MIT Open Access Articles

Dual threshold voltage organic thin-film transistor technology

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. **Please share** how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Nausieda, Ivan et al. "Dual Threshold Voltage Organic Thin-Film Transistor Technology." IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices 57.11 (2010): 3027–3032. Web.

As Published: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TED.2010.2072550>

Publisher: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/71816>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.

Dual Threshold Voltage Organic Thin-Film Transistor Technology

Ivan Nausieda, *Student Member, IEEE*, Kevin Kyungbum Ryu, *Student Member, IEEE*,
David Da He, *Student Member, IEEE*, Akintunde Ibitayo Akinwande, *Fellow, IEEE*,
Vladimir Bulović, and Charles G. Sodini, *Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—A fully photolithographic dual threshold voltage (V_T) organic thin-film transistor (OTFT) process suitable for flexible large-area integrated circuits is presented. The near-room-temperature ($\leq 95^\circ\text{C}$) process produces integrated dual V_T pentacene-based p-channel transistors. The two V_T 's are enabled by using two gate metals of low (aluminum) and high (platinum) work function. The Al and Pt gate OTFTs exhibit nominally identical current–voltage transfer curves shifted by an amount ΔV_T . The availability of a high- V_T device enables area-efficient zero- V_{GS} high-output-resistance current sources, enabling high-gain inverters. We present positive noise margin inverters and rail-to-rail ring oscillators powered by a 3-V supply—one of the lowest supply voltages reported for OTFT circuits. These results show that integrating n- and p-channel organic devices is not mandatory to achieve functional area-efficient low-power organic integrated circuits.

Index Terms—Digital integrated circuits, inverters, organic compounds, thin-film transistors (TFTs).

I. INTRODUCTION

THIN-FILM transistors (TFTs) based on organic semiconductors offer the potential of large-area mechanically flexible integrated circuits due to their low temperature processing. For this reason, organic TFTs (OTFTs) have received much attention in the past ten years, during which there have been significant advances in OTFT performance. Pentacene is currently the organic semiconductor of choice for OTFTs due to its high hole mobility and environmental stability. Mobilities have been reported in excess of $1\text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$, equaling the performance of amorphous silicon [1], [2].

Although pentacene OTFTs have been demonstrated with impressive electronic performance, there have been very few reports of functional digital OTFT circuits. OTFT technologies make circuit design challenging for three reasons: 1) the absence of a complementary device; 2) the value of the V_T ; and 3) the lack of resistors. To improve OTFT technology, a number of technological enhancements have been proposed.

Manuscript received October 20, 2009; revised July 5, 2010; accepted July 27, 2010. Date of current version November 5, 2010. This work was supported in part by the Focus Center Research Program (FCRP) Center for Circuits and Systems Solutions (C2S2) under Contract 2003-CT-888. The review of this paper was arranged by Editor J. Kanicki.

The authors are with the Microsystems Technology Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, MA 02139 USA (e-mail: nausieda@mit.edu).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org>.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TED.2010.2072550

The most obvious solution to this problem is to integrate an n-channel device to create a complementary process. Although organic semiconductors are typically hole transporters, small molecule materials such as hexadecafluorocopperphthalocyanine (F_{16}CuPc) have been demonstrated to be suitable electron transporters [3]. State-of-the-art organic digital circuits were created by Klauk *et al.* by shadow-mask patterning pentacene and F_{16}CuPc . Unfortunately, the use of shadow masking prohibits patterning large areas with small features due to bowing of the metal shadow mask. Yan *et al.* also report printed hole and electron transporting semiconductors but employed shadow masking in the device fabrication [4]. In addition, the integration of an n-channel device is only clearly advantageous if the electron mobility is comparable with the hole mobility of pentacene. For example, the electron mobility in [3] is $30\times$ less than the hole mobility.

Another approach is to fabricate OTFTs with top and bottom gates, one of which is used to control the threshold voltage. Conventional enhancement-mode devices with back gates have been demonstrated in inverters with improved noise margins [5], [6]. This process requires the control of both pentacene–dielectric interfaces to achieve reproducible gate control. The added complexity and second power supply make this method unattractive.

