

ION THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY
IN TORSATRONS

R. E. Potok, P. A. Politzer,
and L. M. Lidsky

April 1980

PFC/JA-80-10

ION THERMAL CONDUCTIVITY IN TORSATRONS

R.E. Potok, P.A. Politzer, and L.M. Lidsky
Plasma Fusion Center
and
Department of Nuclear Engineering
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

ABSTRACT

Numerical calculations of the ion thermal conductivity in helical toroidal (torsatron) magnetic configurations show the presence of a plateau regime extending over two orders of magnitude in collision frequency. The value of the ion thermal conductivity is approximately equal to the neoclassical plateau value for an equivalent torus without helical modulation. The predicted adverse $1/\nu$ behavior due to ripple trapping is not seen.

The family of plasma confinement devices that includes stellarators, torsatrons, and heliotrons is of considerable interest for controlled fusion applications. These are toroidal devices in which closed, nested magnetic surfaces are generated in the vacuum magnetic field by a helical configuration of the external windings. A major feature of this family is the presence of a strong helical modulation, or ripple, of the field strength on the flux surfaces. Until now, neoclassical transport theory has associated large transport coefficients with this modulation, due to particles which are trapped in the helical magnetic wells.^(1,2) These particles are subject to a vertical drift resulting from the toroidal curvature of the magnetic field and thus can, in some circumstances, make large excursions from their initial flux surfaces.

An estimate of the ion thermal conductivity resulting from this mechanism has been given for stellarators by Connor and Hastie⁽²⁾

$$\chi_i \approx 11.6 \epsilon_h^{3/2} \frac{\rho_i^2 v_{ti}^2}{v_{ii} R^2} \quad (1)$$

where ϵ_h is the helical modulation of the field, ρ_i is the ion gyro-radius, $v_{ti} = \sqrt{2T_i/m_i}$ is the ion thermal velocity, R is the major radius, and v_{ii} is the ion-ion collision frequency. This expression is presumed to be valid when the

collision frequency is small enough for particles to complete bounce orbits in the helical modulation but large enough that these trapped particles do not complete their poloidal drift orbits.

This result is of particular concern for plasma conditions appropriate to fusion reactors. In fact, the $1/\nu$ dependence of the transport coefficients has led recent torsatron reactor design studies to concentrate on low temperature, high density plasma regimes where collisionality is large and χ_i is acceptably small. (3,4)

Extensive orbit calculations for particles in model fields show that the orbits are very much more complicated than assumed in neoclassical theoretical models for rippled fields. (5) Furthermore, recent experimental results indicate that the expected $1/\nu$ behavior may not be occurring. (6,7) In order to test the validity of the existing theory, we developed a computer program which follows test particle orbits, including all relevant collisional effects, in complex magnetic fields. By examining statistically significant numbers of such orbits, we can determine the thermal conductivity for various plasma conditions.

The calculations reported here were performed for a torsatron magnetic field configuration; the torsatron is representative of the heliotron/stellarator family and was chosen because of its particular suitability for scaling to a reactor size.

The magnetic field used was that generated by a set of specified external conductors. This method was used, instead of recourse to a model field, in order to ensure that no significant oversimplifying assumptions regarding field configurations were made. The torsatron coil is specified by its major radius (R), minor radius (a_c), poloidal periodicity (l), toroidal periodicity (N), current (I) and winding law ($\phi = \phi(\theta)$). The flux surfaces obtained by following field lines are labeled with the toroidal flux enclosed (ψ_t).

In order to follow particle orbits we use guiding center equations accurate to second order in μ . Collisional effects are included through energy scattering, pitch angle scattering, and drag terms dependent on the background density and temperature (which can be functions of ψ_t). In order to evaluate χ_i , n and T were chosen to be independent of ψ_t . To further simplify the calculation, we follow test ions and include only ion-ion scattering effects. This simplification is possible when thermal conductivity is being calculated; it would not be correct in calculations of particle transport. For each run, 360 test ions were launched on a given flux surface, ψ_{t0} . These test particles had a pitch angle and energy distribution appropriate to an isotropic Maxwellian with temperature equal to that of the background ions, and were uniformly spaced poloidally. Each test particle was followed for 30 msec, and

after every 3 msec its energy and flux position were recorded. Thus, a test particle distribution function $f_i(x,v,t)$ was created, with x being defined by $x = \langle r_{sep} \rangle (\psi_t / \psi_{tsep})^{1/2}$ where ψ_{tsep} is the flux function at the separatrix and $\langle r_{sep} \rangle$ is the average radius of the separatrix.

