

MIT Open Access Articles

Implanted Nanosensors in Marine Organisms for Physiological Biologging: Design, Feasibility, and Species Variability

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

As Published: 10.1021/ACSSENSORS.8B00538

Publisher: American Chemical Society (ACS)

Persistent URL: <https://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/134899>

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.

cls00 | ACSJCA | JCA11.1.4300/W Library-x64 | se-2018-005383.3d (R4.1.i3 HF01:4938 | 2.1) 2018/08/24 11:08:00 | PROD-WS-118 | rq_384196 | 12/03/2018 11:09:21 | 12 | JCA-DEFAULT

<pubs.acs.org/acssensors>

¹ Implanted Nanosensors in Marine Organisms for Physiological ² Biologging: Design, Feasibility, and Species Variability

 $_3$ Michael A. Lee, $^\dagger_\bot$ Freddy T. Nguyen, † Kathleen Scott, $^\ddagger_\bot$ Nathan Y.L. Chan, $^{\$}$ Naveed Ali Bakh, †

⁴ Kelvin K. Jones,† Crystal Pham,† Pablo Garcia-Salinas,[∥] Daniel Garcia-Parraga,[∥] Andreas Fahlman,[∥]

⁵ Vicente Marco,[∥] Volodymyr B. Koman,† Ronnie J. Oliver,† Lloyd W. Hopkins,[⊥] Consuelo Rubio,[∥]

6 Rory P. Wilson, $\frac{1}{2}$ Mark G. Meekan, $\frac{4}{3}$ Carlos M. Duarte, $\frac{4}{3}$ and Michael S. Strano[*](#page-10-0),[†](#page-10-0)

 7 † Department of Chemical Engineering and 8 Division of Comparative Medicine, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, ⁸ Massachusetts 02139, United States

9 ‡ Office of Animal Resources, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa 52242, United States

10 [∥]Fundación Oceanogràfic de la Comunitat Valenciana, Research Department, Ciudad de las Artes y las Ciencias, 46013 Valencia, ¹¹ Spain

12 [⊥]Biosciences, College of Science, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, United Kingdom

13 [#]Australian Institute of Marine Science, the Indian Ocean Marine Research Centre (IOMRC), University of Western Australia

¹⁴ Oceans Institute, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia

15 [¶]Red Sea Research Center, Division of Biological and Environmental Sciences and Engineering, King Abdullah University of Science ¹⁶ and Technology, Thuwal 23955-6900, Saudi Arabia

17 S [Supporting Information](#page-10-0)

 ABSTRACT: In recent decades, biologists have sought to tag animals with various sensors to study aspects of their behavior otherwise inaccessible from controlled laboratory experiments. Despite this, chemical information, both environmental and physiological, remains challenging to collect despite its tremendous potential to elucidate a wide range of animal 24 behaviors. In this work, we explore the design, feasibility, and data collection constraints of implantable, near-infrared fluo-

Elexible, wearable patch with embedded optoelectronics and other sensors Intramuscular hydrogel ray querying biomarkers Fish exterior

26 rescent nanosensors based on DNA-wrapped single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNT) embedded within a biocompatible poly(ethylene 27 glycol) diacrylate (PEGDA) hydrogel. These sensors are enabled by Corona Phase Molecular Recognition (CoPhMoRe) to provide ²⁸ selective chemical detection for marine organism biologging. Riboflavin, a key nutrient in oxidative phosphorylation, is utilized as a 29 model analyte in *in vitro* and *ex vivo* tissue measurements. Nine species of bony fish, sharks, eels, and turtles were utilized on site at 30 Oceanogràfic in Valencia, Spain to investigate sensor design parameters, including implantation depth, sensor imaging and detection 31 limits, fluence, and stability, as well as acute and long-term biocompatibility. Hydrogels were implanted subcutaneously and imaged ³² using a customized, field-portable Raspberry Pi camera system. Hydrogels could be detected up to depths of 7 mm in the skin and 33 muscle tissue of deceased teleost fish (Sparus aurata and Stenotomus chrysops) and a deceased catshark (Galeus melastomus). The ³⁴ effects of tissue heterogeneity on hydrogel delivery and fluorescence visibility were explored, with darker tissues masking hydrogel 35 fluorescence. Hydrogels were implanted into a living eastern river cooter (Pseudemys concinna), a European eel (Anguilla anguilla), and 36 a second species of catshark (Scyliorhinus stellaris). The animals displayed no observable changes in movement and feeding patterns. 37 Imaging by high-resolution ultrasound indicated no changes in tissue structure in the eel and catshark. In the turtle, some tissue 38 reaction was detected upon dissection and histopathology. Analysis of movement patterns in sarasa comet goldfish (Carassius auratus) 39 indicated that the hydrogel implants did not affect swimming patterns. Taken together, these results indicate that this implantable form 40 factor is a promising technique for biologging using aquatic vertebrates with further development. Future work will tune the sensor 41 detection range to the physiological range of riboflavin, develop strategies to normalize sensor signal to account for the optical 42 heterogeneity of animal tissues, and design a flexible, wearable device incorporating optoelectronic components that will enable sensor 43 measurements in moving animals. This work advances the application of nanosensors to organisms beyond the commonly used rodent 44 and zebrafish models and is an important step toward the physiological biologging of aquatic organisms.

⁴⁵ KEYWORDS: SWNT, in vivo, biologging, aquatic organisms, hydrogel, sensor

 $_{46}$ $\Gamma_{\rm{0}}$ n recent decades, the biologging community has attached various types of sensors to animals to characterize animal various types of sensors to animals to characterize animal 48 behavior in the context of their environments.^{[1](#page-10-0)} These studies

Received: June 22, 2018 Accepted: November 20, 2018

Figure 1. Vision for the future application of CoPhMoRe sensors to physiological biologging of marine organisms. (a) Animals of various sizes and ecological niches tagged with minimally invasive sensors collecting multivariate data sets continuously. (b) Theoretical design of a future biologging system. Hydrogel implants, encapsulating nanoparticles engineered to modulate their fluorescence in response to the local concentration of specific bioanalytes, are injected at a fixed depth in the intramuscular space, where they query biological fluid. Atop the fish's exterior is a flexible, wearable patch that contains embedded optoelectronics to excite and collect hydrogel fluorescence. The elastomer protects the electronic components from the surrounding aquatic environment, as well as conforming to the animal's movements. The device also incorporates other sensors to track animal movement and environmental conditions. The work herein describes the development of the hydrogel component of this theoretical device. (c) Theoretical data output of envisioned device. The device collects biochemical information and other animal-derived and environmental parameters such as velocity, depth, temperature, etc. (d) Visible image of SWNT-gels (scale = 0.5 mm). (e) Overlay of bright field image of sarasa comet goldfish (Carassius auratus) and fluorescence image of implanted hydrogel (scale = 10 mm).

 have produced key insights into a wide range of ecological phenomena, including the metabolic energy balance, preda-51 tor−prey relationships,^{[3](#page-10-0)} the ecological effects of climate 52 change,^{[4](#page-10-0)} the impact of human activity on animals, 5 and other 53 behaviors related to feeding, 6 migration 6 migration , and reproduction.^{[8](#page-10-0)} However, deployed sensors have largely been limited to environmental parameter sensors (temperature, pressure, and salinity), movement and location sensors (accelerometers and 57 GPS), and vital sign sensors, such as heart rate monitors.^{[2](#page-10-0)} Notably missing from these tools are chemical sensors. These may be outward-facing, measuring analytes in the local environment around the animal, or inward-facing, measuring biochemical signaling pathways within the animal. The advent of novel technologies capable of real-time, continuous chemical

sensing, such as those enabled by Corona Phase Molecular ⁶³ Recognition (CoPhMoRe), may enable access to this ⁶⁴ information and thereby significantly advance biologging ⁶⁵ studies. Herein, we explore, for the first time, several design 66 and operation issues associated with implantable sensors of this 67 type for biologging applications, using near-infrared (nIR) ⁶⁸ fluorescent carbon nanotube sensors as a model for marine 69 organisms to address aspects of feasibility. For this study and ⁷⁰ purpose, we have assembled a unique team of marine biologists, ⁷¹ sensor developers, and engineers to address this challenge, as ⁷² coauthors of this study. 73

Recent developments in in vivo sensing technologies offer 74 tremendous opportunities for biologgers to probe the chemical ⁷⁵ network underpinning animal behaviors. As many excellent ⁷⁶

 reviews have reported, in vivo sensors operating in several 78 modalities—including optical and electrochemical—have been developed to measure a variety of biomarkers, including ions, reactive oxygen species, redox active molecules, oxygen, metals, and macromolecules, among many others[.10](#page-10-0)[−][18](#page-11-0) Recently, Sun et al. measured glucose in mice using oxygen-sensitive polymer 83 dots and a smartphone.^{[19](#page-11-0)} Measurements of hypochlorous acid 84 and pH have been performed in zebrafish and their embryos.^{[20,21](#page-11-0)} Ferreira et al. modified carbon fiber microelectrodes and simultaneously measured ascorbate and glutamate in the 87 hippocampi of anesthetized rats. 22 22 22 Despite these advances, the 88 continuous glucose monitor remains one of the few technologies to be adopted due to stringent analytical and biocompatibility 90 requirements for sensor integrity in *in vivo* environments.^{[13,14](#page-10-0)} Although biologically derived units such as antibodies, aptamers, and enzymes have traditionally been used for chemical 93 sensing, 23 23 23 they may lose their capability for molecular recognition when conjugated to other sensor components and may also suffer from limited thermal and chemical stability,

