

MIT Open Access Articles

The High Albedo of the Hot Jupiter Kepler-7 B

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *[Please](https://libraries.mit.edu/forms/dspace-oa-articles.html) share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Demory, Brice-Olivier et al. "The High Albedo of the Hot Jupiter Kepler-7 B." The Astrophysical Journal 735.1 (2011): L12. © 2011 IOP Publishing

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/735/1/l12

Publisher: IOP Publishing

Persistent URL: <http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/74166>

Version: Final published version: final published article, as it appeared in a journal, conference proceedings, or other formally published context

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.

THE HIGH ALBEDO OF THE HOT JUPITER KEPLER-7 b

Brice-Olivier Demory¹, Sara Seager¹, Nikku Madhusudhan², Hans Kjeldsen³, Jørgen Christensen-Dalsgaard³,

MICHAEL GILLON⁴, JASON F. ROWE⁵, WILLIAM F. WELSH⁶, ELISABETH R. ADAMS⁷, ANDREA DUPREE⁷, DON MCCARTHY⁸,

CRAIG KULESA⁸, WILLIAM J. BORUCKI⁵, AND DAVID G. KOCH⁵

¹ Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Ave., Cambridge,

MA 02139, USA; demory@mit.edu

² Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544, USA

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Aarhus University, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

⁴ In

Received 2011 March 22; accepted 2011 May 20; published 2011 June 6

ABSTRACT

Hot Jupiters are expected to be dark from both observations (albedo upper limits) and theory (alkali metals and*/*or TiO and VO absorption). However, only a handful of hot Jupiters have been observed with high enough photometric precision at visible wavelengths to investigate these expectations. The NASA *Kepler* mission provides a means to widen the sample and to assess the extent to which hot Jupiter albedos are low. We present a global analysis of Kepler-7 b based on Q0–Q4 data, published radial velocities, and asteroseismology constraints. We measure an occultation depth in the *Kepler* bandpass of 44 ± 5 ppm. If directly related to the albedo, this translates to a *Kepler* geometric albedo of 0.32 ± 0.03 , the most precise value measured so far for an exoplanet. We also characterize the planetary orbital phase light curve with an amplitude of 42 ± 4 ppm. Using atmospheric models, we find it unlikely that the high albedo is due to a dominant thermal component and propose two solutions to explain the observed planetary flux. First, we interpret the Kepler-7 b albedo as resulting from an excess reflection over what can be explained solely by Rayleigh scattering, along with a nominal thermal component. This excess reflection might indicate the presence of a cloud or haze layer in the atmosphere, motivating new modeling and observational efforts. Alternatively, the albedo can be explained by Rayleigh scattering alone if Na and K are depleted in the atmosphere by a factor of 10–100 below solar abundances.

Key words: planetary systems – stars: individual (Kepler-7, KIC 5780885, 2MASS 19141956+4105233) – techniques: photometric

Online-only material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

More than 30 hot Jupiters benefit from observations of their emitted radiation from near- to mid-infrared, where the measurement of their thermal emission is the most favorable. *Spitzer* made a significant contribution by producing a flurry of results allowing us to derive general properties of hot-Jupiter atmospheres (Deming & Seager [2009,](#page-6-0) and references therein). Those planets are strongly irradiated by their host stars and their equilibrium temperatures were early estimated to be above 1000 K (Seager & Sasselov [2000\)](#page-6-0). Those observations confirm that hot Jupiters efficiently reprocess the incident stellar flux into thermal reemission, exhibiting low flux at visible wavelengths (Marley et al. [1999;](#page-6-0) Seager et al. [2000;](#page-6-0) Sudarsky et al. [2003\)](#page-6-0).

Characterization of transiting hot-Jupiter reflected light suffers from the scarcity of observations. The planetary to stellar flux ratio is of the order of 10^{-5} in the visible, two orders of magnitude less than mid-infrared signatures.

To date, 12 planets have an upper limit constraint on their geometric albedo: *τ*Boo b (Charbonneau et al. [1999;](#page-6-0) Leigh et al. [2003b;](#page-6-0) Rodler et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0), *υ*And b (Collier Cameron et al. [2002\)](#page-6-0), HD75289A b (Leigh et al. [2003a;](#page-6-0) Rodler et al. [2008\)](#page-6-0), HD209458b (Rowe et al. [2008\)](#page-6-0), TrES-3b (Winn et al. [2008a\)](#page-6-0), CoRoT-1b (Alonso et al. [2009;](#page-6-0) Snellen et al. [2009\)](#page-6-0), CoRoT-2b (Alonso et al. [2010;](#page-6-0) Snellen et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0), HAT-P-7b (Christiansen et al. [2010;](#page-6-0) Welsh et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0), Kepler-5b (Kipping

& Bakos [2010;](#page-6-0) Desert et al. [2011\)](#page-6-0), Kepler-6b (Kipping & Bakos [2010;](#page-6-0) Desert et al. [2011\)](#page-6-0), Kepler-7b (Kipping & Bakos [2010\)](#page-6-0), and HD189733b (Berdyugina et al. [2011\)](#page-6-0).

