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The bifunctional cell wall hydrolase CwlT is needed for conjugation of the integrative and conjugative element ICEBs1 in Bacillus subtilis and B. anthracis.
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Abstract

The mobile genetic element ICEBs1 is an integrative and conjugative element found in Bacillus subtilis. One of the ICEBs1 genes, cwIT, encodes a cell wall hydrolase with two catalytic domains, a muramidase and a peptidase. We found that cwIT is required for ICEBs1 conjugation. We examined the role of each of the two catalytic domains, and found that the muramidase is essential, whereas the peptidase is partially dispensable for transfer of ICEBs1. We also found that the putative signal peptide in CwlT is required for CwlT to function in conjugation, consistent with the notion that CwlT is normally secreted from the cytoplasm. We found that alteration of the putative lipid attachment site on CwlT had no effect on its role in conjugation, indicating that if CwlT is a lipoprotein, the lipid attachment is not required for conjugation. Finally, we found conditions supporting efficient transfer of ICEBs1 into and out of B. anthracis and that cwIT was needed for ICEBs1 to function in B. anthracis. The mature cell wall of B. anthracis is resistant to digestion by CwlT, indicating that CwlT might act during cell wall synthesis, before modifications of the peptidoglycan are complete.
Introduction

Integrative and conjugative elements (ICEs) are mobile genetic elements that are found stably integrated into a bacterial chromosome. Under certain conditions, an ICE can excise from the chromosome, circularize, and transfer to a recipient cell via the ICE-encoded conjugation machinery (reviewed in 1, 2). ICEs are found in a wide variety of bacterial species, both Gram positive and Gram negative (3), and they often bestow physiologically and clinically relevant traits, including nitrogen fixation, biofilm formation, virulence, and antibiotic resistance.

ICEBs1 is a mobile genetic element found in many isolates of *Bacillus subtilis* (4-6). It is approximately 21 kb in length with 24 open reading frames (Fig. 1A). ICEBs1 is found integrated in *trnS-leu2*, the gene for a leucine-tRNA, and it remains stably integrated as long as its major operon is repressed. Derepression of ICEBs1 gene expression and subsequent excision occur in response to DNA damage, or when the cell-cell signaling regulator RapI is produced and becomes active, usually when cells are crowded by potential recipients that do not have ICEBs1 (4, 7, 8).

The ICEBs1 gene *cwlT* (cell wall lytic; previously *yddH*) encodes a bifunctional cell wall hydrolase (Fig. 2) capable of degrading peptidoglycan (9). Peptidoglycan is the major component of the bacterial cell wall and is composed of long carbohydrate chains of alternating amino sugars, N-acetylglucosamine and N-acetylmuramic acid, crosslinked by short peptide chains (10-12). In *B. subtilis*, the cell wall is approximately 40-50 nm thick (13, 14), and the genome encodes a complement of >30 hydrolases that digest the various covalent bonds in the cell wall peptidoglycan to facilitate processes such as growth, separation of cells after division, and mother cell lysis during sporulation (12, 13).
Peptidoglycan hydrolases are widespread in mobile genetic elements and are often found associated with Type IV secretion systems (T4SS) involved in conjugation (15-19). One of the best characterized of the Type IV secretion systems is the VirB/D4 system from Agrobacterium tumefaciens. This system is composed of a large multiprotein channel that spans the cell envelope and mediates the secretion of conjugative DNA and associated proteins. It is generally assumed that the hydrolases cause localized degradation of the cell wall to allow the assembly of the large secretion apparatus. However, relatively little is known about their function in conjugation. Hydrolases in Gram negative organisms tend to have one hydrolytic domain and are usually not essential for conjugation (20-22). Hydrolases from conjugative systems in Gram positive organisms typically have two or more catalytic domains, and conjugation is significantly reduced or eliminated in mutants (23-25).

Cell wall hydrolases from B. subtilis phage and conjugative elements typically have multiple domains (9, 26). CwlT has two domains for peptidoglycan hydrolysis and each has been characterized biochemically (9). The N-terminal domain is an N-acetylmuramidase (muramidase) that cleaves the linkage between N-acetylmuramic acid and N-acetylglucosamine. The C-terminal endopeptidase (peptidase) domain cleaves the bond between D-γ-glutamate and meso-diaminopimelic acid (9).

We found that cwlT is required for conjugation of ICEBs1. Using mutants in each of the two domains (Fig. 2), we found that the muramidase function is essential, and that the peptidase function is important but partially dispensable, for ICEBs1 conjugation. We found that the signal sequence involved in secretion of CwlT is critical for its function in conjugation. It was previously predicted that CwlT might be a lipoprotein (9, 27). We found that alteration of the putative lipid anchor site in CwlT had no affect on conjugation, indicting that if CwlT is a
lipoprotein, lipid attachment is likely not required for CwlT function. We also analyzed whether CwlT functions were needed for ICEBs1 to function in *B. anthracis*, whose cell wall is modified and resistant to hydrolysis by CwlT (and lysozyme). Our results indicate that CwlT activity is essential for ICEBs1 to transfer into and/or out of *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Strains and alleles.** *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis* strains used are listed in Table 1. Standard techniques were used for cloning and strain construction (28). Some alleles related to ICEBs1 were previously described and are summarized below. Donor strains contained a derivative of ICEBs1 that contains a deletion of *rapI-phrI* and insertion of a *kan* cassette, Δ(*rapI-phrI*):*kan* (4, 29-31) (Fig. 1B). *rapI* was overexpressed from Pxyl-*rapI* integrated into *amyE*, *amyE*: (*Pxyl-rapI*) *spc* (29) to induce ICEBs1 gene expression and excision in donor cells. ICEBs1⁰ indicates that the strain is cured of ICEBs1. *B. subtilis* recipients were streptomycin-resistant due to the spontaneous streptomycin-resistant allele *str84*, most likely in *rpsL* (30), and this was used as a counter-selective marker in mating experiments.

