**PFC/JA-90-34**

<u>and a community of the second second</u>

# **MULTIMODE** INTERACTIONS IN CYCLOTRON **AUTORESONANCE** MASER AMPLIFIERS

Chiping Chen and Jonathan Wurtele Department of Physics and Plasma Fusion Center Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA **02139**

September, **1990**

Research supported **by** the Department of Energy, Energy Sciences, the Department of Energy, High Division, and the Office of Naval Research. Office of Basic Energy Physics

## MULTIMODE INTERACTIONS IN CYCLOTRON **AUTORESONANCE** MASER AMPLIFIERS

Chiping Chen and Jonathan **S.** Wurtele Department of Physics and Plasma Fusion Center Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts **02139**

## ABSTRACT

The interaction of transverse eigenmodes with a relativistic electron beam is analyzed in an overmoded cyclotron autoresonance maser amplifier, using a nonlinear selfconsistent model and kinetic theory. It is shown that all of the coupled modes grow with the dominant unstable mode at the same growth rate, but suffer different launching losses. The phases of coupled modes are locked in the linear and nonlinear regimes. Simulations indicate that the rf power distribution among the interacting modes at saturation is *insensitive* to input power distribution but *sensitive* to detuning.

**PACS** numbers: 42.52.+x, 52.75.Ms, 52.35.Mw

One of the most intriguing problems in the generation of coherent radiation using a relativistic electron beam is the interaction of multiple electromagnetic eigenmodes with the electron beam. In free electron laser (FEL) oscillators<sup>1,2</sup> and gyrotrons,<sup>3</sup> mode competition determines the temporal behavior of the eigenmodes of the cavity and the radiation spectrum. Multimode phenomena also occur in overmoded amplifier systems, where the temporal dependence of the eigenmodes is usually sinusoidal. In such cases, the eigenmodes evolve spatially as a result of the interaction with the electron beam.

**A** nonlinear multimode theory is indispensable in order to predict the rf power in each mode. Multiple waveguide mode interactions have been investigated using linear theory4 and computer simulations' for **FEL** amplifiers, but detailed comparisons between theory and simulations are not (yet) available. There have been few theoretical studies of multimode interactions in cyclotron autoresonance maser (CARM) amplifiers<sup>6-11</sup> in waveguide configurations, despite the fact that many planned CARM amplifier experiments will operate in an overmoded waveguide.

In this letter, we present a general treatment of multimode interactions in an overmoded single-frequency CARM amplifier, using a nonlinear self-consistent model and kinetic theory. **A** complete set of CARM amplifier equations with multiple modes, which are derived from the standpoint of particle-wave interactions (similar to the **FEL** equations derived by Kroll, Morton, and Rosenbluth<sup>12</sup>), are integrated numerically to calculate the linear and nonlinear evolution of coupled transverse eigenmodes and of the relativistic electron beam. In addition, use is made of the linearized Maxwell-Vlasov equations and the Laplace transform to derive a dispersion relation and amplitude equations for the CARM instability with an arbitrary number of vacuum transverse-electric **(TE)** and transverse-magnetic (TM) waveguide modes. The Laplace transform analysis allows for analytical calculation of launching losses and the three-dimensional radiation field profile. Although the present treatment is devoted specifically to the CARM amplifier, we believe

that the basic ideas are applicable to a large class of amplifier-type free electron devices including free electron lasers, gyrotron traveling-wave tubes,<sup>13</sup> Cherenkov masers,<sup>14</sup> etc.