Nine of them corroborate early theoretical predictions: with A_g < 0.3 (3 σ upper limit) hot Jupiters are dark in the visible (see also Kane & Gelino [2010;](#page-6-0) Cowan & Agol [2011\)](#page-6-0). However Collier Cameron et al. [\(2002\)](#page-6-0) determined *Ag <* 0.42 (3σ) from spectroscopy in the 380–650 nm range for *υ*And b, Kipping & Bakos [\(2010\)](#page-6-0) reported $A_\varrho = 0.38 \pm 0.12^9$ for Kepler-7 b and Berdyugina et al. [\(2011\)](#page-6-0) determined a *V*-band albedo of $A_g = 0.28 \pm 0.16$ for HD189733b from polarimetry, suggesting dominance of reflected light over thermal emission.

Solar system giant planets have geometric albedos of 0.32 (Uranus) to 0.50 (Jupiter) in a bandpass similar to *Kepler*'s (Karkoschka [1994\)](#page-6-0). Those objects harbor bright cloud decks made of ammonia and water ice that are highly reflective at visible wavelengths. In contrast to the solar system giant planets, atmosphere models show that the presence of alkali metals in hot-Jupiter atmospheres (Na and K) as well as TiO and VO (at the hotter range) causes significant absorption at visible wavelengths.

⁹ Determined in the *Kepler* bandpass, which has a *>*5% response between 423 and 897 nm (Koch et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0).

We report in this Letter the characterization of the hot Jupiter Kepler-7 b (Latham et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0), based on *Kepler* Q0–Q4 data. We present the photometry and data analysis in Section 2, while corresponding results are shown in Section [3.](#page-3-0) Discussion and atmospheric analysis are finally presented in Section [4.](#page-3-0)

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

2.1. Kepler Photometry

Kepler-7 b belongs to the first set of new planets published by the *Kepler* science team in early 2010. Kepler-7 b is a 4.8 day period hot Jupiter orbiting a $V = 13.04$ sub-giant G star with $M_{\star} = 1.35 \pm 0.07 M_{\odot}$ and $R_{\star} = 1.84 \pm 0.07 R_{\odot}$ (Latham et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0). Like all objects located in the *Kepler* field, Kepler-7 benefits from nearly continuous photometric monitoring since mid-2009.

We base our analysis on the Q0–Q4 quarters, which represent nearly one year of observations. Data recorded during each quarter are differentiated in short- and long-cadence time series, that are binnings per 58.84876 s and 29.4244 minutes, respectively, of the same CCD readouts. Five long-cadence (Jenkins et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0) and six short-cadence (Gilliland et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0) data sets are used as part of this study, representing 272,719 photometric data points and 311.68 effective days of observations, out of which 175.37 days have also been recorded in short cadence. We used the raw photometry for our purpose.

Kepler-7 is a photometrically quiet star: apart from the 4.88-day period transit signals, no evidence of significant stellar variability is apparent in the data.

2.2. Data Analysis

For the purpose of this global analysis, we used the implementation of the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm presented in Gillon et al. [\(2009,](#page-6-0) [2010\)](#page-6-0). MCMC is a Bayesian inference method based on stochastic simulations that samples the posterior probability distributions of adjusted parameters for a given model. Our MCMC implementation uses the Metropolis–Hastings algorithm to perform this sampling. Our nominal model is based on a star and a transiting planet on a Keplerian orbit about their center of mass.

Our global analysis was performed using 199 transit and occultation light curves in total, out of which 70 were acquired in short-cadence mode. We discarded 13 light curves because of discontinuities due to spacecraft roll, change of focus, pointing offsets or safe-mode events. Input data also include the nine radial velocity points obtained from NOT*/*FIES (FIber-fed Echelle Spectrograph) that were published in Latham et al. [\(2010\)](#page-6-0).

As the focus of this study is on using the transits and occultations to refine the system parameters, for the model fitting we use only the photometry near the eclipse events. Windows of width 0.6 days (12.3% of the orbit) surrounding eclipses were used to measure the local out-of-transit baseline, while minimizing the computation time. A dilution of $2.7 \pm 0.5\%$ was determined from MMT/ARIES¹⁰ (ARizona Infrared imager and Echelle Spectrograph) observations and applied to both transit and occultation photometry.