**Deletion of cwlT.** ΔcwlT19 is unmarked deletion that removes cwlT entirely and fuses the stop codon of *conG* (upstream of cwlT) to the intergenic region upstream of *yddI*. A 2.1 kb fragment of ICEBs1 DNA with the ΔcwlT19 allele was obtained by the splice-overlap-extension PCR method (32, 33) and cloned into the EcoRI and BamHI sites of pEX44 (34), a chloramphenicol-resistant vector containing *E. coli lacZ*. The resulting plasmid, pTD6, was used to introduce ΔcwlT19 into the chromosome of MMB970 by first integrating by single crossover.
and then screening for loss of the plasmid by virtue of loss of lacZ, and then testing by PCR for introduction of the indicated allele, essentially as described (31).

Modification of muramidase and peptidase domains. Mutations in the muramidase and peptidase domains were created using a strategy similar to that for Δcwt. cwt-E87Q contains a missense mutation at position 87 of cwt, converting a glutamate to a glutamine codon. cwt-C237A contains a missense mutation at position 237, converting a cysteine to an alanine codon. cwt-E87Q-C237A contains both of these mutations. cwtΔ(207-329) is a deletion of the entire peptidase domain, consisting of a fusion of the first 206 codons of cwt to its stop codon (Fig. 2).

DNA fragments (~1.2 kb) containing one or both of these mutations were constructed and cloned into pCAL1422 by isothermal assembly (35) to yield pTD8 (cwt-E87Q), pTD9 (cwt-C237A), pTD10 (cwt-E87Q-C237A), and pTD310 (cwtΔ207-329). These plasmids were used to introduce their respective alleles into the chromosome of Δcwt19 strain TD19 as described for pEX44 above. Mutations in cwt were then confirmed by sequencing appropriate PCR products from genomic DNA.

Modification to cwt signal sequence. cwtΔ1-29 contains a deletion of the first 29 codons of cwt and introduces a start codon at the beginning of the truncated gene (Fig. 2). cwt-C23A contains a missense mutation that removes the putative lipoprotein anchoring site by converting the cysteine codon at position 23 to an alanine codon. These mutations were introduced into MMB970 with pCAL1422-derived plasmids pTD95 (cwtΔ1-29), pTD99 (cwtSpoVD1-32), and pTD116 (cwt-C23A) as described above.

Construction of ICEBs1-cwt at thrC. To test for complementation of various cwt mutants, we provided wild type cwt from an ectopic copy of ICEBs1 integrated at thrC (29, 31). We found that complementation required expression of cwt along with the upstream genes, similar
to findings with complementation of other ICEBs1 mutants (29). As discussed previously, we suspect that this has to do with some type of coupling, perhaps translational, between expression of many of the ICEBs1 genes (29). A complementation construct, \( \text{thrC11::}\{\text{mls ICEBs1}\Delta(yddl-attR::tet}\} \) (Fig. 1C), was created by starting with CAL229, which contains the entire ICEBs1 integrated into an attachment site (\( \text{attB} \)) placed at \( \text{thrC} \) and marked with macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin (\( \text{mls} \)) resistance. Genes downstream from \( \text{cwlT} \) were deleted and a tetracycline-resistance cassette inserted, analogous to previously described alleles (31), yielding strain TD11. Transformation with chromosomal DNA from TD11 was used to introduce the complementation construct to other strains.

Construction of donor and recipient \( \text{B. anthracis} \) strains. In mating experiments, counter selection for \( \text{B. anthracis} \) recipients was with either chloramphenicol or nalidixic acid. Chloramphenicol resistance in \( \text{B. anthracis} \) was from the plasmid pBS42, introduced into \( \text{B. anthracis} \) strain UM44-1C9 (AG1924) (4, 36) by ICEBs1-mediated mobilization from \( \text{B. subtilis} \) strain CAL1394 (37). Nalidixic acid resistance was due to a spontaneous mutation (4). ICEBs1 elements with mutations in \( \text{cwlT} \) were introduced into \( \text{B. anthracis} \) via conjugation from \( \text{B. subtilis} \) donors harboring a wild type \( \text{cwlT} \) allele at an exogenous chromosomal locus, complementing the loss of \( \text{cwlT} \) function and allowing transfer. \( \text{B. anthracis} \) strains TD322 (\( \text{cwlT-E87Q} \)), TD324 (\( \text{cwlT-C237A} \)), and TD326 (\( \text{cwlT-E87Q-C237A} \)) were created by conjugation of ICEBs1 from \( \text{B. subtilis} \) strains TD62, TD52, and TD57 respectively.

Construction of \( \text{cwlT} \) overexpression plasmids. Plasmids for the overproduction of CwlT, and both mutant and wild type versions of the peptidase domain of CwlT were constructed similarly to those previously described (9). Overproduction of full length CwlT in \( \text{E. coli} \) caused rapid cell lysis. However, deletion of the N-terminal 29 amino acids prevented lysis in \( \text{E. coli} \), and this
deletion was used to express CwlT for purification. In contrast, overproduction of full length CwlT in *B. subtilis* had no obvious effect on cell growth or viability, perhaps indicating that activation of CwlT might be regulated. A fragment of *cwT* containing codons 30–329 (with an N-terminal initiation codon) was amplified by PCR and cloned into pET21b (Novagen) digested with NdeI and HindIII, placing a hexa-histidine tag (his6) at the C-terminus of the protein. This yielded pTD3, which was used for overexpression of CwlT-his6. For expression of the peptidase domain, a fragment encoding amino acids 207 to 329 of *cwT* was amplified by PCR either from AG174 (wild type *cwT*) or TD48 (*cwT*-C237A), and cloned into pET28a (Novagen) digested with NdeI and HindIII, placing a hexa-histidine tag at the N-terminus of the protein. This yielded plasmids pTD106 (his6-CwlT-Pep) and pTD107 (his6-CwlT-PepC237A).

