1. Problem 3.3-1.

2. Problem 3.3-2. *Hint*: Proposition 3.4.1 relates to this.

The remaining three problems relate to what are called *location-scale* families of densities on one-dimensional space. Namely, if f is a probability density on the line (with respect to Lebesgue measure, dF(x) = f(x)dx), then for  $-\infty < \mu < \infty$  and  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ , letting  $\theta$  be the 2-dimensional parameter  $(\mu, \sigma)$ , we have the family of laws  $P_{\theta}$  with densities  $f(\theta, x) = \sigma^{-1} f((x - \mu)/\sigma)$ .

3. (a) Show that if f is a probability density then so is  $f(\theta, x)$  for any  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$  with  $0 < \sigma < \infty$ .

(b) If the distribution with density f has mean 0 and variance 1, show that the distribution with density  $f(\theta, \cdot)$  has mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

(c) If the distribution with density f has a unique median at 0, show that the one with density  $f(\theta, \cdot)$  has a unique median at  $\mu$ .

4. Recall the double-exponential distribution with density  $f(x) = e^{-|x|}/2$  for all real x, and that we form h functions in the "log likelihood case" (Sec. 3.3, p.1) as  $h(\theta, x) = -\log f(\theta, x)$ . So we get the h function from the location-scale family of this distribution

$$h(\theta, x) = c + \frac{1}{\sigma} \left| \frac{x - \mu}{\sigma} \right|$$

for a constant c. In the pure location case ( $\sigma \equiv 1$ ) this h function was useful and robust and gave us the median location estimator. Show however that in this location-scale case, it is not adjustable for any f such that  $\int |x| f(x) dx = +\infty$ , such as the Cauchy density in the next problem (assumption (A-3) doesn't hold). *Hint*: see Lemma 3.3.8.

5. Begin with the Cauchy density  $f(x) = 1/(\pi(1+x^2))$  for all real x and form a locationscale family from it as mentioned above.

(a) Show that in this case the corresponding h function is adjustable for an arbitrary probability law P on the real line. *Hints*: again use Lemma 3.3.8. It is enough to show that for each  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)$ ,  $h(\theta, x) - a(x)$  is a bounded function of x. Show that the partial derivatives of h with respect to  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  are bounded when  $\mu$  is bounded and  $\sigma$  is bounded away from 0 and  $\infty$ .

(b) Show however that if  $P({x_0}) > 1/2$  for some  $x_0$ , then (A-4) fails: there is no pseudotrue  $\theta_0$  in the parameter space. *Hint*: consider  $\mu = x_0$  and  $\sigma$  decreasing toward 0.