

MIT Open Access Articles

A new method for automatic discontinuity traces sampling on rock mass 3D model

The MIT Faculty has made this article openly available. *Please share* how this access benefits you. Your story matters.

Citation: Umili, G., A. Ferrero, and H.H. Einstein. "A New Method for Automatic Discontinuity Traces Sampling on Rock Mass 3D Model." Computers & Geosciences 51 (February 2013): 182– 92.

As Published: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.07.026

Publisher: Elsevier

Persistent URL: http://hdl.handle.net/1721.1/101602

Version: Author's final manuscript: final author's manuscript post peer review, without publisher's formatting or copy editing

Terms of use: Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License



1	A new method for automatic discontinuity traces sampling on rock mass 3D model
2	
3	Umili G. ^(a) , Ferrero A. ^(a) , Einstein H.H. ^(b)
4	
5	^(a) Department of Civil, Environmental and Territory Engineering, University of Parma, Viale G.P. Usberti, 181/A
6	43124 Parma, Italy. gessica.umili@unipr.it, annamaria.ferrero@unipr.it
7	(b) Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge,
8	MA 02139, USA. einstein@mit.edu
9	
10	Corresponding author:
11	Anna Maria Ferrero
12	Department of Civil, Environmental and Territory Engineering, University of Parma, Viale G.P. Usberti, 181/A
13	43124 Parma, Italy.
14	e-mail: annamaria.ferrero@unipr.it
15	telephone: +39 0521 906055
16	fax: +39 0521 905924
17	
18	ABSTRACT:
19	A new automatic method for discontinuity traces mapping and sampling on a rock mass digital model
20	is described in this work. The implemented procedure allows one to automatically identify
21	discontinuity traces on a Digital Surface Model: traces are detected directly as surface breaklines, by
22	means of maximum and minimum principal curvature values of the vertices that constitute the model
23	surface. Color influence and user errors, that usually characterize the trace mapping on images, are
24	eliminated. Also trace sampling procedures based on circular windows and circular scanlines have been
25	implemented: they are used to infer trace data and to calculate values of mean trace length, expected

26 discontinuity diameter and intensity of rock discontinuities. The method is tested on a case study:

27 results obtained applying the automatic procedure on the DSM of a rock face are compared to those28 obtained performing a manual sampling on the orthophotograph of the same rock face.

29

30 **Keywords:** automatic, trace mapping, rock mass, 3D, curvature, edge.

31

32 **1. Introduction**

One of the fundamental parameters to characterize a rock mass is discontinuity persistence, defined as 33 the ratio between the total discontinuity area and a reference area (surface); direct measurements of 34 discontinuity area are quite impossible to obtain, and therefore another discontinuity feature is used to 35 36 infer discontinuity area and this is the discontinuity trace. Discontinuities are an intrinsic characteristic of rock masses and they appear at every scale of a technical survey. The traditional discontinuity 37 sampling procedure consists of a manual survey performed by an operator directly on the rock mass 38 (ISRM, 1978). However, issues related to the duration of the procedure, to the operator's safety during 39 the survey and to direct access problems lead many authors to propose non-contact methods, namely, 40 procedures which allow one to perform the trace survey on a representation of the rock mass, such as 41 an image or a digital model. 42

Trace detection on images can be a long and complex operation; in fact, the manual operation is time 43 consuming and an expert operator is needed. Mapping performed by means of edge detection 44 algorithms (Barrow and Tenenbaum, 1981; Canny, 1986; Lindeberg, 2001; Kemeny and Post, 2003) or 45 segmentation techniques (Maerz, 1990; Reid and Harrison, 2000; Post et al., 2001; Lemy and 46 47 Hadjigeorgiou, 2003) are faster but results could be very complex and a manual editing phase could be needed. In fact, these methods suffer from common issues related to the bidimensional nature of the 48 representation. Depending on the camera asset (defined by 3 angles, relative to the axes of the reference 49 system) and position in relation to the rock mass surface (e.g. at the top or at the foot of the rock face), 50

some orientations could be disadvantaged during the identification. Moreover, a single image can 51 52 contain occlusions: they are defined as a rock mass portion that, with respect to the camera asset and position, cannot be seen because it is hidden by a rock protrusion, so not all the rock mass surface will 53 be available for the trace sampling. Also digital models created with photogrammetry or laser scanner 54 surveys can contain occlusions, but they can be avoided acquiring data (images or points) from 55 different viewpoints and merging all the surfaces. In addition, since traces identification is based on 56 57 color data contained in the image, it must be considered that light in an uncontrolled environment can significantly vary, locally and globally, depending on the sun position with respect to the rock mass, 58 59 weather conditions (e.g. presence or absence of cloud cover), rock type, color and conditions (e.g. wet 60 rock) (Reid and Harrison, 2000), etc. So different light conditions could lead to very different results in terms of completeness and accuracy. 61

Automatic or semi-automatic methods for discontinuity planes identification on digital models have been also proposed (Roncella and Forlani, 2005; Slob et al., 2007; Gigli and Casagli, 2011): these methods are based on the segmentation of the surface, and traces are obtained as the boundaries of the identified planes.

Once traces are identified with a contact or non-contact method, a sampling method can be used to infer data from an homogeneous rock mass unit: it is possible to sample the traces (a) that intersect a line (*scanline*); (b) that intersect a circle (circular scanline) (Mauldon et al., 2001); or (c) within a finite size area, usually rectangular or circular in shape (*window*) (Kulatilake and Wu, 1984; Zhang and Einstein, 1998; Mauldon, 1998). Circular scanlines are simply circles drawn on a rock surface, on a fracture trace map or on a digital image. A circular window is the region enclosed by a circular scanline (Rohrbaugh et al., 2002).

