzyme for activity. To address these challenges, nature often employs protein metallochaperones. Chaperone-assisted cofactor delivery limits cellular dilution, minimizes inadvertent reactivity associated with the free cofactor, and ensures proper enzyme assembly (1–3). The importance of metallocofactor trafficking is underscored by the manifestation of diseases when mutations affect trafficking proteins. One important group of metallochaperones comprises the small guanine nucleotide-binding proteins (G proteins) belonging to the SIMIBI class (after signal recognition particle, MinD, and BioD) of P-loop NTPases (4). The human G-protein chaperone, MMAA (methylenomalonyl aciduria type A protein, mitochondrial; gene product of cbLA), is involved in the assembly of adenosylcobalamin (AdoCbl, coenzyme B$_{12}$)-dependent methylmalonyl-CoA mutase (MCM) (5, 6). Mutations in MMAA and MCM result in methylmalonic aciduria, a genetically inherited metabolic disease that is devastating in newborns and infants (7). Bacterial homologs of MMAA are involved in assembly of other metalloproteins and include HypB for hydrogenase (8) and UreG for urease (9). These G-protein chaperones bind to their target proteins and then use their GTP hydrolase (GTPase) activity to assist maturation of the G-protein:target enzyme complex.

**Significance**

Metalloproteins are ubiquitous, accounting for about 30–50% of all proteins. Their functions are wide-ranging, but metalloproteins are frequently used to carry out challenging molecular transformations. Metalloprotein reactivity comes at a price, however, often requiring specialized molecular machinery for holoenzyme assembly. G-protein metallochaperones are an important part of this assembly apparatus, but an understanding of their molecular mechanisms has been hindered by a lack of structural data. Here, we describe crystal structures of a G-protein metallochaperone together with a target enzyme, in this case an adenosylcobalamin-dependent radical enzyme, thereby providing a visualization of the molecular architecture of the G-protein:target enzyme complex.
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Herein, we present the crystal structure of IcmF from *Cupriavidus metallidurans* containing bound AdoCbl and GDP•Mg\(^{2+}\), providing what is, to our knowledge, the first visualization of the juxtaposition of a G-protein chaperone and its target AdoCbl-dependent mutase. In addition, the crystal structure of IcmF in the absence of cofactors reveals the conformational changes required for cofactor loading.

**Results**

**Conserved GTPase Elements Are Involved in G-Protein:Mutase Complex Formation.** To visualize the molecular architecture of a G-protein:mutase complex, we determined the crystal structure of *C. metallidurans* IcmF with bound AdoCbl and GDP•Mg\(^{2+}\) (holo-IcmF•GDP) to 3.35 Å resolution (Fig. 1, Fig. S2, and Table S1). Each protomer of the IcmF dimer consists of four functional domains: an N-terminal cobalamin (Cbl)-binding Rossmann-fold domain (residues 21–157), a G-domain (residues 169–417), a structured linker region involved in dimer formation (residues 418–579), and a C-terminal acyl-CoA substrate-binding domain with an (α/β)\(_n\) triose phosphate isomerase (TIM) barrel fold (residues 580–1093) (Fig. 1 A–C).

Both the Cbl- and substrate-binding domains of IcmF share high similarity to other known AdoCbl-dependent mutases, such as MCM and glutamate mutase (Fig. 1B and D, Fig. S3 A–C, and Table S2) (23–26). As with these other enzymes, AdoCbl is bound to a Rossmann-fold domain in a “base-off/His-on” conformation with a His (His39) serving as the lower axial ligand to the cobalt (Fig. S3 D and E) and the 5′-deoxyadenosyl group (5′-dAdo) positioned in the active site cavity on the C-terminal face of the substrate-binding TIM barrel. Acyl-CoA substrates are known to access the AdoCbl cofactor by threading through the TIM barrel (Fig. S3F), which can open and close (23, 27). Finally, the dimer architecture of IcmF is similar to other AdoCbl-dependent mutases, with substantial parts of the dimer interface formed by the substrate-binding domains (Fig. S3 G–I). The linker region of IcmF, which is not conserved, additionally stabilizes the dimeric arrangement of the subunits.

