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ABSTRACT

An apparatus for the measurement of adsorbed/entrapped oxygen held by dehydrated powdered products was developed and is described in detail. The method works on the principle of sample solubilization in deaerated water with compression of the liberated gases into a calibrated chamber containing an oxygen probe. When tested on nonfat dry milk, the coefficient of variation for the method is 20%.

Kinetic studies using this apparatus were performed on a model system consisting of methyl linoleate dispersed on Avicel microcrystalline cellulose and nonfat dry milk at headspace oxygen concentrations of 0.52% - 10.69%. Excellent correlation was found in the model system between diene conjugation values and oxygen uptake as measured by the apparatus. Correlation coefficients between the two methods were in the range .987 - .997.

Bimolecular kinetics were found to apply to both the model system and the milk-lipid system with K_B's within the range expected from studies done by previous workers at higher O_2 pressures. The K_B's for the cellulose model system were found to be 5 to 6 times greater than those in the milk based system. This was due partly to encapsulation of lipids in the milk during preparation and partly due to smaller surface area in the milk-based system.

Mathematical models for the oxidation rate constants as a function of headspace O_2 concentrations were derived for the two systems.

Thesis Supervisor: Dr. Marcus Karel
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1. INTRODUCTION

Given the complex nature of deteriorative reactions in foods, identifying and quantifying the causal factors leading to undesirable changes can be very difficult, if not impossible to do.

Lipid oxidation is a major cause of quality deterioration in dehydrated foods containing fats or oils with unsaturated fatty acid moieties. Oxygen can react with these fatty acids leading to the formation of low molecular weight compounds with objectionable odors detectable in the parts per million or parts per billion ranges (Labuza, 1971).

A common method of controlling the oxidation reaction is to deplete the concentration of oxygen in the headspace of the food package by vacuum or nitrogen packing. Industrially, the concentration of oxygen is never decreased to zero by these methods and residual oxygen adsorbed or entrapped within the food material itself may be present. This small amount of oxygen present in the food package may be responsible for initiating oxidation. Since lipid oxidation occurs via a chain reaction mechanism, initiation of the reaction must be avoided to maintain product quality.

The work in this thesis is aimed at the goal of quantifying the effect of low concentrations of headspace oxygen on the rate of reaction of methyl linoleate dispersed on Avicel microcrystalline cellulose and on nonfat dry milk at the
following conditions: Temperature = 37°C, $a_w = \text{dry}$, initial linoleate concentration ($C_0$) = 0.1 g oil/g powder, and headspace oxygen concentrations of 0.52 – 10.69 % $O_2$.

In order to study the reaction at such conditions, we constructed an apparatus capable of measuring the low headspace oxygen concentrations as well as the adsorbed/entrapped oxygen.
2. LITERATURE REVIEW

In this literature review three areas affecting oxidation of lipids in foods are reviewed. Firstly, available methods of oxygen uptake determination are examined. Secondly, adsorbed/entrapped oxygen in dehydrated systems, method of measurement of this gas, and a comparison of these methods is discussed. Lastly, the broader question of the effect of oxygen pressure on oxidation of foods, model systems, and of pure compounds is reviewed.

2.1. Oxygen Uptake Measurement

By far the most popular method of direct oxygen uptake determination is the Warburg manometric technique. This is a constant volume method in which the pressure inside the reaction flask changes as oxygen is consumed. The pressure differential is observed on a manometer connected to the flask on one arm and the atmosphere on the other. Variations in ambient temperature are controlled by immersion of the flasks in a controlled temperature bath and atmospheric pressure variations accounted for by control manometers. Gases evolved during the progress of the reaction will give erroneous results if not accounted for. One such gas is carbon dioxide which can be absorbed in alkali. Other gases may be harder to correct for.
Umbreit et al. (1964) is a standard reference for work with the Warburg manometer and should be consulted for application to specific food systems. The Warburg method is useful at high oxygen concentrations and many authors have described their work with this apparatus (Labuza et al., 1968; Labuza et al., 1971; Maloney et al., 1966).

To study oxygen uptake at low partial pressures of oxygen, the Warburg method has several drawbacks which make its use much more tedious. If the oxidative reaction is slow, the method is not sensitive enough to provide data in a short time. Conversely, if the reaction if fast, the oxygen concentration inside the small flask will also change quickly, thus affecting the rate of the reaction and nullifying a static experiment requiring a known (and somewhat constant) headspace oxygen concentration. Karel (1960) modified the Warburg apparatus for use of low O₂ pressures by using vacuum as the reference instead of atmosphere pressure. Marcuse and Fredriksson (1968) and Marcuse et al. (1964) also describe a modification to the Warburg apparatus which allowed its use at oxygen concentration below 2%. In this system, as oxygen was consumed, it was replaced electrolytically. The electrolytic current was recorded automatically as a function of time of oxidation and the amount of oxygen to be replaced calculated from Faraday's Law of Electrolysis. The apparatus was a completely closed system independent of atmospheric pressure.

Another method of oxygen uptake study is through the use
of oxygen electrodes. These electrodes have been used mainly in the fields of food analysis, biology, medicine, and biochemical engineering (Borkowski and Johnson, 1967; Flynn et al., 1967; Halback, 1977; Hospodka and Caslavsky, 1964; Johnson et al., 1964; Krebs and Haddad, 1972; Koch and Kruuv, 1972; Kok and Zajic, 1973; Kok and Zajic, 1975). Oxygen probes have also been used in the measurement of oxygen in silage (Rees, 1981), natural and wastewaters (Gilbert et al., 1982), for theoretical studies (Rutchi et al., 1981) and in food oxidation (Fioriti, 1977; Fischer and Deng, 1977; Mack et al., 1976; Pominski et al., 1975; Quast and Karel, 1971; Quast and Karel, 1972a; Riemer, 1977; Saguy et al., 1983; Spiehler, 1971; Teixeira-Neto, 1981).

The oxygen electrode is an amperometric system with a cathode consisting of Au, Pt, or Ag and an anode of Pb, Cd, Ag/Ag₂O, or Ag/AgCl. Oxygen is reduced at the cathode via the following reaction: \( O_2 + 2H_2O + 4e^- = 4OH^- \). A potential of 0.5 to 0.8 volt is applied to the electrode and upon oxygen reduction, a current flows which is proportional to the concentration of oxygen in the cell.

Historically, oxygen electrodes can be divided into two types: membrane covered electrodes, and exposed cathode systems which include the dropping mercury electrode (DME) and platinum micro-electrodes. Examples of the use of the DME are Petering and Daniels (1938) and Sidwell et al. (1962). There are several disadvantages to the DME for use in food systems
including the following: a) the electrode is restricted to dissolved oxygen measurement, b) the test medium must be conductive, c) the flow rate must be constant, and d) poisoning of the cathode can alter the calibration by reducing the active electrode area.

The most significant advancement in the area of oxygen electrodes came when Clark (1953) introduced an electrode in which the anode, cathode, and electrolyte were isolated from the test medium by a gas permeable-ion impermeable polymeric membrane. This electrode could be used for gas phase as well as dissolved $O_2$ readings and was independent of the medium into which it was immersed. The concentration of oxygen in the cell is determined by the partial pressure of oxygen outside the cell and thusly dependent upon the permeability characteristics of the film. Watanabe and Leonard (1957) identified polyethylene, teflon, polyvinyl chloride, natural rubber, and silicone rubber as having sufficient permeability to be useful. Of these, polyethylene had the best calibration stability and silicone rubber the worst. The performance characteristics of the membrane covered probes have been reviewed (Koch and Kruuv, 1972; Krebs and Haddad, 1972; Watanabe and Leonard, 1957).

Among the difficulties encountered was the large background current (also called zero, offset, or nitrogen current). The four major sources of this current are: electrochemically active impurities in the electrolyte, electrical leakage, incorrect polarizing voltage, and back diffusion of oxygen
Krebs and Haddad, 1972). Koch and Kruuv (1972) address the zero current issue and describe ways of reducing this problem. Gases which reduce at the voltages used and thus cause current to flow will interfere with the O₂ readings. For this reason, gases of SO₂, Cl₂, Br₂, I₂, and oxides of nitrogen should be avoided. Mercaptants and H₂S have a poisoning effect on the sensors but will not seriously affect liquid phase measurements (Anon., 1967).

Little work has been done using the electrodes at low oxygen pressures. The primary reason for this is that few oxidation studies at low oxygen pressures using O₂ uptake as a variable have been performed. Other problems with the use of these probes at low pressures are: the pressure of the system may affect the physical properties of the membrane and thus change its permeability, or, the membrane housing itself may not be built to support vacuum thus allowing for membrane-dislodge and subsequent loss of electrolyte.

The most significant study of oxidation at low oxygen pressure using a polarographic probe is that of Teixeira-Neto (1981). In this study, oxygen uptake was monitored as a function of time in a model system containing β-carotene. Several probe-related difficulties were encountered including calibration stability and problems with soluble oxygen measurement (Teixeira-Neto, 1978).

Gas chromatographic analysis for oxygen and carbon dioxide determination has been described by various authors (Bishov and
Henick, 1966; Fioriti et al., 1975; Fioriti, 1977; Karel et al., 1963; Ramstack et al., 1979; Saguy et al., 1983; Toumy et al., 1969; Widomski and Thompson, 1979). This is a relatively quick and simple method with applications to food oxidation study. The basic method involves sampling of the headspace gas through a port, septum, or other opening with subsequent injection into the GC column. A thermal conductivity detector measures the gases eluting from the column. The retention time of each peak and the peak area are used to identify and determine the quantity of each gas in the original sample. An obvious and important advantage of GC over the above mentioned methods is the ability to measure CO$_2$. Carbon dioxide can be evolved in oxidizing foods and if not accounted for can lead to errors in volumetric measurements.