The sampling procedure usually involves mapping the fracture pattern on a surface and recording
characteristics of visible fractures (Wu and Pollard, 1995). Trace maps also have the advantage of

recording the trace pattern, termination relationships and other aggregate properties of the areal sample
(Dershowitz and Einstein, 1988). Construction of fracture trace maps for areal samples, however, tends
to be very time consuming (Rohrbaugh et al., 2002).

78 2. A new approach

79 The issues discussed above led the authors to consider a new mapping approach, which could combine the safety and the efficiency of a non-contact method, with the possibility to obtain a complete 80 81 representation of the rock mass such as a digital 3D model. Therefore, an automatic procedure was developed to identify and to map discontinuity traces on a Digital Surface Model (DSM), which 82 83 consists of a triangulated point cloud that approximates the true surface; the triangulation generates a 84 topology, i.e. it defines the spatial relation between the points in the DSM, so for each point its neighbors are known. A DSM can be created with both photogrammetric techniques or laser scanner 85 surveys. Many authors discussed the advantages of such a procedure for rock mechanics purposes 86 (Kemeny and Donovan, 2005; Roncella and Forlani, 2005; Trinks et al., 2005; Feng and Roshoff, 2006; 87 Haneberg, 2006; Sturzenegger and Stead, 2009; Lato et al., 2012), showing how discontinuity 88 orientation, spacing and roughness can be derived from a DSM. 89

In this paper a new method to automatically identify discontinuity traces on a Digital Surface Model is presented: traces are detected directly as surface breaklines, by means of principal curvature values of the vertices that constitute the model surface. The method will be applied to a case study; then two sampling methods (Zhang and Einstein, 1998; Mauldon et al., 2001) will be used to infer trace data and to calculate values of mean trace length.

95 **3.** The new trace mapping method

96 Natural outcrops can have an infinite variety of shapes and their dimensions can be very different, but a 97 common characteristic is their non-planar surface. In fact, generally the surface has edges, that can be 98 both asperities or depressions, most of them created by the intersections of different discontinuity

planes. Therefore, the method presented here is based on the assumption that a discontinuity trace can 99 100 be geometrically identified as an edge of the surface. This assumption is generally valid in natural outcrops, while on tunnel surfaces or other artificially profiled rock faces its validity could significantly 101 decrease due to the presence of artificial edges mixed to natural edges. This method allows one to 102 103 detect traces created by the intersection of different visible planes, i.e. edges that are asperities or depressions of the DSM surface (Figure 1). The DSM must contain only rock mass surface, namely 104 every other element (e.g. vegetation, artificial objects, etc) must be removed from the model. This 105 requirement could create holes in the surface: they are not considered as edges, but they can limit the 106 completeness and continuity of detected edges. 107

The edge detection procedure presented here is based on the classification of each vertex of the model surface according to its principal curvature values; in fact asperities and depressions are composed of convex or concave surface portions, i.e. a certain number of triangulated vertices. In the following a concise definition of principal curvature and a brief description of the edge detection method applied to a DSM are given. For a detailed description of the algorithms see Umili (2011).

The quality of the DSM is fundamental (Kraus, 1993; Kraus and Pfeifer, 1998; Baltsavias, 1999): it can be evaluated in terms of resolution, i.e. the value of the mean distance between adjacent vertices. A higher resolution means that the mean distance between vertices is small, and that the correspondence between the discretized surface (DSM) and the actual rock mass surface (Figure 2) is improved. Therefore, the method generally allows one to detect traces whose surface dimensions are larger than the resolution.

Figure 1: Detail of a shaded surface portion of a Digital Surface Model (DSM), representing discontinuity planes separated by convex and concave edges.

5

Figure 2: Triangulated vertices which constitute portion of DSM in Fig.1; a) high resolution DSM
produces a better representation of edges than b) low resolution.

A test-object called *stairs* will be used to explain the procedure (Figure 3); edges are straight and their 123 paths follow one of the three axes X,Y,Z. Considering a vertex P of a surface (Figure 4) and its normal 124 125 N, it is possible to define the normal plane π , which contains P and N and cuts the surface generating a curve C which, among all possible curves, has the minimum osculating circle radius r in P (Euler, 126 127 1760). The smaller the osculating circle radius, the faster the slope variation of the surface and the higher the absolute value of the normal curvature. Normal curvature is positive if the osculating circle 128 is on the same side of the surface as N with respect to P, otherwise it is negative. It is therefore possible 129 to define the Maximum Principal Curvature (k_{max}) of P as the maximum value of normal curvature of C 130 (convexity). Correspondingly, the Minimum Principal Curvature (k_{min}) of P is the minimum value of 131 132 normal curvature of C and it describes the concavity of the local surface.

Figure 3: Example of convex and concave edges detected on test-object: DSM stairs is composed by
116601 points and 231909 triangles.

Figure 4: Definition of principal curvature of a vertex P of a surface requires definition of normal N and normal plane π . a) Plane π_1 generates a curve C_1 whose osculating circle in P has a radius r_1 ; b) plane π_2 is obtained rotating π_1 about the normal N; it generates a curve C_2 whose osculating circle in P has a radius r_2 greater than r_1 .

Step 1 of the procedure is therefore the curvature calculation for each vertex of the DSM: the method proposed by Chen and Schmitt (1992) and extended by Dong and Wang (2005) is used. This method is accurate and requires one to solve only simple equations; it has been applied considering a two-ring neighborhood around each vertex to calculate the normal (N). A one-ring neighborhood of vertex P is formed by all the triangles that contain P (Figure 5a); a two-ring neighborhood of P is formed by all the one-ring triangles and all the triangles that contain at least 1 vertex belonging to the one-ringneighborhood (Figure 5b).

Figure 5: a) One-ring neighborhood of vertex P is formed by all the triangles that contain P; b) two-ring
neighborhood of P is composed by one-ring triangles and triangles that contain at least 1 vertex
belonging to one-ring neighborhood.