96 restricting their use in vivo to short periods of time. 24 Synthetic sensing approaches have overcome some of these disadvantages. Our group has developed Corona Phase Molecular Recognition (CoPhMoRe), which uses a nIR fluorescent nanoparticle that acts as both the molecular 101 recognition unit and the reporter of binding events. 9 An amphiphilic polymer or surfactant adsorbs onto singly dispersed single-wall carbon nanotubes (SWNT) via hydrophobic interactions. The hydrophilic groups on the polymer provide the dispersion colloidal stability in aqueous solutions, where a majority of bioanalytes exist. The conformation of the adsorbed phase, or the corona, modulates analyte binding to the nanoparticle and provides selectivity. Upon analyte binding, the fluorescence intensity and/or peak wavelength may change. To date, CoPhMoRe sensors have been fabricated for a variety 111 of molecules, including nitric oxide,^{[25](#page-11-0)} hydrogen peroxide,²⁶ 112 riboflavin, L-thyroxine, estradiol, dopamine, $27,28$ fibrinogen, 24 113 and insulin.^{[29](#page-11-0)} The nitric oxide sensor has been demonstrated in 114 vivo and shown to have a fluorescence stability of over 400 days 115 within the body of a mouse. 30

The challenge facing researchers is to now incorporate these new types of physiological sensors into biologging devices.^{[31](#page-11-0)} In the past, the biologging community has traditionally focused on sensors that describe the behaviors, external environments, and location of animals. Accelerometers, depth, and temperature sensors and Argos satellite-linked and GPS tags have been 122 central to this task. 32 For example, using accelerometers, Wilson et al. studied the significance of neck length in swimming and foraging behaviors in Imperial cormorants and Megallanic penguins, 33 Hays et al. used records from satellite tagging of thousands of sea turtles to compare their migration distances with those of other similarly sized marine animals,⁷ and Meekan et al. used a combination of an accelerometer, magnetometer, GPS, and depth sensors to study the energy efficiency of whale 130 shark movement patterns.³⁴

 The combination of sensors that collect data sets of movement, location, and relevant biochemical parameters 133 (such as glucose, dopamine, and cortisol) 28,29,35 28,29,35 28,29,35 28,29,35 28,29,35 into biologging tags potentially offers unprecedented insights into the behavior, ecology, and condition of animals. To date, physiological data in biologging tags has mostly been obtained from electromyogram 137 (EMG) and heart rate sensors.^{[2](#page-10-0)} Although there have been a few [38](#page-11-0) examples of bioanalyte measurements in extracted blood,^{36–38} 139 the measurement of biomarkers in sampled fluid ex vivo offers

limited information and may introduce artifacts due to the ¹⁴⁰ capture and restraint of the animal. 35 CoPhMoRe sensors 141 incorporated into animal-borne sensor tags have the potential to ¹⁴² transform biologging studies by giving researchers continuous ¹⁴³ and real-time access to biomarkers reflecting the condition of ¹⁴⁴ free-living animals [\(Figure 1](#page-2-0)). $39,40$ $39,40$ $39,40$

In this work, as a model sensor implant, we use DNA-wrapped ¹⁴⁶ SWNT that we have fabricated and encapsulated into a ¹⁴⁷ biocompatible poly(ethylene glycol diacrylate) (PEGDA) ¹⁴⁸ hydrogel and calibrated against riboflavin, an essential nutrient ¹⁴⁹ involved in oxidative phosphorylation.^{[41](#page-11-0)} In vitro characterization 150 and experiments with two species of marine organisms were ¹⁵¹ performed at MIT, whereas experiments with an additional ¹⁵² seven species were performed at Oceanogràfic in Valencia, Spain 153 from January 30 to February 1, 2018. The implants were ¹⁵⁴ delivered via trocar to both recently deceased and living animals. ¹⁵⁵ The hydrogel detection limit with injection depth was ¹⁵⁶ determined, and the effects of tissue heterogeneity on ¹⁵⁷ fluorescence detection were explored. The three living animals ¹⁵⁸ showed no external signs of adverse health or behavioral changes ¹⁵⁹ one month after implantation. However, in the case of the turtle, ¹⁶⁰ some tissue reaction was detected upon dissection and ¹⁶¹ histopathology. At MIT, analysis of goldfish swimming patterns 162 indicated that the hydrogel implants do not impair animal ¹⁶³ movement. All together, these data indicate the feasibility of ¹⁶⁴ using CoPhMoRe sensors for marine organism biologging with ¹⁶⁵ further improvements to sensor detection limits, normalization ¹⁶⁶ of sensor signal to account for individual tissue optical ¹⁶⁷ properties, and wearable fluorescence device design. ¹⁶⁸

■ METHODS AND MATERIALS 169

Materials. (6,5)-Enriched SWNTs produced by the CoMoCAT 170 process (lot # MKBZ1159 V) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. 171 Single-stranded $(AC)_{15}$ was purchased from Integrated DNA 172 Technologies, while PEGDA (M_n = 8000) was purchased from Alfa 173 Aesar. Unless otherwise noted, other reagents were purchased from 174 Sigma-Aldrich. 175

Sensor Fabrication. SWNT (1 mg/mL) and $\text{ss}(AC)_{15} (2 \text{ mg/mL})$ 176 were mixed in 2 mL of 100 mM sodium chloride. The mixture was bath 177 sonicated for 10 min, followed by sonication with a 3 mm probe at 4 W 178 for 20 min (QSonica). The suspension was centrifuged at 32,000 rcf for 179 3 h, and the top 80% of the supernatant was collected for further use. 180 Free DNA was removed using 100 kDa MWCO centrifugal filters ¹⁸¹ (Merck Millipore) with 5 volumetric replacements with $1 \times$ phosphate 182 buffered saline (PBS). UV−vis-NIR absorption spectra were collected ¹⁸³ to verify successful suspension. The SWNT mass concentration was 184 calculated using the absorption value at 632 nm. 185

ssDNA-SWNT (0.1 mg/mL), PEGDA (100 mg/mL), and 2- 186 hydroxy-4′-(2-hydroxyethoxy)-2-methylpropiophenone (0.175 mg/ 187 mL) were mixed in 1× PBS, cast into glass molds, and incubated for 188 30 min under a nitrogen atmosphere. The samples were then 189 illuminated under 365 nm ultraviolet radiation (UVP Blak-Ray XX- 190 15BLB, 15 W) for 60 min. The hydrogels were removed from the molds 191 and incubated in excess $1 \times$ PBS for 48 h to remove unreacted 192 monomers and unencapsulated SWNT. The hydrogels were then 193 incubated in fresh $1\times$ PBS until further use.

In Vitro Characterization. UV-vis-NIR absorption spectra were 195 measured for both solution phase and hydrogel encapsulated ssDNA- 196 SWNT (Shimadzu UV-3101PC). Fluorescence spectra were measured 197 in a custom-built NIR microscope. Samples were illuminated using a 198 785 nm photodiode laser (B&W Tek. Inc.) and imaged using a Zeiss 199 AxioVision inverted microscope with appropriate optical filters. The ²⁰⁰ fluorescence was passed through a Princeton Instruments Acton ²⁰¹ SP2500 spectrometer and measured using a liquid nitrogen cooled 202 Princeton Instruments InGaAs 1D detector. 203

C

Figure 2. In vitro and ex vivo sensor characterization. (a) Normalized UV−vis-NIR absorption spectra and (b) fluorescence emission spectrum at 785 nm excitation of of ss(AC)₁₅-wrapped (6,5) CoMoCAT SWNT. Spectra were measured for solution phase SWNT and SWNT-gels. The absorption spectrum shows both the excitation (E_{22}) and fluorescence emission peaks (E_{11}) for the corresponding SWNT chiralities given in parentheses. The fluorescence spectrum was decomposed into individual peaks corresponding to the labeled SWNT chiralities. (c,d) Images taken with Raspberry Pi imaging setup (c) without and (d) with a SWNT-gel. (e) Hydrogel fluorescence increased with larger incident excitation power. (f) Fluorescence decreased with stepwise increases in riboflavin concentration between 1 to 100 μ M, as measured by a Raspberry Pi camera. (g) Riboflavin calibration curves obtained with an InGaAs camera and the Raspberry Pi camera show good agreement. (h) SWNT-gel response to bolus injection of 100 μM riboflavin while placed 1 mm deep into ex vivo tissue sample of Stenotomus chrysops. The fluorescence decreased below the limit of detection of the Raspberry Pi camera.

 Riboflavin was used as a model analyte to test hydrogel chemical 205 sensitivity *in vitro* and *ex vivo*. Hydrogels were cut into $5 \times 5 \times 1$ mm³ 206 sections and placed inside perfusion channels (ibidi μ -Slide III 3D Perfusion). Hydrogel fluorescence was monitored while varying the concentration of riboflavin in 1× PBS between 0−100 μM at a flow rate of 0.3 mL/min. Fluorescence images were taken using a liquid nitrogen cooled Princeton Instruments InGaAs 2D detector. These measure-211 ments were also performed on a $5 \times 5 \times 2$ mm³ section of hydrogel placed 1 mm below the surface of skin and muscle tissue of Stenotomus 213 chrysops. A 500 μ L bolus of 100 μ M riboflavin was introduced atop of the hydrogel.

215 In Vivo Implantation. All procedures described below were 216 approved by the animal ethics committee of the Fundación Ocean-217 ogràfic de la Comunitat Valenciana and performed at Oceanogràfic over 218 the duration of the experiments.

 Prior to implantation, hydrogels were illuminated by UV light for 15 min and handled in a biological hood (Telstar AV-100) thereafter to 221 ensure sterility. Hydrogels were cut to a $1 \times 5 \times 1$ mm³ block and loaded into 12 gauge transponder needles from which the microchips were removed (Avid Suds Monoject).

 The implantation procedure varied depending on the target organism. In the case of deceased animals, all animals were injected without further treatment of the skin. Hydrogels were placed at the desired location and penetration depth by using the needle length and angle of insertion as a guide.

