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## I. INTRODUCTION

This report is a continuation of a line of analytical treatment of three dimensional flows in compressor or ducted fans. In the earlier theories $[1,2,9,10]$, the blade row is modelled as a set of spinning lifting lines and the induced velocities are treated as acoustic perturbations. While these studies have been useful in advancing our knowledge of the three dimensional nature of the flow, it has been difficult until now to correlate the theory with experiments. The reason, of course, is that the strong overall swirl induced by such blade rows is by no means a small perturbation in practical applications.

It is realized that the high pressure stage of a compressor usually has a large number of blades ( $\sim 40$ to 100). Therefore although the collective effect of all the baldes can be a large disturbance, each blade contributes only a small disturbance. Thus a linearized theory is still possible. To extend the previous acoustic theory to a rotor with large turning we may still represent the blades as superpositions of source and lifting lines, but we have to calculate the exit flow by linearizing about a non-zero (and large) swirl velocity profile. This is the approach taken by the theory proposed by McCune and Hawthorne [3]. They calculated the velocities induced by the trailing vorticity of a nonuniformly loaded rectilinear cascade for incompressible flow。 This work was later generalized to the compressible case by Morton [4]. Cheng [5] treated incompressible flow in an annular geometry. In that analysis the blades are represented as lifting lines of nearly constant circulation, and the exit flow is therefore, to
lowest order, of "free vortex" type. Linearizing about the free vortex flow, the velocity induced by the trailing vortex sheets due to nonuniform blade bonding are calculated in [5] to order $\delta \Gamma$. It is the purpose of this report to treat the general compressible case, including the results of Ref. [5] as the incompressible limit. The result of this analysis also serves as the Green's function for constructing a lifting surface theory for transonic rotors with practical loading.

Before going into the details of the theory, let us examine some simple pictures of its findings. The nonuniformly loaded blades shed off the excess circulation as wakes. The induced velocity of the wakes is found to cause a "downwash" at the blade which has the effect of partially nullifying the nonuniformity in loading. This can easily be understood by a consideration of the wake system of a blade. Fig. 1 shows a blade with the tip region more heavily loaded than the inboard stations. We can see that the wake induces a tangential velocity component which lowers the angle of attack at the high work (tipi) region and increases that of the low work (hub) region.

Another major development is the mode matching of the upstream and downstream flow. The presence of the strong swirl makes the acoustic mode shapes downstream drastically different from those upstream. For example, we can have upstream hyperbolic modes in a transonic rotor while all the downstream modes are elliptic because the relative velocity is subsonic there. Simple modewise matching is no longer possible. In the present work, a method of mixed-mode matching is developed. A result is that a pure tone downstream (upstream) can excite a whole spectrum of tones upstream (downstream). In particular,
any source downstream can excite the acoustic radiations upstream of a transonic rotor.
II. THE FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

For the sake of brevity in the presentation we make the following simplifications.* We assume:
(i) Inviscid, isentropic flow.
(ii) Isolated rotor in an infinitely long annular duct.
(iii) Steady flow in the rotor frame.
(iv) The flow for upstream of the rotor is uniform axial flow with no swirl.
(v) The number of blades of the rotor is large so that while the overall induced swirl is large, the loading per blade remains smal1.
(vi) The axisymmetric part of the velocity downstream has, to a first approximation, a free vortex profile.
(vii) The three dimensional nature of the flow is due to the presence of discreet vortex sheets trailing from the nonuniform1y loaded blades.

The equations of motion for a steady flow in the rotor frame is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime} \cdot \nabla q^{\prime}-v^{2} \underline{r}+2 \underline{v} \underline{q^{\prime}}=-\frac{\nabla p}{\rho} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where primes are used to denote flow propertities measured in the relative frame. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime}=q-v r \underline{\theta} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $v$ is the angular frequency of the rotor. In the following discussion all quantities are nondimensionalized with respect to a length scale given by the blade tip radius $r_{T}$, a time scale of $r_{T} / W-\infty$, and a mass scale of $\rho_{-\infty} r_{T}^{3}$ where $W_{-\infty}$ and $\rho_{-\infty}$ are the inlet axial velocity and density.

The continuity equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \nabla \cdot q^{\prime}+q^{\prime} \cdot \nabla \rho=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the dot product of (2.1) with $q^{\prime}$ and using the isentropic condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dp}=\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \rho \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2} \nabla \cdot q^{\prime}=1 / 2 q^{\prime} \cdot \nabla q^{\prime 2}-v^{2} q^{\prime} \cdot r \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The model we adopt consists of a set of lifting lines representing the blades. Due to spanwise loading variation there will be a trailing vortex sheet originating from each blade so that the Kutta condition can be satisfied. These vortex sheets are assumed to remain thin ${ }^{*}$ and will be shown to first order in the blade loading variation to lie on surfaces governed by the $\theta$-averaged (pitchwise-averaged) flow.

With the assumptions stated at the beginning of this section we have Beltrami flow ${ }^{\text {[3], [5] }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\omega} \times \underline{q}^{\prime}=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

* In a recent report Kerrebrock [6] noted that if the background flow is not a free vortex (in particular he considered a wheel flow) the wakes will be spread by shear waves even if we assume inviscid flow. Here we only look at flow produced by a rotor of nearly constant spanwise blade loading so that the background flow is very nearly a free vortex and the mechanism for shear wave is absent.
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\omega}=\nabla \times \underline{q} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the vorticity measured in the absolute frame. To obtain (2.6) we write Crocco's equation in the rotating frame

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \underline{q}^{\prime}}{\partial t}-T \nabla s+\nabla I-\underline{q}^{\prime} \times \underline{\omega}=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \equiv h+1 / 2 q^{\prime 2}-1 / 2(\nu r)^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rothalpy I in the rotor frame is the analogie of the total enthalpy $h^{\circ}$ in the stationary (absolute) frame. The first two terms in (2.8) are zero for the assumed steady relative isentropic flow. From (2.9) the equation of motion for $I$ is

$$
\frac{D I}{D t}=\frac{D h}{D t}+\frac{D}{D t}\left(1 / 2 q^{\prime 2}\right)-\frac{D}{D t}\left(1 / 2\left(\nu_{r}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

Some manipulation yields

$$
\frac{D I}{D t}=T \frac{D S}{D t}+\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}
$$

For isentropic steady relative flow with uniform inlet conditions this leads to $\nabla I=0$ and (2.8) reduces to (2.6).

Let the vortex sheets lie on surfaces of

$$
\alpha=\alpha(r, \theta, x)
$$

From (2.6) $\underline{\omega}$ and $q^{\prime}$ are parallel and the vortex sheets can be represented as

$$
\begin{align*}
\underline{\omega} & =\nabla x q=f(x, r) \sum_{i=1}^{B} \delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right) \hat{q^{\prime}}  \tag{2.10}\\
\alpha_{i} & =0,2 \pi / B, 2(2 \pi / B), 3(2 \pi / B), \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

where $B$ is the number of blades and ${ }^{\wedge}$ denotes unit vector. $f$ represents the strength of the vortex sheet, still to be determined.

Equations (2.5) and (2.10) form the basic set of equations for the present analysis. (The functions $f$ and $\alpha$ will be furnished from the averaged flow later in this section.) Essentially (2.5) specifies the divergence of the velocity field and (2.10) specifies the curl. Together with appropriate boundary and upstream-downstream matching conditions, they completely define the velocities.

We shall solve for the velocities by linearizing about a base flow $q_{0}$ which is a uniform axial flow upstream and a free vortex flow downstream:

$$
\begin{align*}
& q=q_{0}+q_{T}  \tag{2.11}\\
& q_{0}=\left(u_{0}, v_{0}, w_{0}\right) \\
& u_{0}=0 \text { both upstream and downstream } \\
& w_{0}=C_{1}, C_{2} \text { (constants) upstream and downstream }  \tag{2.12}\\
& v_{0}=0 \text { for upstream and } \sim 1 / r \text { for downstream }
\end{align*}
$$

Let $C_{\Gamma} \Gamma(r)$ be the (nondimensionalized) circulation on each blade with $\Gamma(r)$ representing the nonuniform loading profile.* Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{0}=\frac{B C_{\Gamma} \Gamma}{2 \pi v} \quad x<0 \tag{2,13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $\Gamma(r)$ is a function of spanwise mean 1 and

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~B} \mathrm{\Gamma}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \Gamma}{\mathrm{dr}}=0(\varepsilon) \quad \varepsilon \ll 1
$$

$\mathcal{G}_{T}$ and $\underset{\sim}{\omega}$ are thus $0(\varepsilon)$. A study of the flow for stronger loading variations is underway, and will be reported subsequently.

Before we can solve (2.5) and (2.10) it is necessary to find $f$ * As pointed out by many authors, $d \Gamma / d r=0$ at $r=h, 1$ to be consistent with the boundary condition $u(h)=u(1)=0$.
and $\alpha$. We shall derive expressions for them first by a heuristic argument and then in a more formal way.

Fig. 2a shows a developed stream surface. Since the vortex sheets are going along the stream lines, $\alpha=$ cst is a streamline on this surface. It is easy to see that to the lowest order of approximation

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =-\theta-\frac{x}{r} \tan \beta_{2}^{\prime} \\
& =-\theta-\frac{x}{r} \frac{\left(\nu r-v_{0}\right)}{w_{0}}=-\theta+\frac{x}{r} \frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{w_{0}} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

in the downstream flow. It will be shown that f in (2.10) is $0(\varepsilon)$. Therefore in the present work we only need the lowest order approximation of $\alpha$ to be used in (2.10).