The excellent sampling of the transit light curve motivated us to fit for the limb-darkening (LD) coefficients. For this purpose, we assumed a quadratic law and used $c_1 = 2u_1 + u_2$ and

 $c_2 = u_1 - 2u_2$ as jump parameters¹¹, where u_1 and u_2 are the quadratic coefficients.

The MCMC has the following set of jump parameters: the planet*/*star flux ratio, the impact parameter *b*, the transit duration from first to fourth contact, the time of minimum light, the orbital period, $K' = K\sqrt{1 - e^2}P^{1/3}$, where *K* is the radial-velocity semi-amplitude, the occultation depth, the two LD combinations *c*₁ and *c*₂, and the two parameters \sqrt{e} cos ω and \sqrt{e} sin ω . A uniform prior distribution is assumed for all jump parameters.

2.2.1. Model and Systematics

The transit and occultation photometry are modeled with the Mandel & Agol [\(2002\)](#page-6-0) model, multiplied by a second-order polynomial accounting for stellar and instrumental variability. Baseline model coefficients are determined for each light curve with the Singular Value Decomposition method (Press et al. [1992\)](#page-6-0) at each step of the MCMC. Correlated noise was accounted for following Winn et al. [\(2008b\)](#page-6-0); Gillon et al. [\(2010\)](#page-6-0), to ensure reliable error bars on the fitted parameters. For this purpose, we compute a scaling factor based on the standard deviation of the binned residuals for each light curve with different time bins. The error bars are then multiplied by this scaling factor. We obtained a mean scaling factor of 1.04 for all photometry, denoting a negligible contribution from correlated noise. The mean global photometric rms per 30 minute bin is 96 parts per million (ppm).

2.2.2. Asteroseismology

The data series for Kepler-7 contains nine months of data at a cadence of 1 minute. The power spectrum shows a clear excess of power near 1.05 mHz. The asteroseismic analysis of the data was performed using the pipeline developed at the Kepler Asteroseismic Science Operations Center as described in detail by Christensen-Dalsgaard et al. [\(2008,](#page-6-0) [2010\)](#page-6-0), Huber et al. [\(2009\)](#page-6-0), and Gilliland et al. [\(2011\)](#page-6-0). Using the matched filter approach we determine a value for the large separation of 56μ Hz. Locating the asymptotic frequency structure in the folded power allows a robust identification of 13 individual p-mode frequencies and an estimate of the scatter on those frequencies (0.9 μ Hz). The frequencies resulting from this analysis were fitted to stellar models in the same manner as in Christensen-Dalsgaard et al. [\(2010\)](#page-6-0), using also the effective temperature and metallicity ([Fe*/*H]) determined by Latham et al. [\(2010\)](#page-6-0). The models did not include diffusion and settling. Models were computed without overshoot from the convective core, as well as with overshoot of $0.1H_p$ and $0.2H_p$, where H_p is the pressure scale height at the edge of the convective core. The observed frequencies and effective temperature were fitted to the models in a least-squares sense, resulting in a weighted average of the stellar properties. Interestingly, only models with overshoot provided acceptable fits to the frequencies within the observed range of the effective temperature.

We used the resulting stellar density (see Table [1\)](#page-3-0) as a Bayesian prior in the MCMC and the corresponding stellar mass to derive the system's physical parameters.

2.2.3. Phase Curve

About 312 days of Kepler-7 observations are covered in Q0–Q4 data. This motivated us to search for the planetary phase signature. We first removed the transits and fitted the long- and

 $^{10}\,$ MMT is a joint facility of the Smithsonian Institution and University of Arizona.

 $\frac{11}{11}$ Model parameters that are randomly perturbed at each step of the MCMC.

The Astrophysical Journal Letters, 735:L12 (6pp), 2011 July 1 Demory et al.