229 A live European eel (Anguilla anguilla) was anesthetized prior to 230 injection by submersion in a 70 mg/L benzocaine solution. When the 231 eel was nonresponsive, the injection site on the dorsal side was washed

with sterile saline, and the hydrogel was injected. The eel was moved to 232 new water and allowed to recover prior to further handling.

A live eastern river cooter (Pseudemys concinna) and catshark (234 Scyliorhinus stellaris) were restrained by animal care personnel for 235 hydrogel implantations. The skin of the shark was washed with sterile 236 saline, whereas the skin of the turtle was disinfected with iodopovidone. 237 The hydrogel was injected subcutaneously in the dorsal area of the 238 shark at the level of the second dorsal fin and in the dorsal part of the ²³⁹ cranial tram of the turtle's neck. 240

After implantation, the animals were monitored for 2 months to 241 determine tolerance to the implants and changes in swimming and 242 feeding behavior. High-resolution ultrasound images of the implanta- 243 tion site were used to noninvasively study the impacts of implantation 244 on tissues. After one month, the turtle was euthanized (for reasons not 245 related to this study), allowing biopsies of the implantation site to be 246 collected for histopathology. 247

Imaging Using Raspberry Pi. The imaging system consisted of a 248 Raspberry Pi 3 (Adafruit) with a 5 MP camera with the IR filter ²⁴⁹ removed (SainSmart). The camera was placed inside of a 1 in. lens tube. 250 The camera was used without further modification when taking ²⁵¹ brightfield images. The Picamera software package was used to control ²⁵² the camera. 253

When taking fluorescence images, the hydrogels were illuminated ²⁵⁴ with a 200 mW 561 nm laser (Opto Engine LLC) passing through a 255 collimator. Fluorescence passed through a 900 long-pass filter prior to ²⁵⁶ collection by the camera. Fluorescence was quantified by taking two ²⁵⁷ images before and after hydrogel placement and calculating the 258 difference in gray value in the region of interest. For all images, the ²⁵⁹

Figure 3. Effect of hydrogel implantation depth on fluorescence detection in teleosts (Sparus aurata and Stenotomus chrysops) and cat shark (Galeus melastomus). (a,b) Imaging setup and schematic. A fiber-coupled 561 nm laser fitted with an 850 short-pass filter was used to illuminate the implantation site before and after intramuscular delivery of hydrogels into previously deceased animals via trocar. The signal was collected by a Raspberry Pi camera connected to a 900 long-pass filter. The difference in gray values with and without the hydrogel was calculated. (c) In Sparus aurata, detectable hydrogel fluorescence decreased as injection depth was increased from just below the skin down to a limit of 0.7 cm. A nonfluorescent hydrogel was injected just superficially below the skin and imaged to give the threshold difference in intensity for the signal to be attributable to the hydrogel and not to other artifacts, such as movement of the fish relative to the laser. (d) A superficially implanted SWNT-gel in Sparus aurata exhibited a steady fluorescence signal when imaged over 6 min. (e) The detection limit of SWNT-gels in Stenotomus chrysops was 0.7 cm. (f) Overlay of brightfield and fluorescence images of a fluorescent hydrogel implanted 0.5 cm below the skin in Galeus melastomus [scale = 20 mm]. (g) SWNT-gels were detected down to a depth of 0.7 cm in Galeus melastomus, as compared to a nonfluorescent hydrogel implanted at a depth of 0.5 cm.

Figure 4. Detection of fluorescent hydrogels implanted superficially in optically heterogeneous tissues. Fluorescent hydrogels were implanted into (a) the scaly legs and (b) softer flesh beneath the neck of a sea turtle (Caretta caretta) (scale = 20 mm). (c) Hydrogel fluorescence was detected in the neck but not the scaly legs. SWNT-gels were implanted subcutaneously in (d) dark and (e) white regions of a blue shark (*Prionace glauca*). A nonfluorescent hydrogel was implanted in (f) gray region of the tissue. (g) Fluorescence could be detected underneath white skin but not dark skin. The blank hydrogel in the gray region provided a baseline against which to determine fluorescence detection. Scale in all images is 20 mm.

260 autowhite balance gains, exposure times, and shutter speed were set 261 manually. The analog and digital gains were kept constant by 262 equilibration of the camera for a 1 min period.

263 Goldfish Hydrogel Implantations and Motion Tracking. All 264 experimental details below and associated husbandry procedures were 265 reviewed and approved by the Committee on Animal Care at MIT.

 Two sarasa comet goldfish (Carassius auratus) were purchased from 267 LiveAquaria, housed in a 110 L glass aquarium with dimensions of 76 \times 268 42 \times 30 cm³ (length \times width \times height), and allowed to acclimate for at least 2 weeks prior to experimental manipulation. The water was maintained at 24 °C, and the aquarium was lit daily for 10 h. Fish were fed daily with flake foods (TetraFin).

 Prior to implantation, hydrogels were treated under UV light for 15 min and handled in a biological hood thereafter to ensure sterility. 274 Hydrogels were cut to a $1 \times 3 \times 1$ mm³ shape and loaded into 16 gauge needles. Fish were anesthetized in a solution of 60 mg/L tricaine methanesulfonate. When the fish were nonresponsive to handling and a fin pinch, the hydrogels were injected into muscle just below the dorsal fin. The fish were allowed to recover in a holding tank before being returned to the home tank.

 To determine the impact of the hydrogel implant on the animal's health, its movements were recorded using a surveillance system consisting of the Raspberry Pi 2 computer with a Raspberry Pi Camera Board v 2. Fish movements were extracted using the Kinovea software. After the experimental lifetime, the fish were euthanized by submersion into a 500 mg/L solution of tricaine methanesulfonate.

²⁸⁶ ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

287 Sensor Fabrication and in Vitro Optical Character-²⁸⁸ ization. DNA-wrapped SWNT have been utilized in many $_{289}$ studies due to their high wrapping efficiency 42,43 and flexibility ²⁹⁰ in selective sensing of different analytes.[25](#page-11-0)−[27](#page-11-0),[30,44](#page-11-0) The UV−vis-²⁹¹ NIR absorption spectrum ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)a) shows distinct peaks, ²⁹² indicating successful nanoparticle suspension. Mass concen-²⁹³ tration of total carbon in the solution was estimated using an 294 extinction coefficient of $\varepsilon_{632 \text{ nm}} = 0.036 \text{ (mg/L)}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1.45} \text{ Singly}$ $\varepsilon_{632 \text{ nm}} = 0.036 \text{ (mg/L)}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1.45} \text{ Singly}$ $\varepsilon_{632 \text{ nm}} = 0.036 \text{ (mg/L)}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1.45} \text{ Singly}$ 295 dispersed $\text{ss}(AC)_{15}$ -SWNT nanoparticles were produced at a ²⁹⁶ 36% yield based on a carbon mass balance.

297 Peak position and relative peak intensities of ss $(AC)_{15}$ -SWNT in solution phase or encapsulated in the hydrogel (SWNT-gel) were identical in both the absorption spectra and fluorescence emission spectra [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)b), indicating that the dielectric 301 environments surrounding the SWNT were nearly identical. $46,47$ The absorption spectrum of the SWNT-gel indicated a final concentration of 33 mg/L SWNT. However, the fluorescence intensity of the SWNT-gel was only 50% of the intensity in the equivalent concentration in solution phase. Sample geometry contributed to this decrease, as the hydrogels are only 1 mm in thickness, whereas liquid samples were typically 1 cm in height. Additionally, the chemical environment of the sensors in the hydrogel is different, in that the SWNT are diffusionally constrained by a polymer matrix. Free radicals that are generated during the photopolymerization of the hydrogel may have also chemically altered the DNA on the SWNT surface.

313 Characterization of SWNT-Gel Pore Size. The hydrogel pore size formed by the spacing between cross-linked polymer chains is a critical parameter that controls sensor functionality and environment. The pores in the gel determine the size of the analyte that is permitted to enter the network, as well as its rate 318 of diffusion, thereby affecting sensor response time.^{[48](#page-11-0)} The pore size can also be used to exclude large molecular weight interfering molecules to improve sensor selectivity. Further- more, the hydrogel's pore diameter relative to nanoparticle size 322 dictates the degree of nanoparticle entrapment.^{[49](#page-11-0)}

Figure 5. NIR fluorescent hydrogels implanted in a living European eel (Anguilla anguilla), eastern river cooter (Pseudemmys concinna), and catshark (Scyliorhinus stellaris). (a) Following implantation, attempts were made to track the fluorescence in the eel and turtle confined to a small space. (b,c) Dispersed laser excitation, animal movement, and long exposure times made these attempts unsuccessful in (b) the eel and (c) the turtle. All scalebars are 20 mm. (d) The implantation site fully healed in the catshark by 33 days post-implantation. (e,f) High resolution ultrasound images were taken to examine noninvasively tissue response to the implant 4 weeks after implantation in the (e) eel and (f) catshark (scale = 5 mm). The absence of significant changes in tissue architecture and echogenicity indicates that the hydrogels were well-tolerated in these organisms. (g) The injection site in the turtle did not heal completely 33 days post-implantation. (h) Hydrogels were removed from the turtle after 33 days and were found to be encapsulated by tissue. (i) Histology images from subcutaneous tissue surrounding the hydrogel implant in the turtle indicate a foreign body tissue reaction.