**Media and growth conditions.** Cells were grown at 37°C with agitation in LB medium (28, 38) as indicated. Antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: ampicillin (100 μg/ml), chloramphenicol (5 μg/ml), kanamycin (5 μg/ml for *B. subtilis*, 25 μg/ml for *E. coli*), spectinomycin (100 μg/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml), and nalidixic acid (40 μg/ml). Erythromycin and lincomycin were used together (0.5 and 12/5 μg/ml, respectively) to select for macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B (MLS) resistance. Isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG, Sigma) was used at a final concentration of 1 mM.

**Mating assays.** Matings were performed essentially as previously described (4). Briefly, donor and recipient cells were grown in LB. Expression of ICE*BsI* genes was achieved in one of two ways: either by production of the activator RapI from the xylose-inducible promoter Pxyl, or by activation of the SOS response by addition of the DNA damaging agent mitomycin C (MMC) (4). For activation of the Pxyl promoter, Xylose (1%) was added to donor cells in mid-exponential growth (OD<sub>600</sub> ~0.2) to induce expression of Pxyl-*rapI*. For mitomycin C induction,
1 µg/ml mitomycin C (MMC, Sigma) was added to donor cells in mid-exponential growth (OD\textsubscript{600} ~ 0.5). After one hour of induction, approximately equal numbers of donor and recipient cells were mixed and filtered onto sterile nitrocellulose filters. When cultures were induced with MMC, the filter was then washed with 25 ml of LB to minimize exposure of recipients to MMC in the donor culture.

The filters were placed on plates comprised of Spizizen minimal salts (28) and 1.5% agar for 3 hr. Cells were collected from the filter and spread on selective plates. Transconjugants were identified and mating frequencies were calculated per donor cell. The reported transfer frequencies are the mean (± the standard error of mean) of at least two independent biological replicates. In mating experiments induced by MMC, donor CFU was determined prior to addition of MMC, as it can cause a drop in cell viability.

**Purification of CwlT Proteins.** Plasmids pTD3 (CwlT-his6), pTD106 (his6-CwlT-Pep), and pTD107 (his6-CwlT-PepC237A) were introduced into *E. coli* strain BL21-A1 (Invitrogen), generating strains TD103, TD106, and TD107 for expression of the different alleles of *cwlT*. Cells were grown in LB containing 100 µg/ml ampicillin (pTD3) or 25 µg/ml kanamycin (pTD106 and pTD107), shaking at 37º C. At OD\textsubscript{600} ~0.7 to 0.9, L-arabinose (final concentration of 0.2%) and IPTG (final concentration of 1 mM) were added to induce expression of the T7 polymerase and derepress expression of *cwlT*. Cells were collected after 2 hours of induction and pelleted by centrifugation. Cell pellets were stored at -80ºC until needed.

For purification of CwlT, the cell pellet was thawed on ice, re-suspended in 0.2 volumes lysis buffer (50 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, pH 8.0) and lysed by addition (final concentration of 1x) of CelLytic B (Sigma) and by sonication (microtip, 50% power) on ice 4 x 20 s. The lysate was incubated with DNase I (10 µg/ml) for 30 minutes on ice, and the
supernatant was separated by centrifugation at 14,000xg at 4°C for 20 minutes. CwlT-his6, his6-
CwlT-Pep, and his6-CwlT-PepC237A were purified by Ni-NTA column chromatography
(Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol for batch purification under native conditions.
Elution fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Those containing more than ~95% CwlT
were pooled and exchanged into storage buffer (50 mM NaH₂PO₄, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT,
pH 7.4) using PD-10 desalting columns (GE Healthcare). Protein concentration was determined
by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad), glycerol was added to 25%, and protein was stored at -80°C. CwlT
was often unstable (degraded) after storage, so most assays were done with freshly purified
protein.

Activity of CwlT against B. subtilis and B. anthracis. Cells (B. anthracis or B. subtilis)
were grown to mid-exponential phase in LB liquid medium at 37°C with shaking. Purified CwlT-
his was added to the growing culture in final concentrations ranging from 1 µg/ml to 1 mg/ml.
Cultures were incubated for 20 minutes and then cells were plated to determine colony forming
units. Survival percentage was determined by comparison to a culture for which no CwlT was
added.

Preparation of cell walls. Cell walls from B. subtilis and B. anthracis were prepared
essentially as described previously (9, 39, 40). Briefly, cells were harvested from cultures (2
liters) in mid-exponential growth phase, resuspended in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS)
(40 ml), and disrupted by sonication (microtip, 50% power) 15 x 30 s. After low-speed
centrifugation (1500xg, 10 min) to remove unbroken cells, the crude cell wall was pelleted at
27,000xg for 5 min at 4°C, suspended in 20 ml of a 4% (w/v) sodium dodecyl sulphate solution
(SDS) and put in a boiling water bath for 20 min. Pellets were washed three times with warm
deionized water (to prevent precipitation of SDS), two times with 1 M NaCl, and again four
times with deionized water. After each of the last four washes, the sample was first spun at low speed (1500xg, 5 min) to separate whole cells and other contaminating material from the cell wall fraction, which was then pelleted by spinning at 27,000 x g for 5 min.

**Determination of hydrolytic activities of CwlT proteins.** Hydrolytic activities were determined essentially as described (9). Reactions were performed in 50 mM MOPS-NaOH buffer, pH 6.5 at 32ºC, with 1 mg/ml *B. subtilis* or *B. anthracis* cell wall preparations. Proteins were added to a final concentration of 10 µg/ml (CwlT-his6) or 5 µg/ml (his6-CwlT-Pep and his6-CwlT-PepC237A), and the reaction mixture was agitated constantly to maintain the cell walls in suspension. Turbidity of the reaction was monitored at 540 nm using a spectrophotometer (Genesys 10 Bio, Thermo Corporation).

**Polyacrylamide gels and zymography.** Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and zymography were performed as previously described (38, 41). For zymography, approximately 1 µg of various purified CwlT proteins were electrophoresed through a 12% polyacrylamide gel containing cell wall preparations (~1 mg/ml) from *B. subtilis* or *B. anthracis*. Following electrophoresis, gels were soaked in deionized water for 30 minutes and then transferred into renaturation buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 1% Triton X-100, pH 7.2) at 30ºC overnight with gentle agitation. After incubation, the gels were rinsed with deionized water, stained with 0.1% methylene blue in 0.01% KOH for 3 hr, and destained with deionized water. Hydrolytic activity appeared as zones of clearing in the blue background of the stained cell walls.