The normal N_t of a triangle is calculated as the normal of the plane containing the triangle. The normal N of vertex P is then calculated as the weighted mean of all the normals N_t of its two-ring neighborhood, in which weights consist of the inverse distances between P and the triangle centroids.

Since a greater convexity is characterized by a greater positive value of maximum principal curvature, while a greater concavity is characterized by a greater absolute value of minimum principal curvature and a negative sign, it is possible to identify paths that connect vertices with similar curvature values: in particular maximum k_{max} values identify convex edges (Figure 6a), while minimum k_{min} values identify concave edges (Figure 6b). Therefore the algorithms detect discontinuity traces as edges that significantly differ from the model mean surface.

158

Figure 6: Example of a (a) convex and a (b) concave edge.

159 At this point, the aim is to determine which vertices are potentially pertaining to significant edges: among those vertices the algorithms will choose the vertices to connect to define the edge paths. So, 160 Step 2 is the selection of two threshold values, one for maximum principal curvature, T_{max} , and the 161 other for minimum principal curvature, T_{min}. Each vertex of the mesh has a maximum and a minimum 162 163 principal curvature value; if one of these values is greater (in absolute value) than the respective 164 threshold, the vertex is allowed to be connected to the other significant vertices to create an edge. Decreasing the threshold's absolute values means that more vertices are considered as possible parts of 165 166 traces (Figure 7 and 8). Therefore, different thresholds can lead to a different number of detected traces and/or traces of different length. There could be two possible strategies for the choice of the thresholds:
an automatic one, based on some statistical criteria on curvature values, and a manual one, in which an
operator is given some instruments to decide. The choice is not simple, since the optimum threshold
can be defined as the curvature value which allows one to satisfy two requirements:

- 171 1. To remove all vertices not belonging to any edge;
- 172 2. To optimize, for each edge, the number of vertices among which the algorithms will perform173 the following linking procedure.

Whereas on the one hand it is quite easy to find a value that meets the first requirement, it is, on the 174 175 other hand, more complex to find a value that meets the second one. In fact, generally edges in the same object can vary depending on their shape and their position. Therefore vertices belonging to the 176 same edge could have different principal curvature values, varying within a certain range; moreover 177 178 different edges could have, in general, different principal curvature ranges. These are the reasons that led the authors towards a manual choice: the user, by means of colorbars and images, can iteratively 179 choose a threshold value T_{max} and see what will be the accepted vertices to create convex edges, 180 operating directly a manual optimization procedure. Then the procedure will be repeated to choose T_{min} 181 (in general different in absolute value from T_{max}), to create concave edges. This operation is the only 182 one subject to the user's decision. 183

Figure 7: Threshold T_{max} on maximum principal curvature values allows one to extract convex edges.

- 185 Number of accepted vertices (black) changes with threshold: (a) $T_{max} = 0.1$ (b) $T_{max} = 1$. A higher value
- 186 of T_{max} corresponds to a smaller number of accepted vertices, namely a thinner band along the edges.

187 Figure 8: Threshold T_{min} on minimum principal curvature values allows one to extract concave edges.

188 The number of accepted vertices (black) changes with threshold: (a) $T_{min} = -0.1$ (b) $T_{min} = -1$. A higher

absolute value of T_{min} corresponds to a smaller number of accepted vertices, namely a thinner band along the edges.

Then the connection of vertices is performed with algorithms (Umili, 2011), which use information about principal curvature values and directions to automatically create edge paths along vertices. The paths are then segmented with the RANSAC (Random Sample Consensus) algorithm (Fischler and Bolles, 1981): for each path the lines that better interpolate it are obtained and used to split the path according to their different directions (Figure 9). Operating in 3D space, a line is defined as (Equation 1):

197
$$\begin{cases} X = X_0 + l \cdot t \\ Y = Y_0 + m \cdot t \\ Z = Z_0 + n \cdot t \end{cases}$$
[1]

where (X_0, Y_0, Z_0) is a point of the line, (l,m,n) are the parameters related to the slope along the 3 directions and t is an independent variable.

Figure 9: Path segmentation with RANSAC algorithm; example of a path interpolated by 3 different lines and split in 3 parts; each part can be approximated by a segment.

At the end of this procedure, a certain number of paths, that will be called *edges* in the following, and their interpolating segments are stored. Each edge is a list of vertices and each segment is described using the 6 parameters (X_0 , Y_0 , Z_0 , l, m, n).

After that, the ISODATA algorithm (Ball and Hall, 1965) is used to perform automatic cluster analysis, in order to partition the obtained segments into k clusters (Figure 10); the main advantage of this algorithm, with respect to other methods (i.e. k-means, tree-clustering) based on distance between elements, is that it does not require the exact number of clusters as input. Figure 10: DSM stairs: edges have been correctly classified into 3 clusters: edges along X (K₁), edges
along Z (K₂), edges along Y (K₃); each edge is colored depending on the cluster to which it belongs.

211 **4.** Trace sampling

After the automatic edge identification, a dataset of discontinuity traces is available for further investigations, such as sampling and detailed measurements. Therefore two trace sampling methods have been implemented to operate on the dataset: circular window sampling (Zhang and Einstein, 1998) and circular scanline sampling (Mauldon et al., 2001).

The procedure is based on the following idea: edges, which are assumed to represent discontinuity traces in the 3D space, need to be sampled in a 2D window/scanline, therefore they need to be projected on the same plane in which the window/scanline is created. This plane, called "sampling plane" (π_c), is calculated as the rock mass mean front. Each edge is then an orthogonally projected, which transforms the edge into a polyline in the sampling plane (Figure 11).

Also boundary vertices of the DSM are projected on the plane, creating a contour of the DSM (Figure 11); in case holes are present in the DSM surface, also their boundaries are projected on the plane.