We consider the CARM interaction<sup>7,8</sup> of a relativistic electron beam with a copropagating electromagnetic wave  $(\omega, \vec{k})$  in a lossless cylindrical waveguide of radius  $r_w$ immersed axially in the uniform magnetic field  $B_0\vec{e}_z$ . The cyclotron resonance condition is  $\omega = k_z v_z + l\Omega_c/\gamma$ . Here,  $v_z$  and  $\gamma$  are, respectively, the axial velocity and relativistic mass factor of the beam electrons; *l* is the harmonic number;  $\Omega_c = eB_0/mc$  is the nonrelativistic cyclotron frequency;  $m$  and  $-e$  are the electron mass and charge, respectively; and  $c$  is the speed of light *in vacuo.* For simplicity, we present the analysis for the multimode CARM interaction involving an arbitrary number of vacuum **TE** modes with azimuthal dependence  $e^{i\theta}$ , maintaining the general features of multimode phenomena (which will be discussed elsewhere<sup>15</sup>).

It can be shown that a complete set of nonlinear equations describing an overmoded CARM amplifier with multiple  $TE_{1n}$  modes can be expressed in the dimensionless form<sup>8,15</sup>

$$
\frac{d\gamma}{d\hat{z}} = -\frac{\hat{p}_{\perp}}{\hat{p}_{z}} \sum_{n} X_{n}(r_{L}, r_{g}) A_{n} \cos \psi_{n} , \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\frac{d\hat{p}_z}{d\hat{z}} = -\frac{\hat{p}_\perp}{\hat{p}_z} \sum_n X_n(r_L, r_g) \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\beta_{\phi n}} + \frac{d\delta_n}{d\hat{z}} \right) A_n \cos \psi_n + \frac{dA_n}{d\hat{z}} \sin \psi_n \right], \tag{2}
$$

$$
\frac{d\psi_n}{d\hat{z}} = \frac{1}{\beta_{\phi n}} + \frac{d\delta_n}{d\hat{z}} - \frac{\gamma}{\hat{p}_z} + \frac{\hat{\Omega}_c}{\hat{p}_z} + \frac{1}{\hat{p}_z} + \frac{1}{\hat{p}_z} \frac{\hat{\Omega}_c}{\hat{z}_z}
$$

$$
+ \frac{1}{\hat{p}_z \hat{p}_\perp} \sum_{n'} W_{n'}(r_L, r_g) \left\{ \left[ \gamma - \hat{p}_z \left( \frac{1}{\beta_{\phi n'}} + \frac{d\delta_{n'}}{d\hat{z}} \right) \right] A_{n'} \sin \psi_{n'} + \hat{p}_z \frac{dA_{n'}}{d\hat{z}} \cos \psi_{n'} \right\} , \quad (3)
$$

$$
\left(\frac{d^2}{d\hat{z}^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_{\phi n}^2}\right) A_n(\hat{z}) \exp\left\{i\left[\frac{\hat{z}}{\beta_{\phi n}} + \delta_n(\hat{z})\right]\right\}
$$

$$
= \frac{2ig_n}{\beta_{\phi n}} \left\langle X_n(r_L, r_g) \frac{\hat{p}_\perp}{\hat{p}_z} e^{-i\psi_n} \right\rangle \exp\left\{i\left[\frac{\hat{z}}{\beta_{\phi n}} + \delta_n(\hat{z})\right]\right\},\tag{4}
$$

where *n* is a positive integer and the normalized coupling constant  $g_n$  is defined by

$$
g_n = \frac{4(\beta_{\phi n}^2 - 1)}{\beta_{\phi n}(\nu_n^2 - 1)[J_1(\nu_n)]^2} \left(\frac{I_b}{I_A}\right) \, .
$$