Let each blade carry a circulation of $C_{\Gamma} \Gamma(r)$ with $C_{\Gamma} \Gamma>0$ in the direction as shown in Fig. 3a. For isentropic flow Kelvin's theorem applies. Consider the circulation circuit as shown in Fig. 3b. The radial velocity at the trailing edge is

$$
2|\mathrm{u}|=c \frac{\left(\Gamma_{2}-\Gamma_{1}\right)}{\delta \mathrm{r}}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}=\mp \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \Gamma}{\mathrm{dr}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the upper (lower) sign refers to the pressure (suction) side of the blade.

Figure 3c shows the form of the wakes on a developed stream surface downstream of the blade. (To $0(1)$ the stream surface remains a cylinder.) The radial velocity jump across the vortex sheet is given by

$$
2|u|=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\alpha=-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}\left(\omega \cos \beta_{2}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{rd} \alpha
$$

Thus

$$
|\omega|=\frac{2|u|}{r \cos \beta_{2}^{1}} \delta(\alpha)
$$

This can be generalized to include all the vortex sheets and after taking care of proper signs and also using (2.15) we obtain

$$
\underline{\omega}=C_{\Gamma} \frac{d \Gamma}{d r} \frac{\hat{q}_{O}^{\prime}}{r \cos \beta_{2}^{\prime}} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

where $\quad \alpha_{i}=0,2 \pi / B, 4 \pi / B, \ldots,(B-1) 2 \pi / B$.
From the velocity triangle

$$
\cos \beta_{2}^{\prime}=w_{o} / q_{0}^{\prime}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\omega}=\frac{1}{r} C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{q_{0}^{\prime}}{w_{0}} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

What follows is a formal derivation of (2.16). Let

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\underline{Q}}{\underline{Q}^{\prime}} \\
\mathrm{R}^{2 \pi} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\underline{a}} \\
\underline{\Omega} \underline{q^{\prime}} & \underline{q^{\prime}} \\
\underline{\rho}
\end{array} \mathrm{d} \theta
$$

The nonaxisymetric part of the flow quantities are all $0(\varepsilon)$. From (2.6) we have

$$
\underline{\omega}=\lambda \underline{q}^{\prime}
$$

Since $\underline{\omega}=0(\varepsilon)$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\omega}=\lambda \underline{Q}^{\prime}+0\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the identity

$$
\nabla \cdot \underline{\omega}=0 \simeq \nabla \cdot\left(\frac{\lambda}{R} \underline{R} \underline{Q}^{\prime}\right)=\nabla\left(\frac{\lambda}{R}\right) \cdot R \underline{Q}^{\prime}
$$

where continuity is used in the last quality, it can be concluded therefore, that there must be a functional relationship between $\lambda / R$ and $\mathrm{RQ}^{\prime}$ '. Furthermore, continuity

$$
\nabla \cdot\left(\mathrm{R} \underline{\mathrm{I}}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

also enables us to write $\mathrm{RQ}^{\prime}$ as the cross product of two gradients

$$
\begin{equation*}
R Q^{\prime}=\nabla \alpha x \nabla \psi \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\psi$ are yet to be defined. Thus $\lambda / R$ will be a function of $\alpha$ and $\psi$. We pick $\psi$ to be the Stokes stream function of $\Theta$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}=-\frac{1}{\operatorname{Rr}} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \mathrm{x}} \quad, \quad \mathrm{~W}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Rr}} \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x, r, \theta)=-\theta-F(x, r) \tag{2,20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the trailing vortex sheets remain thin we can write (2.17) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{\omega}=\nabla x \underline{q}=\operatorname{Rf}(x, r) \sum_{i=1}^{B} \delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right) \underline{Q}^{\prime} \\
& \alpha_{i}=0,2 \pi / B, 4 \pi / B, \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Averaging over $\theta$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\Omega}=\nabla \mathrm{xQ}=\operatorname{Rf} \frac{\mathrm{B}}{2 \pi} \underline{Q}^{\prime} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $x$ and $r$ component of (2.21) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial r{ }_{0}}{\partial r}=\frac{B}{2 \pi} f \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \quad \frac{\partial r v_{0}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}=\frac{B}{2 \pi} f \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{2 \pi}{B} \frac{\partial\left(r v_{0}\right)}{\partial \psi} \equiv C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \psi} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find $\alpha$ we go back to the defination (2.18). The $\theta$ component is

$$
\frac{\nu r-v_{0}}{r}=W \frac{\partial F}{\partial x}+U \frac{\partial F}{\partial r}
$$

This can be integrated immediately by the method of characteristics
with boundary condition $F=0$ at $X=0$ (the rotor plane). The solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, r)=\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\operatorname{vr}(x)-u_{0}}{w_{0}} \frac{x}{r}+0(\varepsilon) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r=r(x)$ along a streamline of $\psi=$ constant. Noticing that $f$ given by (2.24) is already $O(\varepsilon)$ we only have to determine $\alpha$ or $F$ in (2.21) to $0(1)$. To this order the stream surfaces remain parallel to the axis of the annulus and (2.25) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, r)=\frac{v r-U_{0}}{w_{0}} \frac{x}{r}+0(\varepsilon) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summarizing, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\underline{\omega}=R C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \psi} \sum_{i=1}^{B} \delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right) \underline{Q}^{\prime}  \tag{2.27}\\
\alpha=-\theta-\frac{\nu r-u_{0}}{w_{0}} \frac{x}{r} \tag{2.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

This is the same as our previous result if we remember

$$
\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \psi}=\frac{1}{r R w_{0}} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}+0(\varepsilon) \quad \underline{Q}^{\prime}=q_{0}^{\prime}+0(\varepsilon)
$$

so (2.27) and (2.28) are equivalent to (2.16) and (2.14) with a difference of $0\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$.
III. THE MEAN FLOW

The basic equations we wish to solve are (2.5) and (2.16) which are repeated here for convenience:

$$
\begin{gather*}
a^{2} \nabla \cdot q^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} q^{\prime} \cdot \underline{\nabla q}^{\prime 2}-v^{2} q^{\prime} \cdot \underline{r}  \tag{3.1}\\
\underline{\omega}=\frac{C_{\Gamma}}{w_{0} r} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} q_{0}^{\prime}{ }_{i}^{B} \sum_{\underline{=}} \delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
\alpha_{i}=0,2 \pi / B, \ldots, \frac{(B-1) 2 \pi}{B} \\
\alpha=-\theta-\frac{x\left(\nu r-v_{0}\right)}{r w_{0}} \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the following, (3.1) is conveniently linearized with respect to the free vortex profile (2.12), although

$$
q=q_{0}+q_{1} \text { and } q^{\prime}=q_{0}^{\prime}+q_{1}^{\prime}
$$

where $\mathrm{q}_{1}=0(\varepsilon)$. Since the difference between the relative and absolute velocity is a zeroth-order quantity $(\nu \underline{\underline{\theta}}), q_{1}$ and $q_{1}^{\prime}$ are the same and we shall drop all primes on the perturbation quantities. Taking the $\theta$ average of (3.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \bar{q}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{BC}_{\Gamma}}{2 \pi \mathrm{~W}_{0}} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{q}_{0}^{1}}{\mathrm{r}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $a=a_{0}+a_{1}, a_{1}=O(\varepsilon)$, we obtain after some algebra

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{u_{1}}{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial \theta}+\frac{\partial w_{1}}{\partial x}\right)= \\
=-\frac{v_{0}^{2}}{r} u_{1}+\left(w_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right)\left(w_{0} w_{1}+v_{0}^{\prime} v_{1}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $\theta$ - averaged equation is

$$
\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}+\frac{\bar{u}_{1}}{\mathrm{r}}\left(1+\frac{\mathrm{v}_{0}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right) \frac{\bar{u}_{1}}{r}+\left(1-\frac{\mathrm{w}_{0}^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=0
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\bar{u}_{1}}{r}\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{w}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\theta$ component of (3.4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \bar{u}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{x}}-\frac{\partial \bar{w}_{1}}{\partial \mathrm{r}}=\frac{B C_{\Gamma}}{2 \pi \mathrm{w}_{0} r} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \mathrm{r}} \mathrm{v}_{0}^{\prime} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(3.6) and (3.7) form two equations for the two unknowns $\bar{u}_{1}$ and $\bar{w}_{1}$. Note that different $M_{x}(r)$ and $M_{\theta}(r)$ have to be used for the upstream and downstream flow and the RHS of (3.7) is zero for upstream. The difference of the base flow for upstream and downstream means that mode-by-mode matching across the rotor plane is no longer possible. We can only match the sum of all the modes. The matching problem will be dealt with in a later section.

To solve the equations (downstream) let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{g}}_{1}=\nabla \Phi+E \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\vec{u}_{1}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}+E_{r}, \vec{w}_{1}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{x}}$ Pick $\mathrm{E}_{\theta}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{x}=-\frac{B C_{\Gamma}}{2 \pi w_{0}} f^{r} d r \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} v_{0}^{\prime}+c \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.7) will be identically satisfied. The constant of integration C will be determined in the matching process to conserve mass flux.
(3.6) now becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{u}_{1}=\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{r}}=0 \text { at } \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{h}_{1}, \mathrm{I} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $|\nabla \Phi|$ vanishes far downstream. The matching conditions across the rotor pl ane are that there should be no change of radial momentum across the matching plame and axial mass flux is conserved. The details will be dealt with in section 8.