In this paper, we present a dual-gate metal process to achieve integrated pentacene OTFTs with two threshold voltages. The current–voltage transfer characteristics of the aluminum and platinum devices are nominally identical but shifted by ΔV_T . To the best of the author's knowledge, this is the first report of OTFT V_T control by changing gate materials. The high-work-function platinum gate device exhibits a high V_T (i.e., more depletion like) and, by shorting its gate to source, can be used as an area-efficient current source with high output resistance. The integrated process is used to fabricate inverters and ring oscillators and can support the design of analog circuits such as amplifiers and comparators. These dual V_T circuits use $30\times$ less area than a single V_T implementation, assuming a trip voltage of $V_{DD}/2$. Powered by a 3-V supply, the nonoptimized inverter was measured to have a noise margin low (NM_L) of 1.3 V, a noise margin high (NM_H) of 0.3 V, and a current of 8 pA at the switching point. An 11-stage ring oscillator swings near rail-to-rail (0.05–2.85 V), with an inverter delay of 27 ms. Due to the low voltage operation and the small feature size enabled by photolithography, this paper has significantly better power-delay products than other works [3]. The inverters shown here use one of the lowest V_{DD} s of any

Fig. 1. Process flow for integrated dual V_T OTFTs and photograph of a finished 100-mm wafer.

OTFT technology, photolithographically processed or not [4], [7]–[10].

II. DUAL V_T PROCESS FLOW

A near-room-temperature ($\leq 95^\circ\text{C}$) photolithographic process is used to fabricate integrated bottom-gate bottom-contact dual V_T OTFTs. The process is implemented using glass substrates, but its low temperature allows the use of flexible substrates. The process flow is depicted in Fig. 1, along with a photograph of a finished wafer.

All fabrication steps are done in a class 100 clean room. Float glass wafers (100 mm) are first cleaned in a 3:1 H_2O_2 : H_2SO_4 (piranha) solution for 10 min. The high- V_T (more depletion-like) gate pattern is transferred by image reversal photolithography, followed by a descum for 10 min in a barrel asher. Ti (25 nm) followed by Pt (45 nm) is electron-beam evaporated. The wafers are immersed in acetone and left overnight. A sonication in fresh acetone completes the liftoff process. Image reversal photolithography is next done for the low- V_T gate (more enhancement-like) in the same manner. After descum, 70 nm of Al is electron-beam evaporated. Liftoff is done in the same way as the Pt gate. After removal from acetone, the wafers are rinsed in deionized (DI) water,

blown dry with nitrogen, and immediately loaded for dielectric deposition.

Parylene-C, an organic polymer, is used as the gate dielectric. Parylene (130 nm) (Galaxyl) is deposited by hot filament chemical vapor deposition while the substrate remains at room temperature. The run-to-run variation of the gate dielectric thickness is about 5%. We have not concentrated on optimizing this yet.

Via holes are patterned by photolithography and a reactive ion etch in oxygen plasma. Photoresist is stripped by immersion in a solvent photoresist stripper (Microstrip 2001), followed by a DI wafer rinse and nitrogen blow dry.

Au (40 nm) is deposited by electron-beam evaporation and patterned by standard photolithography. Since the process is not self-aligned, a gate–source and gate–drain overlap of $5\ \mu\text{m}$ is included. The gold layer is wet etched in a solution of 5 : 1 H_2O :Transene TFA gold etchant (KI/I_2). The photoresist is removed with Microstrip, and the wafers are rinsed in DI water and blown dry. Pentacene (10 nm) is thermally evaporated at 0.5 nm/min. Since the dielectric and the semiconductor of the two V_T devices are processed at the same time, we expect nominally identical dielectric–semiconductor interfaces for both devices. The pentacene deposition is immediately followed by a 200-nm blanket deposition of parylene-C. The parylene serves

