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We present a theory for the large suppression of the superfluid density $\rho_s$ in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$ in the vicinity of a putative spin-density wave quantum critical point at a P doping, $x = x_c$. We argue that the transition becomes weakly first order in the vicinity of $x_c$, and disorder induces puddles of superconducting and antiferromagnetic regions at short length scales; thus, the system becomes an electronic microemulsion. We propose that frustrated Josephson couplings between the superconducting grains suppress $\rho_s$. In addition, the presence of “normal” quasiparticles at the interface of the frustrated Josephson junctions will give rise to a highly nontrivial feature in the low-frequency response in a narrow vicinity around $x_c$. We propose a number of experiments to test our theory.
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condensate spectral weight is suppressed by about 40% from the Homes’ law estimate. Given the constraints imposed by the sum rule, there are two possible sources of this discrepancy: (i) The quasiparticle mass could be renormalized at this value of \( x \), corresponding to an intrinsic decrease in \( \rho_n \), or (ii) a considerable fraction of the (unrenormalized) \( \rho_n \) could fail to contribute to the low-temperature superfluid density. The latter possibility is suggested within the scenario that we develop here.

We analyze the above experiments by assuming a weak first-order transition \([6]\), and argue that the presence of quenched disorder leads to formation of a microemulsion at small scales \([7]\). The system consists of SC puddles, where some of the puddles additionally have SDW order [see the Fig. 1(a) inset]. The SDW(+SC) regions, which have a locally well-developed antiferromagnetic moment but no long-range orientational order, act as barriers between the different SC grains. Upon moving deeper into the ordered side of the transition, the SDW(+SC) regions start to percolate and cross over to a state with long-range SDW order; this is the regime of a microscopically coexistent SC+SDW. As a function of decreasing \( x \), the microemulsion is therefore a transitional state [shown as the gray region in Fig. 1(a)] between a pure SC and a coexistent SC+SDW. Recent experiments in the vicinity of optimal doping using neutron scattering and NMR have found results broadly consistent with our proposed phase diagram \([18]\). We note that the granular nature of superconductivity should have no effect on the bulk \( T_c \) in the presence of percolating SC channels.

**Model.** When the system is well described in the vicinity of \( x_c \) by a microemulsion as explained above, the phase fluctuations associated with the SC grains [shown as purple regions in the Fig. 1(a) inset] can be modeled by the following effective theory,

\[
H_0 = - \sum_{a,b} J_{ab} \cos(\theta_a - \theta_b),
\]

where \( J_{ab} \) represent the Josephson junction (JJ) couplings between grains \( a \) and \( b \). We have ignored the capacitive contributions.

The Josephson current across the junction will be given by \( I_j = J_{ab} \sin(\theta_a - \theta_b) \), and \( J_{ab} \) may therefore be interpreted as the lattice version of the local superfluid density, \( \rho_s (r) \), i.e., \( J_j(r) = \rho_s (r) v_j (r) \), with \( J_j(r), v_j(r) \) representing the superfluid current and velocity, respectively. Having a frustrated JJ (also known as a \( \pi \) junction) with a negative value of \( J_{ab} \) leads to a local suppression in \( \rho_s \). Similar ideas have been discussed in the past in a variety of contexts (see Ref. \([19]\) for a specific example), though the mechanism considered here will be different. We shall now propose an explicit scenario under which a suppression in \( \rho_s \) arises in the vicinity of putative magnetic QCPs, utilizing the SC gap structure in the material under question.

The basic idea is as follows: Suppose that the tunneling of electrons between the two grains is mediated by the SDW moment in the intervening region \([20]\), and is accompanied by a transfer of finite momentum that scatters them from a holelike to an electronlike pocket. Because the SC gaps on the two pockets have a relative phase difference of \( \pi \), the JJ coupling will be frustrated \([21]\).