Figure 11: Example of an edge projected on sampling plane π_c ; also DSM boundary vertices and possible holes are projected.

A regular grid of centers that are contained within the whole contour is created on the plane (Mauldon et al., 2001) (Figure 12) and different radius values are used. Each one of the N_n nodes of the grid will be the center of N_w concentric circles with different radius values: in all, N_n'N_w circular windows/scanlines are created. The maximum circle radius is automatically calculated: considering the sampling plane (e.g. $\pi_c \equiv XY$), the maximum dimensions of the area within the contour can be measured along 2 axes (e.g. D_X,D_Y). The maximum diameter will be equal to the minimum dimension

231	(e.g. if $D_X = 10m$, $DY = 5m$ then the maximum radius will be 2.5 m). So it is possible to automatically
232	create windows of different radii, e.g. fixed percentages of the maximum radius. A condition is also
233	checked for each circle: if it is completely within the contour (Mauldon et al., 2001) and it does not
234	include DSM hole boundaries (Figure 13), it will be used to perform the sampling (Figure 14),
235	otherwise not. Holes in general could be due to occlusions or to the clearing of vegetation and artificial
236	elements and they must be discarded from the analyzed area.
237	Figure 12: Creation of the grid and calculation of maximum radius <i>c</i> .
238	Figure 13: Creation of concentric windows/scanlines of different radii. Dotted circle 1 will not be used
239	in sampling procedure because it is not completely within the boundary; dotted circle 2 will not be used
240	because it contains a hole.
241	Figure 14: Traces, i.e. mapped projected edges on sampling plane, are sampled using circular
242	windows/scanlines.

243 **4.1.** Sampling methods

The circular window sampling method (Zhang and Einstein, 1998) allows one to calculate the mean 244 trace length, expected discontinuity diameter and intensity of rock discontinuities. The trace sampling 245 procedure is based on a classification of the traces that are visible on the considered sampling window 246 (Figure 15); the lengths of observed traces and the distribution of trace lengths are not required. The 247 major advantage of this method is that it does not need sampling data about the orientation of 248 discontinuities: its orientation distribution-free nature comes from the symmetric properties of the 249 circular sampling windows. Therefore, it can be used to estimate the mean trace length of more than 250 one set of discontinuities. 251

For each window (Figure 15), traces are classified in the following categories: traces with both ends outside the window (N₀), traces with both ends within the window (N₂) and traces with only one end within the window (N₁). From the sampling on a window of radius *c* it is possible to obtain traces samples (called \hat{N}_0 , \hat{N}_1 , \hat{N}_2) to estimate a value for mean trace length $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ ($\hat{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$) (Equation 2); the total number \hat{N} of considered traces in a window is the sum of \hat{N}_0 , \hat{N}_1 and \hat{N}_2 .

257
$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\left(\hat{N} + \hat{N}_0 - \hat{N}_2\right)}{\left(\hat{N} - \hat{N}_0 + \hat{N}_2\right)}c$$
 [2]

Figure 15: Classification of traces visible on a sampling window, laying on the sampling plane π_c : type N₀ (dark grey), N₁ (light grey) and N₂ (grey) with respect to the considered window. Dashed traces will not be sampled because they cannot be classified.

261 The following two special cases should be avoided:

1. If $\hat{N}_0 = \hat{N}$, then $\hat{N}_2 = 0$ and $\hat{\mu} \to \infty$. In this case, all the discontinuities intersecting the sampling window have both ends censored and the denominator of Equation 2 is 0. This implies that the area of the window used for the discontinuity survey may be too small and therefore not representative of the rock mass. To avoid this problem it is necessary to increase window radius.

266 2. If $\hat{N}_2 = \hat{N}$, then $\hat{N}_0 = 0$ and $\hat{\mu} = 0$. In this case, all the discontinuities intersecting the sampling 267 window have both ends observable and the numerator of Equation 2 is 0. To avoid this problem it is 268 necessary to decrease window radius.

In practice, the exact values of N, N₀ and N₂ are not known and thus μ has to be estimated using

sampled data. In other words, the $\hat{\mu}$ of several sampling windows can be used to evaluate μ . Zhang and

Einstein (1998) show how the effect of non-uniformity of trace distribution on an outcrop is decreased

by averaging. Therefore, they recommend that, in practical sampling, multiple windows of the same

size and at different locations should be used. This is the reason why an algorithm to create a large number of windows has been implemented. Figure 16 shows an example: there is a grid consisting of 6 window centers and for each one there are 4 concentric windows of different radius (1-2-3-4 m). In the following, parameters will be shown in relation to the window radius: actually, mean values will be shown, calculated from all the windows with a certain radius. So, for a radius equal to 1 m, the resulting value of the mean trace length μ from Figure 16 is calculated as the mean of the values obtained from the 6 considered windows with radius 1 m.

Figure 16: Example of regular grid composed by 6 nodes; each one is center of 4 circular windows with
different radius values.

The circular scanline sampling method (Mauldon et al., 2001) allows one to calculate mean trace length, trace density and trace intensity, parameters that measure fracture size and abundance. The trace sampling procedure is based on a classification of the traces with respect to the circular scanline (Figure 17); the lengths of observed traces and the distribution of trace lengths are not required.

For each window it is necessary to count the number *n* of traces intersecting the circular scanline and the number *m* of trace end points within the circular area delimited by the scanline. From each scanline sampled \hat{n} and \hat{m} can be obtained and used to estimate $\hat{\mu}$ (Equation 3).

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{\pi \cdot c}{2} \left(\frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{m}} \right)$$
[3]

Figure 17: Classification of traces points: *n* traces intersecting *scanline* (grey); *m* traces end points
within circular area (black).