Another method useful for determination of gases inside containers involves the use of mass spectrometry. Much of the work in this area has been done with fermentations (Heinzle and Lafferty, 1980; Pungor et al., 1980; Reuss et al., 1975). One early paper (Woldring, 1966) described blood gas analysis using an MS. Although no work has yet been reported in food systems, mass spectrometry has potential in this area due to its ability to measure a variety of volatile compounds in both the gas and liquid phases. Also, Weaver et al. (1976) described how immobilized enzymes can be used to convert non-volatile compounds of interest into MS-measurable volatile compounds.

The Quadrapole Mass Spectrometer (QMS) can be used as a
programmable detector (Pungor et al., 1980) since it rapidly responds to a control voltage with the ion (or mass) peak measured proportional to the control voltage. In this way, one can select ion peaks to be monitored in a mass spectrum by the use of software. The determination is rapid (a few minutes), is reproducible, and shows good correlation with GC methods.

The use of glucose oxidase (E.C. 1.1.3.4) to assay for dissolved oxygen is widely used (Capietti et al., 1977). However, the method has several drawbacks, the principal one being the high concentration of enzyme necessary to reach an appreciable rate of reaction. In order to get around this restriction, Capietti et al. (1977) described a method of oxygen determination using ascorbate oxidase (E.C. 1.10.3.3); an enzyme which stoichiometrically and specifically catalyzes the direct reduction of oxygen to water in the presence of L-ascorbic acid. The amount of this enzyme needed is one-fortieth that of glucose oxidase and has better pH and temperature stability.

2.2. Adsorbed/Entrapped Oxygen

Dehydrated food packaged under vacuum or nitrogen atmosphere may contain entrapped or adsorbed gas which is almost impossible to remove completely (Haller and Holm, 1947; Tamsma et al., 1967). This gas may be held by physical entrapment, chemisorption, or to a lesser degree by physical adsorp-
tion (Sidwell et al., 1962). The oxygen content of this gas could be responsible for initiating or promoting deteriorative reactions in oxygen sensitive components of dehydrated foods.

The composition of the entrapped gas varies somewhat with the storage time in an atmosphere of air (Haller and Holm, 1947). Their results showed that the entrapped gas contained 22 to 39% oxygen. A very recent study by Saguy and his co-workers (Saguy et al., 1983) reports a lower percent oxygen in a number of different foods. Their results show a range from 12.69 to 22.83% O₂.

The amount of oxygen held by dehydrated products maintained at different levels of moisture is still a matter of controversy. Some authors (Haller and Holm, 1947) reported that more oxygen was sorbed at lower moisture levels than at higher ones by dehydrated foods. Other authors (Sidwell et al., 1962) reported different oxygen-moisture sorption functionalities for different products. Sidwell and co-workers suggested that foods stable to oxidation would have more oxygen sorbed/entrapped at very low moisture levels (specifically, below the monolayer moisture value). Those products sensitive to oxidation would not show this trend due to consumption of the oxygen by sensitive components.

Methods of measuring the entrapped/adsorbed gas and determining its composition were reviewed by Coulter et al. (1951). Among the earlier methods of sorbed gas removal, that of Haller and Holm (1947) is significant as applied to dry milk. Their
system involved evacuation of the sample for a desired period of time and to desired vacuum. This was followed by heating the sample to 70°C (or higher) for a period of about 6 hours with removal of the evolved gases every 30 minutes. This method was inconvenient due to the long determination time and large sample size (200 g). Also, the prolonged heating had the potential of causing deterioration (e.g., oxidation, nonenzymatic browning) in food.

Hetrick and Tracy (1948) used a manometric method for measurement of the sorbed gases from whole milk. This method entailed weighing of the whole milk powder (about 240 g) into cans to a packing density of 0.5 grams per milliliter of container volume. The manometer was affixed to the can and a vacuum pulled. After approximately 2 minutes, the stopcock connecting the pump, can, and manometer was turned to connect the can and manometer only. As gas desorbed, the pressure in the manometer increased slowly to a constant value at equilibrium. The concentration of oxygen was found to be greater than 21 percent, thus agreeing with Haller and Holm. They postulated that the "extra" O₂ corresponded to that dissolved in the liquid milk before spray drying. Problems with this method included large sample size needed, extremely long waiting times for equilibrium, and inability to measure the sorbed gas still on the powder at equilibrium conditions.

Methods involving the dissolution of the powder in a liquid and measurement of the evolved gas include Muers and
Anderson (1944), Rutgers (1947), Sidwell et al. (1962), Verhey and Lammers (1970), Teixeira-Neto (1978), and Saguy et al. (1983).

Muers and Anderson (1944) used a method involving the introduction of a suspension of the powder in propanol into boiling water with transference of the liberated gas to a graduated tube for measurement. A problem with this method was the difficulty encountered in keeping the propanol suspension free of air bubbles. The blanks were consequently found to be high and it became uncertain that the amount of gas in the suspension equalled the residual gas.

The method of Rutgers (1957) involved the dissolution of milk powder in oxygen-free water and measurement of the evolved gases in a calibrated tube at atmospheric pressure. Duplicate determinations showed a variation of 0.0-1.5 ml per 100 grams powder, but this figure sometimes increased to 3-4 ml per 100 grams powder. This was explained by variation in the vacuum pulled or in the blanks used. It should be noted that the oxygen present in the water (milk) phase was not measured but assumed negligible through a Henry's Law calculation. Also, the length of time of evacuation was noted as a very important variable with respect to amount of oxygen desorbed. Differences in amount of evolved gases of up to 20% were found between 15 minutes and 5 minutes of evacuation.

Sidwell et al. (1962) used a polarographic method for the measurement of molecular oxygen in some dehydrated foods.
This method consisted of introducing a 1 gram sample of pulverized food into a 1 oz. jar containing 30 ml of deoxygenated 0.1 N potassium chloride solution. Measurements were made with a dropping mercury electrode and with a platinum cathode-silver anode probe covered by a polyethylene membrane. Values of 0.05 to 6.0 μM oxygen per gram of sample were reported. The maximum reproducibility of their method was ± 0.05 μM O₂ per gram for duplicate samples. In this work, they also analyzed the effects of moisture content, processing, and headspace gas composition on the molecular oxygen content.

The method of Verhey and Lammers (1970) involved replacement of the flask's headspace gas with carbon dioxide. Ethanol and water were drawn into the flask to disintegrate the particles and liberate the residual gas. The gas was transferred to a burette in which the CO₂ was removed with alkali and the remaining gas measured at 20°C. The amount of oxygen in the gas was determined by pyrolgallol. In a sixfold analysis, a powder yielded residual gas volumes of 4.3 to 5.4 ml gas/100 grams powder with a standard deviation of 0.08 ml/100 g. With different samples, it was found that the standard deviation increased with increasing residual gas volumes. For example, with gas volumes below 5 ml gas/100 g the standard deviation was 0.11 ml/100 g, whereas for gas volumes of 5-22 ml/100 g, a standard deviation of 0.41 ml/100 g was found.

Teixeira-Neto (1978) described a method based upon the evacuation of the sample for 2-3 minutes, followed by addition
of deaerated water to the solubilize the sample and thus release the entrapped gas. The headspace was compressed to 1 atmosphere and the oxygen concentration determined via an oxygen probe. The oxygen in the water was also measured. Values of entrapped $O_2$ were reported for starch, Avicel, and milk powder. For these products, values were in the range of 0.26 $\mu$M $O_2$/gram (Avicel) to 1.25 $\mu$M $O_2$/g (instant low-fat dry milk). Coefficients of variation were in the range 4.6-14.0%.

Problems listed by Teixeira-Neto were: (1) leaks, (2) oxygen in the deaerated water, (3) clumping of the sample, (4) calibration of the probe, and (5) measurement of soluble oxygen.

Saguy et al. (1983) describe a modification of the Teixeira-Neto system involving the use of a gas chromatograph to measure the evolved gases after solubilizing the sample in deaerated water. Analysis of $CO_2$ as well as $O_2$ is reported. $O_2$ in the water is determined by an oxygen probe, however, it should be noted that the $CO_2$ in the water is not determined.

Four commercial spray dried skim milk powders, one whole milk powder, apple base, banana base, carrot, honey, molasses, and orange base were tested. Potato powder and freeze-dried red beet powder were also analyzed. Values of entrapped/adsorbed gas for different spray dried milk powders ranged from 0.72-27.01 ml gas/100 g with standard deviations of 0.12-0.61 ml/100 g.

In the latter study, the authors also distinguish two general types of powders based upon residual gas found as a
function of time of evacuation. Type I (e.g. milk powder, carrot base, beet powder) powders show a constant, nonzero amount of residual gas left after about 5 minutes of evacuation. Type II (e.g. instant potatoes) exhibit a markedly different behavior in that the time of evacuation determines the residual gas liberated by this procedure. The technological impact of type II powder is that a thorough evacuation of this type of food can potentially exclude all the retained gas, thus enhancing the stability.

2.3. Oxygen Uptake of Oxidizing Lipids as a Function of Oxygen Partial Pressure

This part of the literature survey reviews work on oxidizing lipids in pure systems, model systems, and food.