Equations **(1)-(3)** describe the dynamics of each individual particle, and **Eq.** *(4)* governs the slowly varying wave amplitude  $A_n(\hat{z})$  and phase shift  $\delta(\hat{z})$  for each TE<sub>1n</sub> mode. In the simulations, typically, we use more than 1024 particles. In Eqs. **(1)-** (4),  $\hat{z} = \omega z/c$  is the normalized interaction length;  $\omega = 2\pi f$  is the angular frequency of the input signal;  $\hat{\Omega}_c = \Omega_c/\omega$  is the normalized nonrelativistic cyclotron frequency;  $\hat{p}_z = p_z/mc = \gamma \beta_z$ ,  $\hat{p}_\perp = p_\perp/mc = \gamma \beta_\perp$ , and  $\gamma = (1 + \hat{p}_z^2 + \hat{p}_\perp^2)^{1/2}$  are, respectively, the normalized axial and transverse momentum components, and the relativistic mass factor of an electron;  $I_b$  is the beam current;  $I_A = mc^3/e \approx 17$  kA is the Alfvén current;  $X_n(r_L,r_g) = J_0(k_nr_g)J'_1(k_nr_L)$  and  $W_n(r_L,r_g) = J_0(k_nr_g)J_1(k_nr_L)/k_nr_L$  are geometric factors;  $J_0(x)$  is the lowest order Bessel function;  $J'_1(x) = dJ_1(x)/dx$  is the derivative of the first-order Bessel function;  $\nu_n$  is the nth zero of  $J'_1(x)$ ;  $k_n = \nu_n/r_w$  is the transverse wavenumber associated with the TE<sub>1n</sub> mode;  $\beta_{\phi n} = \omega / ck_{zn} = (1 - c^2 k_n^2 \omega^2)^{-1/2}$  is the normalized phase velocity of the vacuum TE<sub>1n</sub> waveguide mode;  $r_L = p_{\perp}/m\Omega_c$  is the electron Larmor radius;  $r_g$  is the electron guiding-center radius which is assumed to be constant;  $\langle \cdots \rangle$  denotes the ensemble average over the particle distribution.

The rf power flow over the cross section of the waveguide for the  $TE_{1n}$  mode,  $P_n(z)$ , is related to the normalized wave amplitude  $A_n$  by the expression

$$
P_n(\hat{z}) = \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{m^2 c^5}{e^2} \right) \frac{(\nu_n^2 - 1)[J_1(\nu_n)]^2}{(1 - \beta_{\phi n}^{-2})} \left( \beta_{\phi n}^{-1} + \frac{d\delta_n}{d\hat{z}} \right) A_n^2(\hat{z}) \tag{5}
$$

where  $m^2c^5/e^2 \cong 8.7$  GW. Equations (1)-(4) are readily solved numerically to yield the three-dimensional radiation field profile and the distribution of rf power among coupled modes in the multimode CARM interaction. For the simulation results presented below, the particles are loaded such that the right-hand side of Eq.  $(4)$  vanishes at  $z = 0$ , corresponding to an initially unbunched electron beam.

**By** performing the Laplace transform of the linearized Maxwell-Vlasov equations, a dispersion relation and amplitude equations can be derived for the multimode CARM interaction, with an arbitrary number of vacuum **TE** and TM waveguide modes coupling to the electron beam. For example, applying our results to the coupling of a cold, thin  $(k_n r_g \ll 1)$ , azimuthally symmetric electron beam with the  $TE_{1n}$  modes at the fundamental cyclotron frequency  $(l = 1)$ , and assuming  $dE_n(0)/dz = 0$ , the Laplace transform of the equations for the amplitudes  $E_n(z) \sim A_n(z) \exp[i k_{zn} z + \delta_n(z)]$  to leading order in  $c^2k_n^2/(\omega - \Omega_c/\gamma - k_zv_z)^2$  can be expressed in the matrix form<sup>15</sup>

$$
\left(s^{2} - k_{n}^{2} + \frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)\hat{E}_{n}(s) + \sum_{n'=1}^{N} \frac{\epsilon_{nn'}k_{n'}^{2}(\omega^{2} + c^{2}s^{2})}{(\omega - \Omega_{c}/\gamma + i v_{z}s)^{2}}\hat{E}_{n'}(s)
$$

$$
= sE_{n}(0) + \sum_{n'=1}^{N} \frac{i\epsilon_{nn'}k_{n'}^{2}v_{z}\omega}{(\omega - \Omega_{c}/\gamma + i v_{z}s)^{2}}E_{n'}(0) . \tag{6}
$$