**Western blot analysis.** Samples were collected from cultures after 3 hr of induction of ICEBs1 expression. Cells were pelleted and stored at -80ºC. Pellets were thawed and resuspended in buffer (10 mM Tris, 10 mM EDTA, pH 7) containing 0.1 mg/ml lysozyme and the protease inhibitor 4-(2-aminoethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride (AEBSF) at 1
mM. The volume of buffer used to resuspend each sample of cells was adjusted to the optical density at 600 nm (OD_{600}) in order to normalize the concentration of proteins in each sample. Resuspended cells were incubated at 37°C for 30 min, SDS sample buffer was added, and samples were heated at 100°C for 10 min followed by centrifugation to remove insoluble material.

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on 12% gels and transferred to an Immobilon polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Millipore) using a Trans-blot semidry electroblot transfer apparatus (Bio-Rad). Membranes were blocked in Odyssey Block (Li-Cor Biosciences) for 1 hr, and then incubated in a 1:5,000 dilution of anti-CwlT rabbit polyclonal antisera (made commercially by Covance using CwlT-his6 protein purified from *E. coli*) in Odyssey Block with 0.2% Tween for 1 hr, and washed several times in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.8, with 0.1% Tween. Membranes were then incubated with 1:5,000 goat anti-rabbit IRDye 800 CW conjugate (Li-Cor) in Odyssey Block, 0.2% Tween, and 0.01% SDS for 1 hour, and washed several times in PBST. Signals were detected using the Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor) according to manufacturer protocols, and gel image was desaturated and inverted using Adobe Photoshop.

**Results and Discussion**

CwlT is required for horizontal transfer of ICEBs1

We constructed a deletion of *cwlT* (∆cwlT19) in ICEBs1 (Materials and Methods) and tested for the ability of ICEBs1∆cwlT to function in conjugation. The conjugation efficiency of wild type (cwlT+) ICEBs1 was ~5% transconjugants per donor (Table 2, line 1), similar to frequencies described previously (4). In contrast, there was no detectable transfer (≤ 5 x 10^{-5} %) of
ICEBs1ΔcwIT (Table 2, line 2). The mutant phenotype was largely complemented by expression of wild type cwIT and all upstream ICEBs1 genes (Fig. 1) from an ectopic locus (Table 2, line 3). We were unable to complement ICEBs1ΔcwIT by expressing cwIT alone at an exogenous locus (data not shown). We suspect that proper expression of cwIT requires coupling to expression of the upstream genes, similar to what has been observed with other ICEBs1 mutants (29). The complementation results indicate that the defect in conjugation was due predominantly to loss of cwIT and not an unexpected effect on downstream genes or a site in ICEBs1, and that the ICEBs1-encoded cell wall hydrolase CwlT is indispensable for conjugation.

Our results with cwIT contrast those for cell wall hydrolases from Gram negative conjugative elements, and are consistent with recent findings in Gram positive organisms. In Gram negative bacteria, loss of the element-encoded hydrolase reduces, but does not eliminate, conjugative transfer. For example, deletion of virB1 from the A. tumefaciens Ti plasmid (20), gene 19 of R1 (21), and traL of pKM101 (22) results in an approximately 10- to 100-fold reduction in conjugative transfer. In contrast, loss of the hydrolase from Gram positive conjugative elements causes either a complete elimination in transfer, or a more severe reduction than that observed for conjugative elements from Gram negative bacteria. For example, loss of the hydrolase TcpG from pCW3 in Clostridium perfringens causes an approximately 1,000-fold decrease in conjugation (25), and loss of TraG from pIP501 (23) or PrgK from pCF10 (24) in E. faecalis causes complete elimination (>10^5-fold) of transfer.

We suspect that the apparently greater contribution to conjugation by the element-encoded hydrolases in Gram positive bacteria than that in Gram negative bacteria is partly due to the thicker cell wall. Consistent with the increased hydrolytic requirement, many hydrolases associated with Gram positive mobile elements have multiple hydrolytic domains. Like CwlT,
TraG (from pIP501) is predicted to contain both muramidase and peptidase function (23). PrgK (from pCF10) contains three hydrolytic domains: two muramidases, and one peptidase (24). It has been suggested that the peptidase domains are important in assisting digestion of highly-crosslinked Gram positive cell wall (19, 42, 43).

Partial requirement for some hydrolases in conjugation might be due to redundant functions in the host. Many hydrolases have a high degree of cross-functionality (13, 18, 44). That is, there can be redundancy and the loss of one hydrolase is masked by the presence of others. For the conjugative elements, we suspect that the partial requirement for hydrolases, in either Gram positive or Gram negative bacteria, could be due to the activities of host hydrolases or hydrolases from other resident mobile elements (25, 45-47). For CwlT of ICEBs1 and the essential hydrolases from other mobile elements, it appears that the host hydrolases are not capable of providing sufficient function to allow any detectable conjugative transfer.

**Different effects of muramidase and peptidase mutants of CwlT**

CwlT contains two peptidoglycan hydrolytic domains, a muramidase and a peptidase (9). To determine their respective contributions to ICEBs1 transfer, we made mutations in each of the two domains of CwlT and assayed for effects on the conjugation efficiency of ICEBs1. Our findings indicate that the muramadase is essential and the peptidase partly dispensable for the function of CwlT in conjugation.

Muramidase activity is abolished by a previously characterized cwlT-E87Q mutation that alters the catalytic site of the muramidase domain (9). We introduced this mutation into cwlT in ICEBs1. There was no detectable transfer of the ICEBs1 cwlT-E87Q mutant (Table 2, line 4), indicating that muramidase activity is required for transfer of ICEBs1. Levels of CwlT-E87Q protein accumulation appear comparable to those of wild type CwlT, as measured by Western
blots (Fig. 3), indicating that the mutant protein was accumulating to normal levels. The defect in conjugation was due to the *cwlT-E87Q* mutation and not to an unexpected effect on downstream genes because the mutant phenotype was fully complemented by exogenous expression of wild type *cwlT* and the upstream ICE*Bs1* genes (Table 2, line 5).