292 **4.2.** Parameters definition

293 The parameters used in the following are defined first:

Mean trace length µ: it is defined as the mean of the true trace length distribution *f(l)* (i.e. the trace length distribution on an infinite sampling surface). It is calculated using Equation 2
 (Zhang and Einstein, 1998), based on the count of traces classified according to their position with respect to the circular window, or Equation 3 (Mauldon et al., 2001), based on the count of nodes that represents traces end points and intersections between a trace and the circular scanline.

Expected discontinuity diameter *E(D)*: it is defined as the mean of the discontinuity diameter distribution *g(D)*, whose form is assumed choosing among lognormal, negative exponential and Gamma. The best distribution of *g(D)* is chosen using a test (Zhang and Einstein, 2000) and it can be different from the trace length distribution *f(l)* form. These authors propose a different expression for *E(D)* for each of the three distribution forms.

Intensity of rock discontinuities P₃₂: the adopted measure to express the intensity of rock
 discontinuities is P₃₂, fracture area per unit volume. Zhang and Einstein (2000) proposed to
 calculate the mean fracture area per unit volume of the rock mass as:

$$P_{32} = \frac{N_t \cdot E(A)}{V}$$
^[4]

309 where N_T is the total number of sampled discontinuities in a window, E(A) is the mean 310 discontinuity area calculated with the equation:

311
$$E(A) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi}{4} D^{2} g(D) dD = \frac{\pi}{4} E(D^{2}) = \frac{\pi}{4} [(\mu_{D})^{2} + (\sigma_{D})^{2}]$$
[5]

312 and *V* is the unit volume, here considered as a cylinder:

308

313

$$V = \pi c^2 h \tag{6}$$

where c is the radius of the considered sampling window and h is the cylinder depth. Two values of h have been used here: 1m (fixed for all windows) and a value equal to the relative window radius c (varying with the windows dimensions).

5. Comparison of two sampling methods on a case study

The new trace detection and mapping method proposed here has been applied in a case study, the North face of the Aiguilles du Marbrées (Mont Blanc), on which also the manual trace detection method was performed (Ferrero and Umili, 2011). At this site a close-range photogrammetric survey was performed to create an orthophotograph and a DSM of the rock face. Manual trace detection and circular window sampling was performed on the orthophotograph of the rock mass (Figure 18). Four centers were placed on the image and, for each one, five radius values were used to draw sampling windows. So 20 sampling windows were used to calculate the final parameter values (Figure 19).

Figure 18: Orthophotograph (base 90 m, height 60 m) with mapped traces.

Figure 19: Mapped traces are sampled using 20 circular windows.

Then the DSM (medium resolution, approximately 50 pts/m²), representing the same part of the rock mass shown in the orthophotograph, but with smaller dimensions (Figure 20), was used as input data for the new method.

Figure 20: a) Orthophotograph, b) DSM (base 62 m, height 52 m, 163 963 vertices); white rectangular
window on the left is common portion of rock mass between 2D orthophotograph and 3D model.

Three curvature threshold couples (T_{max}, T_{min}) were used to detect traces on the DSM to check if significant variations of detected traces and parameter values could occur when varying the thresholds. Comparing the traces detected in the three different cases (Figure 21) it is possible to notice small 335 variations of trace lengths and an increasing number of traces when thresholds decrease, as expected. 336 Comparing the detected traces obtained with the two methods, one can see that sub-horizontal traces detected in the orthophotograph are longer and their number is greater than in the DSM (Figure 18 and 337 338 21). This kind of situation can be due to three different reasons: the first one is that traces were actually 339 longer but the automatic detection process did not produce a complete result. In fact, the density of the 340 DSM vertices could have been not sufficient to clearly define sub-horizontal edges and so curvature 341 values in these surface areas do not have the correct curvature range. Another possibility is that the chosen curvature thresholds were not suitable to completely detect traces (e.g. thresholds were too high 342 and many vertices were not allowed to be part of the edges). The third reason could instead be that the 343 344 operator, during the manual sampling on the orthophotograph, connected different portions of traces that were not actually connected in the real rock mass, due to perspective distortion and to occlusions. 345 Also, he could have detected "false" traces, due to rock color or shadows. This particular case is 346 referable to the first reason: sub-horizontal discontinuity planes are not well described by the DSM 347 because the model is created using images taken from below, so the relative traces cannot cannot be 348 detected. 349

2500 centers were placed on a regular grid on the sampling plane and, for each one, nine radius values
were used to automatically draw sampling windows and to perform the sampling procedure.

Figure 21: DSM (base 62 m, height 52 m); traces are detected using three different combinations of thresholds $[T_{max};T_{min}]$ a) $T_1=[0.9;-0.7]$, b) $T_2=[0.8;-0.6]$, c) $T_3=[0.7;-0.5]$.

One of the main advantages of the automatic method is the opportunity to have a very large number of sampling windows analyzed in a short time. Table 1 shows the numbers of windows used for the manual sampling on the orthophotograph and the automatic sampling on the DSM, performed on circular windows and circular scanlines. Manual and automatic trace detection needed both about 2 hours; considering only the sampling procedure, the time needed for manual sampling on the orthophotograph was about 5 hours, while the time needed for automatic sampling on the DSM was about 2-3 hours using an Intel 2.8GHz workstation.

Table 1: Number of windows used to calculate parameters with manual method on an orthophoto and with automatic methods on DSM (circular window/scanline, 3 different threshold couples T_1, T_2, T_3).

From the data obtained during the window sampling procedure on the DSM, the previously described parameters (mean trace length μ_l , expected discontinuity diameter E(D) and intensity of rock discontiuity P_{32}) have been automatically calculated using Zhang's and Einstein's (1998, 2000) equations and were compared to those obtained from the orthophotograph. Mean trace lengths were calculated from data obtained considering circular scanlines only using Mauldon's et al. (2001) equation, and were compared to results obtained using Zhang's and Einstein's (1998) equation.