Remarkably, the two G-domains of an IcmF dimer are located on opposite ends and do not share an interface (Fig. 1A), in contrast to all other G-protein metallochaperones characterized to date, which are dimers (28–31). Apart from this difference, the G-domain exhibits high structural similarity to other G-protein chaperones with a central seven-stranded β-sheet, which is flanked by α-helices on both sides (Fig. 1C and E and Table S2) (29, 30), housing the signature G-protein motifs (Fig. 2A). The catalytically essential Mg\(^{2+}\) is coordinated by the side-chains of Ser223, Asp262, and Glu310 and by a β-phosphate oxygen of GDP (Fig. 2A). Asp249 is in proximity to the Mg\(^{2+}\)-binding site and seems ideally positioned to activate a water molecule for GTP hydrolysis, as predicted previously based on homology to HypB (32). Arg265, which was disordered in structures of MeaB alone, interacts with the phosphate groups of the bound GDP and could help stabilize the negative charge that builds up during GTP hydrolysis, thus playing the role of a cis-acting Arg finger.

This conserved nucleotide-binding site is located directly at the interface of the G-domain and the mutase substrate-binding domain (Fig. 1F), burying 667 Å\(^2\) and 626 Å\(^2\) on the G-domain and the substrate-binding domain, respectively. The substrate-binding domain contributes two hydrogen bonds to the GDP ribose, from the side-chain of Asn1092 and the backbone carboxyl of Glu973 (Fig. 2B). Glu973 is also involved in salt bridges with base specificity loop residues Arg361 and Lys358. Interestingly, Glu973 immediately precedes a long α-helix that spans the width of the TIM barrel and interacts with the Cbl-binding domain, thus connecting the nucleotide binding site with both mutase domains (Fig. 2B). Two other residues of the substrate-binding domain, Arg1091 and Asn1092, form hydrogen bonds across the interface via their backbone atoms to the side-chain.
of Arg265, which is likely involved in GTP hydrolysis as described above (Fig. 2B). It is notable how much of the G-protein:substrate-binding domain interface is comprised of residues from each domain that directly or indirectly contact GDP.

The interface between the G-domain and the Cbl-binding domain buries 969 Å² and 943 Å², respectively. Residues 258–261 of the G-domain, which were disordered in the structures of MeaB (29) and MMAA (33), form a short β-strand (Fig. 2B, dark blue) that hydrogen bonds to the outermost β-strand of the Cbl-binding domain (Fig. 2B, orange), creating an extended β-sheet. Interestingly, this domain-linking β-strand contains part of the GTPase switch I motif (Leu259) (Fig. 2A and B) known to undergo conformational changes during the GTPase cycle. There are a number of interactions made between residues in or near switch I that connect the GDP•Mg²⁺-binding site in the G-domain to the Cbl-domain. In particular, Asp262 of switch I and downstream Arg265 resemble a C-clamp, pointing toward and interacting with Mg²⁺ and GDP, respectively (Fig. 2B), whereas Arg263 points in the opposite direction and directly contacts the Cbl-domain via a bifurcated interaction with Gln75 and Glu76. Gln75, Glu76, Leu259, Asp262, Arg263, and Arg265 are all fully conserved in IcmF sequences (20).

Insights into MCM:G-Protein Complexes and Methylmalonic Aciduria from the IcmF Structure. Given the high structural similarity between the IcmF domains and the homologous domains of MCM and MMAA/MeaB, the IcmF crystal structure allows us to predict the architectures of MCM:G-protein chaperone complexes by 3D structural alignments. These structure-based docking models place MCM and the G proteins in the same relative positions as in IcmF, with complementary surfaces and no major clashes (Fig. 1G, and Figs. S4 and S5). In the modeled MCM:G-protein complexes (with MMAA or MeaB), GDP resides at the interface of the MCM substrate-binding domains and the G protein, where it can make similar interactions (Fig. S4A and B). At least 10 residues that contribute to the interface between the IcmF Cbl-binding and G-domains are conserved in MCMs and their G proteins (Fig. S6), including the switch I motif and the interface-forming β-strand in the G protein.

Interestingly, a number of residues in MCM and MMAA that are associated with methylmalonic aciduria, map to this predicted interface. These residues include the MCM mutations R616C (R28 in IcmF) and G642R (G54 in IcmF), and G188R in MMAA (G257 in IcmF) (Fig. 2B–D and Table S3). We expect all three of these mutations to substantially alter the MCM/MMAA interface: the MCM R616C mutation would disrupt several hydrogen bonds, whereas the MCM G642R or MMAA G188R mutations would introduce severe clashes. Similarly, two other disease-causing mutations, F573S and G648D, map to IcmF residues G1086 and L60, respectively. Both these residues are located at the mutase:G-protein interface in IcmF (Fig. S4 D and E).

