

MIT Open Access Articles

Poly(Pyridinium Phenylene)s: Water-Soluble N-Type Polymers

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Izuhara, Daisuke, and Timothy M. Swager. "Poly(Pyridinium Phenylene)s: Water-Soluble N-Type Polymers." Journal of the American Chemical Society 131.49 (2009): 17724–17725.

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1021/ja906513u

Publisher: American Chemical Society (ACS)

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/74232

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of Use: Article is made available in accordance with the publisher's policy and may be subject to US copyright law. Please refer to the publisher's site for terms of use.



Poly(Pyridinium Phenylene)s: Water Soluble N-Type Polymers

Journal:	Journal of the American Chemical Society			
Manuscript ID:	ja-2009-06513u.R1			
Manuscript Type:	Communication			
Date Submitted by the Author:				
Complete List of Authors:	Izuhara, Daisuke; Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Chemistry Swager, Timothy; Mass. Inst. of Tech., Chemistry			



Poly(Pyridinium Phenylene)s: Water Soluble N-Type Polymers

Daisuke Izuhara and Timothy M. Swager*

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

RECEIVED DATE (automatically inserted by publisher); E-mail: tswager@mit.edu

Conjugated polymer semiconductors can be fabricated over large areas by low-cost solution processing and hence offer economic advantages in the production of photovoltaic cells, light emitting diodes,² and field-effect transistors.³ Although many varieties of high performance p-type polymers are available, stable processable n-type polymers remain largely elusive.⁵ Few studies have been reported concerning heterojunctions between p/n-type polymers for photovoltaic cells, mainly due to the limited high electron affinity (EA) n-type polymers, and the polymer photovoltaic devices have focused heavily on acceptor molecules, such as methanofullerene phenyl- C_{61} -butyric-acid-methyl-ester (PCBM, EA = 4.2 eV). ^{1b} Access to conjugated high electron affinity polymers remains a critical challenge and one of the most successful strategies has been the construction of conjugated polymers based upon N-heterocyclic electron-deficient aromatics. 5a,7 However, development of nitrogen-containing polyheterocycles with high electron affinities and solubility in common solvents has met limited success.8

We report herein the syntheses and electron-accepting properties of a new class of water-solution processable n-type conjugated polymers (P1, P2, P3) with pyridinium-phenylene units that exhibit reversible electroactivity, useful electron affinities, and high electrical conductivity. In these materials the electron-deficient pyridinium rings are produced by an intramolecular cyclization that provides low LUMO energies and a relatively planar structure for extended π -electron delocalization. We further demonstrate electron transfer quenching in bilayer donor/accepter polymers heterojunctions⁸⁻⁹ with the well-known p-type material, poly(2-methoxy-5-(2-ethylhexyloxy)-1,4-phenylene-vinylene) (MEH-PPV).¹⁰

Scheme 1 shows the synthetic routes to **P1** and copolymers **P2** and **P3**. We first synthesize a pyridyl precursor-polymer that is then subjected to intramolecular nucleophilic substitution reactions to form the pyridinium rings. A symmetrical monomer **3** provides a head-to-head skeleton that was expected to display reversible viologen-like redox behavior. The boronation of 2,5-bis(2-(*tert*-butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl)-1,4-benzenedibromide (1) gives the corresponding diboronic acid bis(pinacol) ester (2). Head-to-head monomer **3**, 1,4-bis[2-(5-bromopyridyl)]-2,5-bis(2-(*tert*-butyl dimethylsilyloxy)ethyl) benzene, was synthesized by regioselective Suzuki coupling of **2** with 2,5-dibromopyridine in 58% yield. Yamamoto, Suzuki, and Stille coupling polymerizations yielded high-molecular-weight siloxyethyl-substituted poly(pyridine phenylene)s, **P4**, **P5**, and **P6**, in 65-95%

Scheme 1. Synthetic Routes to P1, P2, and P3.