Let us first focus on a single grain. In order to capture the multiband nature of the SCs, we introduce two superconducting order parameters \( \Delta_i \) with \( i = \pm \) to model the \( \sigma^{\pm} \) state on the two pockets. Microscopically, these belong to regions in the grain having different momenta \( k_{\parallel i} \) parallel to the junction. The gaps are related to the microscopic degrees of freedom \([22]\) via the following relation,

\[
\Delta_i (z) = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{k_{\parallel i} \in \mathcal{R}_i} V_{k_{\parallel i}, k_{\perp i}} \langle \psi_{k_{\parallel i}^+} \psi_{-k_{\perp i}} \rangle,
\]

where \( \psi_{k_{\parallel i}^\sigma} \) creates an electron at position \( z \) with momentum \( k_{\parallel i} \) parallel to the junction and spin \( \sigma \). \( V_{k_{\parallel i}, k_{\perp i}} \) is the pairing interaction in the Cooper channel and \( \delta \) is the coordinate perpendicular to the junction with area \( A \). The regions \( \mathcal{R}_i \) are defined as \( \mathcal{R}_+ = \{ k_{\parallel} | k_0 > |k_{\perp}| \} \) and \( \mathcal{R}_- = \{ k_{\parallel} | k_0 \leq |k_{\perp}| \} \), where \( k_0 \) is an arbitrary momentum scale chosen such that \( \Delta_+ > 0, \Delta_- < 0 \) (see Fig. 3 for an illustration). We’ll assume that such a prescription is valid for each grain, with possibly different values of \( k_0 \).
Let us then write down a model for the two coupled SC grains with an intervening proximity coupled SDW that has a well-developed moment \( n \). Our notation is as follows: We use \( a = a, b \) to denote the grain index and \( i = \pm \) to denote the band index within each grain. From now on, we relabel \( k_0 \) as \( k \).

We introduce the Nambu spinor, \( \Psi_{i,k,\sigma}^{\alpha} = (\psi_{i,k,\sigma}^{\alpha}, \psi_{i,-k,\sigma}^{\alpha}) \), where now \( \psi_{i,k,\sigma}^{\alpha} \) creates an electron with momentum \( k \) parallel to the junction and at a position \( z \) (label suppressed), which belongs to a region of band \( i \) within grain \( \alpha \). The effective Hamiltonian is given by

\[
H_{\text{eff}} = H_\Delta + H_T, 
\]

\[
H_\Delta = \sum_{a,i,k} \psi_{i,k,\sigma}^{\alpha \dagger} [\epsilon_{i,a,k} \hat{\tau}^z + \Delta_{i,a,k} \hat{\tau}^x] \psi_{i,k,\sigma}^{\alpha},
\]

\[
H_T = g \sum_{k} n \cdot (\Psi_{-,k,\sigma}^{\alpha \dagger} [\sigma_{\sigma' \sigma} \otimes \hat{\tau}^0] \Psi_{+,k,\sigma'}^{\alpha \dagger}) + \text{H.c.,}
\]

where \( g \) is the tunneling matrix element, \( \hat{\tau}^0 \) act in Nambu space, and \( \hat{\tau}^i \) \((i = 0,x,y,z)\) act in spin space.

In the above, \( H_\Delta \) corresponds to the bare pairing Hamiltonian written for the \( \pm \) bands within each of the two grains. \( H_T \) represents the SDW moment mediated hopping of electrons from one grain to the other (represented by the \( a,b \) superscripts) and simultaneously scattering from one band to the other (represented by the \( \pm \) subscripts). Therefore, \( n \) imparts a finite momentum (along the interface) to the electrons when it scatters from the electron (hole) pocket on one grain to the hole (electron) pocket on the other grain (shown as the black arrows in Fig. 3).

**Results.** Using the Ambegaokar-Baratoff relation [21], we can write the Josephson coupling (at \( T = 0 \)) between the two grains as

\[
J_{ab} = \frac{\hbar^2}{\pi^2} \left[ \sum_{\ell \epsilon a, \ell' \epsilon b} \Delta_{\ell} \Delta_{\ell'} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\epsilon}{E_\ell} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\epsilon'}{E_{\ell'}} \frac{1}{E_\ell + E_{\ell'} - E_0} \right],
\]

where \( E_0 = \epsilon^2 + \Delta^2 \) and \( \ell, \ell' \) represent the band indices on the different grains. Since \( \Delta_{\ell} \Delta_{\ell'} < 0 \), the coupling \( J_{ab} < 0 \).
way of rearranging spectral weight in the superconducting state below the gap, without violating optical sum rules.