Swelling experiments were performed in $1 \times PBS$ to obtain the 323 average SWNT-gel pore size from the polymer network. The ³²⁴ swelling ratio was determined using the following equation 325

$$
Q = \frac{m_{\text{swollen}}}{m_{\text{dry}}} = \alpha^{-1} \tag{1}
$$

where Q is the hydrogel swelling ratio and m is the hydrogel 327 mass. Q can then be used to calculate the average pore ³²⁸ $diameter:$ ^{[50,51](#page-11-0)} 329

$$
\overline{M}_c^{-1} = \frac{2}{\overline{M}_n} - \frac{(\overline{v}/V_2)[\ln(1-\alpha) + \alpha + \chi\alpha^2]}{\alpha^{1/3} - (2/\theta)\alpha}
$$
\n
$$
\zeta = \alpha^{1/3} \left(\frac{2C_{\infty} l^2 \overline{M}_c}{M_0} \right)^{1/2}
$$
\n(2) 330

ACS Sens. XXXX, XXX, XXX-XXX

 (3) 331

k_k

 $\overline{ }$

Figure 6. Quantification of hydrogel implant impact on animal health. (a) Snapshot of the capture video with corresponding sarasa comet goldfish (Carassius auratus) movement trajectories included. Blue corresponds to a fish in which a nIR fluorescent hydrogel was implanted, while orange corresponds to a control fish without a hydrogel implant. (b) Trajectories of fish taken for 1 h 2 days after a hydrogel was implanted into the subject fish. (c−f) X and Y position histograms for the subject fish (c,d) and control fish (e,f). The subject fish experienced neither impaired movement nor erratic movement due to the hydrogel implant, indicating good tolerance of the implant.

 $_{332}$ where \bar{M}_{C} is the molecular weight between cross-links, \bar{M}_{n} is the ³³³ molecular weight of the polymers without cross-linking 334 (=8000), $\bar{\nu}$ is the specific volume of the polymer (= 0.903 335 mL/g), V_2 is the specific volume of water (= 18.01 mL/mol), χ is 336 the Flory−Huggins parameter (= 0.3765), θ is the functionality 337 of PEGDA (= 4), ξ is the average mesh side, C_{∞} is the Flory 338 characteristic ratio (= 6.9), l is the carbon–carbon bond length 339 (= 0.154 nm), and $M₀$ is the molar mass of the repeat unit (= ³⁴⁰ 44.05 g/mol). The Flory parameter was obtained from a 341 previous study of PEG polymers.^{[52](#page-11-0)} The average pore size was ³⁴² estimated to be 15 nm.

 Raspberry Pi Imaging Systems. To understand the range of organism−environment interactions and document variation among individuals and populations, some biologging studies have deployed sensors on anywhere from dozens to hundreds of animals.^{7[,53](#page-11-0)} To this end, some laboratory instruments are not practical due to their prohibitive cost for large-scale deployment, immobility, and fragility on a moving animal in its natural environment. For example, InGaAs cameras typically used to 351 measure near-infrared fluorophores can weigh on the order of 5 352 kg and can cost thousands of dollars.^{[9](#page-10-0),[24](#page-11-0),[29](#page-11-0)} Consequently, we chose to use inexpensive and portable Raspberry Pi computers and cameras which cost on the order of tens of dollars. In the real application, the components of a Raspberry Pi imaging system can be readily incorporated into a miniaturized sensor suite. 357 Recently, Göröcs et al. incorporated a similar CMOS image 358 sensor into a portable imaging device weighing less than 40 $g³$ Because the optical sensors on the cameras are fabricated from silicon, which have limited sensitivity (<0.1 A/W above 980 nm) to the near-infrared fluorescence of SWNT ([Figure S-1\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.8b00538/suppl_file/se8b00538_si_001.pdf), we first verified that the hydrogels could be visualized by our system ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)c,d). Analysis showed a linear trend of hydrogel fluorescence with incident laser power density [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)e).

365 Riboflavin as a Model Analyte for Chemical Sensing in 366 Vitro and ex Vivo. Riboflavin plays a key role in the recycling of ³⁶⁷ FADH and FAD+ in oxidative phosphorylation and is an $_{368}$ essential nutrient in a fish's diet. 41,55 41,55 41,55 41,55 41,55 Riboflavin exists in plasma ³⁶⁹ typically between 1 and 100 nM.[56,57](#page-12-0) Furthermore, DNA

oligonucleotides of various sequences, when complexed to ³⁷⁰ SWNTs, allow for a nIR fluorescence modulation in response to ³⁷¹ riboflavin binding via both intensity quenching and wavelength ³⁷² shifts, 9 making it an ideal model analyte to evaluate in vivo 373 sensing feasibility. 374

The SWNT-gels showed stepwise decreases in fluorescence ³⁷⁵ with stepwise increases in surrounding riboflavin concentration, ³⁷⁶ with sensitivity from 1 to 100 μ M [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)f). The calibration 377 curves were fitted to the following functional form: ³⁷⁸

Response =
$$
\frac{I - I_0}{I_0} = \beta \frac{C}{C + K_D}
$$
 (4) ₃₇₉

where β is the gain, C is the riboflavin concentration, and K_D is 380 the equilibrium dissociation constant. To evaluate the perform- ³⁸¹ ance of the Raspberry Pi relative to typical laboratory ³⁸² equipment, we compared results obtained with a Princeton ³⁸³ Instrument 2D InGaAs camera. The calibration curves showed ³⁸⁴ good agreement [\(Figure 2](#page-4-0)g). For the InGaAs camera, β was 385 -0.72 , and K_D was 11.3 μ M, while the corresponding values 386 were -0.63 and 12.7 µM for the Raspberry Pi. The difference in 387 maximum response is a product of higher background signal in ³⁸⁸ the Raspberry Pi, which partially masked the fluorescence ³⁸⁹ quenching of the riboflavin. Future versions of the sensor tag will ³⁹⁰ be designed to eliminate such interference by optimizing optical ³⁹¹ configurations and increase the sensitivity to detect physio- ³⁹² logical levels of riboflavin. 393

Furthermore, the fluorescence of the SWNT-gels decreased in ³⁹⁴ response to a bolus of 100 μ M when placed in a 1-mm-thick skin 395 and muscle tissue sample of Stenotomus chrysops ([Figure 2](#page-4-0)h). ³⁹⁶ The fluorescence decreased below the detection limit of the ³⁹⁷ Raspberry Pi camera. 398

Optical Penetration Depth. We constructed a simplified, 399 1-D mathematical model to describe the effects of material, ⁴⁰⁰ tissue, and equipment properties on the optical signal from a ⁴⁰¹ sensor implanted into tissue. Incident excitation light is partially ⁴⁰² reflected from the epidermal interface 403

$$
I_0 = I_i(1 - r_{\rm ex}) \tag{5}
$$

405 where I_i and I_0 are the incident and transmitted excitation 406 fluences, respectively, and r_{ex} is the epidermal reflectivity at the ⁴⁰⁷ excitation wavelength. Tissue further attenuates excitation light ⁴⁰⁸ according to the Beer−Lambert law

$$
\log\left(\frac{I_0}{I}\right) = \gamma_{\rm ex}d\tag{6}
$$

410 where I is the fluence at the implantation site, γ_{ex} is the tissue 411 extinction coefficient at the excitation wavelength, and d is ⁴¹² distance through tissue. The fluorescence intensity of the ⁴¹³ hydrogel at the implantation site is described by

$$
\log\left(1 - \frac{F_0}{\eta I A}\right) = -\epsilon_{\text{ex}}ct\tag{7}
$$

415 where F_0 is the fluorescence intensity at the implantation site, η ⁴¹⁶ is the quantum efficiency of SWNT, A is the cross-sectional area 417 of the hydrogel, ε_{ex} is the extinction coefficient of SWNT at the 418 excitation wavelength, c is the concentration of SWNT in the 419 hydrogel, and t is the hydrogel thickness. The thickness of the ⁴²⁰ hydrogel is assumed to be negligible compared to the ⁴²¹ implantation depth. The fluorescence reaching the surface of ⁴²² the epidermis is given by the following equation

$$
\log\left(\frac{F_0}{F}\right) = \gamma_{\rm em} d\tag{8}
$$

424 where F is the fluorescence reaching the epidermal interface, $\gamma_{\rm em}$ is the tissue extinction coefficient at the emission wavelength. Back-reflection of fluorescence may occur at the epidermal interface

$$
F_{\rm f} = F(1 - r_{\rm em}) \tag{9}
$$

429 where F_f is the fluorescence exiting the tissue and r_{em} is the reflectivity at the fluorescent wavelength. Assuming minimal scattering and absorption between the epidermal surface and the photodetector, the measured signal is described by

$$
S = F_{\text{f}}R \tag{10}
$$

⁴³⁴ where S is the signal, and R is the responsivity of the camera. ⁴³⁵ Combining [eqs 5](#page-7-0)−10 yields

$$
\log \left(\frac{S}{\eta ARI_{i}(1 - r_{ex})(1 - r_{em})} \right)
$$

= log(1 - 10^{e_{ex}ct}) - d(γ_{ex} + γ_{em}) (11)

 The terms in eq 11 can be classified into material, tissue, and equipment properties and tunable engineering parameters. The 439 specific fluorophore dictates the value of η and ε_{ex} . Different tissues attenuate light transmission to varying extents and 441 consequently have unique values of γ , which may be measured in a future study via light transmission measurements. Both absorption and scattering contribute to the extinction coefficient. Scattering decreases with increasing incident 445 wavelength,⁵⁸ while absorption is largely determined by water and blood absorption, which is minimal in the SWNT 447 fluorescent region.^{[59](#page-12-0)} Furthermore, unlike organic fluorophores, SWNT do not photobleach and thus exhibit a constant c as long as the implant maintains its integrity. Thus, the near-infrared fluorescence of SWNT is ideal for an in vivo optical biosensor due to the lack of photobleaching and the transparency of the 452 near-infrared window. $\frac{60,61}{\text{Controllabel}}$ Controllable parameters include I_i , A, c , t , r , and d . Increased hydrogel thickness, fluorophore

concentration, equipment responsivity, and excitation power ⁴⁵⁴ and decreased implantation depth increase the fluorescence ⁴⁵⁵ signal. In a previous study, Iverson et al. measured the ⁴⁵⁶ fluorescence of an alginate hydrogel with 10 mg/L SWNT to ⁴⁵⁷ a depth of 5 mm in tissue phantoms using a hyperspectral CRI ⁴⁵⁸ Maestro system. 62

For this application, consideration of the marine organism ⁴⁶⁰ tissue properties is critical. Many fish species, including teleosts, ⁴⁶¹ have evolved skin containing significant amounts of reflective ⁴⁶² guanine crystals in the stratum argenteum and underneath the ⁴⁶³ scales, which may camouflage the animal against predators. 63 464 The reflective spectra of such biomaterials have been thoroughly 465 characterized in previous work. 64 These different skin types will 466 affect the penetration of light through tissue. Others, such as ⁴⁶⁷ sharks and marine reptiles, have evolved thick, mechanically stiff ⁴⁶⁸ skin and/or scales as protection against environmental hazards, ⁴⁶⁹ which may require specialized methods of placing implantable ⁴⁷⁰ devices. 65 All together, these factors suggest that each species 471 should be considered individually when using implantable nIR ⁴⁷² fluorescent hydrogel sensors for biologging.