Table 1. Optical and Electrochemical Properties of P1, P2 and P3.

polymer	λmax (nm)	λonset (nm)	Eg ^a (eV)	E red ^b (V)	E onset ^b (V)	EA ^c (eV)	IP ^d (eV)
P1	431	485	2.56	-0.56, -1.27	-0.40	4.00	6.56
P2	376	420	2.95	-0.71, -0.90	-0.50	3.90	6.85
P3	483	575	2.16	-0.59, -0.99	-0.26	4.14	6.30

^a Eg: Band gap estimated from the band edge (λ_{onset}) of the absorption spectra. ^b E_{red}, E_{onset}: Formal and onset reduction potentials (vs SCE). ^c EA: Electron affinity obtained based on EA = E_{onset} + 4.4 (eV). ^d IP: Ionization potential calculated from IP = EA + Eg (eV). ^e

yield.¹² All the precursor polymers were soluble in common organic solvents (e.g. CHCl₃, THF) and thionyl chloride induced quaternizative cyclization gave polyelectrolytes **P1**, **P2**, and **P3**.¹³ The polyelectrolytes are only soluble in highly polar solvents, such as water and methanol, and this feature allows for the facile formation of multi-layer polymer structures by simple spincoating on top of polymers with orthogonal solubilities.

The absorption and emission spectra of **P1**, **P2**, and **P3** (Figure 1a-b) are significantly red-shifted relative to their respective precursors. This is attributed to the two ethylene bridges enforcing a planar conformation of the bis-pyridinium-phenylene segment. Thin films of **P3** displayed the smallest Eg (2.16 eV) as a result of the donor-accepter type structure as well as less steric hindrance.

The electron affinities (EA) of **P1**, **P2**, and **P3** are estimated at 3.90-4.14 eV from the onset reduction potential in cyclic voltammetry (CV) (Table 1).^{12,14} Interestingly, the EA values are higher than those estimated in the same method for most conventional polyheterocycles, ^{7a} and are comparable to well known electron transporters PCBM (4.2 eV)^{1b} or polybenzimidazobenzophenanthroline (BBL) (4.0 eV).⁸




Figure 1. (a) UV-vis absorption spectra of P1-3; thin films (continuous line), water or methanol solutions (dashed line). (b) PL emission spectra of **P1-3** in water or methanol solutions. (c) Cyclic voltammogram, in-situ conductivity measurement, and (d) Spectroelectrochemistry of P1 thin film.




Figure 2. (left) UV-vis absorption and (right) PL emission spectra $(\lambda_{ex} = 507 \text{ nm})$ of thin films of MEH-PPV, **P1**, and a bilayer of MEH-PPV/P1.

Additionally, P1, P2, and P3 exhibit reversible electrochemical behavior as revealed in Figure 1c for P1. The in-situ conductivity measurements of P1 thin films (Figure 1c) on interdigitated microelectrodes reveal a narrow window of high conductivity $(\sigma_{\text{max}} = 36 \text{ S/cm at } -0.96 \text{ V})^{15}$ Conductivity measurements on thin films of P1 were made difficult by the need to reduce with THF solutions of Na⁺-naphthalide. Less than optimal redox states and decreased film quality from the solvent exposure, resulted in $\sigma = 1.1$ S/cm, which lower than our *in situ* determination. The maximum in situ conductivities of P3 ($\sigma_{max} = 160$ S/cm) rivals values observed of well-known p-type poly(3-alkyl thiophene)s and **P2** exhibits $\sigma_{max} = 9$ S/cm. The *in situ* conductivity profile indicates that the 'mixed valence' state is conductive and that the neutral (fully reduced) material is insulating. 12 We investigated the reduction of P1 thin films deposited onto ITO-coated glass electrodes by spectroelectrochemistry (Figure 1d). The absorption spectra show a decrease of the original band-gap transition and the build-up of intra-gap energy states, which matches well to negative polaron-bipolaron model for charge delocalized π platforms. 10