The ion thermal conductivity can be determined from the test particle distribution by

$$\chi_i = \frac{\frac{1}{t} \int dx \frac{1}{2} (x-x_0)^2 u_i(x,t)}{\int dx u_i(x,t)} \quad (2)$$

where u_i is the kinetic energy density of the test particle distribution

$$u_i(x,t) = \int d^3v f_i(x,v,t) \frac{1}{2} m_i v^2 \quad (3)$$

Numerically, χ_i was found by performing a least-squares fit to

$$A + \chi_i t_j = y(t_j)$$

with

$$y(t_j) = \frac{\sum_k \frac{1}{2} (x_k - x_0)^2 u_{kj}}{\sum_k u_{kj}} \quad (4)$$

where the index j denotes the time and the index k denotes the spatial interval. Although all test particles in a particular case are started on the same flux surface, there is a spreading

of the test particle distribution because the collisionless drift surfaces differ from the flux surfaces. This spreading results in a statistical fluctuation in $y(t)$.

The diffusive broadening manifests itself in a linear dependence of $y(t)$ on t . In fitting the data for $y(t)$ to an expression of the form $A + Bt^p$, we found $\langle p \rangle = 1.0 \pm .4$ for the cases of greatest broadening (the six highest density cases for the $R_0/a=6$ torsatron). In the other runs, the statistical fluctuations are of the same order as the diffusive broadening, and the best fits for p varied from 0 to 2. For these runs, the measurements for χ_i should be regarded as *upper limits*, since the distribution broadenings were caused both by diffusive and non-diffusive effects.

In Figure 1 we plot the results of a scan of χ_i versus v_{ii} for a large aspect ratio, reactor-sized torsatron with the following parameters:

$R_0 = 48 \text{ m}$	$B_z(\text{axis}) = 5.5 \text{ T}$
$a_c = 4 \text{ m}$	$\psi_{\text{sep}} = 82.8 \text{ w}$
$l = 3$	$\langle r_{\text{sep}} \rangle = 2.1 \text{ m}$
$N = 32$	

Both the test and background ions have a temperature of 8 keV, giv-

ing $\nu_{ii} \approx 125(n_i/10^{20}) \text{ sec}^{-1}$ for ions with mass 2.5. The test ions for this case were started at $\psi_{to} = 0.25 \psi_{sep}$ where $\epsilon_t = 0.02$, $\epsilon_h = 0.015$, and $\kappa = 1/q = 0.25$; ϵ_t is the toroidal modulation given by the local value of the inverse aspect ratio and κ is the local rotational transform. In the same figure we plot for comparison the theoretical ion thermal conductivity for an axisymmetric torus of otherwise identical parameters,⁽⁸⁾ and the result of the neoclassical calculation including helical trapping (Eq. 1). In Figure 2 we plot the same quantities for a torsatron with $R_o = 24$, $N = 16$. In Figure 3a we plot for the conditions of Fig. 1, χ_i vs ψ_{to} for $\nu_{ii} = 370 \text{ sec}^{-1}$. In Fig. 3b, the values of ϵ_t and ϵ_h are shown. Apparently, the thermal transport for fixed collisionality is not sensitive to the exact value of the modulation in the parameter range examined.

The principal result of these computations is that the predicted $1/\nu$ behavior does not occur; instead ion thermal conductivity is independent of collision frequency over a wide range of collisionality. This transport coefficient is approximately equal to that derived for the neoclassical axisymmetric plateau regime, and maintains this value over at least two orders of magnitude in density (or collision frequency) variation. The "plateau" character of the thermal conductivity is confirmed by the $1/R$ dependence seen in Fig. 4. This result has favorable implications for reactor design, as it indicates that the plasma

temperature, in moderate aspect ratio helical systems, may be raised to 15 keV (the value for minimum $n\tau$ at ignition) without suffering from increased loss due to ripple transport.