2.3.1. Pure Compounds

Henderson and Young (1942) conducted an investigation of the effect of very high oxygen partial pressures on purified oleic acid at high temperature. They used oxygen partial pressures of 0.21, 0.5, 0.75, and 1.0 atm. The temperature was 80°C. Under these somewhat severe conditions, they found that while the induction period was unaffected by different oxygen concentrations, the rate was a function of O₂. Specifically, they found the rate of oxidation at 0.21 atm. to be about half that at 1 atm. Based on the kinetic data, they derived the equation,
\[-d(O_2)/dt = C_1 + C_2(\text{peroxide})(O_2)^{1/2}\]

where:

\((O_2) = \text{concentration of oxygen}\)

\(t = \text{time}\)

\(C_1 & C_2 = \text{empirical constants}\)

A series of studies performed at the British Rubber Producers Association during the period 1940-1953 laid the basic groundwork for subsequent studies of the oxidation of unsaturated oils. Bolland (1949), Bateman (1954), and Lundberg (1954) have written reviews of their work during this period. These workers set forth the chain reaction mechanism of oxidizing olefins and this work, applied to oils serves as the basic theory of lipid oxidation. Karel (1960) and Labuza (1971) present reviews of lipid oxidation kinetics and mechanism.

Oxygen partial pressure functionality on ethyl esters of unsaturated fatty acids is treated by the above-mentioned workers in several papers (Batemen, 1954; Bolland, 1946; Bolland and Gee, 1946; Bolland, 1949). With the reaction vessels shaken to avoid oxygen diffusion limitations, the following conclusions were arrived at:

(1) The following equation represented the oxygen functionality:
\[-d(O_2)/dt = k(RH)(ROOH)f(PO_2)\]

where:
\[f(PO_2) = PO_2/(n + PO_2)\]
\[(RH) = \text{concentration of unoxidized fatty acid}\]
\[(ROOH) = \text{concentration of hydroperoxide}\]
\[PO_2 = \text{partial pressure of oxygen (mm Hg)}\]
\[n, k = \text{constants}\]

(2) Little effect of $PO_2$ on rate of oxidation at $45^\circ C$ was found until $PO_2$ of less than 50 mm Hg were used.

(3) The dependence of oxygen absorption rates on the partial pressure of oxygen increased with increasing temperatures.

(4) The more reactive the compound studied, the more dependent its rate of oxidation was on oxygen partial pressure.

In contrast to the work of Bolland, where oxygen diffusional limitations were considered negligible, Karel (1960) undertook an extensive study of the role of oxygen partial pressure on linoleic acid oxidation under conditions of limited surface area. He followed oxygen absorption in samples of 2 ml volume and ranging from 0.515 cm$^2$ to 12.6 cm$^2$ surface area. Oxygen partial pressures were varied over the range 5 mm Hg to 735 mm Hg. The following conclusions were derived from the data:
(1) After an initial lag period, the amount of oxygen absorbed increased linearly with time. This linear relationship could be explained by the rate of oxygen diffusion being the limiting factor.

(2) The rate of oxidation vs. partial pressure of oxygen data made up a family of curves described by the following equation:

\[ R_0 = \frac{1}{k_1 + k_2/\overline{P_{O_2}}} \]

where:

- \( R_0 \) = steady rate of oxygen absorption (cc/hr)
- \( \overline{P_{O_2}} \) = average partial pressure of \( O_2 \) (mm Hg)
- \( k_1 \) = constant (hr/cc)
- \( k_2 \) = constant (hr)(mm Hg)/cc

This equation was obtained by plotting \( 1/R_0 \) vs. \( 1/\overline{P_{O_2}} \) and evaluating the constants from the slope and y-intercept of the resulting straight line.

(3) The dependence of the rates of oxidation on the oxygen pressure was most pronounced at the lowest surface area.

(4) Comparing the rates of oxidation at different temperatures, it was found that oxygen pressure has less
effect on the relative rate at 37°C than at 57°C. This was also found in the studies in well-agitated vessels (Bateman, 1954; Bolland, 1949).

(5) Overall, a much greater effect of oxygen pressure on rate was found in this study than in Bolland and co-workers' studies. Due to the oxygen diffusion limitations, these results are in accord with theoretical expectations.

Emulsions of fatty acids and esters were studied by Marcuse and Fredriksson (1968, 1969) at low oxygen partial pressure. They were able to show that the dependence of the rate of fat oxidation on oxygen pressure in emulsions was in part attributable to conditions inherent in the chain reacting system and in part due to the limiting effect of diffusion of oxygen. The results of Bolland and Karel were confirmed with respect to various factors: (a) greater substrate reactivity meant greater $P_{O_2}$ dependence, (b) increasing temperature meant increasing $P_{O_2}$ dependence, (c) lowering the pH from 6 to 5 decreased the rate of reaction and also increased the oxygen dependence. It was also found that the degree of rate limitation due to slow diffusion of oxygen increased with decreasing oxygen concentration. Both the expressions for rate = $f(P_{O_2})$ of Bolland and Karel imply a straight line when $1/rate$ vs. $1/P_{O_2}$ is plotted. Marcuse and Fredriksson found that their data plotted in this manner tended to deviate from a straight
line. Only during an early period (e.g. up to a rate of 40 \(\text{mm}^3\text{O}_2/\text{hr}\)) could a straight line be drawn. Above this, they argued, the diffusional limitation causes deviation from a straight line.

In terms of antioxidant effectiveness on emulsions of linoleic acid at reduced \(\text{PO}_2\), Marcuse and Fredriksson (1969) found that phenolic inhibitors (\(\alpha\)-tocopherol, BHA, PG) formed an induction period which was, in general, not dependent on oxygen pressure. Oxidative rate retardation caused by amino acids (glycine, alanine, histidine, tryptophan) was stronger at low oxygen pressure than in air. A synergistic effect was found when the two types of inhibitors were added in combination, and this effect considerably enhanced at low oxygen pressure.

2.3.2. Model Systems

Model systems simulating foods can be used to study reactions of components of interest without worrying about other food constituents complicating the system. By virtue of this fact, however, caution must be used when extrapolating from model systems to real foods.

Karel (1960) investigated the effect of partial pressure of oxygen on the oxidation of linoleic acid dispersed on powdered cellulose at three oxygen pressures: 30 mm Hg, 130 mm Hg, and 730 mm Hg. He found that the oxidation rates at the
three different pressures were almost identical and thus concluded that under conditions in which unsaturated fatty acids are dispersed on porous materials, oxygen diffusion is almost completely unhindered. Karel's results were similar to those in rapidly shaken vessels (Bateman, 1954; Bolland, 1946).

Marcuse (1967) studied the effect of low oxygen pressure on freeze-dried model systems consisting of linoleic acid as substrate with cellulose, starch, gelatin, albumin, and casein as supporting materials. The concentrations used were 1:1 lipid to supporting material except for gelatin which was 0.2:1. In accordance with Karel's conclusions (see above paragraph), oxidation of linoleic acid on the powdered support was much less dependent on P0₂ than linoleic acid in bulk. Marcuse also found that the rate decreasing effect of lowered P0₂ is influenced by the type of supporting material. The oxidation kinetics of the oil dispersed on starch and casein were more a function of oxygen pressure than the oil on cellulose. The oxygen functionality of freeze-dried model systems containing various metal ions was also investigated by Marcuse (Marcuse, 1967). When the oxygen content was lowered to 0.5% O₂, the effect of Cu²⁺ became strongly antioxidant on cellulose and starch while on casein it was prooxidative. On cellulose, Mn²⁺ had an antioxidative effect while no effect was found with Co²⁺ and Fe²⁺.

2.3.3. Food Systems
The area of lipid oxidation in dehydrated foods at low \( \text{PO}_2 \) is a poorly studied area from the point of view of kinetics. As seen in the previous section of this review, dehydrated systems tend to have large surface areas, thus necessitating extremely low oxygen concentrations to significantly alter the rate. As previously discussed, studies at such low \( \text{PO}_2 \) require special equipment to perform.

As reviewed by Labuza (1971), the major work done on oxygen level on stability of dried milk was done with foam and spray dried whole milk (Tamsma et al., 1961; Tamsma and Pallansch, 1964; Aceto et al., 1965; Aceto et al., 1966; Tamsma et al., 1967; Kontson et al., 1969; Cornell et al., 1971; Parks et al., 1969). Kinetic experiments were not performed, and stability was judged organoleptically in these experiments. For foam-dried whole milk, levels of oxygen of less than 1% were needed to give sufficient shelf life at room temperature. In air, the first faint odor was noticed in about 1 week. At 1% \( \text{O}_2 \), storage life increased to 3 weeks and at 0.1% \( \text{O}_2 \) to 4-5 months. Spray dried whole milk has a slightly longer shelf life due perhaps to production of antioxidants during drying at higher temperatures.

A series of studies at the U.S. Army Natick Research and Development Laboratories of oxygen level effects on dehydrated beef products came up with some specific recommendations for proper storage (Toumy et al., 1968a; Toumy et al., 1968b; Toumy et al., 1969; Toumy et al., 1970; Bishov et al., 1971). The
following conclusions could be drawn from these studies (Labuza, 1971):

(1) Dehydrated raw beef patties had a storage life of 6 months at vacuums less than 20"Hg while in air, the storage life was about 1 month.

(2) Cooked, dehydrated beef stew had a storage life of more than 24 weeks at 30"Hg vacuum but only 4 weeks in air. The predicted shape of the curve for rate of oxidation vs. \( \text{PO}_2 \) \((1/\text{Rate} \text{ vs. } 1/\text{PO}_2 \text{ gives a straight line})\) was observed in this system. Chicken stew became rancid in just about 2 weeks in air and at low oxygen.

(3) Oxidation rates were initially rapid even at 2% \( \text{O}_2 \) for chicken, beef, and pork with rancidity detected at the 5th, 2nd, and 1st month, respectively. The stability was increased to more than one year by using a 5% \( \text{H}_2 \) atmosphere with a palladium catalyst to reduce the oxygen level to "zero".

Marcuse (1967) studied the oxidation of lipids and \( \beta \)-carotene in freeze dried carrot slices at low oxygen pressure. In summary, it was found that the relative rate of oxidation at low \( \text{PO}_2 \) (relative to \( \text{PO}_2 = .21 \text{ atm} \)) was low compared to model systems. He suggested that the differences arose due to slow
diffusion of oxygen in the carrot slice. He therefore made the suggestion that freeze-dried carrot slices can be effectively protected against oxidation by storage at a much higher pressure than required for protection of a freeze-dried powdered system.