Optical absorption and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of thin films of MEH-PPV, P1, and a MEH-PPV/P1 bilayer are shown in Figure 2. It is noteworthy that high quality bilayer films can be obtained by coating a water solution of P1 on the top of a MEH-PPV thin film prepared from the chloroform solution, since MEH-

PPV neither dissolves nor swells in water. The absorption spectrum of bilayer heterojunction is a superposition of those of the two polymer layers, indicating no detectable ground state interaction at the heterojunction interface. Figure 2b shows how the characteristic PL emission spectrum of MEH-PPV ($\lambda_{em} = 585$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{excitation}} = 507 \text{ nm}$) is strongly quenched (93%) in the bilayer structure with P1 layer (40 nm). These results clearly indicate that the electron transfer occurs from the MEH-PPV (EA/IP = 2.9/5.1 $(eV)^{8,10}$ to the **P1** (EA/IP = 4.0/6.6 eV). Preliminary studies on bilayer organic photovoltaic devices between P1 and poly(3-hexyl thiophene) gave large open circuit voltages (V_{oc}= 1.2 V) but low short circuit currents (7 µA/cm²). Field effect transistor devices of P1 were investigated in air and n-type behaviors with mobilities of 0.24 cm²/Vs at gate voltages of 5-15V and 3.4 cm²/Vs for gate voltages of 15-20V were observed (Figure S10). Significant experimentation is needed to understand the role of counterions in these applications.


In conclusion, we report a promising class of water and/or methanol soluble electron-accepting (n-type) conjugated polymers. These materials display high EAs, reversible redox behavior, high conductivities, good electron mobilities, and efficient quenching of acceptor polymers.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation DMR-0706408 and TORAY Industries. The authors thank Dr. Changsik Song and Dr. Moon-Ho Ham for technical discussions.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details and characterization of the products. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) (a) Gunes, S.; Neugebauer, H.; Sariciftci, N. S. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1324. (b) Thompson, B. C.; Frechét, J. M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 58
- (2) Kraft, A.; Grimsdale, A. C.; Holmes, A. B. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 402.
- (3) (a) Allard, S.; Forster, M.; Souharce, B.; Thiem, H.; Scherf, U. Angew. Chem.Int. Ed. **2008**, 47, 4070. (b) Murphy, A. R.; Frechét, J. M. J. Chem. Rev. **2007**, 107, 1066.
- (4) (a) McCullough, R. D. Adv. Mater. 1998, 10, 93. (b) McCulloch, I.; Heeney, M.; Bailey, C.; Genevicius, K.; MacDonald, I.; Shkunov, M.; Sparrowe, D.; Tierney, S.; Wagner, R.; Zhang, W.; Chabinyc, M. L.; Kline, R. J.; McGehee, M. D.; Toney, M. F. *Nat. Mater.* **2006**, *5*, 328. (c) Usta, H.; Lu, G.; Facchetti, A.; Marks, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**,
- (5) (a) Babel, A.; Jenekhe, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 13656. (b) Zhan, X.; Tan, Z.; Domercq, B.; An, Z.; Zhang, X.; Barlow, S.; Li, Y.; Zhu, D.; Kippelen, B.; Marder, S. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7246. (c) Letizia, J. A.; Salata, M. R.; Tribout, C. M.; Facchetti, A.; Ratner, M. A.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 9679. (d) Usta, H.; Facchetti, A.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 8580. (6) (a) Granstrom, M.; Petritsch, K.; Arias, A. C.; Lux, A.; Andersson, M.
- R.; Friend, R. H. *Nature (London)* **1998**, 395, 257. (b) Jenekhe, S. A.; Yi, Appl. Phys. Lett. 2000, 77, 2635. (c) Kietzke, T.; Egbe, D. A. M.; Hrhold, H-H.; Neher, D. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 4018. (7) (a) Kulkarni, A. P.; Tonzola, C. J.; Babel, A.; Jenekhe. S. A.; *Chem.*
- Mater. 2004, 16, 4556, and references therein. (b) Zhu, Y.; Yen, C.-T. Jenekhe. S. A.; Chen, W-C. Macromol. Rapid Commun., 2004, 25, 1829.
- (8) While EA 4.0 eV has been reported for BBL, it is noteworthy that BBL was processed by strong acid, rather than common solvents: Alam M. M.; Jenekhe. S. A. Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 4647
- (9) (a) Sariciftci, N. S.; Smilowitz, L.; Heeger, A. J.; Wudl, F. Science 1992, 258, 1474. (b) Alam M. M.; Jenekhe. S. A. J. Phys. Chem. B, 2001, 105, 2479.
- (10) Li, Y.; Cao, Y.; Gao, J.; Wang, D.; Yu, G.; Heeger, A. J. Synth. Met. **1999**, 99, 243
- (11) Michaelis, M.; Hill, E. S. J. Gen. Physiol., 1933, 16, 859.
- (12) See the Supporting Information.(13) Zhang, S-W.; Swager, T. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 3420.
- (14) Li, Y.; Ding, J.; Day, M.; Tao, Y.; Lu, J.; D'iorio, M. Chem. Mater. **2004**, 16, 2165
- (15) Kittlesen, G. P.; White, H. S.; Wrighton, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc., **1984**, 106, 7389.
- (16) (a) Bredas, J. L.; Street, G. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 309. (b) Heeger, A. J.; Kivelson, S.; Schrieffer, J. R.; Su, W.-P. Rev. Mod. Phys.



