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ABSTRACT

We present CARMA 30 GHz Sunyaev–Zel’ dovich (SZ) observations of five high-redshift (z ≳ 1), infrared-selected galaxy clusters discovered as part of the all-sky Massive and Distant Clusters of WISE Survey (MaDCoWS). The SZ decrements measured toward these clusters demonstrate that the MaDCoWS selection is discovering evolved, massive galaxy clusters with hot intracluster gas. Using the SZ scaling relation calibrated with South Pole Telescope clusters at similar masses and redshifts, we find these MaDCoWS clusters have masses in the range $M_{500} \approx 2 - 6 \times 10^{14} M_{\odot}$. Three of these are among the most massive clusters found to date at $z \gtrsim 1$, demonstrating that MaDCoWS is sensitive to the most massive clusters to at least $z = 1.3$. The added depth of the AllWISE data release will allow all-sky infrared cluster detection to $z \approx 1.5$ and beyond.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The last decade, roughly since the launch of the Spitzer Space Telescope, has been a remarkably productive time for the discovery of high-redshift (z ≳ 1) galaxy clusters. This is due in large part to Spitzer’s superb sensitivity to massive galaxies out to very high redshift, but also to the maturation of Sunyaev–Zel’dovich (SZ) effect surveys and increasingly sophisticated X-ray and radio AGN-based surveys. These techniques have successfully identified galaxy clusters beyond $z > 1$ (e.g., Rosati et al. 2004; Mullis et al. 2005; Stanford et al. 2006; Eisenhardt et al. 2008; Muzzin et al. 2009; Brodwin et al. 2010, 2013; Galametz et al. 2010; Hasselfield et al. 2013; Reichardt et al. 2013; Zeimann et al. 2013; Bleem et al. 2015) and even at $z \gtrsim 1.5$ (e.g., Fassbender et al. 2011a; Santos et al. 2011; Stanford et al. 2012; Zeimann et al. 2012; Muzzin et al. 2013; Willis et al. 2013; Bayliss et al. 2014; Newman et al. 2014).

Despite their successes in discovering high-redshift clusters, deep X-ray (e.g., Fassbender et al. 2011a; Mehrrens et al. 2012) and Spitzer surveys (e.g., Eisenhardt et al. 2008; Papovich et al. 2010; Rettura et al. 2014) are limited to relatively small areas (<100 deg$^2$) and thus do not probe the volume required to meaningfully sample the high-mass end of the $z \gtrsim 1$ cluster mass function. The South Pole Telescope (SPT, Reichardt et al. 2013; Bleem et al. 2015) and Atacama Cosmology Telescope (ACT, Hasselfield et al. 2013) SZ surveys, though much larger, are still limited to a few thousand square degrees, whereas the all-sky Planck SZ survey (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014) is limited to $z < 1$ due to its large beam.

The Massive and Distant Clusters of WISE Survey (MaDCoWS, Gettings et al. 2012; Stanford et al. 2014) is a new IR-selected galaxy cluster survey based on the all-sky catalogs of the Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE) (Wright et al. 2010). The combination of WISE infrared and Sloan Digital Sky Survey DR8 optical photometry (Aihara et al. 2011) allows us to robustly isolate galaxy clusters at $z \gtrsim 1$ in the northern hemisphere. The first spectroscopically confirmed MaDCoWS cluster, MOO J2342+1301 at $z = 0.99$, was reported by Gettings et al. (2012). The reader is referred to that paper, along with the upcoming survey paper (A. H. Gonzalez et al. 2015, in preparation), for a more complete description of the survey methodology.

The current 10,000 deg$^2$ survey footprint is four times larger than the SPT-SZ survey (Bleem et al. 2015) and 1000 times larger than the area of the IRAC Distant Cluster Survey (IDCS), in which the most massive $z > 1.5$ galaxy cluster known to date was found (Brodwin et al. 2012; Gonzalez et al. 2012; Stanford et al. 2012). Given the unprecedented volume surveyed at high redshift, the MaDCoWS sample should contain a large number of very massive, distant clusters.

In this paper we present CARMA$^{12}$ 30 GHz observations of five $z \gtrsim 1$ MaDCoWS clusters spanning a range of infrared richnesses. The spectroscopic confirmations for all but one of these clusters are given in Stanford et al. (2014). In Section 2 we present the MaDCoWS clusters, including a (red sequence) photometric redshift measurement for MOO J1014+0038, and describe the CARMA SZ observations. In Section 3 we

$^{12}$ http://www.mmarray.org
describe the measurements of total Comptonization, from which we infer masses. We discuss our results in Section 4. We assume a concordance ΛCDM cosmology with Ω_M = 0.3, Ω_Λ = 0.7 and H_0 = 70 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}. Spitzer/IRAC and ground-based optical magnitudes are calibrated to the Vega and AB systems, respectively.