To investigate the role of the peptidase domain, we constructed a point mutation in *cwlT* that changes its putative catalytic cysteine (48, 49), *cwlT-C237A*. We used two assays to verify that the mutant protein was defective in enzymatic function: a quantitative kinetic assay to measure the rate at which CwIT degraded purified peptidoglycan, and a zymography assay to detect hydrolase activity in purified proteins or cell lysates (41). We purified both wild type and mutant peptidase fragments of CwIT, separate from the muramidase domain (9). There was no detectable hydrolytic activity in the C237A mutant peptidase fragment by either kinetic assay or by zymography (data not shown).

We introduced the *cwlT-C237A* mutation into ICE*Bs1* and tested for effects on conjugation. This mutant had a conjugation efficiency of ~5 x 10⁻³ % transconjugants per donor (Table 2, line 6), approximately 1,000-fold less than that of wild type. Levels of CwIT-C237A protein accumulation were comparable to those of wild type CwIT, as measured by Western blots (Fig. 3), again indicating that the mutant fragment was accumulating to normal levels. The defect in conjugation was due to the *cwlT* mutation and not an unexpected effect on downstream genes because the mutant phenotype was fully complemented by exogenous expression of wild type *cwlT* and the upstream ICE*Bs1* genes (Table 2, line 7).

The conjugation efficiency of the *cwlT-C237A* peptidase mutant (~5 x 10⁻³ %) was significantly and reproducibly greater than that of the muramidase mutant (< 5 x 10⁻⁵ %). We were concerned that the *cwlT-C237A* mutation might not fully eliminate the peptidase activity in
vivo, and that the detectable conjugation could be a result of residual peptidase activity. To test this, we constructed an allele that deletes the peptidase domain, \( cw/\Delta(207-329) \), leaving the signal sequence and the muramidase domain (Fig. 2). The muramidase and peptidase domains have been shown to maintain robust enzymatic function when separated and purified as fragments (9). The deletion of the peptidase domain was introduced into \( cw/T \) in ICEBs1. The conjugation efficiency of ICEBs1 \( cw/\Delta 207-329 \) was \( \approx 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \% \) (Table 2, line 8), approximately 1,000-fold below that of wild type ICEBs1, and similar to that of the \( cw/T-C237A \) mutant (Table 2, line 6). Again, the conjugation defect was fully complemented by expression of wild type \( cw/T \) and the upstream ICEBs1 genes (Table 2, line 9). These results indicate that the peptidase is partly dispensable for conjugation efficiency. They further indicate that the cysteine at amino acid 237 is required for peptidase activity. Based on comparisons to other peptidases, C237 is likely in the active site, and histidine at amino acid 290 and the asparagine at amino acid 302 are also likely required for peptidase activity (48, 49). Together, our results indicate that the muramidase function is absolutely required, and the peptidase function is partially required for transfer of ICEBs1.

CwlT is similar to other hydrolases from well-characterized conjugative elements in Gram positive bacteria (Tn916, pIP501, pCW3, pCF10). The cell wall hydrolases from these elements have or are predicted to have two catalytic domains, a muramidase and a peptidase. Many other putative two-domain hydrolases are found in uncharacterized mobile elements from Gram positive hosts. Hydrolases in Gram negative conjugative elements appear to have only a single muramidase domain and the peptidase domain appears to be a unique addition to hydrolases from Gram positive systems. Some phage enzymes from Gram positives share a similar domain structure, and it has been suggested that the peptidase domains are important in assisting
digestion of highly-crosslinked Gram positive cell wall (42, 43). Our results with ICEBs1 are consistent with this suggestion. In ICEBs1, the muramidase function of CwlT is essential, which is consistent with the observation that such activity is conserved in conjugative systems in both Gram negative and Gram positive organisms. The peptidase is partly dispensable, perhaps due to partial redundancy with host peptidases (see above).

**The putative N-terminal signal sequence is, but the putative lipid attachment site is not needed for CwlT function**

Subcellular localization plays an essential role in the regulation of many hydrolases. CwlT contains a putative N-terminal signal sequence, residues 1-29 (Fig. 2) that may determine its localization, though predictions of this region’s function are discrepant. Different methods have predicted it to be either a lipoprotein signal sequence (9, 27), or a stable transmembrane domain (50, 51).

To determine if the putative signal sequence of CwlT in *B. subtilis*, is required for conjugation, we deleted codons 1-29 of *cwlT* \( \{ \text{cwlT}\Delta(1-29) \} \), removing the putative signal sequence. There was no detectable transfer of ICEBs1 *cwlT\Delta1-29* (Table 2, line 10), indicating that this region of CwlT is important for function. These results are consistent with the notion that CwlT is a secreted protein.

The *cwlT* gene product contains an FVLC motif at amino acids 20-23, which was identified as a putative lipobox, a conserved sequence in lipoproteins (27). The cysteine in this motif is required for lipid attachment in bona fide lipoproteins. We changed the cysteine at amino acid 23 to alanine (*cwlT-C23A*) and found that there was no detectable change in conjugation efficiency (Table 2, line 11). This result indicates that if CwlT is a lipoprotein, then a lipid attachment at
cysteine 23 is not required for CwlT function. Alternatively, and more likely, CwlT is not a lipoprotein, although we have not tested this directly.

The amount of each of the mutant proteins was analyzed by Western blot and was indistinguishable from that of wild type CwlT (Fig. 3). Together, our results indicate that the putative signal sequence of CwlT is needed for CwlT function, but that the putative lipid attachment site is not. Preliminary results indicate that CwlT accumulates in culture supernatant (data not shown), and that some of it is found associated with the cell (Fig. 3).

