

MIT Open Access Articles

*Hopf coactions on commutative algebras generated
by a quadratically independent comodule*

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share**
how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Etingof, Pavel et al. "Hopf Coactions on Commutative Algebras Generated by a Quadratically Independent Comodule." *Communications in Algebra* 45, 8 (October 2016): 3410–3412 © 2016 Taylor & Francis

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2016.1236934>

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/115868>

Version: Original manuscript: author's manuscript prior to formal peer review

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike



Hopf coactions on commutative algebras generated by a quadratically independent comodule

Pavel Etingof Debashish Goswami Arnab Mandal Chelsea Walton

Abstract

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative unital algebra over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $\neq 2$, whose generators form a finite-dimensional subspace V , with no nontrivial homogeneous quadratic relations. Let \mathcal{Q} be a Hopf algebra that coacts on \mathcal{A} inner-faithfully, while leaving V invariant. We prove that \mathcal{Q} must be commutative when either: (i) the coaction preserves a non-degenerate bilinear form on V ; or (ii) \mathcal{Q} is co-semisimple, finite-dimensional, and $\text{char}(k) = 0$.

1 Introduction

We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic not equal to 2, unless stated otherwise. In this note, we study quantum group symmetries, i.e. actions or coactions of Hopf algebras on function algebras of classical spaces. Co-actions of *genuine* (i.e. noncommutative) Hopf algebras are of interest here, particularly coactions that are *inner-faithful*, that is, those that do not factor through the coaction of a proper Hopf subalgebra. We say that an algebra \mathcal{A} admits *No Quantum Symmetry* when there does not exist an inner-faithful coaction of a genuine Hopf algebra \mathcal{Q} on \mathcal{A} .

Recently, the problem of establishing No Quantum Symmetry has been addressed in both the algebraic and analytic frameworks. In the algebraic framework, the first and last author established that there does not exist an inner-faithful coaction of a noncommutative, finite-dimensional, co-semisimple Hopf algebra on a commutative domain, when $k = \bar{k}$ and $\text{char}(k) = 0$ [2, Theorem 1.3]. In joint work with Joardar, the second author established a similar result in the analytic and infinite-dimensional setting: there does not exist a genuine compact quantum group that coacts inner-faithfully and isometrically (in the sense of [5]) on the Frechet- $*$ -algebra of smooth functions on a compact, connected, smooth Riemannian manifold [3, Theorem 12.7]. On the other hand, one obtains inner-faithful coactions of genuine Hopf algebras \mathcal{Q} on function algebras \mathcal{A} of classical spaces X if the hypotheses on the theorems above are dropped; see [2, Remark 4.3], [1, Theorem 1.2] and [7, Theorem 3.7] for counterexamples.

The purpose of this paper is to establish No Quantum Symmetry on some commutative k -algebras as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Take \mathcal{A} to be a unital commutative k -algebra with a finite-dimensional generating subspace V that is quadratically independent [Definition 2.1]. Let \mathcal{Q} be a Hopf algebra that coacts on \mathcal{A} inner-faithfully. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) *the coaction of \mathcal{Q} on \mathcal{A} preserves a non-degenerate bilinear form on V ; or*
- (ii) *k is algebraically closed of characteristic 0 and \mathcal{Q} is co-semisimple and finite-dimensional.*

Then, \mathcal{Q} is a commutative Hopf algebra.

The result above is an algebraic generalization of [4, Theorem 3.2] and [3, Lemma 12.1] in the analytic setting. With the exception of Remark 2.7 and Corollary 2.8, we work in the algebraic framework so that there is no assumption on $*$ -structures of the underlying algebras or on $*$ -preservation of the coactions.

2 Results

We begin by introducing *quadratic independence*, a condition on the comodule algebra \mathcal{A} that we will impose throughout this work.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{A} be a unital commutative algebra over k generated by a finite-dimensional subspace $V \subseteq \mathcal{A}$. We say that V is *quadratically independent* if the natural linear map from $S^2V := (V \otimes V)/(v \otimes w - w \otimes v)_{v,w \in V}$ to \mathcal{A} , sending $v \otimes w$ to $vw = wv \in \mathcal{A}$, is one-to-one.

Let us give an example of quadratic independence.

Example 2.2. Suppose that a Hopf algebra \mathcal{Q} coacts on the algebra \mathcal{A} of regular functions on a smooth affine algebraic, analytic, or C^∞ -manifold X , while preserving the ideal I_x of $\mathcal{O}(X)$ consisting of functions vanishing on a point $x \in X$. Then, the cotangent space $T_x^*X = I_x/I_x^2$ is a quadratically independent \mathcal{Q} -comodule.

Now we verify that condition (i) of Theorem 1.1 implies that \mathcal{Q} is commutative; see Proposition 2.6 below. First, we need a preliminary result.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that V is an inner-faithful finite-dimensional comodule over a Hopf algebra \mathcal{Q} , and assume that the decomposition $V \otimes V = S^2V \oplus \wedge^2V$ is preserved by this coaction. Here, $\wedge^2V := (V \otimes V)/(v \otimes w + w \otimes v)_{v,w \in V}$. Then, \mathcal{Q} is commutative.*

Proof. Let the coaction α of \mathcal{Q} on V be given by the Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan matrix $T \in \text{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$; namely, $\alpha(v) = T(v \otimes 1)$. Consider the natural \mathcal{Q} -coaction on $V \otimes V$ defined by the matrix $T^{13}T^{23} \in \text{End}(V \otimes V) \otimes \mathcal{Q}$. Here, $T^{13} = \sum_{i,j} E_{ij} \otimes Id \otimes t_{ij}$, and $T^{23} = \sum_{i,j} Id \otimes E_{ij} \otimes t_{ij}$, for the elementary matrices E_{ij} . The hypotheses imply that $T^{13}T^{23}$ lies in $(\text{End}(S^2V) \otimes \mathcal{Q}) \oplus (\text{End}(\wedge^2V) \otimes \mathcal{Q})$, that is, it commutes with the permutation that flips the two copies of V . Thus, $T^{13}T^{23} = T^{23}T^{13}$, so matrix elements of T commute with each other. Since matrix elements of T generate \mathcal{Q} (by the inner-faithfulness of V), we obtain that \mathcal{Q} is commutative. \square

Let us fix the hypothesis below for the rest of the note, unless stated otherwise.