Fig. 2. (a) Measured linear transfer curves for adjacent $W/L = 200 \mu\text{m}/15 \mu\text{m}$ sized Al and Pt OTFTs at $V_{DS} = -1 \text{ V}$. (b) Measured semilogarithmic transfer curves for the same $200 \mu\text{m}/15 \mu\text{m}$ OTFTs at $V_{DS} = -1 \text{ V}$. The V_T is extracted by drawing a straight line fit in the subthreshold region and taking the voltage at which it pulls away. In this case, $V_{T,Al} = -0.5 \text{ V}$, $V_{T,Pt} = 0.1 \text{ V}$. The Pt device conducts $100\times$ more current at $V_{GS} = 0$ than the Al device.

as an encapsulant and prevents pentacene from exposure to subsequent solvent processing [11]. The pentacene–parylene stack is patterned photolithographically and etched in oxygen plasma, thereby defining the active region for each OTFT.

III. DUAL V_T DEVICE PERFORMANCE

The fabricated devices are electrically characterized using an Agilent 4156C semiconductor parameter analyzer. Current–voltage and capacitance–voltage measurements are taken to extract device parameters such as C_{ox} , subthreshold slope, contact resistance, and mobility. The C_{ox} is 22.3 nF/cm^2 , and at a V_{SG} of 3 V , we measure a typical mobility of $\sim 0.01 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ [12]. We observe no difference in these parameters compared with the standard single V_T photolithographic process previously reported [13]. In addition, no difference in these parameters is observed between the Al and Pt gate devices. We observe a variation between the as-drawn and measured device width of $2 \mu\text{m}$. Therefore, we choose $20 \mu\text{m}$ as the minimum device width in circuit designs. The channel length is patterned via a different wet chemical etch, and optical microscopy indicates that the fabricated channel length differs from the as-drawn by 100 nm or less.

Fig. 2(a) plots the linear and semi-log transfer characteristics for typical dual V_T devices. The threshold voltage is extracted by applying a linear fit to the subthreshold regime in the semi-log curve and noting the V_{GS} at which the I – V pulls away from the line. This process is shown in Fig. 2(b). The current–voltage curves are nominally identical, except shifted by an amount we call ΔV_T . A consistent ΔV_T of 0.6 V is observed over multiple wafers and lots. In each die, we extract the V_T of the Al and Pt gate devices and the shift in V_T between them ΔV_T . Table I shows the mean and standard deviation of the threshold voltages and ΔV_T .

The flatband condition for the TFT is written as

$$V_T = (\Phi_{\text{Gate}} - \Phi_{\text{Pentacene}}) - \frac{Q_f}{C_{\text{Gate}}} \quad (1)$$

where Q_f is the fixed charge (in coulombs per square centimeter) at the pentacene–parylene interface [6]. Since these devices operate in accumulation, we have referred to this voltage as V_T

TABLE I
STATISTICS OF V_T AND ΔV_T ACROSS THREE WAFERS. V_T EXTRACTED AS SHOWN IN FIG. 2. FOUR TO FIVE DIES MEASURED PER WAFER

Parameter	Wafer 1	Wafer 2	Wafer 3
Mean V_T Al-Gate	-0.43 V	-0.46 V	-0.72 V
Std. Dev. V_T Al-Gate	0.10	0.09	0.15
Mean V_T Pt-Gate	+0.23 V	+0.08 V	-0.16 V
Std. Dev. V_T Pt-Gate	0.10	0.08	0.15
Intra-die Mean ΔV_T	+0.65 V	+0.54 V	+0.56 V
Intra-die Std. Dev. ΔV_T	0.06	0.05	0.09

to be consistent with OTFT literature. The work function values are referenced to the vacuum level.

By writing (1) for both metal gate devices, inserting the work functions of platinum and aluminum, and taking the difference, one can calculate the expected V_T difference between the two devices. Assuming uniform pentacene potential and parylene thickness across the wafer and no variation in fixed charge, the difference in flatband voltages should be proportional to the difference in metal work functions. Using work functions of 5.65 and 4.28 eV for platinum and aluminum, respectively, one would expect a difference in V_T between devices to be around 1.3 V [14].