Table 1 Kepler-7 System Parameters

Parameters	Value
Jump parameters	
Planet/star area ratio $(R_p/R_s)^2$	$0.006772^{+0.000018}_{-0.000021}$
$b' = a \cos i / R_{\star} [R_{\star}]$	$0.5565^{+0.0060}_{-0.0063}$
Transit width [d]	$0.21777^{+0.00023}_{-0.00021}$
T_0 - 2450000 [HJD]	4967.27599+0.00019 -0.00020
Orbital period P [d]	$4.8854830^{+0.0000042}_{-0.0000041}$
RV K' [m s ⁻¹ d ^{1/3}]	$73.1^{+6.7}_{-6.8}$
\sqrt{e} cos ω	$0.0376^{+0.0143}_{-0.0153}$
\sqrt{e} sin ω	$-0.0016_{-0.0022}^{+0.0026}$
$c_1 = 2u_1 + u_2$	$0.922_{-0.011}^{+0.011}$
$c_2 = u_1 - 2u_2$	$-0.143_{-0.022}^{+0.022}$
Occultation depth	$0.000044^{+0.000005}_{-0.000006}$
Deduced stellar parameters	
u_1	$0.344_{-0.007}^{+0.007}$
u_2	$0.232_{-0.009}^{+0.009}$
Density $\rho_{\rm star}$ [g cm ⁻³]	$0.231_{-0.004}^{+0.004}$
Surface gravity $\log g_*$ [cgs]	$3.960^{+0.005}_{-0.005}$
Mass M_{\star} [M_{\odot}]	$1.36_{-0.03}^{+0.03}$
Radius R_{\star} [R_{\odot}]	$2.02_{-0.02}^{+0.02}$
Asteroseismic parameters	
Density ρ_{star} [g cm ⁻³]	0.252 ± 0.003
Mass M_{star} [M_{\odot}]	1.359 ± 0.031
Radius R_{star} [R_{\odot}]	1.966 ± 0.013
Stellar age [Gyr]	3.3 ± 0.4
Surface gravity $\log g_*$ [cgs] Deduced planet parameters	3.984 ± 0.006
RV $K \,[\mathrm{m\ s}^{-1}]$	$43.1_{-4.0}^{+3.9}$
b_{transit} [R_{\star}]	$0.557^{+0.006}_{-0.006}$
$b_{\text{occultation}}$ [R_{\star}]	$0.556_{-0.006}^{+0.006}$
$T_{\text{occultation}}$ - 2450000 [HJD]	$4974.6086^{+0.0040}_{-0.0028}$
Orbital semi-major axis a [AU]	$0.06246^{+0.00046}_{-0.00046}$
Orbital inclination <i>i</i> [deg]	$85.18_{-0.074}^{+0.076}$
Orbital eccentricity e	$0.001_{-0.001}^{+0.001}$
Argument of periastron ω [deg]	$357.1^{+4.4}_{-2.8}$
Density ρ_P [g cm ⁻³]	$0.14_{-0.01}^{+0.01}$
Surface gravity $log g_P$ [cgs]	$2.62_{-0.04}^{+0.04}$
Mass M_P [M_{Jup}]	$0.443^{+0.041}_{-0.047}$ -0.042
Radius R_P [R_{Jup}]	$1.614^{+0.015}_{-0.015}$ -0.015

short-cadence data to remove temporal linear trends. Stellar and instrumental induced modulation on the photometry was then removed by pre-whitening the raw data using Period04 software (Lenz & Breger [2005\)](#page-6-0). This step allowed us to filter out all frequencies below the orbital frequency and those that are not connected with the planetary orbit period.

3. RESULTS

We present Kepler-7 b's system parameters in Table 1. Each value is the median of the marginal posterior distribution obtained for the relevant parameter. Error bars are the corresponding 68.3% probability interval. Figure [1](#page-4-0) shows the phase-folded transit photometry of Kepler-7 b.

Our results confirm the very low density of Kepler-7 b. The external constraint from asteroseismology modeling causes the planet radius to significantly increase as compared to the discovery paper (Latham et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0). Our MCMC analysis

yields a planetary radius of $R_P = 1.61 \pm 0.02 R_{Jup}$ and a mass of $M_P = 0.44 \pm 0.04 M_{Jup}$, which gives a surprisingly low density of $\rho_P = 0.14 \pm 0.01$ g cm⁻³. Interestingly, Kepler-7b's properties are close to WASP-17b's (Anderson et al. [2010\)](#page-6-0), the least dense transiting planet discovered so far with $\rho_P = 0.12 \pm 0.06$ g cm⁻³. Both planets are of similar mass and orbit evolved stars.

We find a marginal orbital eccentricity of $e = 0.001 \pm 0.001$.