For the incompressible case (3.6) reduces to $\nabla \cdot \overline{\mathcal{q}}_{1}=0$. Analytic solution can easily be worked out. It is more convenient in this case to use $\psi$ where

$$
\bar{u}_{1}=-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \text { and } \bar{w}_{1}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}
$$

whence continuity is automatically satisfied. (3.7) then becomes

$$
-\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial r^{2}}-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & x<0  \tag{3.12}\\
\frac{B C V_{0}^{\prime}}{v \pi w_{0} r} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} & x>0
\end{array}
$$

The boundary conditions are

$$
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}=0 \text { at } r=h_{1}, 1
$$

and velocities remain bounded far from the rotor. The solution of (3.12)
is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \lim _{1=1} A_{\ell} e^{\lambda_{18} x} r Z_{12}(\mathrm{r}) \\
& \psi= \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{1} \equiv \frac{1}{\lambda_{1 \ell}^{2}} 反^{1}\left(\frac{{ }^{B C} \Gamma_{0}^{\prime} v_{0}^{\prime}}{2 \pi r w_{0}} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}\right) Z_{1 \ell}(r) \\
z_{1 \ell}(r) \equiv \frac{\left(J_{1}\left(\lambda_{1 \ell} r\right)+b_{\ell} Y_{1}\left(\lambda_{1 \ell} r\right)\right)}{\left(f^{1} r\left(J_{1}\left(\lambda_{1 \ell} r\right)+b_{\ell} Y_{1}\left(\lambda_{1 \ell} r\right)\right)^{2} d r\right)^{1 / 2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The eigenvalue $\lambda_{1 \ell}$ and phase-factors $b_{\ell}$ are chosen to satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
z_{1 \ell}(h)=z_{1 \ell}(1)=0
$$

For further details, see Ref.9.

## IV. THE $\theta$ VARYING FLOW

In section III we have effectively written

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, r, \theta)=q_{0}(r)+\bar{q}_{1}(x, r)+\tilde{q}_{1}(x, r, \theta) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{\underline{q}}_{1}$ averaged to zero over $\theta$. We found $\bar{q}_{1}$ from the $\theta$ averaged equations.* We shall find $\tilde{\underline{q}}_{1}$ here. The governing equation may be obtained from subtracting from (3.5) its $\theta$-averaged part. We then obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(a_{0}^{2}+v_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\tilde{u}_{1}}{r}+a_{0}^{2} \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\left(a_{0}^{2}-v_{0}^{\prime 2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_{1}}{\partial \theta}- \\
& -v_{0}^{\prime} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_{1}}{\partial x}+\left(a_{0}^{2}-w_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{1}}{\partial x}-\frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{r} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{1}}{\partial \theta}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right) \frac{\tilde{u}_{1}}{r}+\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{1}}{\partial r}+\frac{\left(1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \tilde{v}_{1}}{\partial \theta}- \\
- & M_{x} M_{\theta}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{1}}{\partial \theta}\right)+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{1}}{\partial x}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$M_{\theta}$ and $M_{\theta}^{\prime}$ are the tangential Mach number of the base flow in the absolute and relative frames respectively. From (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \tilde{\underline{q}}_{1}=\frac{C_{\Gamma}}{w_{0} r} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} q_{0}^{\prime} \stackrel{\sum_{i}}{=} 1\left[\delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right] \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using $g_{0}^{\prime}=w_{0} \hat{x}+v_{0}^{\prime} \hat{\theta}$ and (3.3) we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}^{\prime}=w_{0} r \nabla \alpha \times \hat{r}+0(\varepsilon) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]as can be directly verified. Then (4.3) becomes
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_{1}=C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}{ }_{i} \stackrel{\sum}{=}_{1}\left[\delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right] \nabla \alpha \times \hat{\mathrm{r}}+0\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

It is convenient to write

$$
\begin{gathered}
i \stackrel{\sum_{\underline{E}}}{1}\left[\delta\left(\alpha-\alpha_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right]=H^{\prime}(\alpha)-\left(\frac{B \alpha}{2 \pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
\equiv S^{\prime}(\alpha)
\end{gathered}
$$

Where primes here denote differentiation with respect to $\alpha$. $H$ and $S$ are the step and sawtooth functions illustrated in Figure 4a and Figure 4b. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \tilde{g}_{1}=C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} S^{\prime}(\alpha) \nabla \alpha \times \hat{r}=C_{\Gamma} \nabla S \times \nabla \Gamma \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_{1}=\nabla \phi+\underline{A} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\nabla \times \underline{A}=C_{\Gamma} \nabla S \times \nabla \Gamma
$$

If we chose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{A}=c_{\Gamma} s \nabla \Gamma \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.6) will be satisfied identically. (The other choice

$$
\underline{A}=-c_{\Gamma} \Gamma \nabla s
$$

only differs from our choice by a curl free vector which can be absorbed in the $\nabla \phi$ part of (4.7).) Now $\phi$ has to satisfy (4.2). Substituting (4.7) and (4.8) into (4.2) we obtain an equation for $\phi$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}} & +\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\frac{\left(1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}\right)}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}- \\
& -2 \frac{M_{x} M_{\theta}^{\prime}}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x \partial \bar{\theta}}\left(\frac{1+M_{\theta}^{2}}{r}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\left(-C_{\Gamma} S \frac{\partial \Gamma}{r}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
M_{\theta}^{\prime}=v_{0}^{\prime} / a_{0}=\left(v_{0}-\nu r\right) / a_{0}
$$

The type of partial differential to which equation (4.9) belongs depends on the coefficient matrix
x
$\theta$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{r} & \theta \\ 1-M_{x}^{2} & 0 & -M_{x} M_{\theta}^{\prime} / r \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -M_{x} M_{\theta}^{\prime} / r & 0 & \left(1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}\right) / r^{2}\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ccc}a & 0 & -c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -c & 0 & b\end{array}\right]$

The eigenvalues are

$$
\lambda=1 \text { and } \frac{1}{2}\left[(a+b) \pm \sqrt{\left.(a-b)^{2}+4 c^{2}\right]}\right.
$$

The equation will be hyperbolic, parabolic or elliptic according to whether

$$
(a+b) \leqq(a-b)^{2}+4 c^{2}
$$

This relation may be simplified to the requirement

$$
\begin{aligned}
& > \\
M_{x}^{2}+M_{\theta}^{\prime 2} & =1 \text { for parabolic equation type. } \\
& <\quad
\end{aligned}
$$

The LHS is just the square of the relative mach number, in analogy with the less general result of Ref. 10. Thus we only have radiation if the
relative mach number (including finite swirl) is greater than one. For many practical transonic compressors $\left(M_{x}^{2}+M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}\right)$ can be less than 1 downstream and greater than 1 for part of the radius upstream.
(4.9) reduces to McCune's acoustic equation [10] if we let $v_{0} \rightarrow 0$. The homogeneous equation becomes, in that limit,
$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(1-\frac{\nu^{2} r^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}+2 M_{x} \frac{\nu r}{a_{0}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta \partial x}=0$
( $\theta$ here is $-\theta$ in [10])

## V. THE WAKE FUNCTION

We just seek a particular solution to (4.9). It will be called the wake function. The sawtooth function may be expanded in Forrier series as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(\alpha)=\sum_{n}^{\infty} 1-\frac{i e^{i n B \alpha}}{n \pi} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=n \stackrel{\sum}{\infty} 1 \quad i \phi_{n}(r) e^{i n B \alpha} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.9) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n}^{\infty} 1 \quad\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+2 i n B \frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r}-n^{2} B^{2} \phi_{n}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r}\right)^{2}+i n B \phi_{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial r^{2}}\right] \\
+\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r}\left[\frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r}+i n B_{\phi_{n}} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial r}\right]  \tag{5.3}\\
\\
+\frac{\left(1-M^{\prime 2}\right)}{r^{2}} \phi_{n}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial \theta^{2}} i n B-n^{2} B^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \phi_{n} I \frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial x^{2}} i n B-n^{2} B^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}\right)^{2}\right] \\
- \\
\left.2 \frac{M_{x} M_{\theta}^{\prime}}{r} \phi_{n} I \frac{\partial^{2} \alpha}{\partial x \partial \theta} i n B-n^{2} B^{2}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta}\right)\right] e^{i n B \alpha} \\
= \\
\left(\frac{1+M_{\theta}^{2}}{r}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\left[-C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} \cdot \sum_{n}=1 \frac{-i e^{i n B \alpha}}{n \pi}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

with the expression for $\alpha$ in (2.14) the first terms in the last three square brackets on the LHS are zero.

As we are on 1 y interested in the solution at the vicinity of the blade, it is only neccessary to get a solution for small $x$. However care must be taken to apply the boundary condition at $x \rightarrow \infty$. Under the assumption that the wakes follow the free vortex flow we have rolled up vortex sheets at large x . Whether the wakes still follow the primary flow after they roll up is irrelevant. We expect that the rolled up wakes at large x do not induce any significant varying velocity disturbances. Then the solution obtained by combining a particular solution to (5.3) for small x and a solution to the homogeneous part of (4.9) with boundary condition of vanishing $\phi$ at $x \rightarrow \infty$ (or radiation condition if the equation is hyperbolic) will be valid for small x .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ordering } \mathrm{x}=0(\varepsilon) \text { and } \phi_{\mathrm{n}}=0(\varepsilon) \text { and neglecting terms of } 0\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r}-n^{2} B^{2} \phi_{n} \frac{1-M_{\theta}^{\prime^{2}}}{r^{2}}+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \frac{v_{0}^{\prime 2}}{r_{r}^{2}}+2 \frac{M_{x} M_{\theta}^{\prime}}{r} \frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{r}=g_{n}(r) \tag{5.4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{n \pi}\left(\frac{1+M_{\theta}^{2}}{r}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\left(C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(4.13a) may be simplified as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r}-n^{2} B^{2} \phi_{n} \frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime 2}}{r^{2}}=g_{n}(r) \tag{5.4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effect of compressibility only brings in the term

$$
\frac{M_{\theta}^{2}}{r}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi_{n}-\frac{1}{n \pi} C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}\right)
$$

The incompressible limit is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r}-n^{2} B^{2} \phi_{n} \frac{1}{r^{2}}+\frac{v_{0}^{\prime 2}}{r^{2}}=\frac{1}{n \pi}\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\left(C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}\right) \tag{5.4c}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can get a glimpse at how the flow is set up from the RHS (the driving term) of (5.4c). It was mentioned that [(2.15)]

$$
\mathrm{u} \sim \mathrm{C}_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}
$$

Thus

$$
\text { RHS of }(5.4 c) \sim \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{d r}(r u)
$$

which is the $r$ derivative component of the continuity equation. What has happened is the nonuniform spanwise loading of the blade induces a radial velocity. The rest of the flow field then tries to readjust so that continuity can be satisfied.