Figure 22 shows the comparison between the values of mean trace lengths μ_l obtained with varying window radius *c*. The orthophoto results are on average 1 m lower than DSM results, but the trends are similar. The three DSM trends have a maximum difference of about 0.6 m for the maximum radius; it is interesting to notice that in this case the smaller thresholds couple (T₃) produces smaller values than T₂. This fact could be the proof that, once the actual amount of traces is recognized and the corresponding μ value is obtained, even decreasing the curvature thresholds and so allowing more vertices to be part of a trace will not increase the mean trace length beyond this value.

Figure 22: Mean trace length calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (1998) from data sampled on
orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples).

Figure 23 shows the comparison between orthophoto and DSM trends of expected discontinuity diameter E(D) when varying window radius *c*. The three DSM trends are similar and their maximum difference is less than 0.2 m. The difference between orthophoto and DSM trends decreases when increasing the radius and they intersect for a radius of about 18 m (that is the maximum radius used in manual sampling). This fact is quite interesting and it suggests that it is important to use large radius values to be sure to obtain data that are representative of the rock mass.

Figure 23: Expected discontinuity diameter calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (2000) from
data sampled on orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples).

Figure 24 shows the comparison between orthophoto and DSM trends of the intensity of rock discontinuities P_{32} , calculated with a cylindrical volume whose base is equal to the windows area and whose depth *h* is equal to 1 m, with varying window radius. The three DSM trends are similar and their maximum difference is about 0.22 m⁻¹. Similar to Figure 23, the difference between orthophoto and DSM trends decreases when increasing the radius and they intersect for a radius of about 12-15 m.

Figure 24: Intensity of rock discontinuities calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (2000) from

data sampled on orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples); cylinder volume depth is equal to 1m.

392

Figure 25 shows the comparison between orthophoto and DSM trends of the intensity of rock discontinuities P_{32} , calculated with a cylindrical volume whose base is equal to the windows area and whose depth *h* is equal to the radius *c* with varying window radius. The trends are similar to a negative exponential function but with different coefficients. This way of calculating P_{32} could be used to define an analytical relation between P_{32} and window radius, that could be easily introduced in a rock mass fracture model.

Figure 25: intensity of rock discontinuity calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (2000) from data
sampled on orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples); cylinder volume depth is equal to radius c.

Figure 26 shows the comparison between the values of mean trace lengths μ_l obtained with circular window (Zhang and Einstein, 1998) and scanline (Mauldon et al., 2001) sampling with varying window radius *c*; sampling has been performed on the traces detected using threshold couple T₁. The window method (ZE) trend is on average 0.5 m lower than scanline (MDR) trend, but they are similar.

Figure 26: Mean trace length calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (1998) and Mauldon et al.
(2001) from data sampled on DSM (threshold couple T₁).

407 **6.** Conclusions

408 The intensity of discontinuities in a rock mass depends on the areas of the discontinuities, which usually cannot be measured. However, they can be estimated on the basis of the discontinuity trace 409 length and the expected diameter, which can be evaluated in a statistical way; two different proposed 410 411 approaches for mean trace length estimation are compared in this paper. However, the soundness of 412 this kind of estimation is based on a reliable sampling method. For this reason a new automatic approach is proposed in this work, which is based on trace mapping and sampling on a DSM. The need 413 for an automatic tool is due to the long time length of the manual operations; meanwhile, a subjective 414 traces evaluation phase is included in the proposed method, to reproduce the manual procedure. A 415 416 manual trace sampling on an orthophoto is available, opening the possibility to validate the proposed 417 procedure. This method only requires the input DSM and two thresholds on principal curvature values, then all the operations are automatically performed. The results depend on the quality of the DSM 418 419 (point cloud density, noise, possible holes in the surface). Compared to the manual trace sampling on the orthophoto, the automatic procedure allows one to quickly perform sampling on a very large 420 number of windows for different locations on the rock face and with different radii; it has also the great 421 422 advantage of using only geometric information, without need to interpret colors and shades as in the manual identification. In this way colors have no influence on the choice of what is a trace or not and 423

424 results are less affected by false traces. At present, considering the obtained results, it is possible to 425 observe that parameter values and trends from orthophoto and DSM are getting closer for larger radius values: this is a very important achievement, especially to prove that the new method can be a useful 426 tool to quickly obtain order of magnitude of parameters values and it becomes more reliable when the 427 428 data sample becomes larger and, consequently, more representative of the rock mass. In other words, 429 although the results obtained with the automatic method differ from the manual ones for small window radii, they come closer as the data are getting closer to the rock mass representative volume and they 430 are therefore more interesting from the scientific point of view. Moreover, the larger differences at 431 432 small radii could also be affected by the presence of false traces identified by the manual procedure: 433 this bias error becomes naturally less influencing when the data sample dimension becomes larger.

However this approach raises new issues, that need to be solved and that will be analyzed with further investigations on this topic. The first one is to define a simple way to decide which curvature threshold couple is best suited to map traces. Considering the similarity of parameter trends (Fig. 22-23-24-25) obtained from DSM data using 3 different curvature threshold couples (Fig. 21), the suggestion could be to define a range of threshold values that allow one to correctly map traces; then it would be possible to define the corresponding range of parameters trends and evaluate its reliability.

In order to define a general method to determine the quoted thresholds, the application of this methodology to other rock masses with different features will be performed and possible relations with classical rock characteristics determined during discontinuities survey will be tested.

443

Ball, G.H., Hall, D.J., 1965. ISODATA, A novel method of data analysis and pattern classification.

- 445 Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, CA, Technical Report AD 699616, 61pp.
- 446 Baltsavias, E.P., 1999. A comparison between photogrammetry and laser scanning. ISPRS. Journal of
- 447 Photogrammetry & Remote Sensing 54 (2-3): 83-94.

