

MIT Open Access Articles

*Bio-Inspired Carbon Monoxide Sensors
with Voltage-Activated Sensitivity*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Savagatrup, Suchol et al. "Bio-Inspired Carbon Monoxide Sensors with Voltage-Activated Sensitivity." *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* 56, 45 (October 2017): 14066–14070 © 2017 Wiley-VCH Verlag

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201707491>

Publisher: Wiley Blackwell

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/113579>

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike





HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2017 December 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2017 November 06; 56(45): 14066–14070. doi:10.1002/anie.201707491.

Bio-Inspired Carbon Monoxide Sensors with Voltage-Activated Sensitivity

Suchol Savagatrup⁺,

Department of Chemistry and Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge Massachusetts 02139 (USA)

Vera Schroeder⁺,

Department of Chemistry and Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge Massachusetts 02139 (USA)

Xin He,

Physical Science and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, KAUST, Thuwal 23955-6900 (Saudi Arabia)

Sibo Lin,

Department of Chemistry and Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge Massachusetts 02139 (USA)

Maggie He,

Department of Chemistry and Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge Massachusetts 02139 (USA)

Omar Yassine,

Sensors Lab, Division of Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Science and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, KAUST, Thuwal 23955-6900 (Saudi Arabia)

Khaled N. Salama,

Sensors Lab, Division of Computer, Electrical and Mathematical Science and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, KAUST, Thuwal 23955-6900 (Saudi Arabia)

Xi-Xiang Zhang, and

Physical Science and Engineering Division, King Abdullah University of Science and Technology, KAUST, Thuwal 23955-6900 (Saudi Arabia)


Timothy M. Swager

Department of Chemistry and Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge Massachusetts 02139 (USA)

Abstract

Correspondence to: Timothy M. Swager.

⁺These authors contributed equally to this work.


Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for  the author(s) of this article can be found under: <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201707491>.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): A patent has been filed on this technology.

Carbon monoxide (CO) outcompetes oxygen when binding to the iron center of heme proteins, leading to a reduction in blood oxygen level and acute poisoning. Harvesting the strong specific interaction between CO and the iron porphyrin provides a highly selective and customizable sensor. We report the development of chemiresistive sensors with voltage-activated sensitivity for the detection of CO comprising iron porphyrin and functionalized single-walled carbon nanotubes (F-SWCNTs). Modulation of the gate voltage offers a predicted extra dimension for sensing. Specifically, the sensors show significant increase in sensitivity toward CO when negative gate voltage is applied. The dosimetric sensors are selective to ppm levels of CO and functional in air. UV/Vis spectroscopy, differential pulse voltammetry, and density functional theory reveal that the in situ reduction of Fe^{III} to Fe^{II} enhances the interaction between the F-SWCNTs and CO. Our results illustrate a new mode of sensors wherein redox active recognition units are voltage-activated to give enhanced and highly specific responses.

Communications



Revving up for sensing: A platform for voltage-activated, chemiresistive gas detection has been developed based on covalently functionalized single-walled carbon nanotubes decorated with voltage-responsive chemical selectors. The sensor is highly selective towards CO in the presence of oxygen, nitrogen, and carbon dioxide and is robust to humidity and remains operational in air.

Keywords

Carbon monoxide; carbon nanotubes; iron porphyrin; sensors; voltage-activated

Carbon monoxide (CO) is responsible for more than half of all fatal poisoning worldwide.^[1] Exposure to the colorless, tasteless, and odorless gas is difficult to discern as the initial symptoms of poisoning (headache, dizziness, and confusion) are nonspecific. In the United States, the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) has designated permissible exposure limits of 50 ppm over eight hours and 200 ppm over five minutes.^[2] The affinity of iron porphyrin towards CO is well-documented for the enzymes cytochrome P450,^[3–5] hemoglobin,^[6] and myoglobin.^[7] This high affinity for CO over O₂ of hemoglobin and myoglobin is the underlying mechanism of carbon monoxide poisoning in mammals.^[8,9] Although detectors for CO are available, there remains a need for massively

distributed sensors that are small and inexpensive to prevent poisoning in domestic and industrial environments. Single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) chemiresistors and chemical field effect transistors (Chem-FET) have been shown to provide suitable platforms for the detection of various gases.^[10–15] Random networks of functionalized SWCNTs have produced sensors that are inexpensive to fabricate, operate at room temperature, and have ultra-low power requirements.^[16, 17] Theoretical and experimental reports have suggested that CO does not engage in charge transfer with pristine SWCNTs,^[18–20] indicating the a chemical reactive interface is necessary. Conductivity-based CO detection has been reported for carboxylate-containing,^[19] deformed,^[21] or doped SWCNTs,^[22] as well as SWCNTs dispersed in polymers^[23] or decorated with metallic nanoparticles.^[24] Alternatively, non-chemiresistive examples of SWCNT CO detectors rely on other mechanisms including changes in capacitance^[25] and resonant frequency.^[26]