Two deceased teleosts (Sparus aurata and Stenotomus ⁴⁷⁴ chrysops), a female adult catshark (Galeus melastormus) were ⁴⁷⁵ used for the nIR penetration versus depth study. The teleosts ⁴⁷⁶ were chosen because over 32,500 species exist, making them the ⁴⁷⁷ largest category of vertebrates.^{[66](#page-12-0)} Furthermore, catsharks 478 comprise over 10% of extant cartilaginous fish.^{[67](#page-12-0)} Images were 479 taken of the fish before and after placement of the hydrogel using ⁴⁸⁰ the Raspbery Pi camera system [\(Figure 3](#page-5-0)a,b). Movement of the ⁴⁸¹ animal relative to the imaging setup was minimized such that ⁴⁸² differences in signal between the two images is predominantly ⁴⁸³ the hydrogel, not position change. In Sparus aurata, the nIR ⁴⁸⁴ fluorescent SWNT-gels were detected up to a depth of 7 mm ⁴⁸⁵ ([Figure 3c](#page-5-0)). Injection of sham nonfluorescent hydrogels using ⁴⁸⁶ the same method verified that the difference in signal due to ⁴⁸⁷ movement was negligible compared to the additional signal from ⁴⁸⁸ the fluorescence. The residual signal is a small change in laser ⁴⁸⁹ reflection from a small shift in position of the fish tissue. The ⁴⁹⁰ SWNT-gels also exhibited stable fluorescence ([Figure 3d](#page-5-0)). This ⁴⁹¹ stability is critical, so that perturbations can be attributed solely ⁴⁹² to changes in analyte concentrations. For Stenotomus chrysops ⁴⁹³ and Galeus melastormus ([Figure 3e](#page-5-0)−g), the SWNT-gels were ⁴⁹⁴ detected again to a depth of 7 mm over a minimum signal ⁴⁹⁵ difference threshold determined by injection of a nonfluorescent ⁴⁹⁶ hydrogel [\(Figure 3g](#page-5-0)). A simplified version of eq 11 was used to ⁴⁹⁷ fit the data and reproduced the trends. ⁴⁹⁸

$$
S = a \cdot 10^{b \cdot d + c} \tag{12}_{499}
$$

The fit parameters are reported in [Supporting Information.](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.8b00538/suppl_file/se8b00538_si_001.pdf) 500

As can be seen in [Figure 3c](#page-5-0),e,g, there was not a monotonic 501 decrease in fluorescence with increasing depth. We attribute the 502 noise to variations in hydrogel thickness, cross-sectional area, ⁵⁰³ placement at the intended depth, and position relative to the ⁵⁰⁴ excitation source. As illustrated by eq 11, variations in geometry 505 and placement of the SWNT-gels necessarily change the signal 506 by reducing the excitation power incident on the hydrogel and 507 changing the attenuation distance of the excitation and ⁵⁰⁸ fluorescence through tissue.

Although the penetration depth of the SWNT sensors in the ⁵¹⁰ target species were similar to that of previous studies, 62 the 511 maximum depth can be increased using several approaches. ⁵¹² First, the excitation and fluorescence detection equipment can ⁵¹³ be optimized specifically for SWNT-based biosensors. An ⁵¹⁴ InGaAs photodetector, which has almost an order of magnitude ⁵¹⁵

 higher photoresponsivity (0.67 A/W at 1000 nm) may replace the silicon-based camera (0.067 A/W at 1000 nm) used in this study ([Figure S-1](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.8b00538/suppl_file/se8b00538_si_001.pdf)). This equipment, along with other optical components such as lenses, can be attached directly to the animal instead of being placed at standoff distances, thus reducing the optical path length, optimizing excitation and fluorescence collection, and increasing signal. Alternatively, optical fibers may be implanted transdermally, in the form of an optode, to couple the excitation source directly with the 525 hydrogel and the hydrogel with the photodetector. 68

 Ultimately, placement of the sensor could be influenced by other factors in addition to optical penetration depth, including local analyte concentration and sensor sensitivity. Many analytes of interest, such as glucose, cortisol, and vitamins, exist in interstitial fluids and can be theoretically queried with a hydrogel 531 implanted superficially atop the hypodermis.⁶⁹

 Tissue Heterogeneity. Different color patterns of tissue and mechanically distinct exteriors may exist on the skin of the same animal, which may affect hydrogel implantation and/or fluorescence visibility. To examine this issue, we implanted hydrogels in different skin tissues of a juvenile female sea turtle (Caretta caretta) and a juvenile male blue shark (Prionace glauca). 538 The sea turtle had both scaly and fleshy regions of the skin,⁶⁵ whereas the blue shark had distinctly colored regions ranging 540 from dark blue to white. 70

 SWNT-gels were delivered in both the front right leg and the flesh centered underneath the neck of the sea turtle [\(Figure](#page-5-0) [4](#page-5-0)a,b). As the needle could not pierce the scales, it was inserted between them. The neck flesh was stretched prior to hydrogel placement to prevent folding of additional skin on top of the implant, avoiding artificial increases in the optical path length. The hydrogel was not visible beneath the scales but was visible beneath the fleshy skin of the neck [\(Figure 4c](#page-5-0)). SWNT-gel sensors were placed underneath the white and dark sections of shark's epidermis, and a nonfluorescent hydrogel was placed into a gray area to provide a baseline against which nIR fluorescent hydrogels could be compared ([Figure 4d](#page-5-0)−f). The nIR fluorescent hydrogel was visible beneath the white but not the dark-colored epidermis [\(Figure 4](#page-5-0)g).

 In both organisms, dark sections of tissue masked the nIR fluorescence of the sensor implants. Increased melanin levels in the epidermis result in higher absorption coefficients up to 1100 nm,[71,72](#page-12-0) resulting in less excitation of the hydrogel and transmission of (6,5) SWNT fluorescence by increasing the 560 values of $\gamma_{\rm ex}$ and $\gamma_{\rm em}$ in [eq 11](#page-8-0). UV-vis-NIR absorption measurements of tissue samples can quantify these wave-length/tissue dependent effects in a future study.

 These results indicate two additional requirements for nIR fluorescent biosensors for in vivo applications. First, to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio, sensors should be delivered to tissues that are as optically transparent as possible for both the excitation and emission wavelengths. Furthermore, sensor fluorescence may have to be normalized against an invariant internal standard to eliminate the effects of tissue hetero-570 geneity.^{[44](#page-11-0)} Second, to deliver hydrogels via a minimally invasive injection, some tissue sections will be inaccessible due to their mechanical strength and rigidity.

573 Imaging and Sensor Operation in Live Animals. Several questions regarding tolerance/biocompatibility of the implant and its effects on behavior can only be answered using living animals. A moving animal also adds greater complexity when imaging which may require reconfigurations of the sensor.

A female adult European eel (Anguilla anguilla), a female adult 578 eastern river cooter (Pseudemmys concinna), and a juvenile male 579 catshark (Scyliorhinus stellaris) were tagged with sensor ⁵⁸⁰ hydrogels and monitored for up to 2 months. We attempted ⁵⁸¹ to image the eel and turtle in a small bucket from a distance of ⁵⁸² 0.5 m, but were unsuccessful for several reasons ([Figure 5](#page-6-0)a−c). ⁵⁸³ First, the camera and excitation sources were moved farther ⁵⁸⁴ away to image the entire field of view. This reduced both the ⁵⁸⁵ excitation power density incident upon the surface of the ⁵⁸⁶ epidermis from 150 to 0.3 $mW/cm²$ and consequently the s87 fluorescence upon the camera's sensor by a factor of at least 500, ⁵⁸⁸ according to [eq 11.](#page-8-0) Furthermore, the combination of a long ⁵⁸⁹ exposure time and animal movement apparently blurred the ⁵⁹⁰ images. ⁵⁹¹

Engineering Design for nIR Fluorescent Hydrogel ⁵⁹² Implants. A central goal of the current work is to utilize these 593 findings to design sensing hydrogel implants. A wearable ⁵⁹⁴ fluorescence reader that conforms to the animal's body as it 595 moves is necessary.^{$\frac{3}{5}$} Fixing the position of the measurement $\frac{596}{5}$ unit relative to the SWNT-gels eliminates changes in hydrogel ⁵⁹⁷ fluorescence due to a changing excitation field and/or ⁵⁹⁸ misalignment of the hydrogel and camera. Furthermore, placing ⁵⁹⁹ the measurement device directly on top of the hydrogel reduces 600 the optical path length, increasing the signal-to-noise ratio. As 601 such, the miniaturization into and attachment methods of a ⁶⁰² flexible form factor are critical next steps.