We determine an occultation depth of 44 ± 5 ppm. The corresponding phase-folded light curve is shown on bottom panel of Figure [2.](#page-4-0)

Finally we find an orbital phase curve of 42 ± 4 ppm amplitude that is consistent with the occultation depth and phased with Kepler-7 b's transits and occultations. We model the phase curve assuming a Lambert law phase-dependent flux ratio (Sobolev [1975\)](#page-6-0):

$$
\phi(\alpha) = A_g \left(\frac{R_p}{a}\right)^2 \left[\frac{\sin(\alpha) + (\pi - \alpha)\cos(\alpha)}{\pi}\right]
$$

where α is the orbital phase, A_g is the geometric albedo, R_p is the planetary radius, and *a* is the orbital semimajor axis. A value of $A_g = 0.31 \pm 0.03$ is deduced from the phase curve using R_p and a values from Table 1. Although a perfectly reflecting Lambertian sphere has $A_g = \frac{2}{3}$, the Lambert law can represent a scatterer which takes on a lower A_{g} value for an atmosphere with some absorption (Seager [2010\)](#page-6-0). The resulting light curve is shown on top panel of Figure [2,](#page-4-0) with the bestfit model superimposed. No ellipsoidal variations are detected $(-1 \pm 3$ ppm).

4. THE HIGH ALBEDO OF KEPLER-7 b

If directly related to the albedo, the measured occultation depth of 44 \pm 5 ppm translates to a 0.32 \pm 0.03 geometric albedo as measured by *Kepler*. The *Kepler* bandpass encompasses a large range of wavelengths, from 0.4 to 0.9 *μ*m. Albedo values reported in this paper for Kepler-7 b are averaged over this spectral domain. For the highly irradiated exoplanets, a significant part of the thermal emission leaks into the red end of this bandpass, making the occultation depth larger. With a 4.9 day long orbit, Kepler-7 b would not be expected to be one of the hottest giant planets found to date. However, its host star with a $T_{\text{eff}} = 5933 \text{ K}$, 1.4 M_{\odot} , and 2.0 R_{\odot} , is about 4.5 times more luminous than the Sun. These compensating factors make it necessary to estimate the relative contributions of thermal emission and reflected light to the occultation depth.

The possible relative contributions of thermal emission and reflected light to the observed flux in the *Kepler* bandpass are shown in Figure [3.](#page-5-0) The thermal emission is represented by an effective brightness temperature (T_B) , and the reflected light by the geometric albedo (A_g) . Also shown are the dayside equilibrium temperatures corresponding to atmosphere with efficient versus inefficient energy redistribution (dotted lines). The degeneracy between T_B and A_g is evident. The observed occultation depth allows for geometric albedos as high as 0.35 for $T_B = 1500$ K in the *Kepler* bandpass. On the other hand, allowing for a zero geometric albedo requires an extremely high T_B of 2500–2600 K, which is \sim 400 K higher than the maximum equilibrium temperature. Completely breaking the degeneracy between A_g and T_B requires additional observations in the visible and near-infrared. However, tentative constraints can be placed on the various sources of opacity and scattering using a physical model atmosphere.

Figure 1. Top: Kepler-7 b phase-folded transit light curve with best-fit model superimposed. Binned per 15 minutes. Error bars are smaller than the plotted data points. Bottom: residuals.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

Figure 2. Top: Kepler-7 b orbital phase curve with best-fit model (see text) superimposed. Transits are omitted. Bottom: Kepler-7 b phase-folded occultation light curve with best-fit model. Binned per 15 minutes.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

The thermal and reflection spectra of the planetary atmosphere depend on the various atomic and molecular opacities, sources of scattering, and the temperature structure, all of which constitute a large number of free parameters underconstrained by the single data point available. Nevertheless, the precise measurement allows us to constrain regions of the parameter space, given plausible assumptions about energy balance and atmospheric chemistry. We use the exoplanet atmospheric modeling and retrieval technique of Madhusudhan & Seager [\(2009\)](#page-6-0). The model computes line-by-line radiative transfer in a plane-parallel atmosphere, with the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium and global energy balance, and parameterized chemical composition and temperature structure. A key aspect of the model is the flexibility to explore a wide range of molecular abundances and pressure–temperature (*P*–*T*) profiles, without any assumptions of chemical or radiative equilibrium. We consider all the major sources of opacity in the visible and near-infrared: H_2-H_2 collision-induced absorption, Na, K, Rayleigh scattering, TiO and VO (with a condensation curve), H_2O , CO, CH₄, and CO₂ (Christiansen et al. [2010;](#page-6-0) Madhusudhan & Seager [2010,](#page-6-0) [2011\)](#page-6-0). However, given the availability of the *Kepler* observation alone in the present work, the number of free parameters far exceed the single data point. Consequently, we explore a range of 1-D *P*–*T* profiles and chemical

Figure 3. Model-independent domain of allowed geometric albedo A_g (reflected light) and brightness temperature T_B (thermal emission) combinations, constrained by the observed *Kepler* occultation depth. The shaded area represents the 1*σ* confidence domain found using a Kurucz spectrum for the star and blackbody emission for the planet. The dotted lines depict the equilibrium temperature assuming full redistribution (left) and no redistribution (right).