We suggest two reasons for the difference in expected and observed threshold voltages. It is known that the presence of water on a metal surface will change the surface potential [15], [16]. Contamination of the metal surfaces through processing or residual water layers on the gates may lead to the effective work function difference of 0.6 V .

IV. INVERTER DESIGN AND CHARACTERISTICS

Conventional OTFT technologies are resistorless and contain a single V_T p-channel device. The lack of a high-output-resistance load makes designing inverters and amplifiers

Fig. 3. (a) Dual V_T inverter with low V_T driver and high V_T zero- V_{GS} load. (b) Measured transfer characteristics $V_{DD} = 3$ V. Noise margin high (NM_H) = 0.3 V, noise margin low (NM_L) = 1.3 V, $V_{DD} = 3$ V.

difficult. In a p-channel-only process, there are two approaches one could take: use 1) a diode-connected OTFT load or 2) a zero- V_{GS} load [17].

Diode-load inverters have asymmetric transfer characteristics and low gain, making it difficult to achieve positive noise margins [7]. The zero- V_{GS} load provides a higher output resistance and thus higher gain, while allowing the inverter to be designed to trip near $V_{DD}/2$. Given the gate-voltage-dependent mobility in OTFTs, the zero- V_{GS} load must be significantly wider than the driver to achieve positive noise margins [12], [18]. Not only does this make the area of the inverter large, it also increases the C_{GD} of the load transistor, decreasing the inverter speed. A dual threshold voltage technology alleviates this problem by enabling area-efficient high-output-resistance zero- V_{GS} current sources. Since the Pt gate device sinks $100\times$ more current than the Al device at $V_{GS} = 0$, using the high V_T device as the load reduces its area by two orders of magnitude.

An area-minimized inverter schematic is shown in Fig. 3. Hand calculations were used to size the devices. The inverter was designed to maximize noise margins and trip at $V_{DD}/2$ while also minimizing the area. The measured transfer curve is asymmetric, as are the noise margins, since the fabricated load device's V_T was 200 mV more negative than was used in the hand designs.

The dual V_T inverter uses $30\times$ less area and reduces the load capacitance by $17\times$ compared with a single V_T implementation, assuming the single V_T topology is sized such that $V_M = V_{DD}/2$. The measured inverter characteristics are pictured in Fig. 3. The inverter was found to have a noise margin high of 0.3 V and a noise margin low of 1.3 V when powered by a 3-V supply [19]. The Pt gate device's V_T was slightly more negative than was used in hand calculations, causing the inverter to trip at 1.8 V instead of at 1.5 V. The load would need to be twice as wide ($W = 100\text{ }\mu\text{m}$, $L = 5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) to trip at 1.5 V. The current at the trip point $V_{IN} = V_{OUT}$ was 8 pA. The off currents ($V_{IN} = 0$ V, $V_{IN} = V_{DD}$) were 9 pA and 480 fA, respectively.

If the inverter was instead optimized for speed and not area, then we estimate that an inverter delay of 7.7 ms would be expected with a driver $W/L = 20\text{ }\mu\text{m}/5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and load $W/L = 300\text{ }\mu\text{m}/5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ while sinking 48 pA at its trip point.

These results compare favorably to state-of-the-art shadow-masked organic complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor

digital circuits. The dual V_T inverter presented here uses $40\times$ less area, $10\,000\times$ less switching current, and $10\text{--}20\times$ less static current ($V_{IN} = 0$ V, $V_{IN} = V_{DD}$, respectively) compared with the complementary inverters reported in [4]. The dual V_T inverter's power-delay product is over $50\times$ lower at 5.6×10^{-13} J compared with an estimated 3×10^{-11} J. The improved power-delay product is due to the small feature sizes enabled by using a photolithographic process.

