

MIT Open Access Articles

C. elegans discriminates colors to guide foraging

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Ghosh, D. Dipon et al. "C. elegans discriminates colors to guide foraging." Science 371, 6533 (March 2021): 1059-1063. © 2021 The American Association for the Advancement of **Science**

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.abd3010

Publisher: American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)

Persistent URL: <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/131224>

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.

- 1 **Title:** *C. elegans* discriminates colors to guide foraging
- 2
- **Authors:** D. Dipon Ghosh^{1,2,*}, Dongyeop Lee², Xin Jin^{1,5}, H. Robert Horvitz², and Michael N. 4 Nitabach^{1,3,4,*}
- 5

6 **Affiliations:**

- ¹Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Yale University, New Haven, CT
- 8 ²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Biology, Massachusetts Institute of
- 9 Technology, Cambridge, MA
- 10 ³Department of Genetics, Yale University, New Haven, CT
- 11 ⁴Department of Neuroscience, Yale University, New Haven, CT
- ⁵Present address: School of Medicine, Nankai University, Tianjin, China
- 13 *Corresponding author. Email: dipon@mit.edu (D.D.G.); michael.nitabach@yale.edu (M.N.N.)

One-sentence summary:

 Despite lacking opsins, the worm *Caenorhabditis elegans* can discriminate among colors in environmental light when making foraging decisions.

Abstract:

 Color detection is used by animals of diverse phyla to navigate colorful natural environments and is thought to require evolutionarily conserved opsin photoreceptor genes. We report that *Caenorhabditis elegans* roundworms can discriminate among colors despite lacking eyes or opsins. Specifically, we found that white light guides *C. elegans* foraging decisions away from a blue pigment toxin secreted by harmful bacteria. These foraging decisions are guided by specific blue-to-amber ratios of light. The color specificity of color-dependent foraging varies strikingly among wild *C. elegans* strains, indicating that color discrimination is ecologically important. We identified two evolutionarily conserved cellular stress-response genes required for opsin-independent color-dependent foraging by *C. elegans* and speculate that cellular stress response pathways can more generally mediate spectral discrimination by photosensitive cells and organisms, even those lacking opsins.

Main text:

bacteria (Fig. 1F,G). We tested whether a chemically inert blue dye spectrally matched (fig.

 S2A) to pyocyanin coupled with the colorless ROS-generating toxin paraquat (fig. S2B) would support light-potentiated avoidance. Light potentiated wild-type but not *lite-1* avoidance of OP50 supplemented with both blue dye and paraquat, but not with either independently (Fig. 2A,B,C,D, fig. S2C,D,E,F). This observation indicates that avoidance of pyocyanin-containing

lawns relies on both pyocyanin's chemical and spectral properties.

 Using optical filters (fig. S3A,B), we found that eliminating short-wavelength blue or long-wavelength amber light disrupted light-potentiated avoidance (fig. S3C). By contrast, directly filtering incident white light through a "blue vinyl" filter that increased the blue-to- amber ratio to match the spectral properties of pyocyanin potentiated avoidance of OP50 supplemented with paraquat without blue pigment (Fig. 2E,F,G). These results indicate that blue pigments enhance avoidance by changing the spectrum of light in the worm's environment (also see fig. S4). Blue vinyl-filtered light also potentiated avoidance of OP50 lawns in the presence of the aversive odorant 1-octanol (*24*), suggesting that color might generally influence avoidance of aversive stimuli (Fig. 3A,B, fig. S5A).

 To analyze spectral influences on foraging, we tested combinations of monochromatic blue and amber light sources for potentiation of OP50 lawn avoidance in the presence of 1- octanol (Fig. 3C). While neither pure blue nor pure amber light potentiated lawn avoidance, mixed colors differentially potentiated avoidance, depending on the blue-to-amber ratio (Fig. 3D). This observation indicates that the relative intensities of blue and amber visible light guide foraging decisions and establishes that *C. elegans* can discriminate colors.