- Barrow, H.G., Tenenbaum, J.M., 1981. Interpreting line drawings as three-dimensional surfaces.
 Artificial Intelligence 17: 75-116.
- 450 Canny, J., 1986. A Computational Approach to Edge Detection. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis451 and Machine Intelligence 8(6): 679-698.
- 452 Chen, X., Schmitt, F., 1992. Intrinsic Surface Properties from Surface Triangulation. Proceedings of
- the European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 739-743.
- 454 Dershowitz, W.S., Einstein, H.H., 1988. Characterizing rock joint geometry with joint system models.
- 455 Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering 21: 21-51.
- 456 Dong, C., Wang, G., 2005. Curvatures estimation on triangular mesh. Journal of Zhejiang University
- 457 SCIENCE 6A(Suppl I): 128-136.
- Euler, L., 1760. Recherches sur la courbure des surfaces, Memoires de l'academie des sciences de
 Berlin 16, 1767: 119–143, 1767, http://math.dartmouth.edu/~euler/pages/E333.html.
- 460 Feng, Q., Roshoff, K., 2006. Semi-automatic mapping of discontinuity orientation at rock exposure by
- using 3D laser scanning techniques. The 10th IAEG International Congress, Nottingham, UK. Papernumber 751.
- Ferrero, A., Forlani, G., Roncella, R., Voyat, H., 2009. Advanced geostructural survey methods applied
 to rock mass characterization. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering 42: 631-665.
- Ferrero, A., Umili, G., 2011. Comparison of Methods for Estimating Fracture Size and Intensity:
 Aiguille du Marbrée (Mont Blanc). International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 48:
 1262-1270.
- Fischler, M., Bolles, R., 1981. Random sample consensus: a paradigm for model fitting with
 application to image analysis and automated cartography. Communications of the Association for
 Computing Machinery, 24(6): 381-395.

- 471 Gigli, G., Casagli, N., 2011. Semi-automatic extraction of rock mass structural data from high
 472 resolution LIDAR point clouds. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 48(2):
 473 187-198.
- 474 Haneberg, W.C., 2006. 3-D rock mass characterization using terrestrial digital photogrammetry. AEG
 475 News 49:12–5.
- 476 ISRM, 1978. Commission on standardization of laboratory and field tests. Suggested methods for the
- 477 quantitative description of discontinuities in rock masses. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and
- 478 Mining Sciences and Geomechanics Abstracts 15, 319–368.
- Kemeny, J., Donovan, J., 2005. Rock mass characterization using LiDAR and automated point cloud
 processing. Ground Engineering 38 (11): 26-29.
- 481 Kemeny, J., Post, R., 2003. Estimating three-dimensional rock discontinuity orientation from digital
- 482 images of fracture traces. Computers and Geosciences 29: 65–77.
- 483 Kraus, K., 1993. Photogrammetry, vol 1, 4th edn. In: Dummler F (ed), Bonn. ISBN:3-427-78684-6.
- 484 Kraus, K., Pfeifer, N., 1998. Determination of terrain models in wooded areas with airborne laser
- scanner data. ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry & Remote Sensing, 53 (4): 193–203.
- 486 Kulatilake, P.H.S.W., Wu, T.H., 1984. Estimation of mean trace length of discontinuities. Rock
- 487 Mechanics and Rock Engineering 17: 215-232.
- 488 Lato, M.J., Diederichs, M.S., Hutchinson, D.J., Harrap, R., 2012. Evaluating roadside rockmasses for
- rockfall hazards using LiDAR data: optimizing data collection and processing protocols. Natural
 Hazards 60(3): 831-864.
- Lemy, F., Hadjigeorgiou, J., 2003. Discontinuity trace map construction using photographs of rock
 exposures. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 40: 903–917.
- 493 Lindeberg, T., 2001. Edge detection, in M. Hazewinkel (editor), Encyclopedia of Mathematics,
- 494 Kluwer/Springer, ISBN 1402006098.

- Maerz, N.H., 1990. Photoanalysis of rock fabric. PhD Dissertation, University of Waterloo, Canada,
 227 pp.
- 497 Mauldon, M., Dunne, W.M., Rohrbaugh, M.B.Jr., 2001. Circular scanlines and circular windows: new
- tools for characterizing the geometry of fracture traces. Journal of Structural Geology 23: 247-258.
- 499 Mauldon, M., 1998. Estimating Mean Fracture Trace length and Density from Observations in Convex
- 500 Windows. Rock Mechanics and Rock Engineering 31 (4): 201-216.
- Post, R., Kemeny, J., Murphy, R., 2001. Image processing for automatic extraction of rockjoint
 orientation data from digital images. Proceedings of the 38th US Rock Mechanics Symposium,
 Washington, DC. A.A. Balkema, Rotterdam, pp. 877–884.
- Reid, T.R., Harrison, J.P., 2000. A semi-automated methodology for discontinuity trace detection in
- digital images of rock mass exposures. International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences37: 1073-1089.
- Rohrbaugh, M.B.Jr., Dunne, W.M., Mauldon, M., 2002. Estimating fracture trace intensity, density and
 mean length using circular scan lines and windows. The American Association of Petroleum Geologist,
 vol. 86, no. 12, pp. 2089-2104.
- 510 Roncella, R., Forlani, G., 2005. Extraction of planar patches from point clouds to retrieve dip and dip
- direction of rock discontinuities. ISPRS WG III/3, III/4, V/3 Workshop 'Laser scanning 2005'
 Enschede.
- Slob, S., Hack, H.R.G.K., Feng, Q., Röshoff, K., Turner, A.K., 2007. Fracture mapping using 3D laser
 scanning techniques. Proceedings of the 11th congress of the International Society for Rock
 Mechanics, Lisbon, Portugal. Vol. 1. pp. 299-302.
- 516 Sturzenegger, M., Stead, D., 2009. Close-range terrestrial digital photogrammetry and terrestrial laser
- scanning for discontinuity characterization on rock cuts. Engineering Geology 106: 163–182.