Abstract: Poly(pyridinium phenylene) conjugated polymers are synthesized by a cross-coupling and cyclization sequence. These polyelectrolytes are freely soluble in water and display high degrees of electroactivity. When reduced (n-doped) these materials display *in situ* conductivities as high as 160 S/cm. The high conductivity is attributed to the planar structure that is enforced by the cyclic structures of the polymer. The electron affinities are compared to PCBM, a C₆₀ based n-type material. We find that these polymers undergo excited state electron transfer reactions with other donor conjugated polymers and hence may find utility in photovoltaic devices.

Poly(Pyridinium Phenylene)s: Water Soluble N-Type Polymers

Daisuke Izuhara and Timothy M. Swager*

Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

tswager@mit.edu

General: All air and water sensitive synthetic manipulations were performed under an atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. 1,4-Dibromo-2,5bis(bromomethyl)benzene (**5** in Scheme S1), 1,4-Dibromo-2,5-divinylbenzene (**6**), and $(8)^{2}$ 1,4-dibromo-2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene and 2,5-bis(trimethylstannyl) thiophene³ were prepared according to literature methods. Anhydrous dichloromethane, acetonitrile, and tetrahydrofuran were obtained using a solvent purification system (Innovative Technologies). All other chemicals were of reagent grade and used as received. Column chromatography was performed using ultra pure silica gel (SILIYCYCLE, 40~63 µm). NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian Mercury-300 spectrometer, and all chemical shifts are referenced to residual CHCl₃. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained at the MIT Department of Chemistry Instrumentation Facility (DCIF) on a Bruker Daltronics APEX II3 Tesla FT-ICR-MS. Polymer molecular weights and polydispersity indexes were estimated by gel permeation chromatography (GPC) using a HP series 1100 GPC system. Polystyrene standards were used for calibration, and tetrahydrofuran (THF) was used as the eluent at a flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. Fluorescence spectra were measured on a SPEX Fluorolog-τ3 fluorimeter (model FL-321, 450 W Xenon lamp), the excitation wavelength used to obtain the fluorescence spectra corresponded to the absorption maxima in each case. Ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra were measured with an Agilent 8453 diode array spectrophotometer and corrected for background signal.