We shall first give an analytic solution for (5.4) in the limit of $\mathrm{v}_{0} \rightarrow 0$ and then describe a very efficient numerical algorithm for the general case.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { For } v_{0} \rightarrow 0,(5.4 b) \text { reduces to } \\
& \qquad \frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{n}}{\partial \alpha^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r}-n^{2} B^{2}\left(\nu^{2}+\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \phi_{n}=g_{n}(r) \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

with $g_{n}(r)$ now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(r)=\frac{1}{n \pi}\left(\frac{1}{r}+\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)\left(C_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary condition is $\frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r}=0$ at $r=h$, 1 . The solution is given in terms of the modified Beasel functions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{\mathrm{n}}(r)=I(r) \int_{r}^{1} \xi d \xi g_{n}(\xi) K(\xi)+K(r) 反^{r} \xi d \xi g_{n}(\xi) I(\xi) \\
+ & \frac{K^{\prime}(h) K^{\prime}(1) S_{I}-I^{\prime}(h) K^{\prime}(1) S_{K}}{Z} I(r)+\frac{I^{\prime}(h) I^{\prime}(1) S_{K}-I^{\prime}(h) K^{\prime}(1) S_{I}}{Z} K(r)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{I}=f^{1} \xi d \xi g_{n}(\xi) I(\xi) \quad S_{K}=L^{1} \xi d \xi g_{n}(\xi) K(\xi) \\
Z=I^{\prime}(h) K^{\prime}(1)-K^{\prime}(h) I^{\prime}(1)
\end{gathered}
$$

The $I$ and $K$ are the modified Beasel functions of first and second kind

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
I(r)=I_{n B}(n B \cup r) & K(r)=K_{n B}(n B \cup r) \\
I^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} I_{n B}(n B \cup r) & K^{\prime}(r)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} K_{n B}(n B \cup r)
\end{array}
$$

This is identical to the wake function used by McCune and Okurounmu [11] since $v_{0} \rightarrow 0$ reduces (4.9) to the acoustic equation.

A very efficient numerical method to solve (5.4) for the general
case is the Alternate Gradient Implicit scheme. (See e.g. Richtmeyer
and Morton [12].) Let us write (5.4b) as (dropping the subscript n's)

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1+M_{\theta}^{2}}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}-£ \phi-g=0
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{f} \equiv \mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{~B}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{v}_{0}^{\prime 2}}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\right)
$$

Let k denote the iteration index and j denote the r station number. Then to second order in $\delta \mathrm{r}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\phi_{j}^{k+1}-\phi_{j}^{k}}{\delta t}=\frac{\left(\phi_{j}^{k+1}+1+\phi_{j}^{k+1}-1-2 \phi_{j}^{k+1}\right)}{\delta r^{2}}+ \\
& +\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta j}^{2}\right)}{r_{j}} \frac{\left(\phi_{j}^{k}+1-1-\phi_{j}^{k+1}\right)}{2 \delta r}-f_{j} \phi_{j}^{k+1} g_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\delta t$ is a tuning parameter normally set to 1 . Rearranging we have

$$
-A_{j} \phi_{j}^{k}+1+B_{j} \phi_{j}^{k+1}-C_{j} \phi_{j}^{k+1}=D_{j}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{j}=\left(1+\frac{1+M_{\theta j}^{2}}{r_{j}} \frac{\delta r}{2}\right) \delta t \\
B_{j}=\delta r^{2}+\left(2+f_{j} \delta r^{2}\right) \delta t \\
C_{j}=\left(1-\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta j}^{2}\right)}{2 r_{j}} \delta r\right) \delta t \\
D_{j}=\left(\phi_{j}^{k}-g_{j} \delta t\right) \delta r^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Then we can solve for $\phi_{j}^{k+1}$ in two sweeps as

$$
\phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{k+1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathbf{j}} \phi_{j}^{\mathrm{k}+1}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad \text { (backward sweep) }
$$

where

$$
E_{j}=\frac{A_{j}}{B_{j}-C_{j} E_{j}-1} \quad F_{j}=\frac{D_{j}+C_{j} F_{j}-1}{B_{j}-C_{j} E_{j}-1} \quad \text { (forward sweep) }
$$

To start the sweep the boundary condition $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}=0$ at $r=h$ and 1 has to be applied. A second order accurate finite difference approximation of the boundary condition is

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
E_{1}=\frac{1}{1+f_{1} \delta r^{2} / 2} \quad F_{1}=-E_{1} g_{1} \frac{\delta r^{2}}{2} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { starter } \\
\text { forward sweep }
\end{array} \\
\phi_{n}=\frac{F_{n}-1\left(1+f_{n}-1^{\left.\delta r^{2} / 2\right)+g_{n}-1^{\delta r^{2} / 2}}\right.}{1-E_{n-1}\left(1+f_{n}-1^{\left.\delta r^{2} / 2\right)}\right.} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { starter } \\
\text { backward sweep }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

The scheme is unconditionally stable for $f>0$ and typically converges in 3 to 4 iterations.
VI. THE ACOUSTIC MODES

Let us now investigate the homogeneous part of (4.9), which is repeated here

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\left(1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}\right) \frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta^{2}}+  \tag{6.1}\\
& \quad+\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial x^{2}}-2 M_{x} M_{\theta}^{\prime} \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial \theta \partial x}=0
\end{align*}
$$

We have to remember that the $M_{x}, M_{\theta}$ and $M_{\theta}^{\prime}$ are different for upstream and downstream.* In particular $M_{\theta}=0$ ups.tream in the present study. Of ten relative Mach number $M_{x}^{2}+M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}$ is less than 1 downstream but may well be greater than 1 over part of the radius upstream. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\sum_{\mathfrak{n}} \phi_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{in} B \theta+i k_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{x}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

real part implied. Then for each $\phi_{n}$
$\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\phi-\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) k^{2}+2 \frac{M_{\theta}^{\prime} M_{x}}{r}{ }_{n B k}-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}\left(1-M_{\theta}^{\prime}{ }^{2}\right)}{r^{2}}=0$
where the subscript $n$ has been dropped temporarily for simplicity. The boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}=0 \quad \text { at } \quad r=h, 1 \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \equiv\left[k-\frac{M_{\theta}^{\prime} M_{x} n B}{r\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)}\right] \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right) \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\phi-\lambda^{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)+\frac{n^{2} B^{2}}{r^{2}}\left[\frac{M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}+M_{x}^{2}-1}{1-M_{x}^{2}}\right]=0 \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(6.6) may be put into self-adjoint form by introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\exp \left(\mathscr{h}^{r} \operatorname{dr}\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right) / r\right) \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p \phi^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+\phi p\left[-\lambda^{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}-M_{x}^{2}}{1-M_{x}^{2}}\right)\right]=0 \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here primes denote differentiation with respect to $r$ except for $M_{\theta}^{\prime}$ which as before denotes the relative tangential Mach number. In order for expansion (6.2) to be valid we must have an infinite number of eigenvalues $k_{n}$ and corresponding eigenfunctions $\phi_{n}$. By the Oscillation Theorem [13] this is only possible if the expression in the curly braces is greater than zero and goes to infinity for some value of $k$ (which may be infinite).

Let $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ be two solutions with eigenvalues $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ (with the corresponding $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ ).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(p \phi_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p \phi_{1}-\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \lambda_{1}^{2}-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}-M_{x}^{2}}{1-M_{x}^{2}}\right)=0  \tag{6.9a}\\
& \left(p \phi_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}+p \phi_{2}-\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \lambda_{2}^{2}-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}-M_{x}^{2}}{1-M_{x}^{2}}\right)=0 \tag{6.9b}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting $\phi_{2}(6.9 a)$ from $\phi_{1}(6.9 b)$ and integrating the result from $r=h$ to 1 and making use of the boundary condition (6.4) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad \int^{1} \mathrm{p} \phi_{1} \phi_{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{1}\right) \mathrm{dr}=0  \tag{6.10a}\\
& \text { or, using (6.5) } \\
& \int_{h}^{1} p \phi_{1} \phi_{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)\left(k_{2}-k_{1}\right)\left(k_{2}+k_{1}-\frac{2 M_{\theta}^{1} M_{x} n B}{r\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)}\right) d r=0
\end{align*}
$$

All the coefficients in the differential equations (6.3) are real, therefore, if $k$ is an eigenvalue and $\phi$ the corresponding eigenfunction
so are $\mathrm{k}^{*}$ and $\phi^{*}$. Let $\phi_{1}=\phi, \phi_{2}=\phi^{*}, \mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}_{2}=\mathrm{k}^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa^{1} p|\phi|^{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)\left(2 k_{i}\right)\left(2 k_{r}-\frac{2 M_{\theta}^{\prime} M_{x} n B}{r\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)}\right) d r=0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Incompressible Case
In the limit of all Mach numbers $\rightarrow 0$ (6.6) and (6.11) reduce to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial^{2} \phi}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}+\phi\left[-k^{2}-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}}{r^{2}}\right]=0  \tag{6.12}\\
\int_{h}^{1} p|\phi|^{2} k_{i} k_{r} d r=0 \tag{6.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

For solution to exist it is obvious that $k$ must be purely imaginary,

$$
k=\mp i \alpha
$$

where the upper (lower) sign refers to the upstream (downstream) flow.
This represents an elliptic field vanishing at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.
The solution can be written down explicitly in this case:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{n p} \sum_{n p}^{u} \phi_{n p}^{u} e^{i n B \theta} e^{Y_{n p} x} \quad x<0 \\
& \phi= \\
& \sum_{n p} \sum_{n p}^{d} \phi_{n p} e^{i n B \theta} e^{-\gamma_{n p} x} \quad x>0 \\
& \phi_{n p}=\left(J_{n B}\left(\gamma_{n p} r\right)+b_{n p} Y_{n B}\left(\gamma_{n p} r\right)\right) / \sqrt{N_{n p}} \\
& N_{n p}=\int_{u}^{1} \xi d \xi\left(J_{n B}\left(\gamma_{n p} \xi\right)+b_{n p} Y_{n B}\left(\gamma_{n p} \xi\right)\right)^{2} \\
& b_{n p}=-J_{n B}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{n p} h\right) / Y_{n B}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{n p} h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\gamma_{n p}$ 's are the solutions to the algebric equation

$$
J_{n B}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{n p}\right) Y_{n B}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{n p} h\right)-Y_{n B}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{n p}\right) J_{n B}^{\prime}\left(\gamma_{n p} h\right)=0
$$

The induced velocity decays exponentially with no oscillation away from the rotor. Details on obtaining the $\gamma_{n p}$ and $b_{n p}$ values are available in Reference 9.