- 518 Trinks, I., Clegg, P., McCaffrey, K., Jones, R., Hobbs, R., Holdsworth, B., 2005. Mapping and 519 analyzing virtual outcrops. Visual Geosciences 10: 13–19.
- 520 Umili, G., 2011. Ricostruzione automatica delle linee di rottura nei Modelli Digitali di Superficie con
 521 applicazioni in ambito geotecnico e architettonico. PhD Dissertation, University of Parma, 127 pp. [in
 522 Italian]
- Wu, H., Pollard, D.D., 1995. An experimental study of the relationship between joint spacing and layer
 thickness. Journal of Structural Geology 17(6): 887-905.
- 525 Zhang, L., Einstein, H.H., 1998. Estimating the Mean Trace Length of Rock Discontinuities. Rock
- 526 Mechanics and Rock Engineering 31 (4): 217-235.
- 527 Zhang, L., Einstein, H.H., 2000. Estimating the intensity of rock discontinuities. International Journal

528 of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 37: 819-837.

529

530 **Image list:**

Table 1: Number of windows used to calculate parameters with manual method on an orthophoto and

with automatic methods on DSM (circular window/scanline, 3 different threshold couples T_1, T_2, T_3).

- Figure 1: Detail of a shaded surface portion of a Digital Surface Model (DSM), representingdiscontinuity planes separated by convex and concave edges.
- 535 Figure 2: Triangulated vertices which constitute portion of DSM in Fig.1; a) high resolution DSM
- produces a better representation of edges than b) low resolution.
- Figure 3: Example of convex and concave edges detected on test-object: DSM stairs is composed by
 116601 points and 231909 triangles.
- 539 Figure 4: Definition of principal curvature of a vertex P of a surface requires definition of normal N
- and normal plane π . a) Plane π_1 generates a curve C_1 whose osculating circle in P has a radius r_1 ; b)

plane π_2 is obtained rotating π_1 about the normal N; it generates a curve C_2 whose osculating circle in P has a radius r_2 greater than r_1 .

Figure 5: a) One-ring neighborhood of vertex P is formed by all the triangles that contain P; b) two-ring
neighborhood of P is composed by one-ring triangles and triangles that contain at least 1 vertex
belonging to one-ring neighborhood.

- 546 Figure 6: Example of a (a) convex and a (b) concave edge.
- 547 Figure 7: Threshold T_{max} on maximum principal curvature values allows one to extract convex edges.
- 548 Number of accepted vertices (black) changes with threshold: (a) $T_{max} = 0.1$ (b) $T_{max} = 1$. A higher value

of T_{max} corresponds to a smaller number of accepted vertices, namely a thinner band along the edges.

550 Figure 8: Threshold T_{min} on minimum principal curvature values allows one to extract concave edges.

The number of accepted vertices (black) changes with threshold: (a) $T_{min} = -0.1$ (b) $T_{min} = -1$. A higher absolute value of T_{min} corresponds to a smaller number of accepted vertices, namely a thinner band along the edges.

Figure 9: Path segmentation with RANSAC algorithm; example of a path interpolated by 3 different lines and split in 3 parts; each part can be approximated by a segment.

556 Figure 10: DSM stairs: edges have been correctly classified into 3 clusters: edges along X (K₁), edges

along Z (K_2), edges along Y (K_3); each edge is colored depending on the cluster to which it belongs.

- Figure 11: Example of an edge projected on sampling plane π_c ; also DSM boundary vertices and possible holes are projected.
- Figure 12: Creation of the grid and calculation of maximum radius c.

561 Figure 13: Creation of concentric windows/scanlines of different radii. Dotted circle 1 will not be used

in sampling procedure because it is not completely within the boundary; dotted circle 2 will not be used

563 because it contains a hole.

Figure 14: Traces, i.e. mapped projected edges on sampling plane, are sampled using circularwindows/scanlines.

- Figure 15: Classification of traces visible on a sampling window, laying on the sampling plane π_c : type
- N_0 (dark grey), N_1 (light grey) and N_2 (grey) with respect to the considered window. Dashed traces will
- not be sampled because they cannot be classified.
- Figure 16: Example of regular grid composed by 6 nodes; each one is center of 4 circular windows withdifferent radius values.
- 571 Figure 17: Classification of traces points: *n* traces intersecting *scanline* (grey); *m* traces end points
- 572 within circular area (black).
- 573 Figure 18: Orthophotograph (base 90 m, height 60 m) with mapped traces.
- 574 Figure 19: Mapped traces are sampled using 20 circular windows.
- 575 Figure 20: a) Orthophotograph, b) DSM (base 62 m, height 52 m, 163963 vertices); white rectangular
- 576 window on the left is common portion of rock mass between 2D orthophotograph and 3D model.
- 577 Figure 21: DSM (base 62 m, height 52 m); traces are detected using three different combinations of
- 578 thresholds $[T_{max};T_{min}]$ a) $T_1=[0.9;-0.7]$, b) $T_2=[0.8;-0.6]$, c) $T_3=[0.7;-0.5]$.
- Figure 22: Mean trace length calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (1998) from data sampled on
 orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples).
- Figure 23: Expected discontinuity diameter calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (2000) from
 data sampled on orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples).
- 583 Figure 24: Intensity of rock discontinuities calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (2000) from
- data sampled on orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples); cylinder volume depth is equal to 1m.
- 585 Figure 25: intensity of rock discontinuity calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (2000) from data
- sampled on orthophoto and on DSM (3 threshold couples); cylinder volume depth is equal to radius c.

- 587 Figure 26: Mean trace length calculated according to Zhang and Einstein (1998) and Mauldon et al.
- 588 (2001) from data sampled on DSM (threshold couple T_1).