Figure 4. Model spectra of Kepler-7 b in the *Kepler* bandpass. Each panel corresponds to each scenario (1 to 3, left to right) described in Section [4.](#page-3-0) The *Kepler* data point is shown in red. In each panel, the black solid curve shows the net emergent spectrum. The net emergent flux integrated in the *Kepler* bandpass is shown in green. The cyan and purple curves show the contributions of thermal emission and reflected light to the emergent spectrum. The black dashed line shows a blackbody spectrum of the planet at 2550 K, divided by a Kurucz model spectrum for the star. The relevant pressure–temperature profiles are shown for each atmospheric model in the insets, with the TiO condensation curve in dotted line.

(A color version of this figure is available in the online journal.)

composition to explore possible explanations of the observed flux in the *Kepler* bandpass.

Our results indicate that a high geometric albedo is the most plausible explanation of the observed eclipse depth. The major sources of opacity in the *Kepler* bandpass are atomic Na and K, and molecular TiO and VO where temperatures are higher than the condensation temperature, Rayleigh scattering, and any possible contribution due to clouds and*/*or hazes. Consequently, we examine the constraints on each of these opacity sources due to the *Kepler* point. We assume all other molecules (H_2O) , CO, CH_4 , and CO_2) to be in chemical equilibrium, assuming solar abundances. We find that the observed *Kepler* flux can be explained under three scenarios, shown in the three panels of Figure 4.

In a first scenario, we consider a model where all the major absorbers, i.e., Na, K, TiO, and VO, are in chemical equilibrium with solar abundances, and we nominally vary only the *P*–*T* profile to find a close match to the data. In this scenario,

we find that the observed flux cannot be accounted for by thermal emission and Rayleigh scattering alone, both of which together provide a net flux contrast of ∼20 ppm, with Rayleigh scattering contributing a geometric albedo of 0.15. In principle, one might expect that hotter temperature profiles might lead to greater thermal emission which could contribute to the observed flux. However, warmer *P*–*T* profiles intercept longer absorption columns of TiO*/*VO which further lower the emergent thermal flux. Therefore, under the assumption of solar abundances of all species, excess flux in the form of reflected light, potentially from clouds and*/*or hazes, is required to explain the observed *Kepler* flux, implying a net geometric albedo of ∼0*.*3.

In a second scenario, we investigate if thermal emission can be a predominant contributor to the observed *Kepler* flux. The strongest absorber in the redder regions of the *Kepler* bandpass, where thermal emission dominates, is TiO, followed by VO. As discussed in the equilibrium scenario above, TiO absorption precludes high brightness temperatures due to thermal emission

in the *Kepler* bandpass. Conversely, we find that thermal emission can contribute substantially to the observed flux, if TiO and VO are assumed to be depleted by over $10³$ in the lower atmosphere ($P \sim 0.1$ –1 bar). Such a scenario just manages to fit the data at the lower error bar, with equal contributions from thermal emission and Rayleigh scattering (with a geometric albedo of 0.17). However, two problems confront this scenario. First, requiring such a high thermal flux implies that all the stellar incident flux on the dayside of the planet must be reradiated on the same side, with almost no energy recirculation to the night side. The *Kepler* phase curve of Kepler-7 b, shown in Figure [2,](#page-4-0) could support this scenario if all the flux were purely due to thermal emission. However, because there is still a \gtrsim 50% contribution due to Rayleigh scattering required to explain the net flux at occultation, the *Kepler* phase curve cannot be interpreted as solely due to a large day–night temperature contrast. Furthermore, at the high pressures ($P \sim 1$ bar) probed by the *Kepler* bandpass, the temperature should be homogenized across day and night side, exhibiting small thermal orbital phase variation. Second, at the high temperature of ∼2600 K probed by *Kepler*, it seems unlikely that TiO*/*VO can be depleted by factors of 10³ below chemical equilibrium at \sim 1 bar pressure, although the difficulty of sustaining TiO/VO at high altitudes ($P \leq 10^{-3}$ bar) has been reported in literature (Spiegel et al. 2009).

In our final scenario, we investigate if Rayleigh scattering alone can contribute dominantly to the observed flux. The strongest absorbers of Rayleigh scattered light, as shown in the first two panels of Figure [4,](#page-5-0) are atomic Na and K. We find that if we allow depletion of Na and K by a factor of 10–100 of the equilibrium composition, the observed flux in the *Kepler* bandpass can be fit extremely well with a geometric albedo of 0.32 from Rayleigh scattering alone along with a nominal contribution due to thermal emission. The resultant model also yields efficient day–night energy circulation.