The Case of $\mathrm{V}_{0} \rightarrow 0$
This includes the acoustic theory and the upstream part of the present theory. There is no difference between the two for $\mathrm{x}<0$. Now the relative Mach number may be greater than 1 locally and radiation of sound is possible. This can easily be seen from (6.8). For if $\left(M_{x}^{2}+M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}-1\right)>0,-\lambda^{2}$ can be negative and the expression inside the curly braces is still positive. For a given $n$ there will only be a finite number of real $k$ 's ( $\lambda$ 's) because the first term in the curly braces will dominate for large $\lambda^{2}$. (cf. Ref.1)

For the complex eigenvalues, we can find their real parts by (6.11). When $k_{i} \neq 0$, (6.11) gives

$$
k_{r}=\frac{\left\{^{1} p|\phi|^{2}\left(\frac{M_{\theta}^{\prime}}{r}\right) M_{x} n B_{d r}\right.}{\int_{n}^{1} p|\phi|^{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) d r}
$$

As there is no radial pressure gradient in the equilibrium upstream flow
$M_{x}=\operatorname{Cst}$ and $M_{\theta}^{\prime} \sim \nu r^{*}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{r}=-\frac{U M_{x}^{2} n B}{1-M_{x}^{2}} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. 5 shows the locations of the eigenvalues in the complex $k$ plane. (6.3) is quadratic in $k$. Therefore if $k_{i} \neq 0$ both $k$ and $k^{*}$ are eigenroots. We keep only the one with $k_{i}<0$ so that (6.2) will give a vanishing solution for $x \rightarrow-\infty$. If $k$ is purely real, roots will occur in pairs. We keep only the one which gives the correct Doppler effect. These roots are illustrated in Fig. 5. It con easily be seen ( (6.3), (6.5) and (6.15)) that the line defined by (6.15) is midway between a pair of real $k$ 's. In this case of $V_{0} \rightarrow 0$ the solution can be written down explicitly. (6.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \phi^{\prime}+\phi\left[\ell^{2}-\frac{\mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{R}^{2}}{\mathrm{r}^{2}}\right]=0 \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell^{2}=n^{2} B^{2} v^{2} M_{x}^{2}-k^{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)-2 M_{x} v n B k \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{nB}}(\ell \mathrm{r})
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{nB}}(\ell \mathrm{r})=J_{\mathrm{nB}}(\ell \mathrm{r})+2 \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{nB}}(\ell \mathrm{r})
$$

and $\ell$ and $\alpha$ are the eigenvalues and phase factors required to satisfy the two point boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{nB}}^{\prime}(\ell \mathrm{r})=0 \quad \text { at } \quad \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{h} \text { and } 1 \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

* Recall that, in the present notation, $M_{x}, M_{\theta}, M_{\theta}^{\prime}$, etc, are mean value ( $\theta$-averaged) quantities.

The eigenvalues $\ell$ are $a l l$ real and greater than 0 . However the $k$ obtained from (6.17) may be complex:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\frac{M_{x} n B \pm \sqrt{\left(M_{x} n B\right)^{2}-\left[\ell^{2}-\left(n B M_{x}^{2}\right)\right]\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)}}{\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)} \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sufficiently large $\ell, k$ is always complex. This result is identical with that of McCune [9]. Transonic resonance is also possible. For $k=0(6.16)$ becomes

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime}+\frac{1}{\gamma} \phi^{\prime}+\phi\left(\frac{n^{2} B^{2} v^{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}}{r^{2}}\right)=0
$$

which has nontrivial solution $\phi=Z_{n B}\left(\frac{n B \cup r}{a_{0}}\right)$ if $\frac{n B v}{a_{0}}$ happens to be one of the eigenvalues $\ell$ defined in (6.18). McCune [10] overcame the mathematical singularity by putting in a viscous dissipation term. There is no need to repeat this here. By contrast, non-linear effects were used in landing this singularity in Refs. 1 and 11.

## The Downstream Flow

This is typified by a large $V_{0}$. As a result, for a compressor, the relative Mach number is often subsonic. From (6.6) it can be concluded that $\lambda$ (and $k$ ) must be complex so that the boundary condition (6.4) can be satisfied. Since $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0$ we can estimate $\mathrm{k}_{\mathbf{r}}$ by (6.14)

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{r}=\left.\frac{f^{1} p|\phi|^{2} \frac{M_{\theta}^{\prime}}{r} M_{x} n B d r}{f^{1} p|\phi|^{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) d r} \sim \frac{M_{\theta}^{1} M_{x} n B}{r\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)}\right|_{r=\xi} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\xi$ at some point along the span (h,1). For many operating compressors $M_{\theta}^{\prime}<0$ with our definition. In that case, $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{r}}<0$. Instead of a monotonic exponential decay in the incompressible case, $\phi$ has an oscillatory decay from the rotor. Comparing the estimates (6.20) and (6.15) the main effect of the swirl is to decrease $k_{r}$. Thus we have longer waves downstream.

The solution to (6.3) in general cannot be obtained in closed form. In the next sections we shall discuss a numerical way of generating the approximate eigenfunctions and eigenvalues together with the matching of the solutions upstream and downstream.

## VII. SOLUTION BY GALERKIN'S METHOD

In this section we shall solve the equations (3.10) (mean flow) and (6.3) ( $\theta$ varying flow) by a numerical expansion procedure. Since the coefficients of both equations take different forms for upstream and downstream, it is desirable to expand both the upstream and downstream flows in terms of the same set of functions to facilitate the matching procedure. Galerkin's method, frequently used in problem in elasticity [14], suits our purpose. Basically the solution modes (by this we mean the solutions to (3.10) and (6.3) with definite axial wave number k) are expanded in terms of a complete set of functions satisfying the same set of boundary conditions. The coefficients of the expansion are found by making the residual orthogonal to all the functions in the complete set. The task of solving a differential equation for eigenfunctions is thus reduced to solving for the eigenvectors of a matrix. We also obtain the axial wave number (which may be complex) as the eigenvalues of the matrix.

The equations we are solving take the general form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(k, \phi)=0 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi(h)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \phi(1)=0 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the mean flow the operator $L$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{M}}(\mathrm{~K}, \Phi)=\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{r}^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+\mathrm{M}_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathrm{r}}+\left(1-\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{K}^{2} \Phi \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $\theta$ varying flow it takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{T}\left(k, \phi_{n}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} \phi_{n}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \phi_{n}}{\partial r}+  \tag{7.4}\\
+\phi_{n}\left\{-k^{2}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)+\frac{2 M_{\theta}^{\prime M} M_{x}}{r} n B k-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}\left(1-M_{\theta}^{\prime 2}\right)}{r^{2}}\right\}
\end{gather*}
$$

with the understanding that $M_{\theta}, M_{x}$ and $M_{\theta}^{\prime}$ take different form for upstream and downstream flow. We have also assumed that in the mean flow and in the $\theta$ varying flow

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, r, \theta) \sim \phi_{n}(r) e^{i n B \theta} e^{i k_{n} x} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall solve (7.1) by Galerkin's method and apply the result to (7.3) and (7.4). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(r)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} a_{\ell} \psi_{\ell}(r) \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\psi_{\ell}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$, is a complete set of independent functions (they need not be orthogonal) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi_{l}(h)=\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \psi_{\ell}(1)=0 \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the solution to (7.1) is given by (for every eigenvalue $k$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{I}^{N} a_{\ell}(k) \psi_{\ell}(r) \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the eigenvalues $k$ and eigenvectors $\left[a_{l}\right]$ determined by making the residue $L\left(k, \ell \sum_{1} a_{\ell} \psi_{\ell}\right)$ orthogonal to the $\psi_{j}$ 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h}^{1} \operatorname{drw}(r) L\left(k, \sum_{\ell} \sum_{1}^{N} a_{\ell} \psi_{\ell}\right) \psi_{j}=0 \quad j=1,2, \ldots, n \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w(r)$ is an appropriate weighing function. In general (7.10) is a matrix relation of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
M_{i j}(k)
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}  \tag{7.11}\\
\vdots \\
a_{N}
\end{array}\right]=0
$$

The $k$ 's are determine to make $\left[M_{i j}\right.$ ] singular so that $\left[a_{\ell}\right]$ is not identically zero. It can be shown that (7.9) under certain mathematical boundedness and smoothness conditions converges (in the mean). The convergence goes as

$$
\sim f^{1}\left|L\left(k, \sum_{\ell=1}^{N} a_{\ell} \psi_{\ell}\right)\right|^{2} d r / N
$$

which is very fast if a suitable set $\{\psi\}$ is chosen.