Although as summarized CO detectors based on SWCNTs have been reported, none make use of an in situ activated selector to produce additional selectivity and sensitivity. Previously, our group reported a chemiresistive sensor using an organocobalt complex to bind CO.^[27] The cobalt selector demonstrated exceptional selectivity in air; however, the mechanism of detection required mobility of the complex which was provided by a fluid matrix and the lowest experimentally detected concentration was 800 ppm. Dong et al. has reported heme-modified chromium electrodes capable of CO detection in N₂, but device-to-device reproducibility, selectivity, and air stability were not reported.^[28] Even with these successful examples, the responsiveness of the selectors cannot be controlled externally, which may limit the functionality of the sensors.

A selector with a predictive modulated responsiveness enables more information to be extracted from a single sensor element. To this effect, we designed CO detectors comprising pyridyl-functionalized SWCNTs as the matrix and bio-inspired iron porphyrin, a core element of many metalloenzymes/proteins,^[29–32] as a selector that can be activated and deactivated by modifying the gate voltage (Figure 1). Fe^{III} porphyrin is the persistent state in ambient atmosphere, however only the air sensitive Fe^{II} binds CO. As a result, we reasoned that an applied gate voltage can transiently reduce the iron porphyrin in situ from Fe^{III} to Fe^{II} and thus enabling CO binding. The redox equilibration between SWCNTs and a Fe^{II} porphyrin and the prospects for detecting CO have been analyzed computationally, for a system comprising two-SWCNTs covalently linked via an iron(II) porphyrin.^[33] Additionally, iron porphyrin-based CO detection schemes have been investigated in biological systems.^[34] We have applied a recently developed Scheme for iodonium functionalization to precisely attach single aromatic rings to the sidewalls of SWCNTs.^[35] This method allows us to confidently install a pyridyl group attached in the 4 position to the SWCNT for anchoring of the 5,10,15,20-tetraphenyl-porphyrin iron(III) perchlorate (Fe(tpp)ClO₄) that serves as our redox active CO binding site.

In developing an optimal sensor, the density of the CO binding and transducing sites is critical. We controlled the density of pyridyl groups on the SWCNTs by the ratio of pristine SWCNTs to sodium naphthalide and pyridyl iodonium salt during synthesis, (see Figure S2 in the Supporting Information). Using a ratio of 1:0.05:0.05 equivalents of pristine CNT, sodium naphthalide and iodonium salt, respectively,^[35] we obtained 1.4 pyridyl groups per

100 SWCNT carbon atoms (**F-SWCNT-1**). ^1H NMR analysis of the post-functionalization filtrate confirmed that the pyridyl groups was the dominant functional group on the SWCNTs (Figure S4). Doubling the amount of sodium naphthalide and iodonium salt in relation to the pristine SWCNTs increased the pyridyl concentration to 1.9 per every 100 SWCNT carbon atoms (**F-SWCNT-2**). Further doubling of the two reactants only resulted in a small increase of functionalization of 2.0 per 100 SWCNT carbon atoms (**F-SWCNT-3**). Figure 2a shows the average change in conductance normalized to the initial conductance (G/G_0) of sensors with the different levels of functionalization in response to 2 min exposures of 200 ppm CO after a linear baseline correction. This post-acquisition data processing was used to mitigate the slight drift in the conductance of the sensors. Sensors without iron porphyrin—both pristine (not shown) and functionalized (black curve) SWCNTs—showed negligible responses to CO, which was consistent with the previous reports.^[18–20, 27]