In Eq. (6),  $s = ik_z$  is the Laplace transform variable;  $\beta_z = v_z/c$  and  $\beta_{\perp} = v_{\perp}/c$  are, respectively, the normalized axial and transverse velocities of the equilibrium beam electrons;  $ck_N$  is the largest cut-off frequency below the operating frequency  $\omega$ ; and the dimensionless coupling constants  $\epsilon_{nn'}$  are defined by

$$
\epsilon_{nn'} = \frac{4\beta_{\perp}^2}{\gamma \beta_z} \left(\frac{I_b}{I_A}\right) \frac{X_n(r_L, r_g) X_{n'}(r_L, r_g)}{[(\nu_n^2 - 1)(\nu_{n'}^2 - 1)]^{1/2} J_1(\nu_n) J_1(\nu_{n'})}
$$

Therefore, the amplitudes  $E_n(z)$  and the dispersion relation can be obtained by solving Eq. (6) and performing the inverse Laplace transform of  $E_n(s)$ .

For two coupled modes,  $TE_{1n}$  and  $TE_{1n'}$ , it is readily shown from Eq. (6) that the dispersion relation is

$$
\left(k_{z}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)\left(k_{z}^{2}+k_{n'}^{2}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)\left(\omega-\frac{\Omega_{c}}{\gamma}-k_{z}v_{z}\right)^{2}
$$
\n
$$
=\left[\epsilon_{nn}k_{n}^{2}\left(k_{z}^{2}+k_{n'}^{2}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)+\epsilon_{n'n'}k_{n'}^{2}\left(k_{z}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)\right]\left(\omega^{2}-c^{2}k_{z}^{2}\right).
$$
\n(7)

When the two modes are well separated and  $\epsilon_{nn}k_n^2(k_x^2 + k_{n'}^2 - \omega^2/c^2) \gg \epsilon_{n'n'}k_{n'}^2(k_z^2 +$  $k_n^2 - \omega^2/c^2$ , corresponding to the beam cyclotron mode,  $\omega = k_z v_z + \Omega_c/\gamma$ , in resonance with the TE<sub>1n</sub> mode,  $\omega = c(k_z^2 + k_n^2)^{1/2}$ , the coupled-mode dispersion relation in Eq. (7) becomes the usual single-mode dispersion relation<sup>8,9</sup>

$$
k_{z}^{2}+k_{n}^{2}-\frac{\omega^{2}}{c^{2}}=\frac{\epsilon_{nn}k_{n}^{2}(\omega^{2}-c^{2}k_{z}^{2})}{(\omega-\Omega_{c}/\gamma-k_{z}v_{z})^{2}}
$$

for the  $TE_{1n}$  mode.

Typical results from the computer simulations and kinetic theory are summarized in Figs. 1-3. Figure 1 shows the dependence of rf power, in the  $TE_{11}$  and  $TE_{12}$  modes, on the interaction length *z* for (a) single-mode CARM interactions and **(b)** the CARM interaction with both modes coupling to the beam. The system parameters in Fig. **1** are beam current  $I_b = 500$  A, beam energy  $E_b = 1.0$  MeV ( $\gamma = 2.96$ ), initial pitch angle  $\theta_{p0} = \beta_{\perp 0}/\beta_{z0} = 0.6$ , waveguide radius  $r_w = 2.7$  cm, and axial magnetic field  $B_0 = 3.92$ **kG,** corresponding to the **TE1 <sup>1</sup>**mode in resonance, and the **TE12** mode off resonance, with the electron beam. The solid curves are the simulation results obtained **by** integrating numerically Eqs. (1)-(4) with 1024 particles; The dashed curves are obtained analytically from Eq. (6). The inclusion of the coupling of the  $TE_{11}$  and  $TE_{12}$  modes results in instability for the **TE12** mode as seen in Fig. **1(b),** while the single-mode theory predicts complete stability for the **TE12** mode as seen in Fig. 1(a). In fact, in Fig. **1(b),** the **TE12** mode grows parasitically with the dominant unstable **TE11** mode, and the two coupled modes have the same spatial growth rate  $-\text{Im}\Delta k_z > 0$ , corresponding to the

most unstable solution of the dispersion relation in Eq.  $(7)$ . Because the TE<sub>11</sub> mode is in resonance with the beam mode and the  $TE_{12}$  mode is detuned from resonance, the  $TE_{12}$ mode suffers greater launching losses than the TE<sub>11</sub> mode.