Quast and Karel (1971) studied the effects of oxygen diffusion on the oxidation of potato chips, fish meal, foam-dried whole milk, and a commercial snack food ("Bugles"). It was found that for potato chips, dried milk, and Bugles, oxygen diffusion was not a rate limiting factor of oxidation. On the other hand, fish meal oxidation showed a linear relationship between rate of oxidation and $P_{O_2}$, thus showing a diffusional limitation.

The work with potato chips was continued by Quast and Karel (1972a) as they investigated the effects of light, temperature, equilibrium relative humidity, extent of oxidation, and oxygen concentration on the rate of oxygen uptake. The oxygen concentrations studied were $0.0055$, $0.035$, $0.072$, $0.122$, and $0.21$ atm of partial pressure. It was found that in all cases, the rate was a very strong function of oxygen concentration when the concentration was low. A mathematical model was developed (Quast and Karel, 1972b) for the rate of oxidation of potato chips as a function of the extent of oxidation, equilibrium relative humidity, and oxygen partial pressure. The oxygen dependence was modeled with the equation used by Karel (1960) viz:
Rate = \( \frac{P_0}{P_1 + P_2 (PO_2)} \]

where:

\( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \) are constants.

Excellent agreement with the equation was found when \( P_1 / PO_2 \) was plotted versus \( 1/\text{Rate} \). The average mean square error was 0.010. This study by Quast and Karel is the most thorough work performed to this time on the environmental factors affecting lipid oxidation in a food.
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

3.1. Adsorbed/Entrapped Oxygen Measurement

3.1.1. Principle

This apparatus worked on the principle of solubilization of the sample in deaerated water, liberation of gases adsorbed or entrapped in the sample and compression of the evolved gases to atmospheric pressure in a calibrated tube. Oxygen determinations in the gas and in the water were made using oxygen probes.

3.1.2. Apparatus

A schematic diagram of the system is shown in Figure 1. The system consisted of the sample flask (1) (with a primary neck and sidearm) containing the sample and a stir bar immersed in a constant temperature bath maintained at 25°C by a temperature controller (10). The flask was connected via stopcock "2" to a heat exchanger (3) which in turn was connected to the deaerated water flask (4). The sample flask was also connected via the three-way stopcock (14) to the measuring part of the system. This part of the system consisted of a graduated 2 ml Pyrex pipette (5) with an oxygen probe (7) connected to the top. The probe was plugged into the oxygen analyzer (11) which
Figure 1

Apparatus for Determination of Adsorbed/Entrapped Oxygen

1) Sample Flask
2) Stopcock
3) Heat Exchanger
4) Deoerated Water
5) Calibrated Pipette
6) Sidearm
7) Oxygen Probe
8) Vacuum Line
9) Atmosphere
10) Temperature Controller
11) Oxygen Analyzer
12) Chart Reorder
13) Potentiometer
14) Stopcock
15) Stopcock
was in turn connected to a strip chart recorder (12) via a potentiometer (13). The stopcock (14) also serviced the other arm of the system (6) which could be connected to a vacuum pump (8) or open to the atmosphere (9) via a three way stopcock (15). The temperature controller (10) was a model Thermonix 1441 (Braun Instruments). The oxygen analyzer and probe (7 and 11) was the model 777 (Beckman Instruments, Inc., Fullerton, CA). The probe used in the dissolved oxygen determination (not shown in the figure) was an Altex Model 0260 (Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA). The strip chart recorder was an Omniscribe B-5000 (Houston Instruments, Austin, TX). The potentiometer was a 250 ohm unit type AB made in the USA.

3.1.3. Procedure

The procedure for determination of the oxygen adsorbed/entrapped within the powder required seven steps. These were: a) deaeration of the water, b) calibration of the probes, c) evacuation of the system, d) solubilization of the sample, e) compression of the headspace gas, f) measurement of the gaseous oxygen and, g) measurement of the dissolved oxygen.

a. Deaeration of the Water. This was accomplished by boiling deionized water for a minimum of thirty minutes before allowing the water to flow into the flask. Blanks prepared with this deaerated water and no powder showed a negligible amount of oxygen in this water.
b. Calibration of the Probes. For gaseous oxygen readings at the higher \( O_2 \) pressures (5 and 10\% \( O_2 \)), the switch on the \( O_2 \) analyzer (11) was set to the 25\% range. A vacuum was then pulled on the system using an oil vacuum pump through line 8 and the strip chart pen adjusted to 0 using the "zero control" knob on the \( O_2 \) analyzer. The system was then opened to the atmosphere (9) and using the "calibrate control" knob on the analyzer, the pen was moved to full scale on the strip chart, corresponding to 21\% \( O_2 \). For gaseous oxygen readings of less than 5\% \( O_2 \), the system was connected to a manometer and the scale on the meter-amplifier unit set to 5\%. The vacuum pump was turned on and after stabilization of the reading (2-3 minutes), the pen on the chart was adjusted to zero. The system was very slowly opened to the atmosphere, thus letting in small amounts of air. The manometer pressure corresponding to this small pressure in the system was read, and the recorder pen adjusted to this pressure by using the "calibrate" control knob. Under these conditions, the full scale on the strip chart corresponded to 5\% \( O_2 \).

Replacement of the teflon membrane on the probe was done periodically according to the manufacturer's instructions and the linearity of the probe response to varying pressures of oxygen checked each time the membrane and electrolyte were replaced.

The probe used for dissolved oxygen measurements was calibrated by immersing it in water deaerated as described in
section 3.1.3.a. at 25°C. The pen on the strip chart was adjusted to zero. The water was then shaken vigorously in air for fifteen minutes and the probe immersed in this water to get the full scale readings. For both probes, the procedures were repeated several times until reproducible readings were obtained. For calibration and readings of dissolved oxygen, the liquid to be analyzed was kept moving past the probe membrane by constant stirring using a magnetic stirrer.

c. **Evacuation of the System.** Vacuum was pulled on the entire system via line 8 with stopcock 15 connected to 8 and 6 only. Stopcock 14 was open to all three positions (1, 5, and 6) and stopcock 2 was open to the heat exchanger (3). This evacuation continued for 10 minutes.

d. **Solubilization of the Sample.** After evacuation, stopcock 14 was opened to flask 1 and tube 5 only (closed to 6). Water from flask 4 was slowly let into 1 through the heat exchanger (3) while the magnetic stirrer continously stirred the flask contents. As the water rose in the flask, the gas evolved rose into 5.

e. **Compression of the Headspace Gas.** The water was allowed to rise until it entered the calibrated tube (5). Once the meniscus was above stopcock 14, this stopcock was turned to 1 and 6 only (closed to 5) and the water allowed to enter 6 up
to a level roughly equal to the meniscus in 5. Stopcock 14 was then turned to 5 and 6 only (closed to 1) and stopcock 15 opened to the atmosphere. When the water levels were equal, the gas in 5 was at the same total pressure as the gas in 6, i.e. atmosphere pressure.

f. **Measurement of the Gaseous Oxygen.** The recorder pen was given time to stabilize and upon stabilization, the volume in tube 5 recorded. Temperature was measured with a mercury thermometer and equal to ambient temperature. The total pressure was atmospheric pressure (usually around 760 mm Hg). The ideal gas equation gave the number of μmoles of oxygen present in the gas.

g. **Measurement of Dissolved Oxygen.** Once stopcock 14 was closed off to 1, the sample flask could be removed and the dissolved oxygen in the flask analyzed. This was done by inserting the other oxygen probe slowly to the bottom part of the flask. The magnetic stirrer allowed for enough fluid to move past the probe tip to prevent oxygen limitation effects in the vicinity of the membrane (the probe consumes the oxygen it analyzes). The oxygen dissolved could be read directly on the oxygen analyzer in ppm or % O₂.

Adding together the values of gaseous and dissolved oxygen, the amount of oxygen held by the powdered food can be calculated.
3.2. Headspace Gas Measurement

The oxygen present in the flask headspace was the most important measurement made for the oxygen uptake studies. A sample flask which had been incubated for a period of time was connected via vacuum tubing to stopcock 14 which was open to the 3-way position. Vacuum was pulled on the system until the previously calibrated probe (7) showed a steady reading at 0. Stopcock 14 was then turned in the direction of 1 and 5 only (closed to 6) and the hose clamp closing off the sample flask from the system removed. The headspace gas in the sample now filled the small volume of tube 5 and the partial pressure measured by the oxygen probe. Since the total volume, temperature, and pressure were known, the ideal gas equation was used to derive the number of moles of $O_2$ in the headspace.

3.3. Diene Conjugation Analysis

This method involved the extraction of the lipid-soluble components of the sample into methanol with the subsequent determination of U.V. absorbance at 233 nm in a Hitachi-Perkin Elmer 200 spectrophotometer. The extinction coefficient of conjugated dienes is $29000^*$ compared to $6026^{**}$ for nonconjugated methyl linoleate. The assumption on which this test is based is that the major oxidation products are conjugated hydroperoxides. Schematically:
0.5 g model system + 50 ml methanol
↓ Mix 15-20 min
Centrifuge @ 15000 rpm/10 min
↓
Dilutions as needed
↓
Read absorbance at 233 nm

*Privett and Blank, 1962
**CRC Atlas of Spectral Data and Physical Constants, Vol. III.

3.4. Sorption Isotherm Preparation

The sorption isotherm was prepared by weighing one to two gram samples of the dried milk (prepared as in section 3.6.2 but without lipid) into 50 ml beakers of diameter 40 mm. The beakers were placed in dessicators containing saturated salt solutions with water activities ranging from 0.55 (NaOH) to .75 (NaCl) at 37°C. These samples were repeatedly weighed until they reached equilibrium, at which time the moisture content on a dry basis was calculated.