Sensors with iron porphyrin showed dosimetric responses indicating irreversibility over the experimental time frame. Pristine SWCNTs (lacking the 4-pyridyl anchor group) when treated with $\text{Fe}(\text{tpp})\text{ClO}_4$ (green curve) showed a modest response ($-0.57 \pm 0.09\%$). The response increased significantly with the introduction of sidewall pyridyl groups in **F-SWCNT-1** ($-1.08 \pm 0.05\%$). We hypothesize that the increase of the signal reflects improved electronic communication and special organization of the SWCNTs and $\text{Fe}(\text{tpp})\text{ClO}_4$. Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) of pristine SWCNTs and **F-SWCNT-1** treated with $\text{Fe}(\text{tpp})\text{ClO}_4$ confirms that the pyridyl facilitates electron transfer to the Fe center (Figure S6). The decrease in conductance upon exposure to CO is consistent with the sensors comprising a *p*-type polymer and iron porphyrin reported by Paul et al.^[36] Additionally, the observed decrease in conductivity of the sensors is consistent with the DFT prediction by Zhao and co-workers.^[33]

Higher densities of pyridyl groups (**F-SWCNT-2** and **F-SWCNT-3**) produced a decreased response (blue and purple curves), suggesting that a detrimental perturbation of the SWCNT sp^2 networks occurred with high levels of covalent functionalization.^[37–39] As shown in the Ultraviolet-visible-near infrared (UV/Vis-NIR) spectra (Figure S3d), the disappearance of the Van Hove transitions in **F-SWCNT-2** and **F-SWCNT-3** verified the degraded extended electronic structures of the SWCNTs. This result highlights the importance of balancing the degree of functionalization and preserving the native characteristics of the SWCNT.

To evaluate the real-world applicability of our sensors, we have investigated sensitivity and selectivity. Figure 2b summarized the responses to various concentrations of CO. The lowest detected concentration was 80 ppm of CO in N_2 , well within the range of industry standards for CO detectors (Table S3) and the OSHA's limit of 200 ppm during a 5 min period.^[2] As a result of the irreversibility of our sensors, lower concentrations can be detected at longer exposure times. We have further determined that our CO sensors give robust responses in humid air (42% relative humidity) (Figure 3a). Figure 3b shows the responses to CO_2 and O_2 . The sensors have negligible responses to 8000 ppm CO_2 ($-0.08 \pm 0.04\%$). For O_2 at 8000 ppm (ambient atmosphere is 209,500 ppm O_2) we observed a moderate response ($-0.48 \pm 0.03\%$). These findings reflect the relative binding strengths between iron

porphyrin and selected small molecules ($\text{CO} > \text{O}_2 > \text{CO}_2$).^[40–42] The fact that CO outcompetes the binding of O_2 allows our sensor to operate under ambient conditions.

To verify that the sensing mechanism arises from the interaction between CO and iron(II) porphyrin, we performed parallel solution studies.^[43] $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{tpp})\text{ClO}_4$ can be chemically reduced to $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{tpp})$ in THF solution using Na metal; in the UV/Vis, this change is observed as a red shift of $\lambda = 16$ nm (Figure 4a and b). The reduced species is moderately stable towards re-oxidation in air (Figure S9a). Addition of CO to a THF solution of $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{tpp})\text{ClO}_4$ (Figure 4c) produces no response, whereas $\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}(\text{tpp})$ reacts quickly with CO as evidenced in a blue shift of $\lambda = 16$ nm (Figure 4b and 4 d).^[34] We note here that this shift was stable after the removal of CO (Figure S9b), which is consistent with the irreversible binding of CO to the porphyrin. Our spectroscopic studies are in line with previous solution studies of CO binding to porphyrins.^[44] Additionally, DPV of the composite of **F-SWCNT-1** and iron porphyrin showed a decrease in intensity of the reduction peak from Fe^{III} to Fe^{II} when exposed to CO, (Figure S6). We ascribed this observation to the fact that CO attenuates the re-oxidation of Fe^{II} .^[45] These findings support our hypothesis that in situ reduction of the iron porphyrin will provide for increased sensor response due to a stronger interaction with CO.

