

APPENDIX A. UNIQUENESS OF LIKELIHOOD RATIOS

Let  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  be a measurable space. For two measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mu$  is said to be *absolutely continuous* with respect to  $\nu$  if for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\nu(B) = 0$  implies  $\mu(B) = 0$ . Recall that the measure  $\nu$  is called  $\sigma$ -finite if  $X$  is the union of a sequence of sets  $B_n \in \mathcal{B}$  with  $\nu(B_n) < \infty$ . Recall also that by the Radon-Nikodym theorem (e.g. RAP, Theorem 5.5.4), if  $\mu$  is finite (i.e.  $\mu(X) < \infty$ ),  $\nu$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, and  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ , then there is an integrable function  $d\mu/d\nu := f \geq 0$  such that

$$\int_B f d\nu = \mu(B) \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Then for any integrable function  $g$  for  $\mu$ ,

$$(A.1) \quad \int gf d\nu = \int g d\mu;$$

this is true for indicator functions  $f = 1_B$ , thus for simple functions, then by monotone convergence for nonnegative measurable functions, then by linearity for any  $\mu$ -integrable function.

Now, if  $\kappa$  is a finite measure absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , then letting  $g := 1_B d\kappa/d\mu$  for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , which is  $\mu$ -integrable, we get from (A.1)

$$\int_B \frac{d\kappa}{d\mu} \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} d\nu = \int_B \frac{d\kappa}{d\mu} d\mu = \kappa(B).$$

It follows that a.e.  $\nu$ , i.e. almost everywhere for  $\nu$ ,

$$(A.2) \quad \frac{d\kappa}{d\nu} = \frac{d\kappa}{d\mu} \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}.$$

Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be probability measures on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$  and for a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\nu$  such that  $P$  and  $Q$  are both absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ , a form of likelihood ratio of  $Q$  to  $P$  is defined by

$$R_{Q/P, \nu}(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{dQ/d\nu}{dP/d\nu}(x), & \text{if } (dP/d\nu)(x) > 0 \\ +\infty, & \text{if } (dQ/d\nu)(x) > 0 = (dP/d\nu)(x) \\ 0, & \text{if } (dQ/d\nu)(x) = (dP/d\nu)(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The likelihood ratio actually doesn't depend on  $\nu$ :

**A.3 Theorem.** If  $P$  and  $Q$  are probability measures on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , both absolutely continuous with respect to  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\nu$  and  $\tau$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B})$ , then

$$R_{Q/P, \nu}(x) = R_{Q/P, \tau}(x)$$

for  $(P + Q)$ -almost all  $x$ .

**Proof.** We can assume  $\tau = P + Q$ , which is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ . Then by (A.2),

$$(A.4) \quad \frac{dP}{d\nu} = \frac{dP}{d\tau} \frac{d\tau}{d\nu} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dQ}{d\nu} = \frac{dQ}{d\tau} \frac{d\tau}{d\nu}, \quad \nu\text{-almost everywhere.}$$

Thus

$$R_{Q/P,\nu} = \frac{dQ/d\nu}{dP/d\nu} = \frac{dQ/d\tau}{dP/d\tau} = R_{Q/P,\tau}$$

on the set where  $d\tau/d\nu > 0$  and  $dP/d\tau > 0$ ;  $\nu$ -almost everywhere on this set,  $dP/d\nu > 0$  by (A.4). On the set where  $d\tau/d\nu > 0 = dP/d\tau$ , we have almost everywhere for  $\nu$ ,  $dQ/d\nu > 0 = dP/d\nu$ , so  $R_{Q/P,\nu} = +\infty = R_{Q/P,\tau}$ . The set where  $d\tau/d\nu = 0$  has  $(P + Q)$ -measure 0, so  $R_{Q/P,\nu} = R_{Q/P,\tau}$  almost everywhere for  $P + Q$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $R_{Q/P}$  was defined in Sec. 1.1 as  $R_{Q/P,\tau}$  for  $\tau = P + Q$ . Thus Theorem A.3 gives  $R_{Q/P,\nu} = R_{Q/P}$   $(P + Q)$ -almost everywhere, for any  $\sigma$ -finite  $\nu$  dominating  $P$  and  $Q$ .