Asymmetry Within the Holo-IcmF•GDP Structure Highlights Subunit Flexibility. Comparison of the two protomers of the holo-IcmF•GDP dimer reveals a displacement of the Cbl- and G-domains in one chain (B) relative to the other chain (A), leading to an ~850 Å² difference in buried surface area and an 8 Å shift of the Cbl cofactor (Fig. 3A and Fig. S7A). Whereas the interactions between the Cbl and its binding domain are unchanged, almost all interactions between the Cbl and the substrate-binding domain are lost in chain B, with reduction of the buried surface area from 839 Å² to 159 Å² and concomitant loss of 5’-dAdo. Although the Cbl-binding domain is farther from the substrate-binding domain in chain B, parts of the G-domain are closer to the substrate-binding domain, increasing the interface between the latter two domains from 646 Å² to 768 Å². A difference distance matrix plot (34) demonstrates that the Cbl- and the G-domains move as a single rigid body that is best described as a swinging motion around a hinge centered at the nucleotide-binding site of the G-domain (Fig. 3A and Figs. S7B and S8). Given its location at the hinge, the guanosine nucleotide moves by only ~3 Å, whereas the Cbl, which is far from the hinge, is displaced by a larger distance of ~8 Å. Inspection of crystal packing suggests that the chain B Cbl-binding domain is held in this extended position by lattice

Fig. 2. Molecular interactions within and between IcmF domains. (A) IcmF GTPase active site with signature G-protein elements highlighted: Mg²⁺-binding P-loop or Walker A motif (purple); switch I (dark blue), which is conformationally responsive to the GTPase cycle; switch II or Walker B motif (pink), which also undergoes conformational changes; and base specificity loop (orange) with the sequence NKxD that confers specificity to guanosine nucleotides. GDP•Mg²⁺ shown with carbon in yellow, Mg²⁺ in orange. Hydrogen bonds and coordination bonds are indicated by dashed black lines. Water molecules may additionally coordinate the Mg²⁺, but are not visible in this structure because of its modest resolution. (B) Close-up view of the interactions between selected parts of the G-domain (cyan) and mutase Cbl- (yellow, orange) and substrate-binding domains (green), oriented as in Fig. 1F. AdoCbl shown with Cbl carbon in pink, 5’-dAdo carbon in cyan, cobalt in purple. Switch I shown in dark blue. Interactions between G-domain and mutase domains are shown as black dashed lines, with residues shown as sticks with carbons colored by domains. Pathogenic mutations in MCM:MMAA, which have been mapped onto IcmF, are highlighted in pink (R28, G54, L60, G257). (C) and (D) Close-up view of mapped pathogenic mutations; see Fig. S4B for location in overall structure. IcmF, colored as in B, is superimposed with MMAA in gray. Highlighted pathogenic mutations are (C) Arg28 (MCM Arg616) as well as (D) Gly54 (MCM Gly642) and Gly257 (MMAA Gly188), shown in pink. In D, the corresponding region in MMAA is disordered and not shown.
The TIM barrel in chain A is intact, with all interstrand hydrogen bonds in place, the TIM barrel in chain B is split into two halves of four strands each (Fig. 3B). Comparing the two conformations of the substrate-binding domain to structures of MCM reveals that the closed barrel of chain A resembles the structure of substrate-bound MCM (27, 35), even though no substrate is bound in our holo-IcmF•GDP structure. The open barrel of chain B closely matches the structure of substrate-free MCM (Fig. 3C) (23, 27). Thus, chain B, which has the cleaved Cbl cofactor swung out of the active position, also exhibits a disrupted substrate-binding barrel. Although opening and closing of the substrate-binding barrel was previously associated exclusively with substrate binding, here we observe both states in the absence of substrate. The opening is instead accompanied by a displacement of the Cbl out of the active position.

Comparison of the holo and apo structures reveals that the Cbl-binding domain of chain A is no longer tightly associated with its substrate-binding domain. The surface area between these domains has decreased from 1,502 Å² to 799 Å², and the Cbl-domain is moved by ~6 Å from its position in holo-IcmF•GDP (Fig. S9A). At the same time, the interface between the Cbl-domain and the G-domain remains intact with an unchanged average buried surface area and no loss of interactions, demonstrating again that the Cbl-domain and the G-domain are moving as one rigid body. This displacement is very similar to that in the holo-IcmF•GDP structure, and as before, it is best described as a swinging motion hinged near the nucleotide-binding site of the G-domain.