2. DATA

2.1. CARMA Observations

CARMA is an interferometer that consists of six 10.4 m, nine 6.1 m, and eight 3.5 m telescopes, providing fields of view of FWHM 3.8', 6.6', and 11.4' at 30 GHz, respectively. All 23 telescopes have 30 and 90 GHz receivers, while the 10.4 and 6.1 m telescopes have an additional 230 GHz receiver. CARMA is equipped with two correlators: an eight-station correlator with 7.5 GHz of bandwidth per baseline (the "wideband correlator") and a more flexible correlator that can be configured to correlate 23 stations with 2 GHz bandwidth per baseline at 30 GHz ("spectral line correlator"). To maximize sensitivity, both correlators can be used simultaneously.

Clusters MOO J0012+1602, MOO J0319−0025, and MOO J1014+0038 were observed when the 10.4 and 6.1 m telescopes were in E configuration, the 3.5 m telescopes were in SL configuration, and the signals were processed by both correlators ("E+SL"). MOO J1155+3901 was observed using the wideband correlator and the eight 3.5 m telescopes in the SH configuration. MOO J1514+1346 was observed twice, first using the eight 3.5 m telescopes in the SL configuration with the wideband correlator and later with all 23 telescopes in the E+SL configuration using only the spectral line correlator. All observations were centered around 31 GHz.

With the exception of MOO J1514+1346, cluster observations in the E+SL configuration used the wideband correlator to process the intermediate frequency signal from eight of the 6.1 m telescopes. The synthesized beam formed by the 6.1 × 6.1 m baselines is approximately 1', a resolution well matched to the angular size of the SZ signal from these distant clusters. The wideband correlator provides most of the sensitivity to the cluster signal in these observations. The 23 element observations of MOO J1514+1346 lacked the wideband correlator due to a hardware problem and were primarily useful forconfirming a point source that was not well detected by the SL data alone. For the standard E+SL observations, the spectral line correlations sample baselines from 0.35 to 12.0 kλ, while the wideband correlations sample baselines from 0.65 to 4.0 kλ.

The CARMA observations, summarized in Table 1, used the WISE centroid positions as the pointing and phase centers. The data were reduced with a pipeline using MIRIAD (Sault et al. 1995) similar in function to the one described in Muchovej et al. (2007). After filtering for bad weather and instrumentation problems, the data were gain-calibrated using observations of bright, unresolved quasars interleaved every 15 minutes between the cluster observations. Flux densities are calibrated against observations of Mars using the model presented in Rudy et al. (1987). The pipeline produces a set of flux-calibrated visibilities of the cluster field. The CARMA images in Figure 1 are created by combining naturally weighted uv data from both correlators. They are in signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) units and a 2 kλ cutoff is applied to the data to highlight the cluster-sensitive baselines in the images. Models fit to emissive sources are removed (see Section 3.1). The residual maps are CLEANed inside a square box 3' on a side, centered on the position of the map with the largest absolute value in S/N units. CLEAN is allowed to proceed until the largest peak in the map is 1.5 times the map rms value.

2.2. Gemini Data

All but one of these clusters (MOO J1014+0038) were observed with the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) on Gemini North. Exposure times of 15 minutes were obtained in the r and z bands to produce color–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) from which red-sequence members could be selected for follow-up spectroscopic observations. These images are combined with IRAC 3.6 μm or WISE 3.4 μm images to make the color images for four of the five clusters shown in Figure 1. These images were used to construct several of the masks, for both Gemini/GMOS-N and Keck/DEIMOS, with which we spectroscopically confirmed 20 MaDCoWS clusters to date, including four of the five in the present work. Stanford et al. (2014) provide a full description of the MaDCoWS spectroscopy.