Biocompatibility of the hydrogel was favorable in two of the 604 three animals. We found no changes in movement or feeding ⁶⁰⁵ behavior of the eel and catshark for two months post- ⁶⁰⁶ implantation ([Figure 5d](#page-6-0)). In the ultrasound images, the 607 implantation site was identified via a slight change in tissue ⁶⁰⁸ structure and echogenicity, but the surrounding tissue was ⁶⁰⁹ completely normal [\(Figure 5e](#page-6-0),f). In the case of a significant ⁶¹⁰ foreign body reaction, larger changes in architecture and ⁶¹¹ echogenicity would be found in the periphery of the implant ⁶¹² as it becomes encapsulated.^{[74,75](#page-12-0)} In contrast, histopathology 613 suggested that the turtle experienced some reaction to the ⁶¹⁴ implant. The injection site did not heal cleanly [\(Figure 5g](#page-6-0)). It is 615 important to note that there may have been an infection of the ⁶¹⁶ wound following implantation, precluding clean healing. ⁶¹⁷ Granules containing hydrogel fragments were extracted from ⁶¹⁸ the implantation site one month after the procedure ([Figure 5](#page-6-0)h). ⁶¹⁹ H&E stained tissue sections showed infiltration of inflammatory ⁶²⁰ cells into the deep dermis, hypodermis, and cutaneous muscle. ⁶²¹ The infiltrate consisted of heterophiles, macrophages, and ⁶²² several multinucleated giant cells, consistent with panniculitis 623 and a foreign body reaction to the implant ([Figure 5i](#page-6-0)). However, ⁶²⁴ no behavioral changes were noted in the turtle. ⁶²⁵

A similar implantation procedure was performed on adult ⁶²⁶ Sarasa comet goldfish (Carassius auratus), and its movement 627 patterns were analyzed relative to a control goldfish without an ⁶²⁸ implant [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)a). Animal trajectories and position histograms ⁶²⁹ did not differ significantly between the two animals, indicating ⁶³⁰ that the hydrogel implants do not adversely impact animal ⁶³¹ health [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)b−f). During times of stress or infections, the ⁶³² fish may swim violently or erratically. In the case of serious ⁶³³ illness, fish movement would slow severely.^{[76,77](#page-12-0)} The video data 634 and position histograms [\(Figure 6](#page-7-0)c−f) show that the subject fish ⁶³⁵ showed neither erratic movement nor stationary behavior ⁶³⁶ relative to the control. The absence of other abnormalities, ⁶³⁷ such as damaged fins, disinterest in food, and discoloration, ⁶³⁸ further indicate that the fish tolerated the implant well.^{[78](#page-12-0)} 639 ⁶⁴⁰ Furthermore, goldfish were maintained up to six months with ⁶⁴¹ the hydrogel implant, indicating long-term biocompatibility.

 These results effectively form a pilot study that can be used to direct and prioritize future work involving larger sample sizes, a greater diversity of species, optimization of the hydrogel and delivery method, and development of a wearable fluorescence ⁶⁴⁶ reader.

⁶⁴⁷ ■ CONCLUSIONS

 In summary, the feasibility of applying CoPhMoRe sensors for the physiological biologging of marine organisms was demonstrated in nine species of aquatic vertebrates. Future work will perform similar tissue penetration, tissue hetero- geneity, and biocompatibility studies with a larger number of animals to probe phenotypic diversity. Strategies to normalize sensor signals against individual implant site optical properties and internal fluorescent standards will be explored to create absolute interspecies calibrations. Ratiometric approaches to optical sensing will mitigate movement and other artifacts that 658 may confound the signal.^{[44](#page-11-0)} The successful measurement of the fluorescent hydrogels using an inexpensive, field portable Raspberry Pi imaging setup motivates further efforts to design a wearable, flexible sensor tag that integrates optoelectronic components tailored for physiological biologging using SWNT- gels. These technical improvements may improve the signal-to- noise ratio, time resolution of the measurements, and stability of the signal when attached to a moving animal. In parallel, the underlying SWNT nanosensors may be engineered to be sensitive to a wider range of bioanalytes to investigate a wider range of physiological states. The detection range of the riboflavin sensor described herein will be further improved to be sensitive to the physiologically relevant range. This work advances the application of biosensors into animals beyond the commonly used rodent and zebrafish models and carves a path toward the physiological biologging of aquatic organisms.

⁶⁷⁴ ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

675 **S** Supporting Information

⁶⁷⁶ The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ⁶⁷⁷ [ACS Publications website](http://pubs.acs.org) at DOI: [10.1021/acssen-](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acssensors.8b00538)⁶⁷⁸ [sors.8b00538](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acssensors.8b00538).

⁶⁷⁹ Fit parameters for optical penetration depth model, ⁶⁸⁰ comparison of SWNT fluorescence and photoresponsiv-⁶⁸¹ ity of common photodetectors ([PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acssensors.8b00538/suppl_file/se8b00538_si_001.pdf)

⁶⁸² ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

- 683 Corresponding Author
- ⁶⁸⁴ *E-mail: strano@mit.edu.
- 685 ORCID[®]

⁶⁸⁶ Michael S. Strano: [0000-0003-2944-808X](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2944-808X)

687 Notes

⁶⁸⁸ The authors declare no competing financial interest.

⁶⁸⁹ ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

 This research was supported by the King Abdullah University of Science & Technology (OSR-2015 Sensors 2707). FTN is supported by the Arnold and Mabel Beckman Foundation through the Arnold O. Beckman Postdoctoral Fellowship. The 694 authors are also grateful to the Oceanografic animal care staff for performing histological analysis, helpful discussions, and financial support (OCE-10-18) in performing this research.

We also thank the Health and Animal Pathology Group, Dpt. ⁶⁹⁷ PASAPTA, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad Cardenal ⁶⁹⁸ Herrera-CEU, CEU Universities, for performing histological ⁶⁹⁹ analysis and interpretation. The mass of $\frac{700}{200}$

■ ABBREVIATIONS 701

SWNT, single wall carbon nanotube; CoPhMoRe, corona phase ⁷⁰² molecular recognition; nIR, near-infrared; PEGDA, poly- ⁷⁰³ (ethylene glycol) diacrylate; $\text{ss}(AC)_{15}$, single-stranded (AC)_{15} 704
DNA: InGaAs, Indium gallium arsenide DNA; InGaAs, Indium gallium arsenide

■ REFERENCES 706

(1) McIntyre, T. Trends in Tagging of Marine Mammals: A Review of 707 Marine Mammal Biologging Studies. African J. Mar. Sci. 2014, 36 (4), 708 409−422. 709

(2) Cooke, S. J.; Brownscombe, J. W.; Raby, G. D.; Broell, F.; Hinch, S. 710 G.; Clark, T. D.; Semmens, J. M. Remote Bioenergetics Measurements 711 in Wild Fish: Opportunities and Challenges. Comp. Biochem. Physiol., 712 Part A: Mol. Integr. Physiol. 2016, 202, 23–37. 713

(3) Watanabe, Y. Y.; Ito, M.; Takahashi, A. Testing Optimal Foraging 714 Theory in a Penguin−krill System. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 2014, 281 715 (1779), 20132376. 716

(4) Amelineau, F.; Fort, J.; Mathewson, P. D.; Speirs, D. C.; Courbin, ́ 717 N.; Perret, S.; Porter, W. P.; Wilson, R. J.; Grémillet, D. Energyscapes 718 and Prey Fields Shape a North Atlantic Seabird Wintering Hotspot 719 under Climate Change. R. Soc. Open Sci. 2018, 5, 171883. 720

(5) Barnett, A.; Payne, N. L.; Semmens, J. M.; Fitzpatrick, R. 721 Ecotourism Increases the Field Metabolic Rate of Whitetip Reef Sharks. 722 Biol. Conserv. 2016, 199, 132−136. 723

(6) Baylis, A. M.M.; Orben, R. A.; Arnould, J. P.Y.; Peters, K.; Knox, 724 T.; Costa, D. P.; Staniland, I. J. Diving Deeper into Individual Foraging 725 Specializations of a Large Marine Predator, the Southern Sea Lion. 726 Oecologia 2015, 179 (4), 1053−1065. 727

(7) Hays, G. C.; Scott, R. Global Patterns for Upper Ceilings on 728 Migration Distance in Sea Turtles and Comparisons with Fish, Birds 729 and Mammals. Funct. Ecol. 2013, 27 (3), 748–756. 730

(8) Yasuda, T.; Kawabe, R.; Takahashi, T.; Murata, H.; Kurita, Y.; 731 Nakatsuka, N.; Arai, N. Habitat Shifts in Relation to the Reproduction 732 of Japanese Flounder Paralichthys Olivaceus Revealed by a Depth- 733 Temperature Data Logger. J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol. 2010, 385 (1−2), 50− 734 58. 735

(9) Zhang, J.; Landry, M. P.; Barone, P. W.; Kim, J.-H.; Lin, S.; Ulissi, 736 Z. W.; Lin, D.; Mu, B.; Boghossian, A. A.; Hilmer, A. J.; et al. Molecular 737 Recognition Using Corona Phase Complexes Made of Synthetic 738 Polymers Adsorbed on Carbon Nanotubes. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2013, 8 739 (12), 959−968. 740

(10) Wu, D.; Sedgwick, A. C.; Gunnlaugsson, T.; Akkaya, E. U.; Yoon, 741 J.; James, T. D. Fluorescent Chemosensors: The Past, Present and 742 Future. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46 (23), 7105−7123. 743