Although the reason for the absence of the predicted $1/\nu$ behavior is not clear, and detailed calculations are beyond the scope of this paper, a number of observations can be made. First, we suggest that ripple transport theory is not applicable to the torsatron geometry. The usual calculation of transport due to ripple trapping relies on the assumption that a significant fraction ($\sim \epsilon_h^{1/2}$) of the particles in the system are trapped in the helical ripples, and that the deviation of their collisionless drift orbits from their initial flux surface position is determined primarily by the vertical drift caused by the toroidal $1/R$ magnetic field gradient. However, detailed calculations of collisionless particle orbits in a wide variety of helical toroidal configurations indicate that this assumption does not hold. As in axisymmetric systems, most particles are never reflected, make small excursions from flux surfaces, and do not contribute significantly to transport in low to moderate collisionality regimes. In helical systems, the rest of the particles undergo very complex motions. They can make frequent transitions between quasi-circulating ("blocked") and helically trapped orbits⁽⁵⁾. Even for large aspect ratios ($\epsilon_h > \epsilon_t$) the

contribution from particles with simple, helically trapped orbits is negligible. The transition particles comprise a fraction of the total which is the larger of $\sqrt{\epsilon_t}$ or $\sqrt{\epsilon_h}$. Their orbits do not conserve the adiabatic invariant J .

We suspect that the observed transport results from an orbit resonance between the motion in the helical modulation and the bounce motion in the toroidal modulation of the field.⁽⁹⁾ Particles subject to these resonances do not conserve the adiabatic invariant, J , because their behavior depends critically on the phase of the bounce motion near the transition points. This model leads to a transport coefficient which is independent of collision frequency. Furthermore, we suggest that in large aspect ratio systems ($\epsilon_h \gtrsim \epsilon_t$), it is not appropriate to consider the helical field structure as a perturbation on an axisymmetric torus, which is the ordering common to existing theories, but rather that one should consider orbits and transport in a two dimensional (straight) helix perturbed by a weak toroidal curvature.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

1. Ion thermal conductivity as a function of plasma density for aspect ratio of 12. Curves correspond to the theoretical conductivity predicted by axisymmetric neoclassical transport and ripple transport. The densities at which $\nu^*=1$ for collisional detrapping from the toroidal and helical modulations are indicated. The estimated error on the observed conductivity measurements represents the 50% confidence level on a chi-square test of the fit in Equation 4. For 90% confidence error bars, multiply the errors shown by 1.35.
2. Ion thermal conductivity as a function of plasma density for aspect ratio 6.
3. Ion thermal conductivity versus aspect ratio. The solid curve is the best fit to the equation: $C_0 (a/R_0) = \chi_i$.
 - (a) Ion thermal conductivity versus flux surface position for aspect ratio 12 and $n=3 \times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3}$.
 - (b) Peak-to-average magnetic ripple versus flux surface position. Open circles correspond to helical ripple, and solid circles correspond to toroidal ripple.

REFERENCES:

1. T.E. Stringer, 3rd International Symposium on Toroidal Plasma Confinement. Garching, 1973.
2. J.W. Conner and R.J. Hastie, Physics of Fluids, 17, 114 (1974).
3. A.V. Georgievskii, et al, CTO/1299 (Culham Translation of KhTFI 76-38), 1976.
4. A. Iiyoshi and K. Uo, IAEA-CN-33/G-4, Conference on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion, 1974.
5. A. Gibson and J.B. Taylor, Physics of Fluids, 10, 2653 (1967).
6. D.W. Atkinson, et al, CLM-P505, 1977.
7. V.S. Voltsenya, et al, Third International Symposium on Toroidal Plasma Confinement, Garching 1973.
8. F.L. Hinton and R.D. Hazeltone, Reviews of Modern Physics, 48, 239 (1976).
9. R.H. Cohen, Comments Plasma Phys. Cont. Fusion 4, p. 157 (1979).