CwlT can hydrolyze *B. subtilis* but not *B. anthracis* peptidoglycan in vitro

ICEB1 is capable of transferring from *B. subtilis* to *B. anthracis* (4). However, the cell wall of *B. anthracis* is different from that of *B. subtilis* and we found that CwlT cannot degrade purified *B. anthracis* peptidoglycan. The glycan strands from the cell wall of *B. anthracis* differ from those of *B. subtilis* in two ways: *B. anthracis* glycan chains are O-acetylated and N-deacetylated. Both of these modifications confer lysozyme resistance to *B. anthracis*, and might also cause resistance to the muramidase activity of CwlT. In addition, although the peptides of *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis* peptidoglycan have the same amino acid sequence, in *B. subtilis*, the carboxyl group of meso-diaminopimelic acid (m-DAP) is amidated (52). This modification is not found in *B. anthracis* (11).

We purified CwlT and tested for degradation of cell wall material from *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis*. As expected, CwlT was able to degrade cell wall from *B. subtilis*, but not that from *B. anthracis* (Fig. 4). We mixed 1.5 nmol of CwlT with 5 mg of purified *B. subtilis* cell wall and monitored the change in turbidity of the solution with time (Fig. 4). There was a rapid drop in turbidity within 5 minutes, indicating that the *B. subtilis* cell wall was degraded. In a similar reaction with the *B. anthracis* cell wall, there was little or no change in turbidity in 20 minutes.
(Fig. 4), indicating that the *B. anthracis* cell wall was resistant to degradation by CwlT. To be sure that the preparation of peptidoglycan from *B. anthracis* did not contain an inhibitor of CwlT activity, we mixed the peptidoglycan from *B. anthracis* with that from *B. subtilis*. In this mixed peptidoglycan, CwlT was able to degrade about half of the material present (Fig. 4), indicating that CwlT activity is not inhibited by anything in the peptidoglycan preparation from *B. anthracis*. We also found that there was no detectable degradation of the *B. anthracis* cell wall by CwlT in a polyacrylamide gel using zymography (data not shown), consistent with the results in solution.

**CwlT is required for ICEBs1 mating from *B. subtilis* into *B. anthracis***

*B. anthracis* was a very effective recipient of ICEBs1, even though its cell wall was not degraded by CwlT. ICEBs1 was able to transfer from *B. subtilis* into *B. anthracis* with an efficiency of ~3% transconjugants per donor, virtually indistinguishable from that of transfer from *B. subtilis* to *B. subtilis* (Table 3). Like transfer of ICEBs1 from *B. subtilis* to *B. subtilis*, transfer to *B. anthracis* was also dependent on cwlT. Both the muramidase mutant and the peptidase mutant were defective in transfer from *B. subtilis* to *B. anthracis* (Table 3). Because the peptidoglycan of *B. anthracis* is different from that of *B. subtilis* and was not digested by CwlT, these results could indicate that CwlT is needed to act on the cell wall of the donor, in this case *B. subtilis*, and not that of the recipient. However, subsequent experiments showed that CwlT is also needed for ICEBs1 to transfer from *B. anthracis* donors.

**ICEBs1 can transfer out of *B. anthracis* into *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis***

We found that ICEBs1 could transfer out of *B. anthracis* into both *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis* with similar efficiencies (Table 4). We used the DNA damaging agent mitomycin C to induce ICEBs1 in *B. anthracis*. Mitomycin C induces ICEBs1 in *B. subtilis*, although less
efficiently than overproduction of RapI (4). Addition of mitomycin C to either B. subtilis or B. anthracis donors caused induction of ICEBs1 and enabled transfer to either B. subtilis or B. anthracis (Table 4). These results were somewhat surprising since CwlT appeared incapable of degrading the B. anthracis cell wall (Fig. 4).

CwlT is required for ICEBs1 mating from B. anthracis into B. subtilis and B. anthracis

It seemed possible that CwlT was not needed for ICEBs1 function in B. anthracis, and that other factors (perhaps cell wall hydrolases) in the B. anthracis donor strain might bypass the need for cwlT. For example, mitomycin C treatment induces a DNA damage response and the induction of many genes, some of which are in phage or prophage elements that contain their own hydrolytic enzymes that could substitute for CwlT (53).

We found that cwlT was needed for transfer of ICEBs1 from B. anthracis even after treatment with mitomycin C. We transferred ICEBs1 cwlT mutants from B. subtilis into B. anthracis. This was done by complementing the cwlT mutants with a wild type cwlT in trans in the B. subtilis donor strains (Materials and methods). We then used the B. anthracis strains with the ICEBs1 cwlT mutants as donors in conjugation experiments with either B. subtilis or B. anthracis as recipients (Table 4). When ICEBs1 was induced with mitomycin C, no transfer was detected from either B. subtilis or B. anthracis donors containing the cwlT-E87Q, cwlT-C237A, or cwlT-E87Q-C237A allele (Table 4). These results demonstrate that cwlT is needed for transfer from B. anthracis, that both enzymatic activities are required for transfer, and that the requirement for cwlT is not bypassed by treatment with mitomycin C.

Exogenous CwlT causes lysis of B. subtilis and B. anthracis

We found it puzzling that cwlT appeared to be required for transfer out of an organism with cell wall peptidoglycan that was resistant to its activity. We were interested in examining
whether CwlT might exhibit different activity on growing cell walls in vivo, than what we observed on purified peptidoglycan in vitro. To test this, we added purified CwlT to *B. anthracis* and *B. subtilis* cells growing in LB medium and measured effects on cell viability. Despite their differences in cell wall composition and lysozyme resistance, both species were killed by CwlT. Addition of 100 µg/ml of CwlT for 20 min caused an approximately 500 - 1,000 fold drop in colony forming units of both *B. anthracis* and *B. subtilis*. These results indicate that CwlT was able to kill both *B. subtilis* and *B. anthracis*, most likely by causing at least minimal degradation of the cell wall. The amount of peptidoglycan hydrolysis by CwlT that is needed for cell lysis is probably much less than that needed for detection of hydrolysis in vitro. In *B. anthracis*, cell wall is first assembled in an unmodified form that resembles that of *B. subtilis*. Following the initial synthesis, *N*-deacetylases and *O*-acyetylases introduce modifications during peptidoglycan maturation (10, 11). Our results indicate that CwlT may act on newly-synthesized peptidoglycan before it is fully modified.