(11) Xiao, T.; Wu, F.; Hao, J.; Zhang, M.; Yu, P.; Mao, L. In Vivo 744 Analysis with Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors. Anal. Chem. 745 2017, 89 (1), 300−313. 746

(12) Sun, W.; Guo, S.; Hu, C.; Fan, J.; Peng, X. Recent Development 747 of Chemosensors Based on Cyanine Platforms. Chem. Rev. 2016, 116 748 (14), 7768−7817. 749

(13) Soto, R. J.; Hall, J. R.; Brown, M. D.; Taylor, J. B.; Schoenfisch, M. 750 H. In Vivo Chemical Sensors: Role of Biocompatibility on Performance 751 and Utility. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89 (1), 276−299. 752

(14) Rong, G.; Corrie, S. R.; Clark, H. A. In Vivo Biosensing: Progress 753 and Perspectives. ACS Sensors 2017, 2 (3), 327−338. 754

(15) Howes, P. D.; Chandrawati, R.; Stevens, M. M. Colloidal 755 Nanoparticles as Advanced Biological Sensors. Science (Washington, 756 DC, U. S.) 2014, 346 (6205), 1247390. 757

(16) Carter, K. P.; Young, A. M.; Palmer, A. E. Fluorescent Sensors for 758 Measuring Metal Ions in Living Systems. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114 (8), 759 4564−4601. 760

J

761 (17) Scholten, K.; Meng, E. A Review of Implantable Biosensors for 762 Closed-Loop Glucose Control and Other Drug Delivery Applications. 763 Int. J. Pharm. 2018, 544 (2), 319−334.

764 (18) Cotruvo, J. A., Jr.; Aron, A. T.; Ramos-Torres, K. M.; Chang, C. J. 765 Synthetic Fluorescent Probes for Studying Copper in Biological 766 Systems. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44 (13), 4400−4414.

 (19) Sun, K.; Yang, Y.; Zhou, H.; Yin, S.; Qin, W.; Yu, J.; Chiu, D. T.; Yuan, Z.; Zhang, X.; Wu, C. Ultrabright Polymer-Dot Transducer Enabled Wireless Glucose Monitoring via a Smartphone. ACS Nano 2018, 12 (6), 5176−5184.

771 (20) Deng, B.; Ren, M.; Kong, X.; Zhou, K.; Lin, W. Development of

772 an Enhanced Turn-on Fluorescent HOCl Probe with a Large Stokes 773 Shift and Its Use for Imaging HOCl in Cells and Zebrafish. Sens.

774 Actuators, B 2018, 255, 963−969.

775 (21) Gurkov, A.; Sadovoy, A.; Shchapova, E.; Teh, C.; Meglinski, I.;

776 Timofeyev, M. Microencapsulated Fluorescent PH Probe as Implant-777 able Sensor for Monitoring the Physiological State of Fish Embryos. 778 PLoS One 2017, 12 (10), e0186548.

779 (22) Ferreira, N. R.; Ledo, A.; Laranjinha, J.; Gerhardt, G. A.; Barbosa, 780 R. M. Simultaneous Measurements of Ascorbate and Glutamate in Vivo

781 in the Rat Brain Using Carbon Fiber Nanocomposite Sensors and

782 Microbiosensor Arrays. Bioelectrochemistry 2018, 121, 142−150.

783 (23) Mehrotra, P. Biosensors and Their Applications - A Review. J. 784 Oral Biol. Craniofacial Res. 2016, 6 (2), 153−159.

785 (24) Bisker, G.; Dong, J.; Park, H. D.; Iverson, N. M.; Ahn, J.; Nelson,

786 J. T.; Landry, M. P.; Kruss, S.; Strano, M. S. Protein-Targeted Corona 787 Phase Molecular Recognition. Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 10241.

788 (25) Zhang, J. Q.; Boghossian, A. A.; Barone, P. W.; Rwei, A.; Kim, J.

789 H.; Lin, D. H.; Heller, D. A.; Hilmer, A. J.; Nair, N.; Reuel, N. F.; et al.

790 Single Molecule Detection of Nitric Oxide Enabled by $d(AT)(15)$ 791 DNA Adsorbed to Near Infrared Fluorescent Single-Walled Carbon

792 Nanotubes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (3), 567−581.

 (26) Jin, H.; Heller, D. a; Kalbacova, M.; Kim, J.-H.; Zhang, J.; Boghossian, A. a; Maheshri, N.; Strano, M. S. Detection of Single- Molecule H2O2 Signalling from Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Using Fluorescent Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2010, 5 (4), 302−309.

 (27) Kruss, S.; Landry, M. P.; Vander Ende, E.; Lima, B. M.A.; Reuel, N. F.; Zhang, J.; Nelson, J.; Mu, B.; Hilmer, A.; Strano, M. Neurotransmitter Detection Using Corona Phase Molecular Recog- nition on Fluorescent Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Sensors. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136 (2), 713−724.

(28) Kruss, S.; Salem, D. P.; Vuković, L.; Lima, B.; Vander Ende, E.; Boyden, E. S.; Strano, M. S. High-Resolution Imaging of Cellular Dopamine Efflux Using a Fluorescent Nanosensor Array. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2017, 114 (8), 1789−1794.

 (29) Bisker, G.; Bakh, N. A.; Lee, M. A.; Ahn, J.; Park, M.; O'Connell, E. B.; Iverson, N. M.; Strano, M. S. Insulin Detection Using a Corona Phase Molecular Recognition Site on Single-Walled Carbon Nano-tubes. ACS Sensors 2018, 3 (2), 367−377.

811 (30) Iverson, N. M.; Barone, P. W.; Shandell, M.; Trudel, L. J.; Sen, S.; 812 Sen, F.; Ivanov, V.; Atolia, E.; Farias, E.; McNicholas, T. P.; et al. In Vivo 813 Biosensing via Tissue-Localizable near-Infrared-Fluorescent Single-

814 Walled Carbon Nanotubes. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2013, 8 (11), 873−880. 815 (31) Hays, G. C.; Ferreira, L. C.; Sequeira, A. M.M.; Meekan, M. G.;

816 Duarte, C. M.; Bailey, H.; Bailleul, F.; Bowen, W. D.; Caley, M. J.; 817 Costa, D. P.; et al. Key Questions in Marine Megafauna Movement 818 Ecology. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2016, 31 (6), 463-475.

819 (32) Payne, N. L.; Taylor, M. D.; Watanabe, Y. Y.; Semmens, J. M. 820 From Physiology to Physics: Are We Recognizing the Flexibility of 821 Biologging Tools? J. Exp. Biol. 2014, 217 (3), 317−322.

822 (33) Wilson, R. P.; Gómez-Laich, A.; Sala, J. E.; Dell'Omo, G.; Holton,

823 M. D.; Quintana, F. Long Necks Enhance and Constrain Foraging 824 Capacity in Aquatic Vertebrates. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 2017, 284 825 (1867), 20172072.

 (34) Meekan, M. G.; Fuiman, L. A.; Davis, R.; Berger, Y.; Thums, M. Swimming Strategy and Body Plan of the World's Largest Fish: Implications for Foraging Efficiency and Thermoregulation. Front. Mar. Sci. 2015, 2, 64.

(35) Lee, M. A.; Bakh, N.; Bisker, G.; Brown, E. N.; Strano, M. S. A 830 Pharmacokinetic Model of a Tissue Implantable Cortisol Sensor. Adv. 831 Healthcare Mater. 2016, 5 (23), 3004−3015. 832

(36) Pon, L. B.; Hinch, S. G.; Cooke, S. J.; Patterson, D. A.; Farrell, A. 833 P. Physiological, Energetic and Behavioural Correlates of Successful 834 Fishway Passage of Adult Sockeye Salmon Oncorhynchus Nerka in the 835 Seton River, British Columbia. J. Fish Biol. 2009, 74 (6), 1323−1336. 836

(37) Crossin, G. T.; Takahashi, A.; Sakamoto, K. Q.; Trathan, P. N.; 837 Williams, T. D. Habitat Selection by Foraging Macaroni Penguins 838 Correlates with Hematocrit, an Index of Aerobic Condition. Mar. Ecol.: 839 Prog. Ser. 2015, 530, 163−176. 840

(38) O'Toole, A. C.; Dechraoui Bottein, M. Y.; Danylchuk, A. J.; 841 Ramsdell, J. S.; Cooke, S. J. Linking Ciguatera Poisoning to Spatial 842 Ecology of Fish: A Novel Approach to Examining the Distribution of 843 Biotoxin Levels in the Great Barracuda by Combining Non-Lethal 844 Blood Sampling and Biotelemetry. Sci. Total Environ. 2012, 427−428, 845 98−105. 846

(39) Kruss, S.; Hilmer, A. J.; Zhang, J.; Reuel, N. F.; Mu, B.; Strano, M. 847 S. Carbon Nanotubes as Optical Biomedical Sensors. Adv. Drug Delivery 848 Rev. 2013, 65 (15), 1933−1950. 849

(40) Bisker, G.; Iverson, N. M.; Ahn, J.; Strano, M. S. A 850 Pharmacokinetic Model of a Tissue Implantable Insulin Sensor. Adv. 851 Healthcare Mater. 2015, 4 (1), 87–97. 852

(41) Massey, V. The Chemical and Biological Versatility of Riboflavin. 853 Biochem. Soc. Trans. 2000, 28 (4), 283−296. 854

(42) Nakashima, N.; Okuzono, S.; Murakami, H.; Nakai, T.; 855 Yoshikawa, K. DNA Dissolves Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes in 856 Water. Chem. Lett. 2003, 32 (5), 456–457. 857