2.3. Magellan Data

Cluster MOO J1014+0038 was observed on UT 2014 January 22 with the Inamori Magellan Areal Camera and Spectrograph (IMACS; Dressler et al. 2006) in the g, r and z bands for 2, 12, and 12 minutes, respectively. These data were reduced with the SPT optical pipeline, as described in Song et al. (2012), and the r and z images are combined with IRAC 3.6 μm to make the color image of this cluster shown in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster ID</th>
<th>R.A.</th>
<th>Decl.</th>
<th>UT Dates</th>
<th>CARMA Array</th>
<th>Exp. Time</th>
<th>Map rms Noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOO J0012+1602</td>
<td>00:12:13.0</td>
<td>+16:02:15</td>
<td>2013 Sep 24; Oct 1, 3, 6</td>
<td>E+SL</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J0319−0025</td>
<td>03:19:24.4</td>
<td>−00:25:21</td>
<td>2013 Sep 30</td>
<td>E+SL</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J1014+0038</td>
<td>10:14:08.4</td>
<td>+00:38:26</td>
<td>2013 Oct 6−7</td>
<td>E+SL</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J1155+3901</td>
<td>11:55:45.6</td>
<td>+39:01:15</td>
<td>2012 May 11−12</td>
<td>SH</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J1514+1346</td>
<td>15:14:42.7</td>
<td>+13:46:31</td>
<td>2013 Jan 1, 3, 5−7, 9, 11</td>
<td>SL</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total on-source exposure time (excluding overhead), calibrations, and flagged (unused) data.
* The map rms noise is the mean noise within a 3 arcmin circle centered on the pointing center.
Figure 1. 8′ × 8′ CARMA 30 GHz maps (left column) and 4′ × 4′ optical/IR images (right column) of high-redshift MaDCoWS clusters. The CARMA maps are in units of S/N in the SZ detection, which are negative to reflect the decrement. The FWHM of the synthesized beams are shown in the lower left in each map. The optical layers of the pseudo-color images are composed of r and z images from Gemini/GMOS-N, with the exception of MOO J1014 + 0038 for which we have these same bands from Magellan/IMACS. The IR layer is IRAC 3.6 μm except for MOO J1155+3901, for which we use the WISE W1 band at 3.4 μm. Contours of the SZ decrements in S/N are overplotted on the optical/IR images. In all cases the least significant contour is S/N = −1 and the contours increase in significance by ΔS/N = −1. The locations of the emissive sources list in Table 2 are indicated with white boxes.
Photometric redshifts based on the cluster red-sequence were measured from both optical and IRAC color–magnitude relations, shown in Figure 2. The best-fit photometric redshift in both cases is consistent with $z_{ph} = 1.27 \pm 0.08$. We have used this value in converting the observed SZ decrement to a total mass.

3. ANALYSIS

3.1. Identification of Compact Radio Sources

Compact radio sources are a potential source of uncertainty in SZ cluster mass measurements. Since these synchrotron sources are often variable, it is important to identify and remove their contribution contemporaneously with the SZ measurement. The long baselines of our CARMA observations (up to 12 kλ) can identify compact emission sources at high sensitivity. We identify contaminating sources by visual inspection of the long-baseline data and checking the 1.4 GHz catalogs from the NRAO VLA Sky Survey (NVSS, Condon et al. 1998) and the VLA Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-Centimeters (FIRST, Becker et al. 1995). A radio source is jointly modeled with the cluster signal if its flux is detected in the CARMA data at $3\sigma$ or greater, or if there is a source in the NVSS or FIRST catalog. In the case that the source is only marginally detected in the CARMA data and present in a VLA catalog, its position is fixed and only the flux is allowed to vary. The simultaneous fit mitigates the contamination of our significance and mass fits due to variable emissive sources, as described below.

In MOO J1155+3901, which has a centrally located source of emission detected at $\sim 2.5\sigma$ in the CARMA data, there exists a slight positive correlation between the measured $Y$ parameter for the cluster and the point source flux. In the remaining four clusters, there is no sign of covariance between the cluster parameters and other source parameters. The coordinates and beam-corrected fluxes of compact radio sources identified toward each cluster are given in Table 2.

3.2. SZ Decrement Significance

To determine the significances of the observed SZ decrements, we measure the difference in $\chi^2$ between a model of our observations that contains no cluster with models (described in Section 3.3) that do include a cluster. In the cases where there is contaminating radio emission, models for these point sources...
are fitted in both the cluster and no-cluster models. The models are fit to the data in the \(uv\)-plane using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo routine, which correctly accounts for the noise in our data from the heterogeneous CARMA array (see, e.g., Plagge et al. 2013).