## The Mean Flow

For the mean flow let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} a_{\ell} \psi_{\ell} \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(To facilitate matching, the same set of $\psi$ 's should be used for both $x>0$ and $x<0$. ) Then the $M_{i j}$ 's in (7.11) become

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{i j}(k)=\int_{h}^{1} w d r \Psi_{i} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{j}}{\partial r^{2}}+ \\
+\int_{h}^{1} \operatorname{wdr} \Psi_{i} \frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi_{j}}{\partial r}+  \tag{7.13}\\
+K^{2} f^{1} \operatorname{wdr}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \Psi_{i} \Psi_{j} \equiv B_{i j}+K^{2} C_{i j}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{i j}=\left\{^{1} w d r \Psi_{i}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Psi_{j}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1+M_{\theta}^{2}}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi_{j}}{\partial r}\right)\right.  \tag{7.14a}\\
\left.C_{i j}=\right\}_{h}^{1} w d r\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \Psi_{i} \Psi_{j} \tag{7.14b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let $A^{T}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots a_{N}\right)$. Then (7.11) may be written as $C^{-1} B A=-K^{2} A$ and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K= \pm \sqrt{\left.- \text { (eigenvalue of } C^{-1} B\right)} \tag{7.15a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\text { eigenvector of } \mathrm{C}^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \tag{7.15b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper (lower) sign in $K$ are for the upstream (downstream) solution. (It will turn out that the eigenvalues of $C^{-1} B$ are negative and $K$ is real.) Suitable normalization of a will be carried out in the upstreamdownstream matching process (Chapter 8).

## The $\theta$ Varying Flow

The procedure is identical to the ahove except now not only $\mathrm{k}^{2}$ but $k$ is involved in the dispersion relation (7.11). We shall use $m_{i j}$ instead of $M_{i j}$ to distinguish the present from the mean flow treatment. Let

$$
\phi_{\mathrm{n}}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n} \ell} \psi_{\mathrm{n} \ell}
$$

(the index $n$ denotes the $n^{\text {th }}$ azimuthal mode)
Then

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{i j}^{n}= & \left\{_ { h } ^ { 1 } w d r \left\{\frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{i j}}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{\left(1+M_{\theta}^{2}\right)}{r} \frac{\partial \psi_{n j}}{\partial r}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{n^{2} B^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(1-M_{\theta}^{\prime}\right) \psi_{n j}\right\} \psi_{n i}+ \\
& +k f^{1} \operatorname{wdr} \frac{2 M_{\theta}^{\prime} M}{r} n B \psi_{n j} \psi_{n i}-  \tag{7.16a}\\
& -k^{2} \tilde{h}^{1} w d r\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \psi_{n j} \psi_{n i}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i j}^{n} \equiv-k^{2} D_{i j}^{n}+k E_{i j}^{n}+F_{i j}^{n} \tag{7.16b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $D_{i j}^{n}, E_{i j}^{n}$ and $F_{i j}^{n}$ matrices are easily identifiable from (7.16a). The eigenvalue problem is

$$
\sum_{j}\left(-k^{2} D_{i j}^{n}+k E_{i j}^{n}+F_{i j}^{n}\right) A_{j}^{n}=0 \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

when $A_{j}^{n}=a_{n j}$. Dropping the index $n$ temporarily for simplicity we have in matrix notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-k^{2} D+k E+F\right) A=0 \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrices involved in (7.17) are $N \mathrm{x} N$ and k appears quadratically. Therefore we would have 2 N eigenvalues for k in general. However only N of them are retained by requiring either vanishing solutions at $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ on the appropriate radiation condition.

To solve (7.17) for $k$, it is noted that if a suitable set of $\psi^{\prime} s$ is chosen, D is going to be diagonal dominant; also $\mathrm{D}^{-1}$ exists and is well-behaved. Multiplying (7.17) by $\mathrm{D}^{-1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-k^{2} I+k D^{-1} E+D^{-1} F\right) A=0 \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& G \equiv D^{-1} E, H \equiv D^{-1} \mathrm{~F} \\
& A_{1} \equiv A \quad A_{2} \equiv k A_{1} \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the $N \times N$ matrix equation (7.18) may be written as a $\mathbb{N} \times 2 \mathbb{N}$ matrix equation

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & \mathrm{I}  \tag{7.20}\\
\mathrm{H} & \mathrm{G}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{A}_{1} \\
\mathrm{~A}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll} 
& A_{1} \\
\mathrm{k} & \mathrm{~A}_{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus

$$
k=\text { eigenvalues of }\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 7  \tag{7.21}\\
H & G
\end{array}\right]
$$

After finding $k$, the eigenvector $A$ can be solved easily. Normalization of A will be carried out in the matching of the upstream and downstream flow in (Chapter 8).

## VIII. MATCHING OF UPSTREAM AND DOWNSTREAM FLOW

The matching conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[u]_{0-}^{0+}=0, \text { no radial force }}  \tag{8.1}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{w}}\right]_{0-}^{0+}=0 \text {, mass flux conservation }} \tag{8.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The difficulty of the matching lies in the fact that, because of the strong swirl, the downstream duct modes are different from the upstream modes. Mode by mode matching is no longer possible. For each radial position, all the modes are added up to satisfy (8.1) and (8.2). Say if we limit ourselves to $N \theta$-modes and $P$ radial modes, then (8.1) and (8.2) furnish $2 \mathrm{xN} \times \mathrm{P}$ simultaneous algebric relations between the $2 \mathrm{x} N \mathrm{x} \mathrm{P}$ amplitudes. The details of the mixed mode matching go as follows. Let

$$
\rho=\rho_{0}+\rho_{1}
$$

where $\rho_{0}$ is the density corresponding to the base flow (2.12) which is different far upstream and downstream, then (8.2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(\rho_{0}+\rho_{1}\right)\left(w_{0}+w_{1}\right)\right]_{u}^{d}=0 \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We shall use $0-$, $0+$ and $u, d$ interchangeably.) In our model of the base flow we have assumed a jump of tangential velocity across the $\mathbf{x}=0$ plane (actuator disk). There must be a corresponding jump in radial pressure gradient to supply the neccessary centrifugal force. Hawthorne and Ringrose [8] pointed out that this pressure variation will further yield a modification of the radial density profile and therefore a redistribution of mass flux. Hence radial flow will occur even if the far downstream flow is of free vortex type. Since the' observed radial flow is small we can assume the difference of the two $0(1)$ quantities to be

$$
\left(\rho_{0} w_{0}\right)_{d}-\left(\rho_{0} w_{0}\right)_{u}=0(\varepsilon)
$$

Then, to $O(\varepsilon),(8.3)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\rho_{0} w_{1}+\rho_{1} w_{0}+\rho_{0} w_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}=0 \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the $\theta$-average of (8.4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\rho_{0} \bar{w}_{1}+\bar{\rho}_{1} \mathrm{w}_{0}+\rho_{0} \mathrm{w}_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}=0 \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the difference of (8.4) and (8.5) gives the corresponding matching condition far the perturbation flow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\rho_{0} \tilde{w}_{1}+\tilde{\rho}_{1}{ }_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}=0 \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have so far only calculated the velocities, the presence of the density perturbations $\vec{\rho}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{1}$ in (8.5) and (8.6) are not very convenient. However they can be eliminated via the energy equation (isentropic flow has been assumed throughout)

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~T}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{q}^{2}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad \text { (along stream line) }
$$

or

$$
\rho=\rho_{t}\left(1-\frac{\gamma-1}{2} \frac{q^{2}}{a_{t}^{2}}\right)^{1 / \gamma-1}
$$

where the subscript $t$ denotes total (stagnation) quantities. Taking the logarithmic derivative and remembering that $T_{t}$ is independent of $r$ for uniform axial flow and/or for free vortex flow, we have

$$
\frac{d \rho}{\rho}=\frac{-q d q}{a_{t}^{2}-(\gamma-1) q / 2}
$$

Replacing d $\rho$ by $\rho_{1}, \rho$ by $\rho_{0}, q$ by $q_{0}$ and $d q$ by $q_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\frac{\rho_{0}\left(-q_{0} \cdot \underline{q}_{1}\right)}{a_{x}^{2}-\frac{\gamma-1}{2} q_{0}^{2}}=-\frac{\rho_{0} q_{0} \cdot q_{1}}{a^{2}} \simeq-\rho_{0} \frac{q_{0} \cdot q_{1}}{2} M_{x}^{2} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta$ averaging (8.7) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\rho}_{1} \simeq-M_{x}^{2} \rho_{0} \frac{\bar{w}_{1}}{w_{0}} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mass flux matching condition for the mean flow is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} \bar{w}_{1}+\rho_{0} w_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}=0 \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\theta$ varying part of (8.7) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}_{1}=-M_{x}^{2} \rho_{0}\left(w_{0} \tilde{w}_{1}+v_{0} \tilde{v}_{1}\right) / w_{0}^{2} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the mass flux matching condition for the $\theta$-varying flow is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} \tilde{w}_{1} / w_{0}-M_{x} M_{\theta} \rho_{0} \tilde{v}_{1} / w_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}=0 \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Mean Flow
The $\theta$-averaged velocity perturbation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{q}_{1}}=\nabla \Phi+\underline{E} \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{E}=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & ; x<0 \\
\left(E_{0}+C\right) \hat{x} & ; x>0
\end{array}  \tag{8.13}\\
& E_{0} \equiv-\frac{B C_{\Gamma}}{2 \pi w_{0}} \mathfrak{h}^{r} \frac{v_{0}^{\prime}}{r} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r} d r \tag{8.14}
\end{align*}
$$

and $C$ is the constant of integration in (3.9). According to the Galerkin procedure

where the same $\Psi \ell^{\prime}$ s are used for both upstream and downstream. The coefficients of expansion are expressed as $N_{p}{ }_{\ell p}$ where the $\alpha_{\ell P}$ 's make up the unnormalized eigenvector discussed in the last section; the $N_{p}$ 's provided their normalization. (p indexes the eigenvectors and $\ell$ the components of each.)