Notably, this “open” conformation in apo-IcmF exposes the AdoCbl binding site and the His39-containing loop known to be important for cofactor docking (16) and could therefore depict a structural intermediate in cofactor delivery. To test if this conformation of IcmF is capable of binding AdoCbl, we incubated a preformed apo-IcmF crystal with fresh AdoCbl and determined the resulting structure. Strikingly, the structure reverts back to the active conformation, with AdoCbl bound in the catalytically competent position (Fig. S9 C and D). Thus, the open conformation is competent for cofactor binding, and cofactor binding triggers a conformational change into the active conformation.

The G-Protein Active Site Undergoes Nucleotide-Sensitive Conformational Changes. The structures of holo-IcmF•GDP and apo-IcmF also allow us to observe changes associated with GDP binding. In the absence of GDP in the apo-IcmF structure, three loops near the G-protein active site are disordered or exhibit conformational changes compared with the holo-IcmF•GDP structure (Fig. S9B). These loops include: (i) residues 252–256, which immediately precede switch I; (ii) residues 312–318, which include the last two residues of switch II and are located next to the residues corresponding to the recently identified switch III region in MeaB (36) (residues 333–344); and (iii) residues 281–291, which are packed between loops (i) and (ii). To examine whether these loop differences are a result of the presence of GDP and not other crystal-to-crystal variations, we incubated a preformed apo-IcmF crystal with GDP•Mg²⁺ and determined the resulting apo-IcmF•GDP structure to 3.25 Å resolution (Table S1). Notably, the loops become ordered (Fig. S9B) and adopt the same conformations as observed in the holo-IcmF•GDP structure. Thus, these crystallographic data support the responsiveness to GDP of these three loops in the G protein.

Discussion

G-protein metallochaperones constitute an important enclave of metal homeostasis regulators whose activity in cofactor delivery has been difficult to understand without structural data depicting a metallochaperone:target enzyme complex. The fortuitous fusion of two “stand-alone” proteins into one in IcmF has allowed us to obtain the requisite structural data to interrogate both the signaling pathways and the conformational dynamics related to cofactor delivery. With IcmF serving as a model system, we predict that the human and bacterial MCM:G-protein complexes will form such that their G proteins interact similarly with their mutant domains despite their differences in oligomerization state [bacterial MCM is a heterodimer of an active and an inactive chain (22, 23, 37) and human MCM is a homodimer (33, 38)]. Notably, our structure-based docking model places five pathogenic human mutations—four in human MCM and one in
MMAA—at the MCM:MMAA interface. We now posit that MCM F573S, R616C, G642R, and G648D, as well as MMAA G188R (Table S3) (7), lead to disease because they disrupt the MCM:MMAA interaction, thereby impairing AdoCbl delivery to MCM and causing methylmalonic aciduria. Biochemical data are available to support two of these predictions: the pathogenic G188R mutation in MMAA was shown to inhibit complex formation with human MCM (33) and the pathogenic R616C mutation in MCM was mimicked in a bacterial ortholog and exhibited reduced affinity for MeaB (12).

Our atomic level view of this G-protein:enzyme complex reveals that the switch I region lies at the interface between the G-domain and the Cbl-domain, where it can communicate a signal to regulate Cbl delivery. We have captured multiple structures of both open and closed forms of IcmF and every structure depicts the movement of the Cbl-domain as a concerted displacement of both the Cbl- and the G-domain, with the nucleotide-binding site in the G-domain serving as a hinge. With an interface between the Cbl- and G-domains that appears fairly rigid, the G protein is not just sending a remote signal for the Cbl-domain to move, it is accompanying the Cbl-domain in its movement. Additionally, our structures with and without GDP identify three conformationally flexible loops, which are either near or include residues of the common G-protein elements (switch I and II) and the MeaB/MMAA-specific element known as switch III. Notably, mutations of switch III residues in MeaB affect AdoCbl delivery (36).

Using these structural snapshots and previously published biochemical data (12), we can propose a molecular model for the involvement of G-protein metallochaperones in Cbl delivery (Fig. 4). Enabled by association with the G protein, the Cbl-domain can undergo substantial motions, equilibrating between open and closed conformations. In the open state, the Cbl-domain is partially dissociated from the substrate-binding domain, exposing the His-loop known to be important for cofactor docking (16) and allowing access to the binding pocket for the Cbl dimethylbenzimidazole tail. Indeed, we observe that the open conformation is capable of binding AdoCbl, returning to the catalytically competent closed conformation. AdoCbl delivery (16) should be promoted by GTP binding. Based on the position of GDP, the γ-phosphate of GTP would be positioned between switch I and switch II in a solvent-exposed region, where it could make direct contact with the adenosyltransferase. Alternatively, GTP binding could stabilize the open conformation of the Cbl-domain via the switch I region, but elucidation of the precise role of GTP awaits a GTP-bound structure. In our model, AdoCbl binding and GTP hydrolysis would favor release of the adenosyltransferase and collapse of the open state, sequestering the high value cofactor in its binding pocket. In this catalytically active state, the cofactor is secured by multiple interactions from both the substrate- and Cbl-binding domains, which should inhibit active site reopening and thus prevent inactivation because of loss of the Cbl upper ligand.