The resulting \(\chi^2\) values are converted to significances in terms of Gaussian standard deviations. They range from 2.7\(\sigma\) to 9.5\(\sigma\) and are listed in Table 3. In fitting the SZ cluster centroids, a uniform 1.5\(\sigma\) radius prior centered on the IR position is used. For low significance decrements, negative noise spikes can formally bias the S/N to higher values. However, given the strong prior of an IR-selected, confirmed cluster at the targeted position, and the small number of independent beams (<10) over which the SZ centroid is allowed to vary, we expect all of these decrements to be robust. Using an approximate analytical calculation of the noise properties in our CARMA maps we conservatively estimate the probability of false detection for the two least significant clusters to be \(~8\%–10\%\). The higher significance clusters have no significant probability of false detection.

### 3.3. SZ Mass Measurements

The mass estimates are produced following the method described in recent CARMA papers (e.g., Brodin et al. 2012). Briefly, we parameterize the SZ signal as a pressure profile that we integrate to measure the integrated Compton \(Y\) parameter. We use a generalized NFW pressure profile as presented in Nagai et al. (2007),

\[
P(x) = \frac{P_0}{x^\gamma(1 + x^\alpha)^{-\beta/\alpha}},
\]

where \(P_0\) is the normalization, \(x \equiv r/r_s\) is a dimensionless radial variable, and \(P_0\) and \(r_s\) are allowed to vary. The power-law exponents are fixed to the “universal” values (i.e., \(\alpha = 1.0510, \beta = 5.4905,\) and \(\gamma = 0.3091\)) of Arnaud et al. (2010). The cluster centroid and the positions and fluxes of any coincident emissive sources are allowed to vary as well. There is a strong degeneracy between \(P_0\) and \(r_s\) in the data, however the resulting \(Y\) parameter, as defined below, is well-constrained.

We integrate the derived pressure profile to a cutoff radius to calculate the integrated \(Y\) parameter,

\[
Y_\Delta = \frac{1}{2\pi m_e c^2} \int_0^{r_s} P(r/\Delta r) dV.
\]

To determine the cutoff radius, we enforce consistency with the \(Y_{SZ,500} - M_{500}\) scaling relation derived in Andersson et al. (2011) by requiring that the chosen integration radius (and thus, mass) and resulting \(Y\) lie on the mean relation. We determine the final integration radius iteratively, and the value of \(Y\) typically converges in roughly five iterations. The \(M_{500}\) values in Table 3 correspond to the derived values of \(r_{500}\) in our cosmology.

### 3.4. SZ and IR Centroids

The SZ and IR galaxy density centroids trace different physical probes of the potential, namely the integrated pressure of the ICM and the distribution of massive galaxies, respectively. For individual clusters these need not be coincident. Indeed, recent work has suggested that even X-ray and SZ centroids may be offset from each other during a major merger (Zhang et al. 2014).

In Figure 3 we plot the angular offsets of the CARMA SZ cluster centroids from the \(WISE\) IR cluster positions, taken as the peaks in the wavelet detection map. The SZ–IR offset is less than 300 kpc for all the clusters in this sample. The error

![Figure 2. IRAC (upper panel) and optical (lower panel) color–magnitude diagrams (CMDs) for cluster MOO J1104+0038, based on IRAC and Magellan/IMACS data, respectively. The red points show the galaxies that have colors consistent with the best-fit red sequence model. The vertical dotted lines are the \(M^*\) magnitudes in the 3.6 \(\mu\)m and \(z\) bands, respectively, at the best-fit photometric redshift. The dashed vertical line in the top panel is the detection limit in the IRAC data. Using the method described in Song et al. (2012), we find a best-fit photometric redshift of 1.27 \pm 0.08 is consistent with both CMDs.](image)

Table 3
SZ Properties of MaDCoWS Clusters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Redshift</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>$r_{500}$</th>
<th>$Y_{500}$</th>
<th>$M_{500}$</th>
<th>$M_{500}^d$</th>
<th>$\Delta C_{IR-SZ}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOO J0012+1602</td>
<td>0.944</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.07</td>
<td>7.6 ± 4.6</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J0319-0025</td>
<td>1.194</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.03</td>
<td>30.0 ± 5.9</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J1014+0038</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.08</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.02</td>
<td>37.0 ± 7.1</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J1155+3901</td>
<td>1.009</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.06</td>
<td>26 ± 11</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.7</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOO J1514+1346</td>
<td>1.059</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.05</td>
<td>16.0 ± 6.9</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $M_{200}$ masses were extrapolated from the measured $M_{500}$ masses using the Duffy et al. (2008) mass–concentration relation.