We finally note that the large planetary radius and very low density would make appealing the hypothesis of Kepler-7 b being a very young planet that would still be in its cooling phase. However, the asteroseismology results presented in Section [2](#page-2-0) produce a stellar age of 3.3 ± 0.4 Gyr, which argues for a planetary evolutionary state beyond the collapsing phase (Fortney et al. 2005), and a negligible contribution from internal heat to the occultation depth.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Given the three scenarios described in Section [4,](#page-3-0) we interpret the *Kepler* observed planetary flux as due to a combination of Rayleigh scattering and the presence of clouds or a haze layer (e.g., Lecavelier Des Etangs et al. 2008; Sing et al. 2009) in the atmosphere of Kepler-7 b, yielding an averaged geometric albedo of ∼0*.*3 in the *Kepler* bandpass. A detailed cloud or haze model is beyond the scope of the present work. Our results motivate new modeling and observational efforts to investigate the nature of clouds and hazes that might be possible in a low gravity atmosphere such as that of Kepler-7 b.

Authors thank the *Kepler* Giant Planet Working Group, P.-O. Quirion, M. Holman, D. Ragozzine, J. Jenkins, J.-M. Désert, B. Benneke, and D. Latham for useful discussions. We thank E. Dunham, W. Cochran, and the referee for helpful comments that improved the manuscript. B.-O.D. acknowledges support from the Swiss NSF and thanks kindly R. Stewart, P.L. Vidale, and A. Verhoef from the University of Reading (UK), where part of this work has been carried out. M.G. is Belgian FNRS Research Associate. We acknowledge support from NASA

Kepler Participating Science Program NNX10AD67G. Funding for this Discovery Mission is provided by NASA's Science Mission Directorate.