Having established the base chemiresistive behavior, we investigated the effect of the applied gate voltage on the responsiveness of our sensors. We expected based on our UV/Vis and DPV investigations that the application of the gate voltage would affect the redox state of the iron porphyrin. Figure 5a shows the average change in conductance of the sensors with the applied gate voltage of -3 , 0 , and 3 V when exposed to 200 ppm CO. Figure 5b summarizes the change in conductance upon exposure to CO as a function of the applied gate voltage. At a gate voltage of -3 V, the response increased to $-1.96 \pm 0.16\%$, nearly doubling the response at $V_G = 0$. The response towards CO strongly increased upon application of negative gate voltage and decreased upon application of a positive gate voltage. We have determined that the semiconducting nature of **F-SWCNT-1** is not responsible for this behavior as both the **F-SWCNT-1** with and without $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{tpp})\text{ClO}_4$ (and P-SWCNTs) display metallic transfer characteristics (Figure S13). UV/Vis spectroscopy reveals that the iron porphyrin was partially reduced by the SWCNTs when initially deposited on the sensors (Figure S9c). This accounts for the enhanced sensitivity with $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{tpp})\text{ClO}_4$ addition and the attenuation of the response to CO under positive gate voltage. Inversely, the application of a negative gate voltage reduces the porphyrin in situ thereby increasing the affinity towards CO, the electron accepting ligand. We attributed the mechanism to a change in the Fermi energy level of the F-SWCNTs with applied gate voltage that then increases the proportion of reduced iron porphyrin. Figure 5c schematically illustrates the in situ reduction of Fe^{III} to Fe^{II} with a change in the SWCNT Fermi level. Hence we achieve a stronger interaction between the Fe^{II} center and the σ -donating, π -accepting CO ligand.

To gain further insights into the sensing mechanism, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations on a SWCNT fragment with Fe^{II} porphyrin in the presence and absence of small gaseous ligands (O_2 and CO). We chose to model a fragment of (5,5) SWCNT containing 110 carbon atoms end-capped with hydrogen atoms for comparison to established

procedures.^[46–48] This approach is similar to that used by Zanolli et al. who used the computed location of the Fermi level to illuminate the sensing behaviors of a gold-decorated SWCNT.^[46] The ground-state geometries, computed distance between the SWCNT and Fe, the bond lengths between Fe and the ligands, binding angles between Fe and the ligands, and the charge transfer between SWCNT and porphyrin are shown in Figure S10 and Table S4. Figure 6 shows the change in computed Fermi energy (E_f) upon adsorption of Fe^{II} porphyrin to a (5,5) SWCNT fragment and the subsequent binding of CO or O₂. The adsorption of Fe^{II} porphyrin increased the Fermi level by 0.12 eV. Binding of CO and O₂ both reduced the Fermi level by 0.19 eV and 0.04 eV, respectively. Consistent with our sensing results, the binding of CO induced a more pronounced change when compared to the binding of O₂. This computed change in Fermi energy is in line with the trends of the sensing data and the decrease in conductance upon binding of CO and O₂. Although other sensing mechanisms have been reported, such as swelling of the SWCNT network or the junctions between electrode and SWCNT, electron transfer has been shown as the dominant factor in the majority of porphyrin-based SWCNT sensors.^[13,44, 49]

In conclusion, we developed an amperometric platform for the detection of CO with voltage-modulated sensitivity using the bio-inspired interaction between CO and iron porphyrin. We demonstrate the importance of installing covalent pyridyl ligands on the SWCNTs for localizing and electronically coupling the iron porphyrins to the carbon nanotubes. We further demonstrated that the application of the gate voltage can significantly enhanced the sensitivity of the sensors and show that this enhancement can be understood as resulting from an increase of Fe^{II} porphyrin species. Our sensors function in oxygenated and humid conditions and have sensitivities to meet the limits of detection required by OSHA. While we are competitive in terms of sensitivity and response time with commercial sensors, we did not pursue optimizations in this current report. The concept of using gate modulated redox states of receptors/selectors attached to SWCNTs is likely to have general applicability and we are seeking to demonstrate similarly selective sensors for other analytes.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the KAUST sensor project CRF-2015-SENSORS-2719 and the Army Research Office through the Institute for Soldier Nanotechnologies and the National Science Foundation (DMR-1410718). S.L. was supported by an F32 Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Award. M.H. was supported by NIH Training Grant T32ES007020. We thank Dr. Lionel Moh for the designs of the substrates for our sensors and Dr. Joseph Walsh for fabricating the gas enclosures.

References

1. Raub JA, Mathieu-Nolf M, Hampson NB, Thom SR. Toxicology. 2000; 145:1–14. [PubMed: 10771127]
2. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. Carbon Monoxide In Workplace Atmospheres (Direct-Reading Monitor). 1993.
3. Gonzales FJ. Pharmacol. Rev. 1985; 40:243–288.