3.5. Determination of Flask and Sample Volumes

The flask volume was determined by weighing the flask and magnetic stir bar, then filling the flask with water at a
measured temperature. The difference in these two weights divided by the density of water at that temperature is the flask volume.

The specific volume of the powder was determined by weighing a certain amount of the powder into a 100 ml volumetric flask. The flask was then filled with water at a known temperature and this total weight recorded. This weight divided by the density of water is the volume of water. The specific volume is then 100 ml minus the volume of water divided by the weight of sample. For example, if the weight of powder = 10 g, volume of water used = 89 ml, the specific volume = (100-89)/10 = 1.1 ml/gram.

3.6. Preparation of Samples

3.6.1. Model System

The model system consisted of Avicel microcrystalline cellulose pH 101 (FMC Corp., Philadelphia, PA) and methyl linoleate (NuChek Prep, Elysian, MN). The preparation procedure was as follows:

Avicel + methyl linoleate + methanol
↓ Mix to uniform gel
   (in the dark)
Dessicator - 12 hours (or until methanol evaporated)
Freeze dry 10-12 hours

Weigh into sample size beakers

Vacuum oven 12 hours

Incubate in flasks wrapped in aluminum foil

3.6.2. Milk-Lipid System

This system consisted of nonfat dry milk (Carnation Co., Los Angeles, CA) and methyl linoleate (NuChek Prep, Elysian, MN) prepared as follows:

Nonfat dry milk + methyl linoleate + methanol
↓ mix to uniform consistency
(in the dark)

Dessicator 12 hours (or until methanol evaporated)
↓

Freeze dry 12 hour
↓

Granulate in coffee mill
↓

Weigh into sample size beakers
Vacuum oven 12 hours

†

Incubate in flasks wrapped in aluminum foil

3.7. Filling the Flasks with Various O₂/N₂ Mixtures

The sample flasks to be incubated were initially filled with the desired O₂/N₂ concentrations in the following manner. A manifold was constructed with ports to 10 sample flasks, a port leading to a manometer and a 3-way stopcock with one arm connected to the manifold, one arm to a vacuum pump, and the other arm to the compressed tanks of O₂/N₂ mixtures. These mixes were bought pre-mixed from Matheson Gas Co., Gloucester, MA. When the filling operation was to commence, a vacuum was pulled on the flasks and manometer. After 3-4 minutes, the 3-way stopcock was turned in the direction of the gas mixture and sample flasks only (closed to vacuum). The gas mixture was let in slowly until the manometer showed that the pressure in the flasks was equivalent to that of the atmosphere. This procedure was repeated several times to exclude any of the original gas. After the last cycle, the flasks were closed by pinching the vacuum tubing connecting the flasks to the manifold with a hose clamp. The flasks could then be incubated for the kinetic studies.

3.8. Problems Encountered
3.8.1. Leakages

The system leaked in many places, but the 3-way stopcocks were the main culprits. These had to be re-lubricated with vacuum grease every 2-3 days to avoid leaks. A high frequency vacuum tester (Electro Technic Products, Chicago, IL) was useful for detecting pinhole leaks and other hard to find leaks.

3.8.2. Calibration of the Probe

The probe mounted on the system (#7, Fig. 1) sometimes took a long time to calibrate and would give erratic readings. The cause of these problems was not always apparent, but as the teflon membrane increased in age and usage, the calibration and stability problems always got worse.

3.8.3. Measurement of Dissolved Oxygen

After insertion of the dissolved oxygen probe into the milk-lipid aqueous phase of the sample, the probe readings sometimes decreased below the zero value (as determined by calibration to 0 with only deaerated water). The lipid alone was not responsible since putting a quantity of lipid in deaerated water up to 10 times the concentration of that in the regular milk-lipid samples did not depress the readings. Similarly, lactose dissolved in deaerated water at the con-
centration in the regular samples did not depress the readings either. The milk alone (without lipid) did depress the readings. Casein would not dissolve in the water to adequate concentration, but due to the fact that the other major components were not at fault, the protein is implicated. The protein may have been interacting with the teflon membrane and decreasing its oxygen permeability directly, or it may have been adsorbing onto the membrane in a film and thus decreasing the effective permeability. In order to get the membrane probe to respond as before, the membrane had to be washed thoroughly with deionized water.

3.8.4. Glass Compatibility and the Sorbed Oxygen Apparatus

In order to build a probe (7) into the system for sorbed O₂ measurement, a holder for the probe tip had to be joined to the calibrated pipette (5). It took a long time to find a pipette which was compatible with the glass holder. Even though the pipettes had the name Pyrex and Kimax (both boro-silicate glass), unless the compatibility was just right, the slightest stress would cause the probe to break off the pipette at the junction point.
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Oxygen Adsorbed/Entrapped in Nonfat Dry Milk

In order to determine the reproducibility of the method for determination of sorbed oxygen using the apparatus described in section 3.1, samples of nonfat dry milk, prepared as in section 3.6.2 without added lipid, were evaluated.

Table 1 shows that the average amount of oxygen held entrapped by the powder was 3.61 μmoles O₂/gram of powder. The coefficient of variation was 20%. Sample calculations are included in Appendix A and the coefficient of determination calculations are done in Table 1.

The values found for the adsorbed oxygen were greater than those reported by Teixeira-Neto (1978) (.74 - 1.25 μmoles O₂/g) or Saguy et al. (1983) (c.a. .062-2.03 μmoles O₂/g) for similar milks. The difference is due most likely to the difference in moisture content of the samples. As shown by Sidwell et al. (1962), the sorbed oxygen content of powdered foods increases dramatically below the monolayer value. Both Teixeira-Neto (1978) and Saguy et al. (1983) used milk powder at the moisture content received from the supplier.
### Table 1

Values of O$_2$ Adsorbed/Entrapped in Nonfat Dry Milk

(4 gram samples)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>O$_2$ released ($\mu$ mole O$_2$)</th>
<th>O$_2$ per gram ($\mu$ mole O$_2$/g)</th>
<th>$\bar{X}$</th>
<th>$X_i - \bar{X}$</th>
<th>$(X_i - \bar{X})^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.69</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>-.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.85</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16.02</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.59</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.49</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11.42</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.47</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15.01</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>3.82</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.99</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.02</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td></td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = 7.13$

$s = \text{standard deviation} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}} = \sqrt{\frac{7.13}{14}} = .71$

coefficient of variation = $\frac{s}{\bar{X}} \times 100 = \frac{.71}{3.61} \times 100 = 20\%$
The initial moisture content of the milk used in the present study (before treatment in any way) was 3.5 g H$_2$O/100 g powder. The samples used by Teixeira-Neto (1978) and Saguy et al. (1983) probably had about the same amount. Appendix C shows that the monolayer value in the nonfat dry milk used in these experiments was about 2.1 g H$_2$O/100 g. It can be assumed that the procedures for sample preparation used here reduced the moisture content well below the monolayer value. Also, the prepared milk powder was kept for about two weeks (prior to analysis) in a dessicator over CaSO$_4$, in air, and at about 4°C. The percent oxygen in the powder was about 21%, this being lower than the slight O$_2$ enrichment (20.9 - 22.8%) reported by Saguy et al. (1983) or the 29-30% O$_2$ reported by Verhey and Lammers (1970). This is reasonable considering that the gases originally present in the milk were probably removed during sample preparation.

It should also be noted that the analysis yielded no detectable dissolved oxygen in the water. This is consistent with the findings of Saguy et al. (1983). They found that prolonged evacuation of the sample (resulting in a partial drying of the powder) seemed to release the gases to the headspace more quickly than the more humid samples. They found this in all of their experiments and for all the food powders studied.

4.2. Model System Studies
Experiments were conducted in a model system consisting of methyl linoleate dispersed on Avicel microcrystalline cellulose and prepared as described in section 3.6.1. Samples were incubated in the dark at 37°C, $a_w = \text{dry}$, and an initial concentration ($C_0$) = 0.10 g methyl linoleate/g model system. The headspace oxygen concentrations used were 0.52%, 1.05%, 2.17%, and 5.49%.

4.2.1. Correlation of Oxygen Uptake Values with Diene Conjugation

Oxygen uptake as measured by the oxygen probe (see section 3.2 for description of the method) was compared with the method of diene conjugation as described in section 3.3. Assuming that in the initial stages of the reaction the major oxidation products are conjugated hydroperoxides with an extinction coefficient of 29000, one can follow the build up of the conjugated hydroperoxides spectrophotometrically at 233 nm (Privett and Blank, 1962). Also, assuming a one to one stoichiometry between peroxide formed and $O_2$ absorbed, the reacted oxygen is easily calculated. The value of the correlation lies in being able to assess whether all oxygen disappearing from the headspace has in fact reacted with the lipids of the model system.

Figures 2, 3, and 4 show the oxidation of the model system at 0.52%, 1.05%, and 2.17% $O_2$ respectively. The solid line in each figure represents the $O_2$ uptake measured by the apparatus and the dashed line represents the $O_2$ uptake as calculated from
Figure 2
Model System Oxidation at 0.52 %O₂

O₂ Uptake Apparatus

Diene Conjugation

Oxygen Uptake (μ moles O₂/g)

Time (hr)
Figure 3

Model System Oxidation at 1.05% O_2

- O_2 Uptake Apparatus
- Diene Conjugation

Oxygen Uptake (µmoles O_2/g)

Time (hr)
Figure 4

Model System Oxidation at 2.17\% O_2

- O_2 Uptake Apparatus
- △-△ Diene Conjugation

Oxygen Uptake (µ moles O_2 / g)

Time (hr)
diene conjugation values. The curves were derived using an HP-11C calculator which linearized the data by taking the natural logarithm of the $O_2$ uptake values and a linear regression on the transformed data to get the constants. The slope of the line is equal to the 1st order bimolecular rate constant (See Appendix B for the rate expression). The quality of the fit is determined via the coefficient of determination (See Table 3 for definition of $r^2$).