 Next we asked if *C. elegans* strains independently isolated from the wild and presumably adapted to diverse ecological niches (*25-27*) exhibit variation in color-dependent foraging. We detected substantial variation in 4:1 and 1:2 blue-to-amber spectrum-dependent foraging among

 59 wild strains (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, 4:1 and 1:2 blue-to-amber sensitivity were uncorrelated (Fig. 4B), suggesting that complex, potentially distinct mechanisms underlie color-specific sensitivities. For example, compared to N2, strains CX11276 and JU830 exhibited relatively heightened sensitivity to 4:1 blue-to-amber light and 1:2 blue-to-amber light, respectively, while NIC2 maximally avoided the lawn regardless of color (Fig. 4A). To dissect how spectral discrimination and 1-octanol avoidance contribute to color-dependent foraging, we tested avoidance of lawns illuminated with 4:1 and 1:2 blue-to-amber light, with and without octanol, by these strains. CX11276 and JU830 were sensitive to specific colors even without 1-octanol, whereas NIC2 avoided lawns with 1-octanol even without light (Fig. 4C). Thus, whereas color-85 dependent lawn avoidance by N2, which is relatively insensitive to colors, requires the presence 86 of an additional aversive stimulus, for more color-sensitive strains like CX11276, color-specific illumination is sufficient. These results demonstrate that naturally varying color and odorant sensitivities drive strain differences in foraging.

 Using approaches adapted from statistical genetics (*27*), we determined that no single genomic polymorphism could causally account for the observed variation in color-dependent foraging (Fig. 4D). However, by considering together multiple neighboring SNPs within a given genomic region (*28*), we identified two sets of two genes - *chtl-1* and *jkk-1*, and *F52H3.6* and *lec-3* – in the regions of the two highest-scoring polymorphisms contributing to variation in avoidance of lawns under 4:1 and 1:2 blue-to-amber light, respectively (Fig. 4E, fig. S6). Color- dependent avoidance was abolished in two independent null-mutant strains of each of *jkk-1* and *lec-3*, while color-dependent foraging by *chtl-1* and *F52H3.6* null-mutant worms was unaffected (Fig. 4E). Loss of *jkk-1* or *lec-3* function did not impair avoidance responses to brighter blue

 light or higher 1-octanol concentrations (fig. S7). These results revealed that *jkk-1* and *lec-3* contribute to color-dependent foraging.

 In short, we established that *C. elegans* discriminates colors and identified two genes required for color-dependent foraging. Mammalian homologs of *jkk-1* and *lec-3* – MKK7, an activator of c-Jun N-terminal Kinases (JNKs) *(29)*, and galectin, a member of a protein family that binds beta-galactoside sugars (*30*), respectively – can interact in mediating cellular responses to stressors, including ultraviolet light (*31-37*). Genes like *jkk-1* and *lec-3* might function in opsin-independent spectrally-sensitive stress response pathways to guide *C. elegans* foraging decisions on food sources varying in color and toxicity (Fig. 4F). The functions of microbial pigmentation are poorly understood (*38*). We suggest that pigmentation contributes to evolving interactions between pathways underlying the synthesis and secretion of pigmented factors by microbes and the responses to these pigments by foraging hosts like *C. elegans*.

Figure 1. Blue pigment toxin pyocyanin underlies light-potentiated avoidance of *P. aeruginosa***.**

 (A) Schematic depicting worms (*n* = 30/assay) on a lawn of *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14 in the absence (dark) or presence (light) of 8 kilolux white light. Lines represent the average of assays individually depicted by data points. **(B)** Time-course of wild-type worm avoidance of lawns of PA14 in the light (purple) and dark (black) or *E. coli* OP50 in the light (gray). *n*=3. **(C,D)** Time- course of wild-type worm avoidance of PA14ΔphzM lawns in light (purple) and dark (black). 118 PA14 Δ phzM is incapable of synthesizing pyocyanin (note that these cultures are not blue). *n* = 3. **(E,F)** Time-course of avoidance by wild-type worms of *E. coli* OP50 lawns supplemented with 2.5 mM pyocyanin in the presence (gray) or absence (black) of light. *n* = 4 for measurement at 1 hr, *n =* 2 for measurements 2-9 hrs. **(G)** One-hour avoidance of OP50 lawns supplemented with 0.25 mM, 2.5 mM, or 5 mM pyocyanin by wild-type (circles) and *lite-1* null-mutant (squares) worms in the presence (gray) or absence (black) of light. Data for wild-type avoidance of lawns supplemented with 2.5 mM pyocyanin in panels F and G were from the same experiments. (All statistical comparisons for time-course experiments were by two-way ANOVA with time as a repeated measure and post-hoc Bonferroni tests. *p* and F values, see Table S1. Experiments are single time-point (1 hr) unless otherwise indicated. Statistical analyses were performed by one- way ANOVA with post-hoc Tukey-Kramer for pairwise comparisons or Dunnett or Bonferroni 129 tests as appropriate for comparisons with control; error bars denote 95% C.I.; and $*$ indicates $p <$ 130 0.05, ** indicates $p \le 0.01$, and *** indicates $p \le 0.001$.)