$^b$ $\Delta C_{IR-SZ}$ is the offset between the IR and SZ positional centroids.

$^c$ The fit for this cluster assumed a Gaussian redshift prior with $\sigma_z = 0.08$ centered on the photometric redshift of $z = 1.27$. Due to the flat angular diameter distance, the redshift independence of the SZ and the narrow evolutionary window, no scatter is imposed by the redshift uncertainty at the significance presented.

Bars represent the quadrature sum of the uncertainties in the CARMA and WISE centroids. The CARMA positional errors, $\sigma_{CARMA}$, determined in the fit described in Section 3.3, are jointly fit with the positions and fluxes of the emissive radio sources. The WISE centroiding precision is limited by finite gridding (15º/pixel) in the WISE cluster search, and to a lesser extent, to confusion caused by the relatively large WISE beam (~6º). However, the metric offsets caused by these technical factors should be small ($\sigma_{WISE} \lesssim 50$ kpc) for these high-S/N cluster detections.

The larger offsets seen here are likely due to real differences between IR galaxy density and SZ ICM centroid measurements, is shown by the filled circle.

Figure 3. Positional offsets between SZ and WISE IR cluster positions. The errors bars include the positional uncertainties of the WISE and CARMA observations. The average residual centroid difference, likely due to physical differences between the IR galaxy density and SZ ICM centroid measurements, is shown by the filled circle.

where $\nu$ is the number of degrees of freedom. We find $\sigma_{IR-SZ} = 188.6$ kpc, shown as the filled circular region at the center of the plot. A similar result is obtained using IR centers defined by the BCGs identified in follow-up IRAC imaging, confirming the IR–SZ offset is not due to an unknown systematic in the WISE centering.

4. DISCUSSION

We have presented SZ decrements and derived masses for five distant ($z \gtrsim 1$), massive ($M_{200} \approx 2–6 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$) MaDCoWS galaxy clusters selected via their stellar mass signatures in the WISE All-Sky data release. Four of these are spectroscopically confirmed (Stanford et al. 2014), and we have presented a reliable photometric redshift for the fifth derived from both deep optical and Spitzer photometry.

The current MaDCoWS catalog is drawn from the 10,000 deg$^2$ overlap between the WISE and SDSS surveys. With the largest volume yet surveyed at high redshift, we expect to find very rare, massive clusters in the distant universe. Indeed, three of the clusters in this preliminary CARMA pilot study have masses in excess of $M_{200} > 4.5 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$, among the most massive discovered to date at $z \gtrsim 1$. More extensive characterization of the MaDCoWS sample is underway with CARMA and other facilities, including an ongoing AO-13 XMM-Newton program targeting several of the clusters presented in this work.

Figure 4, adapted from Bleem et al. (2015), shows the mass–redshift plane for the largest, wide-area cluster surveys to date. These include the ROSAT X-ray surveys (Piffaretti et al. 2011), composed of the NORAS (Böhringer et al. 2000, 2004), REFLEX (Böhringer et al. 2004), and MACS (Ebeling et al. 2001, 2007, 2010) cluster catalogs, and the SZ cluster catalogs from the Planck (Planck Collaboration et al. 2014), ACT (Marriage et al. 2011; Hasselfield et al. 2013), and SPT (Reichardt et al. 2013; Bleem et al. 2015) collaborations. The IR-selected MaDCoWS clusters presented in this work, shown as orange hexagons, are similar to the high-redshift clusters selected from the high-resolution SZ surveys (i.e., SPT and ACT). They are drawn from the massive cluster population at 0.9 $< z < 1.3$, and as ICM and/or weak lensing masses for the larger MaDCoWS sample are measured, we should identify high-redshift ($z \gtrsim 1$) clusters even more massive than those seen to date in the smaller-area SZ surveys.

In the near future, the MaDCoWS sample will be extended in both area and redshift. The recent AllWISE data release
Cutri 2013 has significantly more uniform sky coverage, with an average of twice the exposure time over the previous all-sky catalog. This should allow the identification of massive galaxy clusters to $z \approx 1.5$. Our current search is also limited to the SDSS footprint. With the arrival of large optical surveys in, or extending to, the southern hemisphere, such as Pan-STARRS, VST, and DES, our search area will soon cover the bulk of the extragalactic sky. This will enable the discovery, within MaDCoWS, of the rarest, most massive clusters at $z \gtrsim 1$.
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