We shall pick the normalized $Z_{0}\left(\lambda_{\ell} r\right)$ (which satisfy the boundary conditions) as our expansion functions $\psi_{\ell}(r)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{0}\left(\lambda_{\ell} r\right) \equiv\left[J_{0}\left(\lambda_{\ell} r\right)+b_{\ell} Y_{0}\left(\lambda_{\ell} r\right)\right] / \sqrt{N_{1}} \\
& N_{\ell}=f_{h}^{1} r\left[J_{0}\left(\lambda_{\ell} r\right)+b_{l} Y_{0}\left(\lambda_{\ell} r\right)\right]^{2} d r \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(Z_{0}\left(\lambda_{\ell} r\right)\right)=0 \quad \text { at } r=h, 1
\end{aligned}
$$

This choice turns out to satisfy exactly the differential equation for $\Phi$ upstream [see also (3.13)] and hence saves the labour of solving the matrix eigenvalue problem upstream.

However the set $Z_{0}$ is not complete unless we include a constant. This manisfests itself as the constant of integration in (3.9) and represents a net mass flux.

From (8.1) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell p} \sum_{\ell p} N_{\ell p}^{\mathrm{u}} \alpha_{l \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{u}}=\sum_{\ell: \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~N}_{\ell p}^{\mathrm{d}} \alpha_{\ell \mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{d}} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the various expansions into (8.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} \sum_{\ell p} \sum_{p} N_{p} \alpha_{\ell p} \Psi_{\ell} K_{p}\right]_{u}^{d}=-\left[\rho_{0} w_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}-\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0}\right) d_{d}\left(E_{0}+C\right) \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using the same $\Psi^{\prime}$ 's for the upstream and downstream solutions we have been able to carry out the matching of the radial velocity (8.16) without difficulty. However in the matching of the mass flux (8.17) we get on each side of the equality a product of $\Psi_{\ell}(r)$ and $\rho_{0}(r)$ with different radial dependence upstream and downstream. Therefore we have to reexpand both sides of (8.16) in terms of a common set of complete functions $\mathbb{I}_{-1}(r)$ before we can compare the coefficients. It is convenient to use the same set of $\Psi$ 's for the so that orthogonal relations can be used wherever possible. Upon substituting (8.16) into (8.17) the problem can be cast in the form of the following matrix equation for $\left[N_{p}^{d}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left(\left[a_{m p}\right]\right] b_{m p}\right]+\frac{1}{f}\left[c_{m} d_{p}\right]\right)\left[N_{p}^{d}\right]=\left[g_{m}\right]-\frac{e}{f}\left[c_{m}\right] \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [] represents an $N \times N$ matrix or an $N$ vector depending on the number of subscripts its element carries. We have assumed that the reexpansion is also carried out to $N$ terms so that (8.18) remains an $\mathrm{N} x \mathrm{~N}$ system and thus does not become over or under determined.

The definition of the various terms in (8.18) are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{m p}=\left(1-\left(M_{x}^{u}\right)^{2}\right) \rho_{0}^{u} K_{m}^{u} \alpha_{m p}^{d} \\
& b_{m p}={ }_{\ell \sum_{1}}^{n} q_{m \ell} K_{p}^{d} \alpha_{\ell p}^{d} \\
& q_{m \ell}=f^{1}\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} \Psi_{\ell}\right)_{d} r_{m}{ }_{m} d r \\
& c_{m}=h^{1}\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0}\right) d_{d}{ }^{\Psi}{ }_{m} d r \\
& \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{p}}=\sum_{\ell}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{1} \alpha_{\ell}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{~B}_{\ell}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{d}} \\
& B_{\ell}=h^{1}\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} \Psi_{\ell}\right){ }_{d} r d r \\
& g_{m}=f_{h}^{1}\left\{\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} E_{0}\right)_{d}+\left[\rho_{0} W_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}\right\} r \Psi_{m} d r \\
& e=h^{1}\left\{\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} E_{0}\right)_{d}+\left[\rho_{0} w_{0}\right]_{u}^{d}\right\} r d r \\
& f=h^{1}\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0}\right)_{d} r d r
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally the constant of integration in (3.9) is

$$
c=-\frac{1}{f}\left(e+\sum_{\ell p} \sum_{p} N_{p}^{d} \alpha_{\ell p}^{d} K_{p}^{d} B_{\ell}\right)
$$

## The $\theta$-varying Flow

The procedure is exactly the same as the previous one except we have to carry another index $n$ to denote the $\theta$ modes. From Chapter 4

$$
\tilde{\mathfrak{q}}_{1}=\begin{array}{ll}
\nabla \phi & x<0  \tag{8.19}\\
\nabla \phi+C_{\Gamma} S \nabla \Gamma & x>0
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi=\phi_{w}+\phi_{h} \\
\phi_{w}={ }_{n}{ }_{n}^{\infty} \sum_{1}^{0} i \operatorname{Rn}(r) e^{i n B \alpha} \quad \begin{array}{l}
x<0 \\
x>0
\end{array} \tag{8.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $\mathrm{Rn}^{\prime}$ s are the particular solutions (wake functions) to (5.4). The method by which they are calculated numerically is described in Chapter 5. In addition,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n}^{\infty} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \ell_{1}^{N} \sum_{1}^{N} \sum_{1}^{N} a_{n \ell p}^{u} \psi_{n \ell} e^{i n B \theta} e^{i k_{n p}^{u} x} \quad x<0  \tag{8.21}\\
& \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \ell_{\ell}^{N} \sum_{1}^{N} \sum_{n}^{N} a_{n \ell p}^{u} \psi_{n \ell} e^{i n B \theta} e^{i k_{n p}^{d} x} \quad x>0
\end{align*}
$$

where the Galerkin expansion in Chapter 7 has been carried to N terms. Applying the matching condition (8.1) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{n \ell p}^{\sum_{n}} a_{n \ell p}^{u} \psi_{n \ell}^{\prime}=\sum_{n} \sum_{n \ell p}^{a_{n}} \psi_{n \ell}^{\prime}+\sum_{n=1} i\left(R_{n}^{\prime}-\frac{C_{\Gamma}}{n \pi} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial r}\right)  \tag{8.22}\\
\left(R n-\frac{C}{n \pi} \Gamma\right)=\sum_{\ell=1}^{i v} b_{n \ell} \psi_{n \ell} \tag{8.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{N}\left(a_{n \ell p}^{u}-a_{n \ell p}^{d}\right)=i b_{n \ell} \quad \begin{align*}
& n=1, \ldots, \infty  \tag{8.24}\\
& \ell=1, \ldots, N
\end{align*}
$$

The mass-flux matching (8.11) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} / W_{0}\right]_{n}^{u} \sum_{n}^{\sum} a_{n \ell p}^{u} \psi_{n \ell} i k_{n p}^{u}=} \\
& =\left[\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} / W_{0}\right]^{d} \quad \sum_{n \ell p} a_{n \ell p}^{d} \psi_{n \ell} i k_{n p}^{d}+{ }_{n=1}^{\sum_{1}^{\infty}} i R_{n}(r) \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x}(i n B)- \\
& -\left[\rho_{0} M_{x} M_{\theta} / w_{0}\right]^{d} \quad n^{\ell_{\ell}}{ }_{n} a_{n l p}^{d} \psi_{n \ell} i n B+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i R_{n}(r)\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta}\right)(i n B) \tag{8.25}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \ell p} a_{n \ell p}^{u} f_{n \ell p}^{u}(r)=\sum_{n \ell p} a_{n \ell p}^{d} f_{n l_{p}}^{d}(r)+\sum_{n} i g_{n}(r) \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{n \ell p}^{u}(r)=\left[\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} k_{n p} / w_{0}\right]^{u} \psi_{n \ell}(r) \\
\left.f_{n \ell p}^{d}(r)=\left[\left(\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right) \rho_{0} k_{n p}-\rho_{0} M_{x} M_{\theta} n B\right) / w_{0}\right]\right]_{n \ell}^{d_{n}}(r) \\
\left.g_{n}(r)=\left[\rho_{0}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\alpha x}\left(1-M_{x}^{2}\right)-M_{x} M_{\theta}\left(\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial \theta}\right)\right) / w_{0}\right]\right]_{n B R_{n}}^{d}(r)
\end{gathered}
$$

Reexpanding these functions in terms of a complete set $X_{m}(r)$ to $N$ terms we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{n \ell p}^{u, d} & ={ }_{n}^{N} \sum_{1}^{N} b_{n \ell p m}^{u, d} x_{m}(r)  \tag{8.27a}\\
g_{n} & =\sum_{m=1}^{N} c_{n m} \chi_{m}(r) \tag{8.27b}
\end{align*}
$$

(8.26) becomes

For each $m$ and fixed $n$ we equate teh two sides of (8.28) and get $N$ relations. They are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\ell, \mathrm{p}}^{a_{n \ell p}^{n}} b_{n \ell p m}^{u}=\ell \sum_{\ell} a_{n \ell p}^{d} b_{n \ell p m}^{d}+i c_{n m} m=1,2, \ldots, N \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $n$, (8.24) and (8.29) provide $2 N$ normalization conditions for the $2 N$ eigenvectors $\left[a_{n \ell p}^{u}\right]$ and $\left[a_{n \ell p}^{d}\right]$. Let $N_{n p}^{u, d}$ be the normalization constant amd $\left[\alpha_{n \ell p}^{u, d}\right]$ be the unnormalized eigenvectors for the $\left[m_{i j}\right]$ matrix in section 7.