(43) Zheng, M.; Jagota, A.; Semke, E. D.; Diner, B. A.; McLean, R. S.; 858 Lustig, S. R.; Richardson, R. E.; Tassi, N. G. DNA-Assisted Dispersion 859 and Separation of Carbon Nanotubes. Nat. Mater. 2003, 2 (5), 338− 860 342. 861

(44) Giraldo, J. P.; Landry, M. P.; Kwak, S. Y.; Jain, R. M.; Wong, M. 862 H.; Iverson, N. M.; Ben-Naim, M.; Strano, M. S. A Ratiometric Sensor 863 Using Single Chirality Near-Infrared Fluorescent Carbon Nanotubes: 864 Application to in Vivo Monitoring. Small 2015, 11 (32), 3973−3984. 865

(45) Zhang, J.; Kruss, S.; Hilmer, A. J.; Shimizu, S.; Schmois, Z.; De La 866 Cruz, F.; Barone, P. W.; Reuel, N. F.; Heller, D. A.; Strano, M. S. A 867 Rapid, Direct, Quantitative, and Label-Free Detector of Cardiac 868 Biomarker Troponin T Using Near-Infrared Fluorescent Single-Walled 869 Carbon Nanotube Sensors. Adv. Healthcare Mater. 2014, 3 (3), 412− 870 423. 871

(46) Larsen, B. A.; Deria, P.; Holt, J. M.; Stanton, I. N.; Heben, M. J.; 872 Therien, M. J.; Blackburn, J. L. Effect of Solvent Polarity and 873 Electrophilicity on Quantum Yields and Solvatochromic Shifts of 874 Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube Photoluminescence. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 875 2012, 134 (30), 12485−12491. 876

(47) Choi, J. H.; Strano, M. S. Solvatochromism in Single-Walled 877 Carbon Nanotubes. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2007, 90 (22), 88–91. 878

(48) Tokarev, I.; Minko, S. Stimuli-Responsive Porous Hydrogels at 879 Interfaces for Molecular Filtration, Separation, Controlled Release, and 880 Gating in Capsules and Membranes. Adv. Mater. 2010, 22 (31), 3446− 881 3462. 882

(49) Zhao, F.; Yao, D.; Guo, R.; Deng, L.; Dong, A.; Zhang, J. 883 Composites of Polymer Hydrogels and Nanoparticulate Systems for 884 Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Applications. Nanomaterials 2015, 5 885 (4), 2054−2130. 886

(50) Peppas, N. A.; Huang, Y.; Torres-Lugo, M.; Ward, J. H.; Zhang, J. 887 Physicochemical Foundations and Structural Design of Hydrogels in 888 Medicine and Biology. Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng. 2000, 2 (1), 9-29.

(51) Peppas, N. A.; Hilt, J. Z.; Khademhosseini, A.; Langer, R. 890 Hydrogels in Biology and Medicine: From Molecular Principles to 891 Bionanotechnology. Adv. Mater. 2006, 18 (11), 1345-1360.

(52) Pedersen, J. S.; Sommer, C. Temperature Dependence of the 893 Virial Coefficients and the Chi Parameter in Semi-Dilute Solutions of 894 PEG. Prog. Colloid Polym. Sci. 2005, 130, 70−78. 895

(53) Hays, G. C.; Bastian, T.; Doyle, T. K.; Fossette, S.; Gleiss, A. C.; 896 Gravenor, M. B.; Hobson, V. J.; Humphries, N. E.; Lilley, M. K.S.; Pade, 897 N. G.; et al. High Activity and Levy Searches: Jellyfish Can Search the 898

 Water Column like Fish. Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 2012, 279 (1728), 465−473.

901 (54) Göröcs, Z.; Rivenson, Y.; Ceylan Koydemir, H.; Tseng, D.; Troy,

 T. L.; Demas, V.; Ozcan, A. Quantitative Fluorescence Sensing Through Highly Autofluorescent, Scattering, and Absorbing Media Using Mobile Microscopy. ACS Nano 2016, 10 (9), 8989−8999.

 (55) Lim, C.; Leamaster, B.; Brock, J. A. Riboflavin Requirement of Fingerling Red Hybrid Tilapia Grown in Seawater. J. World Aquacult. Soc. 1993, 24 (4), 451−458.

 (56) Petteys, B. J.; Frank, E. L. Rapid Determination of Vitamin B2 (Riboflavin) in Plasma by HPLC. Clin. Chim. Acta 2011, 412 (1), 38− 43.

(57) Yao, Y.; Yonezawa, A.; Yoshimatsu, H.; Omura, T.; Masuda, S.;

Matsubara, K. Involvement of Riboflavin Transporter RFVT2/Slc52a2

 in Hepatic Homeostasis of Riboflavin in Mice. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 2013, 714 (1), 281−287.

 (58) Bashkatov, A. N.; Genina, E. A.; Kochubey, V. I.; Tuchin, V. V. Optical Properties of Human Skin, Subcutaneous and Mucous Tissues in the Wavelength Range from 400 to 2000 Nm. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.

2005, 38 (15), 2543–2555. (59) Smith, A. M.; Mancini, M. C.; Nie, S. Bioimaging: Second Window for in Vivo Imaging. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2009, 4, 710−711.

(60) Welsher, K.; Liu, Z.; Sherlock, S. P.; Robinson, J. T.; Chen, Z.;

Daranciang, D.; Dai, H. A Route to Brightly Fluorescent Carbon

 Nanotubes for Near-Infrared Imaging in Mice. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2009, 4 (11), 773−780.

 (61) Luo, S.; Zhang, E.; Su, Y.; Cheng, T.; Shi, C. A Review of NIR Dyes in Cancer Targeting and Imaging. Biomaterials 2011, 32 (29), 7127−7138.

(62) Iverson, N. M.; Bisker, G.; Farias, E.; Ivanov, V.; Ahn, J.; Wogan,

G. N.; Strano, M. S. Quantitative Tissue Spectroscopy of near Infrared

 Fluorescent Nanosensor Implants. J. Biomed. Nanotechnol. 2016, 12 (5), 1035−1047.

 (63) Hawkes, J. W. The Structure of Fish Skin. Cell Tissue Res. 1974, 149 (713), 147−158.

 (64) Jordan, T. M.; Partridge, J. C.; Roberts, N. W. Non-Polarizing 935 Broadband Multilayer Reflectors in Fish. Nat. Photonics 2012, 6 (11), 759−763.

 (65) Spotila, J. R. Sea Turtles: A Complete Guide to Their Biology, Behavior, and Conservation; Johns Hopkins University Press: Baltimore, 2004.

 (66) Ng, K.-C. C.; Ooi, A.-C. P. A Review of Fish Taxonomy Conventions and Species Identification Techniques. J. Surv. Fish. Sci. 2017, 4 (1), 54−93.

 (67) Human, B. A.; Owen, E. P.; Compagno, L. J. V.; Harley, E. H. Testing Morphologically Based Phylogenetic Theories within the

 Cartilaginous Fishes with Molecular Data, with Special Reference to the Catshark Family (Chondrichthyes; Scyliorhinidae) and the Interrela-

947 tionships within Them. Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 2006, 39 (2), 384–391.

(68) Kosoglu, M. A.; Hood, R. L.; Chen, Y.; Xu, Y.; Rylander, M. N.;

 Rylander, C. G. Fiber Optic Microneedles for Transdermal Light Delivery: Ex Vivo Porcine Skin Penetration Experiments. J. Biomech. 951 Eng. 2010, 132 (9), 91014-91017.

 (69) Matzeu, G.; Florea, L.; Diamond, D. Advances in Wearable Chemical Sensor Design for Monitoring Biological Fluids. Sens. Actuators, B 2015, 211, 403−418.

 (70) Allaby, M. Carcharhinidae. In A Dictionary of Zoology; Oxford University Press, 2009.

 (71) Jacques, S. L. Optical Properties of Biological Tissues: A Review. 958 Phys. Med. Biol. 2013, 58 (11), R37–R61.

 (72) Anderson, R. R.; Parrish, J. A. The Optics of Human Skin. J. Invest. Dermatol. 1981, 77 (1), 13−19.

(73) Nassar, J. M.; Khan, S. M.; Velling, S. J.; Diaz-Gaxiola, A.; Shaikh,

S. F.; Geraldi, N. R.; Torres Sevilla, G. A.; Duarte, C. M.; Hussain, M.

 M. Compliant Lightweight Non-Invasive Standalone "Marine Skin" Tagging System. npj Flex. Electron. 2018, 2 (1), 13.

 (74) Ando, A.; Hatori, M.; Hagiwara, Y.; Isefuku, S.; Itoi, E. Imaging Features of Foreign Body Granuloma in the Lower Extremities

[View publication stats](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/329577275)

Mimicking a Soft Tissue Neoplasm. Upsala J. Med. Sci. 2009, 114 (1), 967 −51. 968

(75) Anderson, J. M.; Rodriguez, A.; Chang, D. T. Foreign Body 969 Reaction to Biomaterials. Semin. Immunol. 2008, 20 (2), 86−100. 970

(76) Adelman, J. S.; Martin, L. B. Vertebrate Sickness Behaviors: 971 Adaptive and Integrated Neuroendocrine Immune Responses. Integr. 972 Comp. Biol. 2009, 49 (3), 202−214. 973

(77) Ashley, P. J.; Sneddon, L. U. Pain and Fear in Fish. In Fish 974 Welfare; Branson, E. J., Ed.; Blackwell, 2008; 49−77. 975

(78) Stoskopf, M. K. Biology and Management of Laboratory Fishes. 976 In Laboratory Animal Medicine, Anderson, L. C.; Otto, G. M.; Pritchett- 977 Corning, K. R.; Whary, M. T. B. T., Eds.; Academic Press: Boston, 978 2015; pp 1063−1086. 979