Figures 2, 3, and 4 show that the agreement between the two methods is quite good. In order to quantitatively determine how well the two methods compared, oxygen absorption was plotted against the data determined by diene conjugation at each sampling time and the correlation coefficient ($r$) determined. The results of this analysis are shown in Table 2 for the different runs at the four oxygen concentrations studied.

It can be seen that the correlation between the two methods is excellent. The correlation coefficients are in the range .987 - .997. Thus, the method of $O_2$ uptake measurement using an oxygen probe at low concentrations of oxygen correlated extremely well with a chemical method, measuring a major oxidation product.
### Table 2

Correlation Coefficients Between $O_2$ Uptake and Diene Conjugation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$O_2$ Concentration (%)</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>.987</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>.996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Correlation Coefficient is calculated by,

\[
\begin{align*}
r &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)/n}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)^2/n\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)^2/n\right]}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)/n}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)^2/n\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)^2/n\right]}} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i Y_i - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)/n}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)^2/n\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)^2/n\right]}}
\end{align*}
\]

Where $(X_i, Y_i)$ $\ldots$ $(X_n, Y_n)$ are the $n$ pairs of observations
4.2.2. Kinetic Studies

The following rate expression was used for determining the bimolecular rate constants at the various oxygen concentrations:

\[ \text{O}_2 \text{ uptake (\text{\textmu}{\text{moles O}_2/\text{g}}) = Constant \ \text{\textmu}{\text{moles O}_2/\text{g}} \times \exp(Kt)} \]

Where \( K = \) Bimolecular rate constant (\( \text{hr}^{-1} \))

and \( t = \) Time (hr).

See Appendix B for the derivation of this equation.

4.2.2.1. Oxygen Uptake vs Time at 0.52%, 1.05%, 2.17%, and 5.49%

Table 3 shows the rate constants derived from the rate data for different batches of the model system and the coefficient of determination values for the linearized fit. A reciprocal plot of \( 1/K \) vs. \( 1/\% \text{O}_2 \) for this data is shown in Figure 5.

As can be seen from Figure 5, a strong oxygen dependence of the rate of oxidation is not indicated, but neither is a straight line, and the scatter in the diagram precludes a quantitative description of the oxygen dependence.

It should be noted that the points on Figure 5 are clustered in pairs of two at each oxygen concentration. This
**Table 3**

Rate Constants and % O₂ Concentrations for Various Batches of Model System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% O₂</th>
<th>1/ % O₂</th>
<th>K (hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>1/K (hr)</th>
<th>r²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>.037</td>
<td>27.03</td>
<td>.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>32.26</td>
<td>.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.029*</td>
<td>34.48</td>
<td>.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.033*</td>
<td>30.30</td>
<td>.989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>.036</td>
<td>27.78</td>
<td>.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.051</td>
<td>19.61</td>
<td>.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.0328*</td>
<td>30.49</td>
<td>.998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.054*</td>
<td>18.52</td>
<td>.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>.0316</td>
<td>31.65</td>
<td>.944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.048</td>
<td>20.83</td>
<td>.972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.0318*</td>
<td>31.45</td>
<td>.920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.052*</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>.0479</td>
<td>20.88</td>
<td>.963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.0398*</td>
<td>25.13</td>
<td>.992</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*denotes diene conjugation value.

\[ r² = \text{coefficient of determination, which for the case of an exponential curve } y = ae^{bx} \text{ is,} \]

\[
r² = \frac{\ln a(\Sigma Y_i) + b(\Sigma X_i Y_i) - (\Sigma Y_i)^2/n}{\Sigma (Y_i^2) - (\Sigma Y_i)^2/n}
\]

where \((X_i, Y_i) \ldots (X_n, Y_n)\) are the \(n\) transformed data pairs, i.e., \(X_i = x_i\), \(Y_i = \ln y_i\).
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is because each pair represents a kinetic constant derived from oxygen uptake rate data and its corresponding constant from diene conjugation rate data. These pairs were shown in Table 2 to be excellently correlated.

Since the data in Table 3 (and plotted in Figure 5) was drawn from different batches of model system, it was probable that the scatter arose due either to differences in initial purity of the lipid in the different batches or differences in the preparation of the model system.

In order to test the latter two possibilities, oxidation studies were run at the same four O₂ concentrations as before, but using a single batch of model system prepared at one time with one fresh sample of methyl linoleate.

The O₂ uptake vs time data is plotted in Figure 6 on regular coordinates and as a first order plot on semi-logarithmic coordinates in Figure 7.

4.2.2.2. Rate Constants as a Function of Percent Oxygen in the Headspace for the Same Batch of Model System

The data plotted in Figures 6 and 7 was analyzed as before and the results listed in Table 4.
Figure 6
Oxidation of an AVICEL-Lipid Model System

- \(5.49\% \text{ O}_2\)
- \(2.17\% \text{ O}_2\)
- \(1.05\% \text{ O}_2\)
- \(0.52\% \text{ O}_2\)

\[C_0 = 0.1 \text{ g lipid/g}\]
\[T = 37 \degree \text{C}\]
\[a_w = \text{dry}\]
Figure 7
Oxidation of an AVICE L - Lipid Model System
(First Order Plot)

- 5.49 % O2
- 2.17 % O2
- 1.05 % O2
- 0.52 % O2

Oxygen Uptake (μmoles O2/g)

Time (hr)

C0 = 0.1 g lipid/g
T = 37 °C
aw = dry
Table 4

Rate Constants and \% O₂ concentrations for the Same Batch of Model System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% O₂</th>
<th>1/% O₂</th>
<th>K (hr⁻¹)</th>
<th>1/K (hr)</th>
<th>r²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.0316</td>
<td>31.65</td>
<td>.957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.0412</td>
<td>24.27</td>
<td>.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.0492</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td>.988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.0539</td>
<td>18.55</td>
<td>.983</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data in Table 4 is plotted on reciprocal coordinates (1/K vs 1/% O₂) in Figure 8. A straight line can easily be drawn through the data and a least squares analysis yields the following equation,

\[
\frac{1}{K} = 7.59 \frac{1}{\% O₂} + 17.02
\]

with a correlation coefficient (r) = .9997. Inverting the above equation to get the direct representation of \( K = f(\% \text{ O}_2) \) we have,

\[
K = 17.02(\% \text{ O}_2) + 7.59
\]
Figure 8

$\frac{1}{k}$ vs. $\frac{1}{\% O_2}$ (Same Batch)
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This equation is plotted in Figure 9 where the effect of oxygen concentration on rate is directly seen. Above oxygen concentrations of about 10%, there is essentially no effect on K of oxygen concentration. Between O_2 concentrations of about 3% to 10% there is a very slight effect. Below O_2 concentrations of 2%, however, the effect on rate is dramatic with the rate decreasing sharply as the O_2 concentration is decreased. A linear estimation of the region between 0-5% O_2 gives a slope of roughly \(0.05 \text{ hr}^{-1}/\% \text{ O}_2\). A lowering of the oxygen concentration from .5% to .4% (20% increase) can thus lower the rate constant by 20%.

This effect of almost linear behavior below a certain low O_2 concentration has been shown with other systems in the literature (Bateman, 1954; Bolland, 1949; Karel, 1960; Quast and Karel, 1972b).

4.3. Milk System Studies

In order to study the oxidation rate dependence on oxygen concentration in a food system, nonfat dry milk was mixed with methyl linoleate as described in section 3.6.2. This was a harsh treatment (dispersion in methanol) for a food and would, of course, render it unsuitable for human consumption, even after all the methanol had been evaporated. This method of dispersion was chosen, however, because the cellulose model system had been prepared in the same way, thus allowing a more
Figure 9  
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meaningful comparison of the results.

Samples were incubated in the dark at 37°C, \(a_w = \) dry, and an initial concentration \((C_0) = 0.103 \text{ g methyl linoleate/g model system. The headspace oxygen concentrations used were 1.05\%, 2.17\%, 5.49\%, and 10.69\% O}_2.\)

Since the accuracy of the oxygen uptake apparatus had been checked against the diene conjugation method in the model system (section 4.2.1) and found acceptable, \(O_2\) uptake using the oxygen probe was used to follow oxidation. In the milk system, diene conjugation did not give reproducible results. This was due to problems with extraction of the lipid hydroperoxides (conjugated products) from the protein-lactose matrix. Three reasons for this poor extractability were:

1) Encapsulation of the lipids was shown to be operating in this system (section 4.4.1). If any reacted lipids were entrapped or partially entrapped, they might not be extracted with the methanol and would not be measured.

2) Since the protein precipitated during the extraction step, it probably carried conjugated products along with it, thus preventing their spectrophotometric detection.

3) If when the lipid reacted, there was interaction with the protein (this is likely since there was a protein:lipid ratio of approximately 3:1), then reacted lipid products bound to the protein would not be extracted and would therefore not be measured either.
4.3.1. Kinetic Studies

Figures 10a and 10b show the oxidation of methyl linoleate on nonfat dry milk powder in two separate runs under identical conditions. Figures 11a and 11b show the same data plotted on semi-logarithmic coordinates. The data was treated as described on Appendix B and in sections 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 for the model system. The results are tabulated in Table 5 where the coefficient of determination shows the closeness of fit to a first order model.

Overall, it can be seen from Table 5 that the data fit quite well at all oxygen concentrations except for the first run at 1.05% O₂ where \( r^2 = .761 \). In the second run, the reaction was taken farther at 1.05% O₂ and the exponential character of the data becomes more apparent (\( r^2 = .976 \) for this run) although the rate constant is lower than for the 1st run.