$$
a_{n \ell p}^{u, d}=N_{n p}^{u, d} \alpha_{n \ell p}^{u, d} \quad \begin{align*}
& n=1, \ldots, \infty  \tag{8.30}\\
& l=1, \ldots, N \\
& p=1, \ldots, N
\end{align*}
$$

(8.24) and (8.29) become

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{p} N_{n p}^{u} \alpha_{n \ell p}^{u}=\sum_{p} N_{n p}^{d} \alpha_{n \ell p}^{d}+i b_{n \ell} \quad \ell=1, \ldots, N  \tag{8.31}\\
& \ell \sum_{p} N_{n p}^{u} \alpha_{n \ell p}^{u} b_{n \ell p m}^{u}=\sum_{\ell p} N_{n p}^{d} \alpha_{n \ell p}^{u} b_{n \ell p m}^{d}+i c_{n m} m=1, \ldots, N \tag{8.32}
\end{align*}
$$

In matrix notation we have, for each $n$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[\alpha_{n \ell p}^{\mathrm{u}}\right]} & -\left[\alpha_{\mathrm{n} \ell p}^{\mathrm{d}}\right] & {\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{np}}^{\mathrm{u}}\right]} \\
{\left[\beta_{\mathrm{nmp}}^{\mathrm{u}}\right]} & -\left[\beta_{\mathrm{nmp}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right] & {\left[\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{np}}^{\mathrm{d}}\right]}
\end{array}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
{\left[i b_{\mathrm{n} \ell}\right]} \tag{8.33}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\beta_{\mathrm{nmp}} \equiv \Gamma_{\ell} \alpha_{\mathrm{n} \ell \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{n} \ell \mathrm{pm}}
$$

From (8.33) we can solve for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{np}}^{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{d}}$ easily and (8.30) furnishes the coefficients for (8.21).

## IX. NUMERICAL CALCULATION

The following gives some of the details of the calculation procedure. Results are presented at the end of this section.

## (i) Parameters of the Calculation

The inputs to the computation are: the number of blades $B$, the hub to tip ratio $h$, the axial Mach number far upstream $M_{x \rightarrow \infty}$, the rotor speed $\nu$, the average loading per blade $C_{\Gamma}$ and the loading profile $\Gamma(r)$. All quantities are nondimensionalized as discribed in section 2 . The loading profile we choose is

$$
\Gamma(r)=1-\varepsilon \operatorname{Cos}\left(\frac{r-h}{1-h} \pi\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter of about $0.1 \sim 0.2$. Note that $\Gamma$ has zero derivatives at the tip and hub radii.

Instead of $v$ and $C_{\Gamma}$ it is sometimes more convenient to specify the $b 1$ ade tip Mach number $M_{T}$ and the total pressure ratio $P_{t_{2}} / P_{t_{1}}$. They are related by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{P_{t_{2}}}{P_{t_{1}}}=\left(1+M_{x_{-\infty}}^{2}(\gamma-1) \frac{v B C_{\Gamma}}{2 \pi}\right) \gamma / \gamma-1 \\
M_{T}^{2}=\left({ }^{2}+1\right) M_{x_{-\infty}}^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) The Base Flow

The base flow from which perturbation is made consists of a uniform
axial flow upstream of the actuator dish and a free vortex flow with constant axial velocity downstream. Each can be shown to be a self consistent flow field in the applicable region.

Let the upstream and downstream quantities carry subscripts 1 and 2. For a given density $\rho_{1}$ and velocity $w_{1}$ upstream, the downstream axial velocity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}=\frac{\rho_{1} w_{1}}{\left\langle\rho_{2}\right\rangle} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\rho_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{h^{1} \rho_{2} \mathrm{rdr}}{\mathfrak{h}^{1} \mathrm{rdr}} \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value of $\left\langle\rho_{2}>\right.$ is obtai ned through the Euler turbine equation and the isentropic relation.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{\gamma-1}{2 a_{1}^{2}}\left(1-w_{2}^{2}-v_{2}^{2}\right)+\frac{\gamma-1}{a_{1}^{2}} r v_{2}  \tag{9.3}\\
\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho_{1}}=\left(\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}\right)^{1 / \gamma-1} \tag{9.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a$ is the velocity of sound and $v$ the $\theta$ velocity. $<\rho_{2}>$ is obtained by substituting (9.1) into (9.3) and solving the system (9.2), (9.3) and (9.4) by iteration. The result of some cases under study is shown in Figure 6.
(iii) The Mean and $\theta$ varying Flow

The precedures outlined in the previous sections are straightforward but tedious to carry out. The wake function calculated by the
mentioned implicit alternate gradient method converges very rapidly. In the Galerkin expansions normalized Bessel functions are used with weight equal to r. These Bessel functions are chosen to have vanishing derivatives at hub and tip and to satisfy the differential equations for the upstream flow. (This is the reason why they are used.) Orthogonality is used whenever possible to reduce the labor of computation.

The only difficulty comes in the upstream and downstream matching of the $\theta$ varying part of the perturbation flow. With our model, velocities become singular at the lifting line. The singularity manifests itself as a failure of convergence of the Fourier expansion of velocities. For example with velocity potential

$$
\phi=\sum_{n p} a_{n p} \phi_{n p} e^{i n B \theta} e^{i k_{n p} x}
$$

The $\theta$ velocity component at the lifting line is

$$
v_{1}(x=0, r, \theta=0)=\sum_{n p} a_{n p} \frac{i n B}{r} \phi n p
$$

In general the above sum over n diverges.
Theoratically it is still possible to apply the matching condition to the divergent series and obtain meaningful values for the ${ }^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ 's. This was done for the small swirl case in [11] and for the incompressible rectilinear cascade in [3] by requiring the correct singular behavior at the lifting line. In those work, however, such a step is possible analytically because the mode shapes are the same for both upstream and downstream. In the strong swirl case studied here, the mode shapes are different for upstream and downstream. The mixed mode matching of the singular velocities is not so straightforward. Direct application
of the procedure outlined in section 8 yields series that do not converge (at least numerically). McCune* pointed out that the matching condition (8.2) is an indirect specification of the correct singular behavior of the velocities at the matching plane. The following replacement may be more appropriate

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[v_{1}\right]_{0-}^{0+}=\frac{C_{\Gamma}}{r}\left({ }_{i} \sum_{\underline{I}_{1}}^{B} \delta\left(\theta-\theta_{i}\right)-\frac{B}{2 \pi}\right)}  \tag{9.5}\\
\theta_{i}=0, \frac{2 \pi}{B}, \frac{4 \pi}{B}, \ldots
\end{gather*}
$$

(9.5) and (8.2) are shown to be identical in the incompressible rectilinear cascade calculation [3].

For the time being we avoid this problem ${ }^{\dagger}$ by addressing ourselves only to the calculation the total deflection angle. In this case the acoustic modes have all decayed away at the Trefftz plane. The perturbation potential there is then given by $\phi_{w}$ alone in (8.20). The wake induced velocities which modify the deflection angle are given by $\nabla \phi_{\mathrm{w}}$ at $\alpha=0$. (We have neglected here the effect of the upstream acoustic radiation, if any, on the incoming flow direction.)

## (iv) Numerical Results

Figures 7 to 9 show the total deflection angles for different

* J. E. McCune, Private communication 1976.
$\dagger$ Study of the method of determining the correct Fourier coefficients of the divergent series is underway. These coefficients are important for determining the upstream acoustic radiation spectrum in the presence of strong downstream swirl.
blades and loadings. The mean solution takes into account the $\theta$-averaged contribution of the wakes (associated with $\varepsilon \neq 0$ ) and the $3 D$ solution includes also the contribution from $\phi_{W}$. As expected the effect of the wake generally is to try to "bend" the loading profile towards a free vortix profile.

Fig. 10 shows the axial decay rate of the $\theta$-averaged flow induced by the wake. That the magnitudes of the downstream decay rates are smaller than those of the corresponding upstream radial modes is due to the Prandtl Glauert effect. Fig. 11 shows the axial complex wave number of the three dimensional perturbation velocities. The downstream values are all complex as the flow is subsonic there in the examples taken. To each azimuthal mode $m$, there correspond a finite number of upstream purleyreal wave numbers. These are the acoustic radiating modes (modes above cut-off).

Fig. 12 shows the deflection correction $\Delta D$ due to the three dimensional part of the perturbation velocities for a rotor of fixed total pressure ratio as a function of rotor speed. The decrease in $\Delta D$ here is artificial because as we run up the rotor speed $v$ the loading per blade decreases ${ }^{\sim} 1 / \nu$ if the pressure ratio is to remain constant. The strength of the shed vorticity also goes down by $1 / v$. Fig. 14 shows $\Delta D$ verses rotor speed for a rotor of constant work per blade so that the pressure ratio increases as the rotor speed increases. It is found that $\Delta D$ still decreases as speed increases. This is because the angle the wake makes with the axial direction increases as $V$ increases.
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Sketch of upstream eigenvalue map for the transonic ducted fan

The annulus averaged downstream density
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Axial decay rate of mean flow solution for the first 6 radial modes
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3D deflection angle correction Vs $M_{T}$



[^0]:    * 

    The assumptions (i)-(vii) are not mutually independent. Moreover, most of the implied restrictions can be relaxed as the present theory is further refined.

[^1]:    ${ }^{*}$ Recall that $\bar{q}_{1}$ represents simply the extent to which the $\theta$-averaged flow deviates from a pure free vortex type associated with $q_{0} \cdot q_{0}+q_{1}$, therefore, is the present approximation to the mean flow corresponding to the reference flows in Refs. 3 and 5.

[^2]:    ${ }^{*} M_{x}$ is always assumed to be less than unity in the present work.