The simulation also shows that the relative rf phase  $\Delta \phi(z) = (k_{z2} - k_{z1})z + \delta_2(z)$  $\delta_1(z)$  for the coupled modes is approximately constant in the exponential gain regime. Such a phase-locking phenomenon is expected from linear theory, because the dispersion relation in Eq. (7) yields a unique solution of  $k_z$  with a negative imaginary part, which determines the spatial growth rate and phase shifts for both modes in the exponential gain regime. What is remarkable is that phase locking persists even in the nonlinear regime, at least for some finite interaction length after saturation. This reveals two general features of the multimode CARM interaction: **(1)** all of the coupled modes have the same growth rate, but suffer different launching losses which depend upon detuning characteristics; (2) the phases of coupled waveguide modes are locked in the exponential gain regime, and remain locked for some finite interaction length after saturation.

Another interesting feature of the multimode CARM interaction is that the rf power distribution among the coupled modes at saturation is *insensitive* to the small-input rf power distribution at *z* **= 0.** Figure 2 shows the results of the simulations for the coupling of the  $TE_{11}$  and  $TE_{12}$  modes with two different distributions of input rf power. In Fig. 2, the two solid curves depict the linear and nonlinear evolution of rf power in the  $\mathrm{TE_{12}}$  mode obtained from the simulations with the two input rf power distributions: (a)  $P_0(\text{TE}_{11})$  $= 1.0 \text{ kW}$  and  $P_0(\text{TE}_{12}) = 1.0 \text{ kW}$ , and (b)  $P_0(\text{TE}_{11}) = 1.0 \text{ kW}$  and  $P_0(\text{TE}_{12}) = 1.0 \text{ W}$ , while the two dashed curves are the corresponding analytical results from **Eq. (6).** Here, only the  $TE_{12}$  mode is plotted because the  $TE_{11}$  mode remains virtually unchanged for the two cases.

Figure **3** depicts the detuning characteristics of the saturated rf power distribution among four coupled  $TE_{1n}$  modes  $(n = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ , as obtained from the simulation with an

input power of **100** W per mode. **By** increasing the axial magnetic field *B0* in Fig. **3,** the beam mode is tuned through the resonances with the  $TE_{11}$ ,  $TE_{12}$ ,  $TE_{13}$ , and  $TE_{14}$  modes at *Bo* **=** 3.74, 4.29, **5.33,** and **6.98 kG,** respectively. The fractional rf power for a given mode reaches a maximum at its resonant magnetic field, while the power decreases rapidly for off-resonance modes. In the transition from one resonance to another, however, two adjacent competing modes can have comparable *rf* power levels at saturation.

In summary, we have presented a general treatment of multimode interactions in an overmoded CARM amplifier using a nonlinear self-consistent model and kinetic theory. Good agreement was found between the simulations and kinetic theory in the linear regime. It was shown analytically, and confirmed in the simulations, that all of the coupled waveguide modes grow with the dominant unstable mode at the same spatial growth rate, but suffer different launching losses which depend upon detuning. Phase locking occurs among coupled waveguide modes in the linear and nonlinear regimes. The saturated rf power in each mode was found to be insensitive to input power distribution, but sensitive to detuning. An accurate calculation of the growth rate and saturation levels in overmoded CARM amplifiers requires the use of a multimode theory in the linear and nonlinear regimes. We believe that the present analysis can be generalized to treat multimode phenomena in various free electron devices.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors wish to thank B.G. Danly for helpful discussions. This work was supported **by** the Department of Energy, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, the Department of Energy, High Energy Physics Division, and the Office of Naval Research.