It should be noted that integrating an n-channel device does not necessarily improve performance compared with the p-channel-only dual V_T process. In the dual V_T design, the load is sized with a W/L of $15\times$ larger than the driver in order for the inverter to trip at $V_{DD}/2$. If we were to replace the zero- V_{GS} load with an NMOS device, then assuming a symmetric V_T with the p-channel TFT, the NMOS would need to be sized Z times wider than that p-channel driver. Z is equal to the ratio of the PMOS hole mobility to the NMOS mobility $Z = \mu_h/\mu_e$. Therefore, an n-channel device would only be clearly superior to the zero- V_{GS} load if $Z < 15$.

V. RING OSCILLATOR

An 11-stage ring oscillator with output buffer is fabricated and tested using the dual V_T process. The inverter topology is the same design as shown in Fig. 3. The circuit schematic for the ring oscillator is shown in Fig. 4.

The ring oscillator is powered by a 3-V supply. Fig. 5 plots the measured 1.7-Hz waveform, which corresponds to an inverter propagation delay of 27 ms [20]. In comparison, the inverter propagation delay reported in [3] is 2.3 ms, and 700 μs in [10]. Despite our small feature size, the gate delay is larger in the dual V_T implementation due to the low mobility. This is a result of the unoptimized pentacene deposition and poorer charge transport compared with other films reported [1]–[3]. This is in part due to our bottom-contact device architecture, which is necessary to ensure compatibility with standard photolithography. In addition, it has been widely reported that the vertical electric field increases mobility, and these devices operate at lower vertical fields than other reports [3], [10].

The ring oscillator output swings from 0.05 to 2.85 V. Although other OTFT ring oscillators have been published,

Fig. 4. Schematic and die photo of 11-stage dual V_T ring oscillator with output buffer.

Fig. 5. Measured 1.7-Hz ring oscillator output, corresponding to an inverter delay of 27 ms. $V_{DD} = 3$ V.

many do not swing near rail-to-rail and thus are not an accurate measure of the inverter propagation delay.

VI. CONCLUSION

A near-room-temperature process with two gate metals for integrated dual V_T OTFTs has been introduced. Devices are electrically characterized and are found to be nominally identical but shifted by $\Delta V_T = 0.6$ V.

An area-minimized integrated dual V_T inverter is fabricated and characterized, offering $30\times$ area savings over a single V_T topology. The inverter has $NM_H = 0.3$ V and $NM_L = 1.3$ V and uses ~ 24 pW of power with a 3-V supply.