4. Klingenberg M. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1958; 75:376–386. [PubMed: 13534720]
5. Omura T, Sato R. J. Biol. Chem. 1964; 239:2370–2378. [PubMed: 14209971]
6. Perutz MF. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 1990; 52:1–25. [PubMed: 2184753]
7. Rossi-Fanelli A, Antonini E. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1958; 77:478–492. [PubMed: 13584010]
8. Thom SR. N. Engl. J. Med. 2002; 347:1105–1106. [PubMed: 12362013]
9. Thom SR, Keim LW. Clin. Toxicol. 1989; 27:141–156.
10. Fennell JF Jr, Liu SF, Azzarelli JM, Weis JG, Rochat S, Mirica KA, Ravnsbæk JB, Swager TM. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016; 55:1266.
11. Ellis JE, Star A. ChemPlusChem. 2016; 81:1248–1265.
12. Torsi L, Magliulo M, Manoli K, Palazzo G. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013; 42:8612. [PubMed: 24018860]
13. Liu SF, Petty AR, Sazama GT, Swager TM. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015; 54:6554. Angew. Chem. 2015; 127:6654.
14. Star A, Gabriel JCP, Bradley K, Grüner G. Nano Lett. 2003; 3:459–463.
15. Deo RP, Wang J, Block I, Mulchandani A, Joshi KA, Trojanowicz M, Scholz F, Chen W, Lin Y. Anal. Chim. Acta. 2005; 530:185–189.
16. Esser B, Schnorr JM, Swager TM. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012; 51:5752–5756. Angew. Chem. 2012; 124:5851–5855.
17. Dionisio M, Schnorr JM, Michaelis VK, Griffin RG, Swager TM, Dalcanale E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:6540–6543. [PubMed: 22475006]
18. Santucci S, Picozzi S, Di Gregorio F, Lozzi L, Cantalini C, Valentini L, Kenny JM, Delley B. J. Chem. Phys. 2003; 119:10904–10910.
19. Fu D, Lim H, Shi Y, Dong X, Mhaisalkar SG, Chen Y, Moochhala S, Li L. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2008; 112:650–653.
20. Kauffman DR, Star A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:6550–6570. Angew. Chem. 2008; 120:6652–6673.
21. Da Silva LB, Fagan SB, Mota R. Nano Lett. 2004; 4:65–67.
22. Peng S, Cho KJ. Nano Lett. 2003; 3:513–517.
23. Wanna Y, Srisukhumbowornchai N, Tuantranont A, Wisitsoraat A, Thavarungkul N, Singjai P. J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 2006; 6:3893–3896. [PubMed: 17256348]
24. Star A, Joshi V, Skarupo S, Thomas D, Gabriel J-CP. J. Phys. Chem. B. 2006; 110:21014–21020. [PubMed: 17048920]
25. Varghese OK, Kichambre PD, Gong D, Ong KG, Dickey EC, Grimes CA. Sens. Actuators B. 2001; 81:32–41.
26. Chopra S, McGuire K, Gothard N, Rao AM, Pham A. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2003; 83:2280–2282.
27. Liu SF, Lin S, Swager TM. ACS Sens. 2016; 1:354–357. [PubMed: 27280172]
28. Dong X, Fu D, Ahmed MO, Shi Y, Mhaisalkar SG, Zhang S, Moochhala S, Ho X, Rogers JA, Li LJ. Chem. Mater. 2007; 19:6059–6061.
29. Que L, Tolman WB. Nature. 2008; 455:333–340. [PubMed: 18800132]
30. Tolman WB. Inorg. Chem. 2013; 52:7307–7310. [PubMed: 23819601]
31. De La Torre MC, Sierra MA. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004; 43:160–181. Angew. Chem. 2004; 116:162–184.
32. Lippard, SJ., Berg, JM. Principles of Bioinorganic Chemistry. University Science Books; Mill Valley, CA: 1994.
33. He Y, Zhang J, Zhao J. J. Phys. Chem. C. 2014; 118:18325–18333.
34. Shimizu T, Huang D, Yan F, Stranova M, Bartosova M, Fojtíková V, Martínková M. Chem. Rev. 2015; 115:6491–6533. [PubMed: 26021768]
35. He M, Swager TM. Chem. Mater. 2016; 28:8542–8549.
36. Paul S, Amalraj F, Radhakrishnan S. Synth. Met. 2009; 159:1019–1023.
37. Maser, W., Benito, EM., Muñoz, E., Martinez, MT. Functionalized Nanoscale Materials. Devices and Systems; Springer, Dordrecht: 2008.
38. Banerjee S, Hemraj-Benny T, Wong SS. Adv. Mater. 2005; 17:17–29.