#### **REFERENCES**

**1.** Ya.L. Bogomolov, V.L. Bratman, **N.S.** Ginzburg, M.I. Petelin, and

**8**

**A.D.** Yanakovsky, Opt. Commun. **36, 109 (1981).**

- 2. T.M. Antonsen, Jr. and B. Levush, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, **1488 (1989);** L. R. Ellis, **G.** Ramain, **J.** Hu, and **A.** Amir, *ibid,* **55,** 424 **(1986).**
- **3.** K.E. Kreischer and R.J. Temkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59,** 547 **(1987).**
- 4. **E.** Jerby and **A.** Gover, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63,** 864 **(1989).**
- **5.** R.A. Jong, W.M. Fawley, and **E.T.** Scharlemann, SPIE Vol. 1045, **18 (1989).**
- **6. G.** Bekefi, **A.** DiRienzo, **C.** Leibovitch, and B.G. Danly, **Appl.** Phys. Lett. 54, **1302 (1989).**
- **7.** V.L. Bratman, **G.G.** Ginzburg, **G.S.** Nusinovich, M.I. Petelin, and **P.S.** Strelkov, Int. **J.** Electron. **51,** 541 **(1981),** and references therein.
- **8.** A.W. Fliflet, Int. **J.** Electron. **61,** 1049 **(1986).**
- **9.** K.R. Chu and **A.T.** Lin, IEEE Trans. Plasma Science **16, 90 (1988).**
- **10.** K.D. Pendergast, B.G. Danly, R.J. Temkin, and **J.S.** Wurtele, **IEEE** Trans. Plasma Science **16,** 122 **(1988).**
- **11. C.** Chen and **J.S.** Wurtele, Phys. Rev. A40, 489 **(1989).**
- 12. **N.M.** Kroll, P.L. Morton, and **M.N.** Rosenbluth, IEEE **J.** Quantum Electron. **QE-17,** 1436 **(1981).**
- **13.** L.R. Barnett, L.H. Chang, H.Y. Chen, K.R. Chu, W.K. Lau, and **C.C.** Tu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63, 1062 (1989),** and references therein.
- 14. See, for example, *High Power Microwave Sources,* edited **by** V.L. Granatstein and I. Alexoff (Artech House, Boston, **1987), p. 397.**
- **15. C.** Chen and **J.S.** Wurtele, in preparation **(1990).**

#### **FIGURE** CAPTIONS

Fig. 1 The rf power in the  $TE_{11}$  and  $TE_{12}$  modes is plotted as a function of

interaction length z for (a) single-mode CARM interactions and **(b)** the CARM interaction with the coupled modes. Note in Fig. **1(b)** that the **TE12** mode grows parasitically with the dominant unstable **TE11** mode at the same spatial growth rate due to mode coupling, despite the differences in launching losses.

- Fig. 2 The TE<sub>12</sub> rf power is plotted as a function of interaction length for a CARM with the  $TE_{11}$  and  $TE_{12}$  modes coupling to the beam. Here, the two solid curves depict the linear and nonlinear evolution of  $rf$  power for the  $TE_{12}$ mode obtained from the simulations with two input *rf* power distributions: (a)  $P_0(TE_{11}) = 1.0$  kW and  $P_0(TE_{12}) = 1.0$  kW, and (b)  $P_0(TE_{11}) = 1.0$  kW and  $P_0(TE_{12}) = 1.0$  W, while the two dashed curves are the corresponding analytical results from **Eq. (6).**
- Fig. 3 The fractional rf power at saturation in four coupled  $TE_{1n}$  modes is plotted as a function of detuning. Here, the values of the resonant magnetic field for the TE<sub>11</sub>, TE<sub>12</sub>, TE<sub>13</sub>, and TE<sub>14</sub> modes correspond to  $B_0 = 3.74, 4.29, 5.33,$ and **6.98 kG,** respectively.



**Fig. 1** 11



Fig. 2



Fig. **3**