An 11-stage ring oscillator using the inverter design is fabricated and tested, demonstrating rail-to-rail operation and an inverter delay of 27 ms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I. Nausieda would like to thank M. Bieniosek for help in characterizing devices. The devices were fabricated in MIT's Microsystems Technology Laboratory.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Klauk, M. Halik, U. Zschieschang, G. Schmid, and W. Radlik, "High-mobility polymer gate dielectric pentacene thin film transistors," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 92, no. 9, pp. 5259–5263, Nov. 2002.
- [2] D. J. Gundlach, H. Klauk, C. D. Sheraw, C. C. Kuo, J. R. Huang, and T. N. Jackson, "High-mobility, low voltage organic thin film transistors," in *IEDM Tech. Dig.*, Dec. 1999, pp. 111–114.
- [3] H. Klauk, U. Zschieschang, J. Pfau, and M. Halik, "Ultralow-power organic complementary circuits," *Nature*, vol. 445, no. 7129, pp. 745–748, Feb. 2007.
- [4] H. Yan, Z. Chen, Y. Zheng, C. Newman, J. R. Quinn, F. Dotz, M. Kastler, and A. Facchetti, "A high-mobility electron-transporting polymer for printed transistors," *Nature*, vol. 457, no. 7230, pp. 679–687, Feb. 2009.
- [5] M. Takamiya, T. Sekitani, Y. Kato, H. Kawaguchi, T. Someya, and T. Sakurai, "An organic FET SRAM with back gate to increase static noise margin and its application to Braille sheet display," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 93–100, Jan. 2007.
- [6] M. Spijkman, E. C. P. Smits, P. W. M. Blom, D. W. de Leeuw, Y. Bon Saint Come, S. Setayesh, and E. Cantatore, "Increasing the noise margin in organic circuits using dual gate field-effect transistors," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, vol. 92, no. 14, pp. 143 304-1–143 304-3, Apr. 2008.
- [7] E. Cantatore, T. C. T. Geuns, G. Gelnick, E. van Veenendaal, A. F. A. Gruijthuisen, L. Schrijnemakers, S. Drews, and D. M. de Leeuw, "A 13.56-MHz RFID system based on organic transponders," *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 84–92, Jan. 2007.
- [8] M. G. Kane, J. Campi, M. S. Hammond, F. P. Cuomo, B. Greening, C. D. Sheraw, J. A. Nichols, D. J. Gundlach, J. R. Huang, C. C. Kuo, L. Jia, H. Klauk, and T. N. Jackson, "Analog and digital circuits using organic thin-film transistors on polyester substrates," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 534–536, Nov. 2000.
- [9] N. Gay, W. J. Fischer, M. Halik, H. Klauk, U. Zschieschang, and G. Schmid, "Analog signal processing with organic FETs," in *Proc. ISSCC Dig. Tech. Papers*, Feb. 2006, pp. 1070–1079.
- [10] Y. Xia, W. Zhang, M. Ha, J. H. Cho, M. J. Renn, C. H. Kim, and C. D. Frisbie, "Printed sub-2 V gel-electrolyte-gated polymer transistors and circuits," *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 587–594, Feb. 2010.
- [11] I. Kyriassis, A. I. Akinwande, and V. Bulović, "A lithographic process for organic field-effect transistors," *J. Display Technol.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 289–294, Dec. 2005.
- [12] K. Ryu, I. Kyriassis, V. Bulović, and C. G. Sodini, "Direct extraction of mobility in pentacene OFETs using $C-V$ and $I-V$ measurements," *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, vol. 26, no. 10, pp. 716–718, Oct. 2005.
- [13] I. Nausieda, K. Ryu, I. Kyriassis, A. I. Akinwande, V. Bulović, and C. G. Sodini, "An organic active-matrix imager," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 55, no. 2, pp. 527–532, Feb. 2008.
- [14] H. B. Michaelson, "The work function of the elements and its periodicity," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 48, no. 11, pp. 4729–4733, Nov. 1977.

- [15] E. E. Huber, Jr. and C. T. Kirk, Jr., "Work function changes due to chemisorption of water and oxygen on aluminum," *Surf. Sci.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 447–465, Dec. 1966.
- [16] S. Meng, E. G. Wang, and S. Gao, "Water adsorption on metal surfaces: A general picture from density functional theory studies," *Phys. Rev. B, Condens. Matter*, vol. 69, no. 19, pp. 195 404-1–195 404-13, May 2004.
- [17] S. De Vusser, J. Genoe, and P. Heremans, "Influence of transistor parameters on the noise margin of organic digital circuits," *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 601–610, Apr. 2006.
- [18] T.-C. Huang and K.-T. Cheng, "Design for low power and reliable flexible electronics: Self-tunable cell-library design," *J. Display Technol.*, vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 206–215, Jun. 2009.
- [19] R. T. Howe and C. G. Sodini, *Microelectronics: An Integrated Approach*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1997.
- [20] J. M. Rabae, A. Chandrakasan, and B. Nikolic, *Digital Integrated Circuits: A Design Perspective*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Educational, 2003.

Ivan Nausieda (S'00) was born in Milwaukee, WI, in 1982. He received the B.S. degree in electrical and computer engineering from Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, in 2004, the M.S. degree in applied physics from Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, in 2005, and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, in 2009.

He is currently with the Microsystems Technology Laboratory, MIT.

Dr. Nausieda was the recipient of the Martin Fellowship for Sustainability.