**Summary and model for CwlT Activity**

We found that the putative signal sequence on CwlT is essential for ICEBs1 conjugation, but the putative lipid attachment site (cysteine at residue 23) is not. More importantly, we found that the peptidase activity of CwlT is important but not essential, whereas the muramidase activity is essential for conjugation. Surprisingly, we found that CwlT was needed for ICEBs1 to function in *B. anthracis*, whose mature cell wall is resistant to degradation by CwlT. We interpret these results to indicate that CwlT can act before full maturation of the cell wall, and this expands the range of organisms in which ICEBs1 can function. We suspect that analogous cell wall hydrolyases from other conjugative elements function similarly.
Our findings that CwlT is required for conjugation of ICEBsI are consistent with recent results on cell wall hydrolases encoded by Gram positive conjugative plasmids (23-25). CwlT-mediated digestion likely causes local alteration of the peptidoglycan meshwork to allow assembly of the conjugation machinery. It is unknown what other ICEBsI-encoded proteins associate with CwlT, though in the Gram positive conjugative plasmid pIP501, the cell wall hydrolase associates with the coupling protein, a putative ATPase, and a membrane-associated conjugation protein, indicating that it may be playing a role in recruitment of these proteins, and in the assembly of the conjugation machinery (19). CwlT may play a similar role, and it would be interesting to determine if CwlT affects localization or assembly of components of the ICEBsI conjugation machinery.
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Table 1. *Bacillus* strains used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Relevant Genotype (reference)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. subtilis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JH642</td>
<td><em>trpC2 pheA1</em> (contains wild type ICEBs1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL85</td>
<td>ICEBs1(^0) (cured of ICEBs1) <em>str84</em> (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL229</td>
<td><em>thrC</em>: {<em>mls</em>, ICEBs1 *Δ(rapl-0prl)*::<em>kan</em>}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMB970</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::<em>kan amyE</em>::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD19</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::<em>kan ΔcwIT19</em> amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD37</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::<em>kan ΔcwIT19</em>, <em>thrC11</em>::{<em>mls</em> ICEBs1*Δ(yddl-attR)*::*tet}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD46</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwIT-E87Q amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD48</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwIT-C237A amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD50</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwIT-E87Q-C237A amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD52</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwIT-C237A amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc} <em>thrC11</em>::{<em>mls</em> ICEBs1*Δ(yddl-attR)*::*tet}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD57</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwIT-E87Q-C237A amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc} <em>thrC11</em>::{<em>mls</em> ICEBs1*Δ(yddl-attR)*::*tet}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD62</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwIT-E87Q amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc} <em>thrC11</em>::{<em>mls</em> ICEBs1*Δ(yddl-attR)*::*tet}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD123</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwITΔ(1-29) amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD221</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwIT-C237A amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD319</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwITΔ(207-327) amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD321</td>
<td>*Δ(rapl-prl)*342::*kan cwITΔ(207-327) amyE::{<em>Pxyl-rapl</em>* spc} <em>thrC11</em>::{<em>mls</em> ICEBs1*Δ(yddl-attR)*::*tet}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B. anthracis</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM44-1C9</td>
<td><em>(AG1924)</em> <em>str ind</em> (plasmid-free strain) (4, 36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JMA921</td>
<td><em>str ind nal</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAL2257</td>
<td><em>str ind nal pBS42</em> (CmR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD230</td>
<td>ICEBs1 *Δ(rapl-prl)*342::<em>kan str ind nal</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD322</td>
<td>ICEBs1 *Δ(rapl-prl)*342::<em>kan cwIT-E87Q str ind nal</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD324</td>
<td>ICEBs1 *Δ(rapl-prl)*342::<em>kan cwIT-C237A str ind nal</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD326</td>
<td>ICEBs1 *Δ(rapl-prl)*342::<em>kan cwIT-E87Q-C237A str ind nal</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) All *B. subtilis* strains are derived from JH642 (54) and contain *trpC2* and *pheA1* (not shown). Unless otherwise indicated, all *B. subtilis* strains contain ICEBs1 integrated at its normal attachment site in *trnS-leu2*.

\(b\) *B. anthracis* plasmid free strain UM44-19C (55) is streptomycin resistant (*str*), requires indole (*ind*) or tryptophan for growth, and was the parent for other *B. anthracis* strains. *B. anthracis* strains do not contain ICEBs1 unless otherwise indicated.
Table 2. Effects of *cwlT* mutations on transfer of ICE*Bs1* from *B. subtilis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor* (strain number)</th>
<th>Mating efficiency^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. WT <em>cwlT</em> (MMB970)</td>
<td>$5.9 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Δ<em>cwlT</em>19 (TD19)</td>
<td>&lt;5 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Δ<em>cwlT</em>19 thrC11::ICE<em>Bs1</em>Δ<em>yddI-attR</em> (TD37)</td>
<td>$6.6 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.4 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <em>cwlT</em>-E87Q (muramidase mutant) (TD46)</td>
<td>&lt;5 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <em>cwlT</em>-E87Q thrC11::ICE<em>Bs1</em>Δ<em>yddI-attR</em> (TD62)</td>
<td>$6.0 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. <em>cwlT</em>-C237A (peptidase mutant) (TD48)</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^{-5} \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. <em>cwlT</em>-C237A thrC11::ICE<em>Bs1</em>Δ<em>yddI-attR</em> (TD52)</td>
<td>$4.4 \times 10^{-2} \pm 6.0 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. <em>cwlT</em>(207-329) (deletion of peptidase domain) (TD319)</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^{-5} \pm 7.6 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. <em>cwlT</em>(207-329) thrC11::ICE<em>Bs1</em>Δ<em>yddI-attR</em> (TD321)</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.1 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. <em>cwlT</em>(1-29) (TD123)</td>
<td>&lt;6 x 10^{-7}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. <em>cwlT</em>-C23A (TD221)</td>
<td>$6.1 \times 10^{-2} \pm 2.8 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* All donor strains contain Δ(*rapI-phrI::kan*) in ICE*Bs1* and Pxyl-*rapI* (not shown) and the indicated *cwlT* allele.