Facilities: Kepler, MMT

REFERENCES

- Alonso, R., Deeg, H. J., Kabath, P., & Rabus, M. 2010, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/139/4/1481) [139, 1481](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010AJ....139.1481A)
- Alonso, R., Guillot, T., Mazeh, T., Aigrain, S., Alapini, A., Barge, P., Hatzes, A., & Pont, F. 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912102) [506, 353](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009A&A...506..353A)
- Anderson, D. R., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/709/1/159) [709, 159](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...709..159A)
- Berdyugina, S. V., Berdyugin, A. V., Fluri, D. M., & Piirola, V. 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/728/1/L6) [728,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...728L...6B) [L6](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...728L...6B)
- Charbonneau, D., Noyes, R. W., Korzennik, S. G., Nisenson, P., Jha, S., Vogt, S. S., & Kibrick, R. I. 1999, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/312234) [522, L145](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999ApJ...522L.145C)
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/713/2/L164) [713, L164](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...713L.164C)
- Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., Arentoft, T., Brown, T. M., Gilliland, R. L., Kjeldsen, H., Borucki, W. J., & Koch, D. 2008, Commun. Asteroseismol., [157, 266](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008CoAst.157..266C)
- Christiansen, J. L., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/710/1/97) [710, 97](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...710...97C)
- Collier Cameron, A., Horne, K., Penny, A., & Leigh, C. 2002, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2002.05084.x) [330,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002MNRAS.330..187C) [187](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002MNRAS.330..187C)
- Cowan, N. B., & Agol, E. 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/729/1/54) [729, 54](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...729...54C)
- Deming, D., & Seager, S. 2009, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08556) [462, 301](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009Natur.462..301D)
- Desert, J.-M., et al. 2011, arXiv[:1102.0555](http://www.arxiv.org/abs/1102.0555)
- Fortney, J. J., Marley, M. S., Hubickyj, O., Bodenheimer, P., & Lissauer, J. J. 2005, [Astron. Nachr.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/asna.200510465) [326, 925](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005AN....326..925F)
- Gilliland, R. L., McCullough, P. R., Nelan, E. P., Brown, T. M., Charbonneau, D., Nutzman, P., Christensen-Dalsgaard, J., & Kjeldsen, H. 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/726/1/2) [726,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...726....2G) $\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$ $\overline{2}$
- Gilliland, R. L., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/713/2/L160) [713, L160](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...713L.160G)
- Gillon, M., et al. 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912231) [506, 359](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009A&A...506..359G)
- Gillon, M., et al. 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913507) [511, A3](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...511A...3G)
- Huber, D., Stello, D., Bedding, T. R., Chaplin, W. J., Arentoft, T., Quirion, P.-O., & Kjeldsen, H. 2009, [Commun. Asteroseismol.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1553/cia160s74) [160, 74](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009CoAst.160...74H)
- Jenkins, J. M., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/713/2/L120) [713, L120](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...713L.120J)
- Kane, S. R., & Gelino, D. M. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/724/1/818) [724, 818](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...724..818K)
- Karkoschka, E. 1994, [Icarus,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/icar.1994.1139) [111, 174](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1994Icar..111..174K)
- Kipping, D. M., & Bakos, G. Á. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/730/1/50) [730, 50](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...730...50K)
- Koch, D. G., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/713/2/L79) [713, L79](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...713L..79K)
- Latham, D. W., et al. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/713/2/L140) [713, L140](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...713L.140L)
- Lecavelier Des Etangs, A., Pont, F., Vidal-Madjar, A., & Sing, D. 2008, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:200809388) [481, L83](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008A&A...481L..83L)
- Leigh, C., Cameron, A. C., Horne, K., Penny, A., & James, D. 2003a, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2003.06901.x) [344, 1271](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.344.1271L)
- Leigh, C., Collier Cameron, A., Udry, S., Donati, J.-F., Horne, K., James, D., & Penny, A. 2003b, [MNRAS,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2966.2003.07276.x) [346, L16](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003MNRAS.346L..16L)
- Lenz, P., & Breger, M. 2005, [Commun. Asteroseismol.,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1553/cia146s53) [146, 53](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2005CoAst.146...53L)
- Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/707/1/24) [707, 24](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...707...24M)
- Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/725/1/261) [725, 261](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...725..261M)
- Madhusudhan, N., & Seager, S. 2011, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/729/1/41) [729, 41](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2011ApJ...729...41M)
- Mandel, K., & Agol, E. 2002, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/345520) [580, L171](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2002ApJ...580L.171M)
- Marley, M. S., Gelino, C., Stephens, D., Lunine, J. I., & Freedman, R. 1999, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/306881) [513, 879](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/1999ApJ...513..879M)
- Press, W. H., Teukolsky, S. A., Vetterling, W. T., & Flannery, B. P. 1992, Numerical Recipes in FORTRAN. The Art of Scientific Computing (2nd ed.; Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press)
- Rodler, F., Kürster, M., & Henning, T. 2008, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20079175) [485, 859](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008A&A...485..859R)
- Rodler, F., Kürster, M., & Henning, T. 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913627) [514, A23](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...514A..23R)
- Rowe, J. F., et al. 2008, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/591835) [689, 1345](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ApJ...689.1345R)
- Seager, S. 2010, Exoplanet Atmospheres: Physical Processes (Princeton, NJ: Princeton Univ. Press)
- Seager, S., & Sasselov, D. D. 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/309088) [537, 916](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...537..916S)
- Seager, S., Whitney, B. A., & Sasselov, D. D. 2000, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/309292) [540, 504](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2000ApJ...540..504S)
- Sing, D. K., Désert, J.-M., Lecavelier Des Etangs, A., Ballester, G. E., Vidal-Madjar, A., Parmentier, V., Hebrard, G., & Henry, G. W. 2009, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912776) [505,](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009A&A...505..891S) [891](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009A&A...505..891S)
- Snellen, I. A. G., de Mooij, E. J. W., & Albrecht, S. 2009, [Nature,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08045) [459, 543](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009Natur.459..543S)
- Snellen, I. A. G., de Mooij, E. J. W., & Burrows, A. 2010, [A&A,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200913338) [513, A76](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010A&A...513A..76S)
- Sobolev, V. V. 1975, International Series of Monographs in Natural Philosophy, Vol. 76 (Oxford: Pergamon)
- Spiegel, D. S., Silverio, K., & Burrows, A. 2009, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/1487) [699, 1487](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2009ApJ...699.1487S)
- Sudarsky, D., Burrows, A., & Hubeny, I. 2003, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/374331) [588, 1121](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2003ApJ...588.1121S)
- Welsh, W. F., Orosz, J. A., Seager, S., Fortney, J. J., Jenkins, J., Rowe, J. F., Koch, D., & Borucki, W. J. 2010, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/2041-8205/713/2/L145) [713, L145](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2010ApJ...713L.145W)
- Winn, J. N., Holman, M. J., Shporer, A., Fernández, J., Mazeh, T., Latham, D. W., Charbonneau, D., & Everett, M. 2008a, [AJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1088/0004-6256/136/1/267) [136, 267](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008AJ....136..267W)
- Winn, J. N., et al. 2008b, [ApJ,](http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/589737) [683, 1076](http://adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2008ApJ...683.1076W)