The above scenario will give rise to a number of interesting low-temperature thermodynamic and transport properties, as we now discuss. First of all, there should be a striking enhancement in the low-temperature thermal conductivity and specific heat, as a function of $x$ in the narrow vicinity of $x_*$, due to the “normal” component. It is important to recall that this material has looplike nodes on the electron pockets [13]. However, the geometry of the electron pockets and the magnitude of the gap do not change substantially in the vicinity of $x_*$, and therefore it is unlikely that the contribution to the above quantities from the nodal quasiparticles will have a drastic modification. It should therefore be relatively straightforward to disentangle the contribution arising from the nodal versus the “normal” quasiparticles. Studying the NMR spectra as a function of decreasing temperature (across $T_c$) and down to sufficiently low temperatures in the vicinity of $x_*$ should also reveal the spatial inhomogeneity associated with the SDW regions. A large residual density of states in the superconducting state has been detected at a particular $P$ doping via the power-law temperature dependence of $1/T_1 \sim T$ [25]. Within our scenario, there should be a striking enhancement in this quantity as a function of doping around $x_*$. Finally, we note that a promising direction for future studies would be to measure the magnetic-field distribution due to the propagating currents in the emulsion using nitrogen-vacancy (NV)-based magnetometers [26].

Discussion. The theoretical study in this Rapid Communication was motivated by a number of remarkable experiments carried out in BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$, as a function of $x$ in the normal and superconducting phases. Our primary objective was to provide an explanation for the striking enhancement of the London penetration depth in the vicinity of a putative SDW QCP in the SC state. We developed a scenario based on the idea that true SDW criticality is masked by a weak first-order phase transition in the superconducting state at $T = 0$. In this picture, quenched disorder naturally gives rise to an emulsion at small length scales with puddles of SC and SDW(+SC). It is then, in principle, possible for SDW moments at the interface of the SC grains to generate frustrated Josephson couplings, which deplete the local superfluid density. Our proposed scenario naturally calls for a number of experimental tests that should be carried out in the near future, which should directly look for both the spatial inhomogeneities associated with the emulsion [27], and probe the gapless excitations using thermodynamic probes, as explained above.

In addition to experiments on BaFe$_2$(As$_{1-x}$P$_x$)$_2$, it should be important to further investigate the contrasting behavior of the electron-doped system Ba(Fe$_1-x$Co$_x$)$_2$As$_2$, where $\lambda_2(0)$ behaves monotonically as a function of $x$ across the putative QCP [28]. Electron doping leads to significantly higher amounts of disorder compared to the isovalently doped case, and would therefore lead to puddles with a typically much smaller size [29]. Our proposed mechanism for the strong suppression of the superfluid density in the isovalently doped material relies on the existence of an emulsion with puddles of appreciable size, in the presence of an optimal amount of disorder. A comparison of the NMR spectra in the narrow vicinity of the putative QCP in the electron and isovalently doped materials would shed light on these microscopic differences between the two families.

Finally, though we have hypothesized that the SDW onset transition inside the SC is, in the absence of a disorder, a weak first-order transition, we emphasize that the normal state properties are consistent with the presence of a “hidden” QCP around optimal doping [4,5,30]. It is plausible that in the normal state, different experimental techniques are probing the critical fluctuations associated with not one, but distinct QCPs as a function of $x$. For instance, $m^*$ extracted from high-field quantum oscillations is dominated by the vicinity of “hot spots,” where quasiparticles are strongly damped due to coupling to the SDW fluctuations [31]. On the other hand, strong critical fluctuations associated with the nematic order parameter [32], which couple to the entire Fermi surface, would dominate $m^*$ extracted at zero field from the jump in $\lambda_{xx}(0)$ in the specific heat at $T_c$.
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