All electrochemical measurements were made with an AUTOLAB PGSTAT 20 potentiostat (Eco Chemie) using a quasi-internal Ag wire reference electrode (BioAnalytical Systems) submersed in 0.01 M AgNO₃/0.1 M *n*-Bu₄NPF₆ in anhydrous MeCN. Typical CVs were recorded using platinum button electrodes or indium tin oxide (ITO) coated glass electrodes as the working electrode and a platinum coil counter electrode. The ferrocene/ferrocenium (Fc/Fc⁺) redox couple was used as an external reference. Spectroelectrochemistry was performed under ambient laboratory conditions on polymer films cast onto ITO coated glass electrodes. The films were placed in a quartz cuvette in the path of the light source along with counter and reference electrodes as used above. In-situ conductivity measurements were carried out by using 5 μm interdigitated microelectrodes for conductivity studies by applying a cyclic potential. *In situ* conductivity measurements were carried out at a scan rate of 5 mV/s with a 40 mV offset potential between the two

working electrodes. Film thickness was determined with a Veeco Dektak 6M STYLUS PROFILER. The conductivity (σ) was then calculated by the equation $\sigma = (i_D/V_D)(D/nTL)$, where i_D is the drain current, V_D is the offset potential, and T is the polymer thickness; with a 5 μ m gap, D; n = 99 gaps; and 0.5 cm electrode length, L. The conductivity was then calculated from the value of the drain current by applying geometrical factors and also corrected with a known material, poly(3-octylthiophene) (230 S/cm).

Scheme S1. Syntheses of monomers 3, 9, and model compound 10.

Scheme S2. Redox behaviors of P1.

2,2'-(2,5-dibromo-1,4-phenylene)diethanol (7): 9-BBN (0.5 M in THF, 145 mL, 72.5 mmol) was added dropwise over 30 min to a stirred and cooled (0 °C) solution of 1,4-dibromo-2,5-divinylbenzene (8.68 g, 30.1 mmol) in THF (125 mL). The ice bath was removed, and stirring was continued for 10 h. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and quenched by dropwise addition of MeOH (50 mL). Aqueous NaOH (2 M, 250 mL) and 30% H_2O_2 (50 mL) were poured into the stirred mixture. Stirring was continued for 2 h, and the mixture was extracted with Et_2O . The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified through column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc=3/1) to give compound 7 as a white solid (7.66 g, 76%). M.p. 135-136°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 2.80 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 3.58 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 4.77 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 38.2, 60.0, 122.8, 134.6, 138.5. HR-MS (ESI): calcd for $C_{10}H_{12}Br_2O_2$ 344.9096 [M+Na]⁺; found 344.9094.

(2,2'-(2,5-dibromo-1,4-phenylene)bis(ethane-2,1-diyl))bis(oxy)bis(tert-butyl

dimethylsilane) (1): Compound 7 (4.22 g, 13 mmol) was dissolved in 12 mL of DMF and treated with imidazole (3.54 g, 52 mmol, 4.0 equiv.) and TBDMSCl (4.7 g, 31.2 mmol, 2.4 equiv) at 0 °C. The reaction solution was warmed to rt and stirred for 24 h and then diluted with CH₂Cl₂. This mixture was washed with water and brine. The organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated in vacuo to give compound 1 as a white solid (6.82 g, 95%). M.p. 50-51°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -0.03 (s, 12H), 0.87 (s, 18H), 2.89 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 3.79 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 4H), 7.43 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -5.3, 18.4, 26.0, 38.9, 62.2, 123.1, 135.3, 138.3. HR-MS (ESI): calcd for C₂₂H₄₀Br₂O₂Si₂ 573.0826 [M+Na]⁺; found 573.0835.