In addition to the molecular interactions observed between the G-domain and the Cbl-binding domain that are undoubtedly involved in cofactor delivery, we find that the GTPase active site is located directly at the interface with the mutase substrate-binding domain. This location provides a molecular explanation for the observation that chaperone GTPase activity is affected by binding to a target protein, as observed with MCM: MMAA/MeaB (33, 39). Sizable regions of the GTPase active site are disordered in structures of MeaB and MMAA alone, and likely become ordered upon complex formation to assume the positions observed in IcmF. In particular, complex formation between MCM and MMAA/MeaB is expected to position the conserved Arg (265 in IcmF and 108 in MeaB) at the G-protein:mutase interface such that it can facilitate GTP hydrolysis (Fig. 2B). Thus, by the repositioning of a few key side-chains through a protein:protein binding event, communication is established between the substrate-binding domain of the target protein and the GTPase active site of the metallochaperone.

This molecular communication could play a role in mediating conformational changes of the substrate-binding domain that have been observed crystallographically for MCM, with the TIM barrel split open in the absence of substrate and closed in its presence (23, 27). Here, we observe the same open and closed conformations of the TIM barrel, but the correlation is with the absence/presence of Cbl in the barrel as neither structure has substrate. Although the key role of the G-protein metallochaperone must be in AdoCbl loading, MeaB and MMAA also reduce the rate of inactivation of their target enzyme MCM at no cost to catalytic efficiency (6, 18). It is tempting to consider that this protection arises from the G protein assisting conformational changes of the TIM barrel to prevent formation of inactive enzyme states. In summary, we have provided atomic resolution structures that depict the interaction between an AdoCbl-dependent mutase and its corresponding G-protein chaperone. These structures suggest a molecular pathway to explain the observed bidirectional signaling (12, 36) and indicate how large conformational changes between cofactor- and substrate-binding domains can be achieved to load one of nature’s most precious metallocofactors.

Materials and Methods

C. metallidurans icmF was amplified from genomic DNA and ligated into a pET28a vector (Novagen) at the NdeI and BamHI sites. C. metallidurans icmF was purified as described previously (20, 21). IcmF-SeMet was expressed using the methionine biosynthesis inhibition method.

All forms of IcmF were crystallized at 25 °C by hanging-drop vapor diffusion. Purified IcmF at a final concentration of 12 mg/ml was supplemented with 300 μM AdoCbl, 1 mM GDP, and 3 mM MgCl₂ to generate holo-IcmF•GDP. For IcmF without AdoCbl and GDP (apo-IcmF), the substrate n-butyl-CoA...
(BCoA, 10 mM) was included to stabilize the protein. To generate crystals of apo-IcmF-GDP, preformed apo-IcmF crystals were soaked with 1 mM GDP and 1.5 mM MgCl₂ for 6 h. To reconstitute crystals of apo-IcmF with AdoCbl (apo-IcmF-reconst), preformed apo-IcmF crystals were soaked with 1 mM AdoCbl for 6 h.

All IcmF crystals belong to space group H32. A Se anomalous peak dataset for holo-IcmF-5Fe6S+GDP was collected at the Advanced Light Source (Berkeley, CA) at a wavelength of 0.97914 Å (12663 eV). All other data were collected at the Advanced Photon Source (Argonne, IL). All data were collected at a temperature of 100 K. Data collection statistics are summarized in Table S1.

The holo-IcmF-GDP structure was solved using SSe-single isomorphous replacement with anomalous scattering, whereas the structures of apo-IcmF, apo-IcmF-GDP, and apo-IcmF-reconst were determined by molecular replacement. The models were refined by iterative cycles of manual adjustment in COOT (40) and refinement in phix (41). Crystallographic refinement of the structures yielded models that possess low free R-factors, excellent stereochemistry, and small rmsd from ideal values for bond lengths and angles. Refinement statistics are summarized in Table S1. Full experimental details are provided in SI Materials and Methods.
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