The results in Table 5 are plotted on reciprocal coordinates of \( 1/K \) vs. \( 1/\% \) O₂ in Figure 12. There is some scatter of data apparent and this is probably due to slight differences in preparation of the batches, variations of the milk itself, and the fact that the reciprocal of small numbers are plotted, so small differences in the values are magnified. A straight line has been drawn through this data using a least squares analysis and the resulting expression is,

\[
1/K = 77.30 + 75.11 (1/\% \text{ O₂})
\]

\[
r = .936
\]
Figure 10a
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First Order Plot of Milk System Oxidation - 1st Run

Oxygen Uptake (µmoles O₂/g)

Time (hr)

0 50 100 150 200 250 300

10.69 % O₂

5.49 % O₂

2.17 % O₂

1.05 % O₂
Figure 11b
First Order Plot of Milk System Oxidation - 2nd Run
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Table 5

Rate Constants and $\%$ O$_2$ Concentrations for the Milk-Lipid System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$%$ O$_2$</th>
<th>K (hr$^{-1}$) (1)*</th>
<th>K (hr$^{-1}$) (2)*</th>
<th>$r^2$ (1)</th>
<th>$r^2$ (2)</th>
<th>1/$%$ O$_2$ (1)</th>
<th>1/$%$ O$_2$ (2)</th>
<th>1/K (hr) (1)</th>
<th>1/K (hr) (2)</th>
<th>K$_{avg}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>.0071</td>
<td>.0062</td>
<td>.761</td>
<td>.976</td>
<td>.95</td>
<td>140.85</td>
<td>161.29</td>
<td>.0067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>.0087</td>
<td>.0103</td>
<td>.947</td>
<td>.977</td>
<td>.46</td>
<td>114.94</td>
<td>97.09</td>
<td>.0095</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>.0113</td>
<td>.0101</td>
<td>.949</td>
<td>.973</td>
<td>.18</td>
<td>88.50</td>
<td>99.01</td>
<td>.0107</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>.0105</td>
<td>.0133</td>
<td>.926</td>
<td>.894</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td>95.24</td>
<td>75.19</td>
<td>.0119</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Denotes different runs.
Figure 12
Reciprocal Plot of $1/k$ vs. $1/%O_2$ (Milk System)

$1/k = 77.30 + 75.11 (1/%O_2)$

$r = .936$
Inverting this equation to get a more direct representation of
\[ K = f(\% \text{O}_2) \]
yields,

\[ K = \frac{f(\% \text{O}_2)}{75.11 + 77.30 (\% \text{O}_2)} \]

and a plot of the oxygen dependence in this form is shown in
Figure 13. In this figure, the average values of \( K \) (last
column in Table 5) for the two runs are plotted on the curve
which is shown extending down to 0\% \text{O}_2 and out to 21\% \text{O}_2. From
the curve one can observe that above 9-10\% \text{O}_2 there is essen-
tially no change in rate with change in \text{O}_2 pressure. A slight
decrease in rate is demonstrated between 5-9\% \text{O}_2 and below
this, the rate-decreasing effect of lowering of the \text{O}_2 con-
centration becomes increasingly pronounced.

4.4. Comparison of Model System and Milk System Results

Figure 14 shows a comparison of the oxygen dependence of
rate for the two systems studied.

While the general shape of the two curves is similar and
the equations fit the data quite well, the rate of oxidation of
the two systems is different. The rate constants for the
Avicel-lipid system are 5 to 6 times greater than the
Figure 13
First Order Constant as a Function of \%O_2 (Milk System)
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$k$ vs. $\% O_2$

$C_0 = 0.1 \text{ g lipid/g}$

$T = 37 ^\circ C$

$a_w = \text{dry}$

AVICEL - Lipid

Milk - Lipid

$k(\text{hr}^{-1})$

$\% O_2$
Table 6
Comparison Between Bimolecular Rate Constants Reported in the Literature and Those Observed Experimentally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Conc. (%) $O_2$</th>
<th>$T^\circ C$</th>
<th>$a_w$</th>
<th>$K_B(\text{hr}^{-1})$</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Methyl Linoleate</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$6.4 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Kern &amp; Delog, 1959a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Methyl Linoleate</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$6.6 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Labuza et al., 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Ethyl Linoleate</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Bateman, 1954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Linoleate in Emulsion</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$8.5 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Marbrouk &amp; Dugan, 1961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Linoleate</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$8.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Labuza et al., 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose Powder</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>.32</td>
<td>$5.3 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Linoleate</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$4.6 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Labuza et al., 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter Paper</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>.98</td>
<td>$6.8 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Linoleate</td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$5.39 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Present Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avicel Cellulose</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$4.92 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$4.12 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$3.16 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl Linoleate</td>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>$1.99 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>Present Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonfat Dry Milk Powder</td>
<td>10.69</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$1.19 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.49</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$1.07 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$0.95 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>dry</td>
<td>$0.67 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
corresponding constants for the milk-lipid system. The experimental rate constants are displayed in Table 6 along with rate constants for similar systems from the literature.

One would expect that the rate of oxidation of the oil in the milk powder would be lower than the rate on cellulose since the milk contains protein which could interact with the lipid, thus preventing mobility of the fatty acid chains or directly inhibiting free radical propagation.

The rate in the milk system could also be lower due to encapsulation of the lipids in an amorphous lactose-protein matrix preventing O₂ from reaching any lipid not on the surface expect by very slow O₂ diffusion.

A third possibility is that the surface areas of the two systems were sufficiently different to affect the rate of oxygen uptake.

These latter two physical rate-inhibitory possibilities were tested as described below.

4.4.1. Encapsulation Effects

In order to test the possibility that part of the lipid was hidden from O₂ and therefore not able to react, an oxidation run was done at 10.69% O₂ with a humidified sample (a_w = .55) and compared to the rate at these conditions in a dry system.

As seen in Table 6 for the methyl linoleate on cellulose
powder data of Labuza et al. (1969), the rate of reaction of the oxidizing lipid should *decrease* when going from dry to humid. Only when one goes up to very high moisture contents does the rate begin to increase again (Table 6 shows this effect for methyl linoleate on filter paper in the data of Labuza et al., 1969).

As shown by Saltmarch and Labuza (1980) for whey powder, when the $a_w$ increases above .4, lactose begins to crystallize out and "squeezes" out any material entrapped within the matrix. If lipids were entrapped within the matrix, increasing the $a_w$ to .55 should provide mobility for the lactose to rearrange itself into a more thermodynamically favorable state, viz. crystals, and thus expose the previously entrapped lipid to the oxygen environment. If on the other hand, lipid was not entrapped, increasing $a_w$ to .55 would decrease the rate as previously mentioned.

Figure 15 shows the results of this experiment. The samples held at the higher relative humidity oxidized significantly faster than the dry samples. Table 6 lists the experimental rate constants for the dry and humid systems as $1.19 \times 10^{-2}$ hr$^{-1}$ and $1.99 \times 10^{-2}$ hr$^{-1}$, respectively. It would thus appear that encapsulation of lipid was occurring in the milk system and this was responsible in part for the lower observed rates.

It should be noted that this humidification procedure did not necessarily release all the encapsulated lipid. Also, even
Figure 15
Comparison of Dry and Humidified Milk System

![Graph showing comparison of dry and humidified milk systems. The graph plots O₂ uptake (μmoles O₂/g) against time (hr). Two curves are depicted: one for a_w = dry and the other for a_w = .55.](image-url)
if encapsulation was the sole inhibitory mechanism operating in the milk system, this experiment would not show it. If all the linoleate was released by humidification, the rates would probably still be lower than in the dry model system due to $a_w$ effects on oxidation rate.

4.4.2. Surface Area Effects

In order to determine the surface area of the milk system, a B.E.T. isotherm was calculated using the nonfat dry milk prepared as in section 3.4 and the surface area determined from the monolayer value. The calculations and isotherms are shown in Appendix C. In order to calculate the surface area by water sorption, the area of a water molecule is assumed to be $10.6 \times 10^{-20} \text{ m}^2$ (Labuza, 1968). This water surface area is usually very different from surface areas calculated by N$_2$ B.E.T. values or permeability methods; sometimes several orders of magnitude higher. This is because the water molecule is smaller than N$_2$ (or O$_2$) and can enter small pores and crevices. Also, the highly polar water molecules can plasticize various long chain polymers that make up the structural matrix, thus exposing more interior sites for adsorption (Stitt, 1958). For these reasons, the surface areas calculated from B.E.T. water sorption values will not give a "true" surface area, however, the surface areas thus derived can be useful for comparison.

A surface area of 74.45 m$^2$/g was found for the milk system.
by this method. The surface area of the Avicel pH 101 used in the experiment was 138 m²/g (private communication, FMC Corporation), or roughly double that in milk.

Stefanovich (1982) showed that the first order rate constant evaluated for β-carotene oxidation at one Avicel surface area was halved when evaluated on another Avicel of half the surface area.

Since the oxidation studies were performed under dry conditions, it is useful to know whether the B.E.T. surface area calculated in Appendix C corresponded to the area before or after the state of lactose in the system had changed. In other words, is the surface area measured by the B.E.T. isotherm the same as the surface area present in the dry system during oxidation?

As previously stated, Saltmarch and Labuza (1980) found that only above aw = .4 did the lactose begin to crystallize. If the last point in the B.E.T. isotherm (corresponding to aw > .4) is neglected and the linear regression done only on those points below aw = .4, the resulting monolayer value (V_m) = .021, i.e. the same as previously found and thus giving the same surface area noted above. The B.E.T. isotherm therefore gives the water surface area in the system of interest, viz. the dry milk powder as it was before collapse.