(2,2'-(2,5-bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolan-2-yl)-1,4-phenylene)

bis(ethane-2,1-diyl))bis(oxy)bis(tert-butyldimethylsilane) (2): Compound 1 (2.21 g, 4.0 mmol), pinacoldiboron ester (3.05 g, 12 mmol), KOAc (2.36 g, 24 mmol), and PdCl₂(dppf) (196 mg, 0.24 mmol) were dissolved in DMSO (40 mL) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was heated at 80 °C for 24 h, cooled and then diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was evaporated. The crude product was purified through column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc=8/1). Recrystallization from hexane gave compound 2 as a white solid (1.03 g, 40%). M.p. 133-134°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -0.02 (s, 12H), 0.87 (s, 18H), 1.33 (s, 24H), 3.08 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.62 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -5.1, 18.5, 25.0, 26.2, 38.9, 65.7, 83.6, 137.9, 138.1, 142.1. HR-MS (ESI): calcd for C₃₄H₆₄B₂O₆Si₂ 669.4346 [M+Na]⁺; found 669.4351.

6,6'-(2,5-bis(2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl)-1,4-phenylene)bis(3-bromo

pyridine) (3): Compound 2 (517 mg, 0.8 mmol), 2,5-dibromopyridine (758 mg, 3.2 mmol), CsCO₃ (1.30 g, 4.0 mmol), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (92 mg, 0.08 mmol) were dissolved in DME/H₂O (8/4 mL) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was heated at 100 °C for 24 h, cooled and then diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄), and the solvent was evaporated. Recrystallization from DME gave compound **3** as a white solid (328 mg, 58%). M.p. 140-142°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -0.08 (s, 12H), 0.80 (s, 18H), 2.94 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 3.71 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.31 (s, 2H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.89 (dd, J = 8.3 Hz, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 8.75 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -5.3, 18.4, 26.0, 26.1, 36.2, 64.4, 119.4, 125.6, 132.5, 135.1, 139.0, 139.8, 150.4, 158.1. HR-MS (ESI): calcd for $C_{32}H_{46}Br_2N_2O_2Si_2$ 705.1537 [M+H]⁺; found 705.1505.




Figure S1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of monomer 3 in CDCl₃.

2,2'-(2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)-1,4-phenylene)bis(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-

dioxaborolane) (9): 1,4-dibromo-2,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)benzene **8** (2.23 g, 6.0 mmol), pinacoldiboron ester (4.57 g, 18 mmol), KOAc (3.53 g, 36 mmol), and PdCl₂(dppf) (490 mg, 0.6 mmol) were dissolved in DMSO (40 mL) under argon atmosphere. The mixture was heated at 80 °C for 24 h, cooled and then diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, and then dried (Na₂SO₄), and evaporated. The crude product was purified through column chromatography (silica gel, hexane/EtOAc=8/1). Recrystallization from hexane gave compound **9** as a white solid (1.20 g, 43%). M.p. 211-212°C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 1.37 (s, 24H), 7.99 (s, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 24.7, 85.1, 123.9, 131.6, 131.7, 136.1. HR-MS (ESI): calcd for C₂₀H₂₆B₂F₆O₆ 489.1835 [M+Na]⁺; found 489.1827.




Figure S2. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of monomer 9 in CDCl₃.

Siloxyethyl-substituted poly(pyridine phenylene) P4: A solution of 3 (49 mg, 0.07 mmol), bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)nickel (0) (23 mg, 0.084 mmol), 1,5-cyclooctadiene (10 μL, 0.084 mmol), and 2,2'-bipyridine (13 mg, 0.084mmol) in 2 mL of anhydrous DMSO was stirred for 24 h at 60 °C under argon. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and precipitated into methanol. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, dried, dissolved in chloroform, and then re-precipitated from methanol. The resulting pale yellow precipitate was collected by centrifugation and dried (65% yield). 1 H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -0.04 (s, 12H), 0.83 (s, 18H), 3.10 (br, 4H), 3.81 (br, 4H), 7.47 (br, 2H), 7.66 (br, 2H), 8.07 (br, 2H), 9.03 (br, 2H).