Kevin Kyungbum Ryu (S'00) received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from the Cooper Union for the Advancement of Science and Art, New York, NY, in 2003 and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering and computer science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, in 2005 and 2009, respectively.

He is currently with the Microsystems Technology Laboratory, MIT. His current research interests include the processing and characterization of devices using novel materials such as graphene, organic, and

metal-oxide thin-film transistors.

David Da He (S'08) received the B.A.Sc. degree in electrical engineering in 2005 from the University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada, and the S.M. degree in electrical engineering in 2008 from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, where he is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering.

His current research interests include organic integrated circuits and biomedical electronics.

Akintunde Ibitayo (Tayo) Akinwande (S'81–M'86–SM'04–F'08) received the B.Sc. degree in electrical and electronic engineering from the University of Ife, Ile-Ife, Nigeria, in 1978 and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in electrical engineering from Stanford University, Stanford, CA, in 1981 and 1986, respectively.

In 1986, he was with Honeywell Inc., where he was initially engaged in research on GaAs complementary FET technology for very high speed signal processing and later on pressure sensors, accelerometers, thin-film field emission, and display devices. Since 1995, he has been with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, where he is currently a Professor in the Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science. He is the holder of several patents in microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) and display technologies. His current research interests include devices for smart displays, large-area electronics, field emission, field ionization, gas analysis, electrospray, and electric propulsion.

Prof. Akinwande is a member of the Materials Research Society, the Society of Information Display, and the Electrochemical Society. He was on Technical Program Committees for various conferences, including the Device Research Conference, the International Electron Devices Meeting, the International Solid-State Circuits Conference, the International Display Research Conference, and the International Vacuum Microelectronics Conference. He was the recipient of the 1996 National Science Foundation (NSF) CAREER Award.

Vladimir Bulović received the B.S.E. and Ph.D. degrees from Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, in 1991 and 1998, respectively.

He is currently an Associate Professor of electrical engineering with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Cambridge, where he leads the Organic and Nanostructured Electronics Laboratory, codirecting the MIT-ENI Solar Frontiers Center and the MIT Solar Revolutions Project, and is coheading the MIT Energy Studies Minor. He is the Founder of QD Vision, Inc., Watertown, MA, which is focused

on the development of quantum dot optoelectronics, and Kateeva, Inc., Menlo Park, CA, which is focused on the development of printed organic electronics. He is an Inventor of 45 U.S. patents in areas of organic and nanostructured light-emitting diodes, lasers, photovoltaics, photodetectors, chemical sensors, and programmable memories. His research interests include studies of the physical properties of organic and organic/inorganic nanocrystal composite thin films and structures, and the development of novel optoelectronic organic and hybrid nanoscale devices.

Charles G. Sodini (M'82–SM'90–F'95) received the B.S.E.E. degree from Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, in 1974 and the M.S.E.E. and the Ph.D. degrees from the University of California, Berkeley, in 1981 and 1982, respectively.

He was a member of the technical staff at Hewlett-Packard Laboratories from 1974 to 1982, where he worked on the design of MOS memory. He joined the faculty of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, in 1983, where he is currently a LeBel Professor of electrical engineering. He was

a cofounder of SmaL Camera Technologies, a leader in imaging technology for consumer digital still cameras and machine vision cameras for automotive applications. He also studied the Hong Kong/South China electronics industry from 1996 to 1997 and has continued to study the globalization of the electronics industry. Along with Prof. R. T. Howe, he is a coauthor of an undergraduate text on integrated circuits and devices entitled *Microelectronics: An Integrated Approach*. His research interests are focused on mixed-signal integrated circuit and systems with emphasis on analog, RF, and millimeter-wave circuit design.

Dr. Sodini has served on a variety of IEEE Conference Committees, including the International Electron Device Meeting, where he was the 1989 General Chairman. He has served on the IEEE Electron Devices Society Administrative Committee and was President of the IEEE Solid-State Circuits Society from 2002 to 2004. He is currently the Chair of the Executive Committee for the VLSI Symposia.