39. Liu L, Etika KC, Liao KS, Hess LA, Bergbreiter DE, Grunlan JC. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2009; 30:627–632. [PubMed: 21706651]
40. Rovira C, Ballone P, Parrinello M. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 1997; 271:247–250.
41. Blomberg LM, Blomberg MRA, Siegbahn PEM. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 2005; 99:949–958. [PubMed: 15811512]
42. Abdurahman A, Renger T. *J. Phys. Chem. A.* 2009; 113:9202–9206. [PubMed: 19618923]
43. Bailey JA. *J. Chem. Educ.* 2011; 88:995–998.
44. Kauffman DR, Kuzmych O, Star A. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 2007; 111:3539–3543.
45. Balducci G, Chottard G, Gueutin C, Lexa D, Saveant J-M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1994; 33:1972–1978.
46. Zanolli Z, Leghrib R, Felten A, Pireaux JJ, Llobet E, Charlier JC. *ACS Nano.* 2011; 5:4592–4599. [PubMed: 21553864]
47. Wang Q, Tong Y, Xu X. *Struct. Chem.* 2015; 26:815–822.
48. Yim WL, Liu ZF. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2004; 398:297–303.
49. Penza M, Alvisi M, Rossi R, Serra E, Paolesse R, D'Amico A, Di Natale C. *Nanotechnology.* 2011; 22:125502. [PubMed: 21325715]




Figure 1. Carbon monoxide detection by a bio-inspired sensors. Schematic of a field-effect transistor (FET) substrate with Au source-drain electrodes, and Ti adhesion layer deposited on SiO₂ dielectric layer and Si gate electrode. Chemical structures of pyridyl-functionalized single-walled carbon nanotubes (F-SWCNTs) and iron porphyrin (Fe-(tppe)ClO₄), depicting the coordination chemistry of the pyridyl group to the iron center of the porphyrin.




Figure 2.

Sensing responses at no gate voltages. a) Average changes in the conductance and standard deviations ($N = 6$ sensors) in response to 2 min exposures to 200 ppm of CO for F-SWCNTs without Fe(tpb)ClO₄ (black), pristine SWCNTs with Fe(tpb)ClO₄ (green), and three densities of functionalization (red, blue, violet). b) Conductance changes of **F-SWCNT-1** with Fe(tpb)ClO₄ in response to various concentrations of CO gas diluted in N₂.




Figure 3. Robustness and selectivity of the CO sensors. a) Conductance curves of **F-SWCNT-1** with Fe(tp)ClO₄ sensors in response to 2 min of 200 ppm of CO gas in air (42% relative humidity) and dry N₂. b) Comparison between the response to CO in both N₂ and air to the responses to CO₂ and O₂.




Figure 4. UV/Vis investigation of reactivity of $\text{Fe}(\text{tpP})\text{ClO}_4$ to CO in solution of THF. a) $\text{Fe}(\text{tpP})\text{ClO}_4$ before and at various times after addition of Na metal. b) Photograph of the color change with the addition of sodium metal and subsequent addition of carbon monoxide. c) Non-reduced $\text{Fe}(\text{tpP})\text{ClO}_4$ before and after addition of CO. d) Blue shift in the spectra of fully reduced porphyrin upon addition of CO.




Figure 5. Enhancement in sensitivity via application of the gate voltage. a) Conductance curves of **F-SWCNT-1** with Fe(tpy)ClO₄ sensors in response to 2 min of 200 ppm of CO at +3, 0, and -3 V gate voltage. b) Change in conductance towards an exposure of 2 min at 200 ppm of CO as a function of the gate voltage. Dashed line to guide the eye. c) Schematic of band diagram of SWCNT and Fe^{III}py(tpy)ClO₄ (py = pyridine) and interactions between the two upon application of gate voltage.




Figure 6. Computed change in the Fermi energy (E_F) upon addition of Fe^{II} porphyrin and subsequent addition of CO or O₂ relative to the Fermi energy of the pristine SWCNT with inserts of the ground-state geometries. For these molecules the Fermi level is defined as the level of the HOMO.