Siloxyethyl-substituted poly(pyridine phenylene) copolymer P5: A solution of 3 (28 mg, 0.04 mmol), 9 (19 mg, 0.04 mmol), Pd(PPh₃)₄ (2.3 mg, 0.002 mmol), and Cs₂CO₃ (65 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 2/1 mL of DME and water was stirred for 24 h at 100 °C under argon. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and precipitated into methanol. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, dried, dissolved in chloroform, and then re-precipitated from methanol. The resulting pale yellow precipitate was collected by centrifugation and dried (92% yield). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -0.02 (s, 12H), 0.84 (s, 18H), 3.10 (br, 4H), 3.83 (br, 4H), 7.51 (s, 2H), 7.65 (br, 2H), 7.85 (br, 2H), 7.91 (s, 2H), 8.78 (s, 2H).

Siloxyethyl-substituted poly(pyridine phenylene) copolymer P6: A solution of 3 (28 mg, 0.04 mmol), 2,5-bis(trimethylstannyl) thiophene (16 mg, 0.04 mmol), and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (2.3 mg, 0.002 mmol) in 2 mL of DMSO was stirred for 24 h at 90 °C under argon. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and precipitated into methanol. The precipitate was collected by centrifugation, dried, dissolved in chloroform, and then reprecipitated from methanol. The resulting yellow precipitate was collected by centrifugation and dried (95% yield). H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -0.04 (s, 12H), 0.83 (s, 18H), 3.07(br, 4H), 3.79 (br, 4H), 7.43 (s, 2H), 7.49 (br, 2H), 7.54 (br, 2H), 8.03 (br, 2H), 9.04 (s, 2H).




Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectra of monomer 3 and polymers P4-6 in CDCl₃.

Cyclization of 3 by the reaction with thionyl chloride: To a solution of 3 (20 mg, 0.028 mmol) in 3 mL of acetonitrile, $SOCl_2$ (0.6 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The resulting solution was evaporated. Recrystallization from methanol and water gave model compound **10** as a pale yellow solid (80%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, D₂O): δ 3.41 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H),4.85 (t, 4H), 8.18 (s, 2H), 8.45 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.74 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 9.14 (s, 2H). HR-MS (ESI): calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}Br_2Cl_2N_2$ 220.9835 [M-2Cl]²⁺; found 220.9838.

Ethylene-bridged poly(pyridinium phenylene) P1: To a solution of **P4** (24 mg, 0.044 mmol) in 5 mL of acetonitrile, $SOCl_2$ (1.0 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The solution was evaporated, and then the resulting solid was washed with methanol and DMF to give polymer **P1** as a yellow solid (98%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, D₂O): δ 3.57 (br, 4H), 5.04 (br, 4H), 8.37 (br, 2H), 8.84 (br, 2H), 9.06 (br, 2H), 9.50 (br, 2H).

Phenylene-linked poly(pyridinium phenylene) copolymer P2: To a solution of **P5** (24 mg, 0.031 mmol) in 5 mL of acetonitrile, SOCl₂ (1.0 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The solution was evaporated, and then the resulting solid was dissolved in methanol, and then re-precipitated and washed with hexane/ethanol to give polymer **P2** as a yellow solid (85%). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 3.56 (br, 4H), 4.99 (br, 4H), 8.29 (br, 2H), 8.46 (br, 2H), 8.74 (br, 2H), 8.87 (br, 2H), 9.29 (br, 2H).

Thiophene-linked poly(pyridinium phenylene) copolymer P3: To a solution of **P6** (24 mg, 0.038 mmol) in 5 mL of acetonitrile, SOCl₂ (1.0 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The solution was evaporated, and then the resulting solid was dissolved in methanol, and then re-precipitated and washed with hexane/ethanol to give polymer **P3** as a red solid (99%). ¹ H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD/D₂O): δ 3.42 (br, 4H),7.86 (br, 2H), 8.19 (br, 2H), 8.52 (br, 2H), 8.78 (br, 2H), 9.26 (br, 2H).




Figure S4. ¹H NMR spectra of compound 10 and polymers P1-3 in D₂O or CD₃OD.