It might therefore be expected that a significant lowering of the milk system rate constants results merely from the lower surface area of the milk powder compared with the cellulose.
In summary, both encapsulation effects and surface area effects decreased the rate of reaction of the milk system. Some lipid-protein effects were probably also operative, however, these were not tested for.
5. CONCLUSIONS

1. A method for determination of adsorbed/entrapped oxygen in dehydrated food products was developed which worked on the principle of sample solubilization in deaerated water for release of the residual gases. Measurement of the oxygen was done with an oxygen probe.

2. Using the above method, an average of 3.61 μmoles O₂/gram nonfat dry milk was found. The coefficient of variation was 20%. This oxygen content was higher than reported values in the literature for similar systems and was probably due to the lower moisture content of the samples in this experiment.

3. Correlation coefficients in the range .987 - .997 were found between the method of oxygen uptake developed for this work and diene conjugation values.

4. Oxidation in a methyl linoleate-cellulose system was studied at oxygen concentrations of 0.52 - 5.49% O₂ and in a methyl linoleate-nonfat dry milk system at oxygen concentrations of 1.05 - 10.69% O₂.

5. A first order model well represented the kinetic data in both systems and bimolecular decomposition of hydroperoxides was
thus indicated. Comparison of the experimental K's with those in the literature showed the experimental values for the cellulose model system to be within the range of literature values (although lower due to $O_2$ limitation on rate).

6. It was also found that due to encapsulation of lipid in the milk system and a lower surface area of the milk system (compared to the cellulose system), the first order kinetic constants for the milk system were 5 to 6 times lower than for the cellulose system.
6. SUGGESTIONS FOR FURTHER WORK

1. Methods for determination of sorbed/entrapped oxygen such as the one described herein should be used to determine $O_2$ sorption isotherms for different foods at various temperatures and water activities.

2. Studies such as those of Saguy et al. (1983) should be performed on various foods to determine the reason for the desorption behavior of the two types of powders (Type I and Type II) encountered in the Saguy et al. study.

3. Kinetic experiments at low oxygen concentrations such as those reported in this work should be performed on various lipid-containing foods at different water activities and temperatures with the aim of developing accelerated tests.
Calculation of Oxygen Adsorbed/Entrapped in Nonfat Dry Milk Powder

As described in the text, no dissolved oxygen was found in any of the samples. The following calculations therefore apply to the gaseous oxygen released from the powder upon sample solubilization and headspace gas compression.

e.g. Sample 5

Sample mass = 4 grams
Temperature = 296 K
Headspace volume = 1.93 ml = 1930 μl
Total pressure = 1 atm
Probe reading = 9.74 (x.021)

\[ R = 0.08206(\text{μl})(\text{atm})/(\text{μmole})(\text{K}) \]

Calculated were done using the ideal gas equation:

\[ n(\text{μmole} \text{O}_2) = \frac{p(\text{atm}) \times V(\text{μl})}{(\text{gram}) \times \frac{R(\text{μl})(\text{atm})}{\text{μmole}K} \times T(K)} \times \frac{1}{w(\text{gram})} \]
in this sample

\[ n = \frac{(9.74)(0.21)(1930)}{(0.08206)(296)(4)} = 4.06 \ \mu\text{moles O}_2/\text{gram} \]

The results calculated as above are tabulated in Table 1 where an analysis of the standard deviation and coefficient of variation is provided.
Appendix B

Derivation of the Rate Expression for Lipid Oxidation at Low Oxygen Concentrations

Basic Autoxidation Scheme (BAS)

Production of Radicals

\[ \text{R}^* + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{RO}_2^* \]  
(B-1)  \quad (k_1)

\[ \text{RO}_2^* + \text{RH} \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{R}^* \]  
(B-2)  \quad (k_2)

\[ \text{R}^* + \text{R}^* \rightarrow \]  
Non-radical Products
(B-3)  \quad (k_3)

\[ \text{R}^* + \text{RO}_2^* \rightarrow \]  
(B-4)  \quad (k_4)

\[ \text{RO}_2^* + \text{RO}_2^* \rightarrow \]  
(B-5)  \quad (k_5)

\[ \text{RO}_2^* + \text{RO}_2^* \rightarrow \]  
(B-6)  \quad (k_6)

From the BAS, the rate of oxygen absorption is

\[
\frac{-d[\text{O}_2]}{dt} = k_2[\text{O}_2][\text{R}^*] \tag{B-7}
\]

At low concentrations of oxygen, step (B-4) predominates and with the assumption \( r_i = r_t \), we have

\[ r_i = 2k_4 [\text{R}^*]^2 \]

or \( [\text{R}^*] = r_i^{1/2}(2k_4)^{-1/2} \) \tag{B-8}

Substituting (B-8) into (B-7):

\[
\frac{-d[\text{O}_2]}{dt} = k_2(2k_4)^{-1/2} [\text{O}_2] r_i^{1/2} \tag{B-9}
\]

Assuming that initiation occurs via bimolecular decomposition of peroxides (valid past an oxidation extent of 1-2% on a molar
basis)
\[ r_1 = k_i [\text{ROOH}]^2 \]  
(B-10)

inserting (B-10) into (B-9)
\[ \frac{-d[O_2]}{dt} = k_2 k_i (2k_4)^{-1/2}[O_2][\text{ROOH}] \]

Assuming that \[ \frac{-d[O_2]}{dt} = \frac{d[\text{ROOH}]}{dt} \]

and \( K_B = k_2 k_i (2k_4)^{-1/2}[O_2] \)

\[ \frac{-d[O_2]}{dt} = \frac{d[\text{ROOH}]}{dt} = K_B[\text{ROOH}] \]  
(B-11)

Under conditions where peroxide decomposition is still not significant,

set \( y = [\text{ROOH}] = [O_2] \)

then (B-11) becomes

\[ \frac{dy}{dt} = K_B y \]

or \[ \int_{y_0}^{y} \frac{dy}{y} = \int_{0}^{t} K_B \, dt \]

or \[ \ln \left( \frac{y}{y_0} \right) = K_B \, t \]

or \[ y = y_0 \, e^{K_B t} \]

where \( y_0 = 0 \) for \( [\text{ROOH}] \)

or \( y_0 = [O_2] \) absorbed at time \( t = 0 \) for \( [O_2] \).
Appendix C

Calculation of Surface Area of Milk Powder Using the B.E.T. Isotherm

Section 3.4 describes the procedure used for obtaining the data in Figure 16 and tabulated in Table 7. The procedure below is the standard one described by Labuza (1968).

The B.E.T. equation can be written as:

\[
\frac{a}{(1-a)V} = \frac{1}{V_mB} + \left[\frac{a(B-1)}{V_mB}\right]
\]

for \( a < .5 \)

Calculation of Monolayer Value

Plotting \( a/(1-a)V \) vs \( a \) yields a straight line (Figure 17) with

slope = \( \frac{(B-1)}{BV_m} = 44.995 \) \hspace{1cm} (C-1)

and

intercept = \( \frac{1}{V_mB} = 3.050 \) \hspace{1cm} (C-2)

as determined by least squares with a correlation coefficient = .998. Solving (C-2) for \( B \) and substituting into (C-1) yields \( V_m = .021 \text{ g H}_2\text{O/g powder} \).
Figure 16
Nonfat Dry Milk Isotherm - 37°C
TABLE 7

Isotherm Data for Determination of B.E.T. Parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a</th>
<th>m(g H₂O/100 g powder)</th>
<th>V(g H₂O/g powder)</th>
<th>(1-a)V  g H₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.065</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>.0109</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.066</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>.0129</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.112</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>.0157</td>
<td>8.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.236</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>.0238</td>
<td>13.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.318</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>.0260</td>
<td>17.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.492</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>.0394</td>
<td>24.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.539</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 17
B.E.T. Isotherm - 37°C
Nonfat Dry Milk
Calculation of Surface Area of Milk Powder

The surface area is given by

\[ S = V_m \times \frac{\text{MW}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{1} \times N_{\text{av}} \times H_{2\text{O}s} \]  

where

- \( V_m \) is the monolayer value (g H_2O/g powder)
- \( \text{MW}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) = Molecular weight of H_2O (g/mole)
- \( N_{\text{av}} \) = Avogadro's number (molecules/mole)
- \( H_{2\text{O}s} \) = Surface area of H_2O (m^2/molecule)

Plugging into (C-3)

\[ S = (0.021) \times (18^{-1}) \times (6.02 \times 10^{23}) \times (10.6 \times 10^{-20}) \]

\[ = (3.54 \times 10^3)(V_m) = 74.45 \text{ m}^2/\text{gram}. \]
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9. LIST OF SYMBOLS

a, $a_w$  
water activity

B  
B.E.T. equation constant

$k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5, k_6$  
rate constants for individual steps in BAS.

$K_B, K$  
bimolecular rate constant

m  
moisture content per 100 g

$m$ (Appendix C)  
meters

n  
number of samples

$n$ (Appendix A)  
moles of O$_2$ (ideal gas equations)

nm  
nanometers

(O$_2$), [O$_2$], (% O$_2$)  
oxygen concentrations (%)

p  
pressure

P$_O_2$  
partial pressure of oxygen

r  
correlation coefficient

$r^2$  
coefficient of determination

$r_i$  
rate of initiation

R  
universal gas constant

rpm  
revolutions per minute

R' RO$_2^*$  
free radicals

(RH)  
concentration of unoxidized fatty acid.

(ROOH), [ROOH]  
concentration of hydroperoxide

S  
surface area of powder

t  
time

T  
temperature

V  
moisture content per gram
V_m \text{ monolayer value}

W \text{ weight of sample}

\bar{X} \text{ mean sample oxygen concentration}

(x_i, y_i), (x_i, y_i) \text{ data pairs}