Figure 2. Spectral content potentiates avoidance of toxic bacterial lawns.

(A,B) Avoidance of OP50 lawns supplemented with 0.125 mM blue dye. **(C,D)** Avoidance of

OP50 lawns supplemented with 0.125 mM blue dye and specified concentrations of paraquat.

(E) Comparison of spectra of white light filtered with water, blue vinyl filter, 2.5 mM pyocyanin

solution, or 30 mM paraquat and blue dye solution. **(F, G)** Avoidance of OP50 lawns

supplemented with or without paraquat in the presence (gray with blue borders) or absence

(gray) of a blue vinyl filter modifying the color of the white light.

Figure 3. Foraging is guided by the relative intensities of blue and amber light.

 (A, B) Avoidance of OP50 lawns in the presence of the specified dilutions of 1-octanol and in the presence or absence of the blue vinyl filter. **(C, D)** Avoidance of OP50 lawns in the presence of 5% 1-octanol and incident light of different colors composed of the specified ratios of narrow-band blue and amber light.

Figure 4. Evolutionarily conserved genes *jkk-1* **and** *lec-3* **are required for naturally varying color-dependent foraging.**

 (A) Avoidance of OP50 lawns by fifty-nine wild *C. elegans* strains in the presence of 5% 1- octanol and 4:1 or 1:2 blue-to-amber light. N2, CX11276, JU830, and NIC2 strains are indicated 147 in red. $n = 2$. **(B)** Correlation of lawn avoidance in the presence of 5% 1-octanol and 4:1 and 1:2 148 blue-to-amber light by each wild strain. Pearson's $r(57) = 0.24$, $p = 0.063$. (C) Avoidance of OP50 lawns by N2, CX11276, JU830, and NIC2 strains with or without 5% 1-octanol and 4:1 or 1:2 blue-to-amber light as specified. **(D)** Statistical genetics analysis with highest-scoring polymorphisms (indicated in red) and neighboring high-confidence polymorphisms suggested candidate genes *chtl-1* and *jkk-1* (blue box) and *F52H3.6* and *lec-3* (orange box). **(E)** Avoidance of OP50 lawns in the presence of 5% 1-octanol and 4:1 or 1:2 blue-to-amber light by *chtl-1*, *jkk- 1*, *F52H3.6*, and *lec-3* null-mutant worms. **(F)** Blue pigment absorbs long-wavelength light and thereby alters the spectral composition of light detected. The integration of color and chemical information guides worms' foraging decision to stay on or leave bacterial lawns.

References:

- 1. L. Frezal, M. A. Felix, *Elife* **4**, (2015).
- 2. B. S. Samuel, H. Rowedder, C. Braendle, M. A. Felix, G. Ruvkun, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, (2016).
- 3. E. Pradel *et al.*, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **104**, 2295-2300 (2007).
- 4. P. Burlinson *et al.*, *ISME J* **7**, 1126-1138 (2013).
- 5. A. P. Page *et al.*, *BMC Biol* **17**, 10 (2019).
- 6. F. Pichaud, A. Briscoe, C. Desplan, *Curr Opin Neurobiol* **9**, 622-627 (1999).
- 7. K. D. Longden, *Curr Biol* **26**, R981-R988 (2016).
- 8. I. C. Cuthill *et al.*, *Science* **357**, (2017).
- 9. S. L. Edwards *et al.*, *PLoS Biol* **6**, e198 (2008).
- 10. A. Ward, J. Liu, Z. Feng, X. Z. Xu, *Nat Neurosci* **11**, 916-922 (2008).
- 11. J. Liu *et al.*, *Nat Neurosci* **13**, 715-722 (2010).
- 12. N. Bhatla, H. R. Horvitz, *Neuron* **85**, 804-818 (2015).
- 13. N. Bhatla, R. Droste, S. R. Sando, A. Huang, H. R. Horvitz, *Curr Biol* **25**, 2075-2089 (2015).
- 14. J. Gong *et al.*, *Cell* **167**, 1252-1263 e1210 (2016).
- 15. C. D. De Magalhaes Filho *et al.*, *Nat Commun* **9**, 927 (2018).
- 16. S. Mahajan-Miklos, M. W. Tan, L. G. Rahme, F. M. Ausubel, *Cell* **96**, 47-56 (1999).
- 17. M. W. Tan, S. Mahajan-Miklos, F. M. Ausubel, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 715-720 (1999).
- 18. M. W. Tan, L. G. Rahme, J. A. Sternberg, R. G. Tompkins, F. M. Ausubel, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 2408-2413 (1999).
- 19. B. Cezairliyan *et al.*, *PLoS Pathog* **9**, e1003101 (2013).
- 20. Y. Zhang, H. Lu, C. I. Bargmann, *Nature* **438**, 179-184 (2005).
- 21. J. D. Meisel, D. H. Kim, *Trends Immunol* **35**, 465-470 (2014).
- 22. J. D. Meisel, O. Panda, P. Mahanti, F. C. Schroeder, D. H. Kim, *Cell* **159**, 267-280 (2014).
- 23. J. Singh, A. Aballay, *Elife* **8**, (2019).
- 24. C. I. Bargmann, E. Hartwieg, H. R. Horvitz, *Cell* **74**, 515-527 (1993).
- 25. A. Barrière, M. A. Félix, *WormBook*, 1-19 (2005).
- 26. K. S. Evans *et al.*, *G3 (Bethesda)* **7**, 289-298 (2017).
- 27. D. E. Cook, S. Zdraljevic, J. P. Roberts, E. C. Andersen, *Nucleic Acids Res* **45**, D650-D657 (2017).
- 28. J. Yang *et al.*, *Nat Genet* **44**, 369-375, S361-363 (2012).
- 29. M. Kawasaki *et al.*, *EMBO J* **18**, 3604-3615 (1999).
- 30. Y. Nemoto-Sasaki *et al.*, *Biochim Biophys Acta* **1780**, 1131-1142 (2008).
- 31. I. N. Foltz *et al.*, *J Biol Chem* **273**, 9344-9351 (1998).
- 32. F. Bernerd, A. Sarasin, T. Magnaldo, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **96**, 11329-11334 (1999).
- 33. C. Tournier *et al.*, *Science* **288**, 870-874 (2000).
- 34. C. Tournier *et al.*, *Genes Dev* **15**, 1419-1426 (2001).
- 35. I. Kuwabara *et al.*, *J Biol Chem* **277**, 3487-3497 (2002).
- 36. J. Saegusa *et al.*, *J Invest Dermatol* **128**, 2403-2411 (2008).
- 37. B. Brandt, E. F. Abou-Eladab, M. Tiedge, H. Walzel, *Cell Death Dis* **1**, e23 (2010).
- 38. G. Y. Liu, V. Nizet, *Trends Microbiol* **17**, 406-413 (2009).
- 39. A. Bendesky, M. Tsunozaki, M. V. Rockman, L. Kruglyak, C. I. Bargmann, *Nature* **472**, 313-318 (2011).
- 40. Reference Solar Spectral Irradiance: ASTM G-173.
-
-
-

Acknowledgments:

- We thank S. Xu, E. Andersen, S. Mitani, and the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center for *C. elegans*
- strains, F. Ausubel and L. Dietrich for *P. aeruginosa* strains, and members of the Nitabach,
- Horvitz, and Kazmierczak labs for technical support, advice, and comments. D.D.G. is a Howard
- Hughes Medical Institute Fellow of the Life Sciences Research Foundation. Work in the
- laboratory of H.R.H. was supported by National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS),
- NIH (R01GM024663). H.R.H. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Work
- 210 in the laboratory of M.N.N. is supported in part by NIGMS, NIH (R01GM098931).

Author contributions:

- 212 D.D.G. initiated the study. D.D.G., D.L., and X.J. performed experiments. D.D.G., D.L., X.J.,
- H.R.H., and M.N.N. designed experiments and analyzed data. D.D.G, H.R.H, and M.N.N. wrote
- 214 the paper with input from others.

Competing Financial Interests:

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Data and materials availability:

All data are available in the manuscript or the supplementary material.

Supplementary Materials:

- Materials and Methods
- 221 Figures $S1 S7$
- 222 Table S1
- References 39-40