Hypothesis 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a unital commutative k -algebra with a finite-dimensional, quadratically independent generating subspace V . Take \mathcal{Q} be a Hopf algebra that coacts on \mathcal{A} inner-faithfully, leaving V invariant, that is, for the coaction α of \mathcal{Q} on \mathcal{A} we get that $\alpha(V) \subseteq V \otimes \mathcal{Q}$.*

Lemma 2.5. *Recall Hypothesis 2.4. Then, the \mathcal{Q} -coaction preserves $\wedge^2V \subset V \otimes V$.*

Proof. We have a \mathcal{Q} -comodule algebra morphism $TV \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, which in degree 2 maps $V \otimes V$ onto $S^2V \subset \mathcal{A}$, due to the commutativity of \mathcal{A} and quadratic independence of V . The kernel is then \wedge^2V , and it is thus preserved by the coaction. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Recall Hypothesis 2.4 and suppose that \mathcal{Q} preserves a nondegenerate bilinear form B on V . Then, \mathcal{Q} is commutative.*

Proof. The form B defines an invariant nondegenerate form B^2 on $V \otimes V$ given by $B^2(a \otimes b, c \otimes d) = B(a, d)B(b, c)$. By Lemma 2.5, $\wedge^2 V$ is invariant under the coaction of \mathcal{Q} on $V \otimes V$. The orthogonal complement to $\wedge^2 V$ in $V \otimes V$ under the form B^2 is $S^2 V$. Hence, $S^2 V \subset V \otimes V$ is also invariant under the coaction of \mathcal{Q} on $V \otimes V$. Now the result follows from Lemma 2.3. \square

Remark 2.7. Suppose that $k = \mathbb{C}$ and \mathcal{Q} is a Hopf- $*$ algebra such that the coaction α preserves a nondegenerate Hermitian form on V , that is, $\langle a_0, b_0 \rangle a_1^* b_1 = \langle a, b \rangle 1_{\mathcal{Q}}$, where we have written $\alpha(a) = a_0 \otimes a_1$ in Sweedler notation. Then, we can adapt the proof of Proposition 2.6 to get that \mathcal{Q} is commutative.

Corollary 2.8. *If \mathcal{Q} is the Hopf- $*$ algebra associated to a compact quantum group satisfying Hypothesis 2.4, then \mathcal{Q} must be commutative.*

Proof. By applying [6, Proposition 6.4] to the finite-dimensional \mathcal{Q} -comodule V , we get a nondegenerate Hermitian form on V which is preserved by the coaction. Now \mathcal{Q} is commutative by Remark 2.7. \square

Finally, we establish that condition (ii) of Theorem 1.1 implies that \mathcal{Q} is commutative.

Theorem 2.9. *Recall Hypothesis 2.4 and assume that k is algebraically closed of characteristic 0. If, further, \mathcal{Q} is finite-dimensional and co-semisimple, then \mathcal{Q} must be commutative.*

Proof. Since we have quadratic independence, we get that the action of \mathcal{Q}^* preserves the subspace $\wedge^2 V$ in $V \otimes V$ by Lemma 2.5. Hence, \mathcal{Q}^* acts on $TV/(\wedge^2 V) = SV$ inner-faithfully. Thus, \mathcal{Q}^* is cocommutative by [2, Theorem 1.3]. \square

Acknowledgements

P. Etingof and C. Walton were supported by the US National Science Foundation grants DMS-#1502244 and #1550306. D. Goswami thanks P. Etingof and C. Walton for their kind hospitality at MIT where the work began.

References

- [1] P. Etingof and C. Walton. “Pointed Hopf actions on fields, I.” To appear in *Transformation Groups*, arXiv:1403.4673v3 (2014).
- [2] P. Etingof and C. Walton. “Semisimple Hopf actions on commutative domains.” *Advances in Mathematics* **251** (2014): 47-61.
- [3] D. Goswami, and S. Joardar. “Rigidity of action of compact quantum groups on compact, connected manifolds.” arXiv:1309.1294v2 (2013).
- [4] D. Goswami. “Quadratic independence of coordinate functions of certain homogeneous spaces and action of compact quantum groups.” *Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences: Mathematical Sciences*, **125** no. 1. 2015.
- [5] D. Goswami. “Quantum group of isometries in classical and noncommutative geometry.” *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **285** no. 1 (2009): 141-160.

- [6] A. Maes and A. van Daele. “Notes on compact quantum groups”, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* **4** (16) no. 1-2 (1988), 73-112.
- [7] H. Huang. “Faithful compact quantum group actions on connected compact metrizable spaces.” *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **70** (2013): 232-236.

ETINGOF: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02151 USA

E-mail address: etingof@math.mit.edu

GOSWAMI: STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203, B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA 700 208, INDIA

E-mail address: goswamid@isical.ac.in

MANDAL: STAT-MATH UNIT, INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, 203, B. T. ROAD, KOLKATA 700 208, INDIA

E-mail address: arnabmaths@gmail.com

WALTON: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PENNSYLVANIA 19122 USA

E-mail address: notlaw@temple.edu