*b* The recipient in each conjugation experiment was CAL85 (streptomycin-resistant). Mating efficiencies were calculated from the number of kanamycin-resistant, streptomycin-resistant transconjugants per initial donor (± standard error of the mean). Cells were grown in LB medium at 37°C and expression of Rap1 (Pxyl-*rapI*) in donors was induced by addition of xylose for 1 hr. Mating mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 3 hr on filters (Materials and Methods).
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Table 3. *cwlT* is required for ICE*Bs1* transfer from *B. subtilis* into *B. anthracis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor(^a)</th>
<th>Recipient; Mating Efficiency(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> (CAL85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type (MMB970)</td>
<td>(5.5 \times 10^{-2} \pm 1.2 \times 10^{-2})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>cwlT</em>-E87Q (muramidase mutant) (TD46)</td>
<td>&lt; (6 \times 10^{-7})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>cwlT</em>-C237A (peptidase mutant) (TD48)</td>
<td>(2.9 \times 10^{-5} \pm 9.2 \times 10^{-4})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)All donor strains are *B. subtilis* and contain ICE*Bs1* with \(\Delta(\text{rapI-phrI}):\text{kan}\) and Pxyl-\text{rapI} (not shown) and the indicated *cwlT* allele.

\(^b\)Efficiencies of transfer of ICE*Bs1* from the indicated donor strains into either recipient CAL85 (*B. subtilis*) or JMA921 (*B. anthracis*) were calculated from the number of transconjugants per initial donor. Mating mixtures were incubated on filters at 37°C for 3 hr.
Table 4. *cwlT* is required for mitomycin C-induced transfer of *ICEBs1* from *B. anthracis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Donor*&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Recipient; Mating Efficiency&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em>; <em>cwlT</em>&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (IRN342)</td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> (CAL419) 1.2 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt; ± 7.1 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em> (CAL2257) 6.8 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt; ± 1.1 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em>; <em>cwlT</em>&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt; (TD230)</td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> (CAL419) 7.0 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt; ± 4.2 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em> (CAL2257) 1.5 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-4&lt;/sup&gt; ± 8.0 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em>; <em>cwlT</em>-E87Q (TD322)</td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> (CAL419) &lt; 3.6 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em> (CAL2257) &lt; 5.0 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em>; <em>cwlT</em>-C237A (TD324)</td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> (CAL419) &lt; 3.8 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em> (CAL2257) &lt; 5.0 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em>; <em>cwlT</em>-E87Q-C237A (TD326)</td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> (CAL419) &lt; 8.4 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td><em>B. anthracis</em> (CAL2257) &lt; 5.1 x 10&lt;sup&gt;-7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*<sup>a</sup>All donor strains contained *ICEBs1* with Δ(*rapI-phrI*):*kan* (not shown) and the indicated *cwlT* allele. *ICEBs1* was induced by addition of mitomycin C for 1 hr.

<sup>b</sup>Mating efficiencies from the indicated donor strains into either CAL419 (*B. subtilis*) or CAL2257 (*B. anthracis*) were calculated from the number of transconjugants per initial donor.

Cells were grown in LB medium at 37ºC and *ICEBs1* was induced by addition of mitomycin C for 1 hr (Materials and Methods). Mating mixtures were incubated on filters at 37ºC for 3 hr.
Figure legends

Figure 1. Map of ICEBs1 and derivatives.

A. Linear genetic map of ICEBs1 integrated in the chromosome. Open arrows indicate open reading frames and direction of transcription. Gene names are indicated above the arrows. The small rectangles at the ends of the element represent the 60 bp direct repeats that contain the site-specific recombination sites in the left and right attachment sites, attL and attR.

B and C. Various deletions of ICEBs1 used in this study. Thin horizontal lines below the map of ICEBs1 represent regions that are present, and open spaces represent regions that are missing. B. Δ(rapI-phrI) contains an insertion of kan (not shown). C. This construct is contained at thrC and was used to complement various cwlT mutations in ICEBs1 in the normal attachment site. Δ(yddI-attR) deletes all ICEBs1 genes to the right of cwlT and contains an insertion of tet (not shown). Figure adapted from (56).

Figure 2. Features of CwlT. CwlT is 328 amino acids. The putative signal sequence (amino acids 1 - 29), the muramidase domain (amino acids 62 - 164), and the peptidase domain (amino acids 216 - 328) are indicated. The putative lipid attachment residue is a cysteine at amino acid 23 (not shown. Figure adapted from (9).
**Figure 3. Accumulation of wild type and mutant CwlT proteins.** Western blots of cell extracts 3 hr after induction of ICEBs1 by overproduction of RapI. The relevant cwlT allele is indicated above each lane. The arrow on the right indicates full length CwlT. Alleles and strains: wt, cwlT+ (MMB970); ΔcwlT (TD19); cwlT-E87Q (TD46); cwlT-C237A (TD48); cwlT-E87Q-C237A (TD50); cwlTΔ(207-329) (TD319); cwlTΔ(1-29) (TD123); and cwlT-C23A (TD221). Blots were probed with anti-CwlT anti-serum (Materials and Methods).

**Figure 4. CwlT degrades purified cell wall peptidoglycan from B. subtilis but not B. anthracis.** Cell wall lytic activity of CwlT on peptidoglycan from B. subtilis (triangles), B. anthracis (diamonds), or a 1:1 mix of both types (circles). CwlT-his (10 µg/ml) was mixed with approximately 1.0 mg/ml of purified peptidoglycan, and the turbidity of the reaction was monitored at 540 nm (Materials and Methods).