Figure S5. UV-vis absorption and PL emission spectra of P4-6 in THF.




Figure S6. UV-Vis absorption spectra (axis molar extinction coefficients per repeating unit) of **P1-3** in water or methanol solutions.




Figure S7. Cyclic voltammogram (left) and in-situ conductivity measurement (right) of **P1-6** thin films.




Figure S8. PL emission spectra ($\lambda_{ex} = 507$ nm) (left) single layer MEH-PPV and bilayer MEH-PPV/**P1** thin films, and film thickness dependence (right) of PL quenching.

Fabrication and characterization of polymer solar cells: The bilayer heterojunction solar cells were fabricated with a structure of ITO/PEDOT:PSS/P3HT(50 nm)/**P1**(50 nm)/Al. The ITO glass was pre-cleaned and modified by a thin layer of PEDOT:PSS (Bayer) which was spin-coated from a PEDOT:PSS aqueous solution on the ITO substrate. P3HT thin films were spin coated from a chloroform solution. On top of the resulting P3HT thin film a layer of **P1** was spin coated from 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol solution, followed by drying at 80 °C for 30 min. Then the metal cathode Al (65 nm) was deposited on the polymer layer by vacuum evaporation. The effective area of one cell was 1 mm². Under the illumination of an AM 1.5 solar simulator (100 mW/cm²), the bilayer heterojunction device exhibits an open circuit voltage (Voc) of 1.2 V, a short-circuit current density (Jsc) of 7 μA/cm², and a fill factor (FF) of 0.32. The power conversion efficiency (PCE) is 0.003%.




Figure S9. Current–voltage characteristics of a device with the structure ITO/PEDOT:PSS/P3HT(50 nm)/**P1**(50 nm)/Al in the dark and under the illumination of an AM 1.5 solar simulator, 100 mW/cm².

Fabrication and characterization of field effect transistors: Field effect transistors were fabricated in the bottom gate, bottom contact configuration on heavily n-doped silicon substrates as the gate and a thermally grown 230 nm SiO₂ as the dielectric insulator (Fraunhofer). The source and drain electrodes were patterned on the SiO₂ by lift-off technique of 10 nm of ITO and 30 nm of gold. The channel lengths (L) were 2.5, 5, 10, and 20 μm, and the channel width (W) was 10 mm. Prior to polymer deposition, the surface of the devices were treated with UV/ozone irradiation for 10 min and subsequently 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) vapor. The devices were then cleaned with acetone and isopropanol. The polymer films were deposited by spin-coating 6 mg/mL 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol solution of **P1**. The mobility (μ) was extracted from the saturation regime at $V_{DS} = 20$ V using the slope of the line drawn through the linear part of an $I_{DS}^{1/2}$ vs V_G plot, where V_G , V_{DS} and I_{DS} are the gate voltage, drain-source voltage and current, respectively, from the equation $I_{DS} = (W/2L)\mu Ci(V_G-V_T)^2$. Electron field-effect mobility of 3.4 cm²/Vs was extracted in the saturation region ($V_G = 15-20$ V), with a low threshold voltage of ca. 8.4 V and a current on/off ratio 20.




Figure S10. Current-voltage characteristics (I_{DS} vs V_{DS}) at several values of the gate voltage (V_G) (left) and (I_{DS})^{1/2} vs V_G plots at V_{DS} of 20 V (right) for a bottom contact device with W = 10 mm, L = 10 μ m, and 100 nm of **P1**.

References for the Supporting Information.

- (1) Bonifacio, M. C.; Robertson, C. R.; Jung, J-Y.; King, B. T. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8522
- (2) Kim, Y.; Swager, T. M. Chem. Commun. 2005, 372.
- (3) van Pham, C.; Macomber, R. S.; Mark, H. B., Jr.; Zimmer, H. J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 5250.
- (4) Demas, J. N.; Crosby, G. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1971, 75, 991.